

អច្គ៩ំនុំ៩ម្រុះទឹសាទញ្ញតូខតុលាភារកធ្គុ៩ា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

หอัรรู่ธุโละยายารูล่อ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File N° 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

1 December 2016 Trial Day 486

ព្រះរាសិណាច ត្រូះទមារត្សត្រូ ប៉ាតិ សាសលា ព្រះទមារត្សត្រូ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

อสธาหอีย

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): ^{06-Feb-2017, 10:19} CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

Victor KOPPE SON Arun Anta GUISSE KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties: Marie GUIRAUD SIN Soworn TY Srinna

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding Claudia FENZ Jean-Marc LAVERGNE YOU Ottara YA Sokhan Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) THOU Mony (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: Stavroula PAPADOPOULOS SE Kolvuthy

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: Nicholas KOUMJIAN SONG Chorvoin SREA Rattanak

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun

INDEX

2-TCCP-1063

Questioning by Ms. GUISSE	page 3
Questioning by Mr. KONG Sam Onn	page 38

Mr. SIN Oeng (2-TCW-1069)

Questioning by The President (NIL Nonn)	page 55
Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 59

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
2-TCCP-1063	Khmer
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Ms. GUISSE	French
Mr. KONG Sam Onn	Khmer
Mr. KOPPE	English
Mr. Koumjian	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
The President (NIL Nonn)	Khmer
Mr. SIN Oeng (2-TCW-1069)	Khmer
Ms. TY Srinna	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0902H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 Today, the Chamber continues to hear the remaining testimony of
- 6 2-TCCP-1063. And after that, we begin hearing testimony of a
- 7 witness, namely, 2-TCW-1069.
- 8 <Greffier> Ms. Se Kolvuthy, please report the attendance of the9 parties and other individuals to today's proceedings.
- 10 [09.03.12]
- 11 THE GREFFIER:
- Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all parties to this case are present.
- 14 Mr. Pich Ang, the National Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties, is 15 absent for personal reasons.

Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell downstairs. He has waived his right to be present in the courtroom. The waiver has been delivered to the greffier. The civil party who is to continue his testimony today, that is, 2-TCCP-1063, is present in the courtroom.

And the upcoming witness, that is, 2-TCW-1069, confirms that, to his best knowledge, he has no relationship, by blood or by law, to any of the two accused, that is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil parties admitted in this case. The witness took an oath before the Iron Club Statue this morning and is

2

- 1 waiting to be called by the Chamber in the waiting room.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 [09.04.26]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Thank you, Ms. Se Kolvuthy. The Chamber now decides on the6 request by Nuon Chea.

7 The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon Chea, dated 1st 8 December 2016, which states that, due to his health, that is, 9 headache, back pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long. And 10 in order to effectively participate in future hearings, he 11 requests to waive his rights to be present at the 1st December 12 2016 hearing.

Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor for the accused at the ECCC, dated 1st December 2016, which notes that, today, Nuon Chea has a lower back pain and feels dizzy when he sits for long and recommends that the Chamber shall grant him his request so that he can follow the proceedings remotely from the holding cell downstairs.

19 [09.05.28]

20 Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the 21 ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea his request to

22 follow today's proceedings remotely from the holding cell

23 downstairs via an audio-visual means.

24 The Chamber instructs the AV Unit personnel to link the

25 proceedings to the room downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

> 3 That applies for the whole day. 1 2 The Chamber now hands the floor to the defence teams, first to 3 the defence team for Nuon Chea to put questions to this civil 4 party. You have the floor. 5 MR. KOPPE: б 7 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning. We don't have any 8 questions. 9 MR. PRESIDENT: 10 Thank you. 11 And now, I hand the floor to the co-counsel for Khieu Samphan to 12 put questions to this civil party. 13 [09.06.31]14 QUESTIONING BY MS. GUISSE: 15 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning. Good morning, everyone. 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Civil Party. My name is Anta Guisse. I am International Co-Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan, alongside my 17 18 colleague, Kong Sam Onn. 19 I have a few supplementary questions to put to you regarding your 20 testimony. 21 I would like us to start with the period before 1975, and I would 22 like us to revisit two points. 23 Yesterday, at about 15.39, you stated that you had remained 24 combatant in the Lon Nol army for three months. However, I did 25 not hear when you became a soldier.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

4

- 1 Can you specify that to the Chamber?
- 2 2-TCCP-1063:
- 3 A. Good morning, Mr. President.
- 4 I became a Lon Nol soldier in 1971.
- 5 [09.07.43]

Q. And I also believe I understood from your testimony that youhad stopped being a soldier and had returned to civilian life

8 after you were wounded. Did I properly understand your testimony?

- 9 A. Yes, that's what I testified yesterday.
- 10 Q. And when you state that you returned to civilian life, did you 11 mean to say that you returned to farming?
- A. At that time, I stopped being a soldier and I became a farmer.
 Q. You also talked about your father. And if I understood you
 correctly, he was a militiaman in a militia. And I believe his
 name, <and I'm sorry if I do not pronounce it correctly>, was
- 16 Kong Svay Try (phonetic). Is that the case?
- 17 And I also understood that in that militia, his task was to
- 18 prevent revolutionary soldiers from entering <your> territory. Is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 [09.09.24]

A. Yes, that's what I testified yesterday because people were enlisted in the <self-defence force> in order to <support> Lon Nol soldiers, that is, to base ourself in the rear battlefield. Q. When you say that he was placed on the list, do you mean that he was placed on the list by Lon Nol troops?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

	5
1	A. Please repeat your question.
2	Q. You state that he was placed on the list to collaborate with
3	Lon Nol troops. My question was who placed militiamen on the
4	list? Was it a military authority who did that?
5	A. The names were put on the list by the commune authority and
б	not by the military. It was the commune chiefs <> enlisted
7	<village but="" called="" in="" militiamen,="" self-defence<="" td="" that="" they="" time,=""></village>
8	force>.
9	Q. This will be my last question on the point.
10	Do you know whether there were any clashes between those
11	militiamen and members of the revolution?
12	A. Yes. At the time, there were frictions between the groups, and
13	there were those who opposed the revolution.
14	[09.11.36]
15	Q. Another point I'd like you to clarify is that I do not quite
16	understand when <you said=""> the Khmer Rouge arrived in your area.</you>
17	Was it surely at the time of the evacuation of the 17th of April
18	1975, or <did> the revolutionary forces arrive in your area</did>
19	before then?
20	A. In Svay Rieng province, they entered on the 14th, that is,
21	before the New Year's Day. The revolutionary army entered <> Svay
22	Rieng provincial town on that day, and they <evicted> people <>.</evicted>
23	And that happened before the 17 April.
24	[09.12.40]

25 Q. When you say the 14th, are you talking of the 14th of April,

б

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	that is, the 14th of April 1975?
2	A. Yes, I referred to the 14th of April, which was the Khmer New
3	Year's Day. And that was the day that the revolutionary army
4	entered Svay Rieng <provincial town="">.</provincial>
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
б	The question is whether it was the 14 April 1975 because you only
7	referred to "14".
8	So please be specific whether it was the 14 of April '75.
9	2-TCCP-1063:
10	Mr. President, I refer to the 14 April 1975.
11	BY MS. GUISSE:
12	Q. Now we talk about the last point regarding this period.
13	So we agree that up to the 14th of April 1975, which means that
14	your area was under the influence of Lon Nol, that is, Lon Nol
15	troops. Is that correct?
16	2-TCCP-1063:
17	A. On the 17th, I was the victim of a revolutionary army because
18	there was a time that I was chased away from the Svay Rieng
19	provincial town. < It was the time that I felt very fearful.> And
20	at that time, the Vietnamese soldiers actually <shot air<="" in="" td="" the=""></shot>
21	to force> the people to leave the town. <if refused="" someone="" td="" to<=""></if>
22	leave, he/she would be shot at.> And I was so shocked and, for
23	that reason, I could not bring any belongings with me.
24	<another reason="" that,="" was=""> we were told that we would leave town</another>
25	for two or three days, and that we would be allowed to return,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

7

- 1 but since that day, none of the people was allowed to return to
- 2 the town. And we were subsequently evacuated elsewhere.
- 3 [09.15.15]
- 4 Q. Very well. My question was different.

5 I wanted you to confirm that up until the time of the evacuation 6 and before the arrival of the revolutionary army, your zone and 7 your commune were under the authority or <at least> the influence 8 of Lon Nol soldiers, that is, the Lon Nol army.

- 9 A. Before that time, I lived under the authority of Lon Nol.
- 10 [09.16.00]

Q. I would like us to revisit the various statements you gave as part of your participation in this trial, and I would like to go through the chronology with you. <On the case file, we have three different forms.> The first form <you filled out> is E3/10670, <dated> 2nd of August 2008. That is the first form you filled out as part of your civil party statement.

- We have a second form, document E3/5932a. I forgot to mention the
 a -- <in the first document from 02 August 2008> E3/10670a,
- 19 small a.
- 20 And then you have <a second> form, <a supplementary information 21 form,> dated 2nd of April 2010, document E3/5932a.

22 And lastly, we have a Supplementary Information Form, which is in

- 23 the investigation of Case 004, not 002, and it's E3/10670, which
- 24 was forwarded by your lawyer on the 5th of January 2015.
- 25 Does this refresh your memory as regards the various forms you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1

2

8

3 lastly, a document <in> 2014 or early 2015? Does that refresh 4 your memory? A. Yes, I can recall some. 5 [09.18.35] б 7 Q. I would like us to start by talking about the first form, that is, out of the 2008 in which you <declared yourself to be> a 8 9 civil party in this case. 10 Regarding the circumstances under which you filled out that first form, can you tell the Chamber whether, during that period, you 11 12 already had a lawyer? If not, who assisted you in filling out that Civil Party Application form? 13 A. I cannot recall. I cannot recall about what happened during 14 15 the filling-in of the form. <I could not imagine how it turned to 16 this way.> 17 Q. Very well. Do you at least remember whether someone assisted 18 you or you filled it out yourself? 19 A. Yes, there was someone who assisted me in filling out the 20 form, but I cannot recall the detail. [09.20.05]21 22 Q. Very well. Without recalling the name of the person, do you 23 remember whether that person was a lawyer or a member of any 24 organization? 25 A. The person was a staff of an organization, but I cannot recall

filled out, and do you agree with me that you filed the first

form in 2008, a Supplementary Information Form in 2010 and,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

9

1 the name of that organization.

Q. Very well. In that form, you talk about your visit to a market, but you never make mention of Khieu Samphan in that form. And I'm referring to document E3/10670a, and the ERN in English is 00422204; ERN in Khmer, <00397758>. And this is what you say regarding your visit to <a> market, and I will quote what you state in English:

8 "We were asked aboard and the next morning we arrived in Phsar 9 Thmei and stayed there for three nights. Each of us was given a 10 package of cooked rice, one green scarf, and a set of clothes. 11 We, later on, were transported in a truck by the Khmer Rouge to 12 the railway station at 1 p.m. I took the train and arrived at 13 Prey Svay at 12 p.m. after travelling for one day and one night." 14 End of quote.

My first question in light of this passage is as follows: You state that you were at Phsar Thmei, that is, the Central Market. Yesterday, I believe I understood from the explanations you gave to the civil party lawyer that you had mentioned that visit to the Central Market because you did not know Phnom Penh, and that is why you got mixed up.

21 [09.23.01]

22 But at the same time, on the second form, which we'll talk about 23 shortly, when the civil party lawyer asked you how you knew that 24 that was <Chbar Ampov (phonetic) market>, you said that people 25 had told you so.

1	My first question, therefore, is as follows: If you knew that <it< th=""></it<>
2	truly> was the market called <chbar (phonetic)="" ampov=""> because</chbar>
3	people told you so, why didn't you say so the first time you
4	filled the form?
5	A. At that time, I was not familiar with the location and, later
б	on, when people said about it, then I knew that it was Phsar
7	Chbar Ampov. Even today, I am not so familiar with that market<>.
8	Q. When you say "later", what do you mean? What time are you
9	referring to?
10	A. When I said "later", I meant <> after the liberation by Hun
11	Sen soldiers<, I was familiar with it>.
12	[09.24.50]
13	Q. Very well. You knew that it was <not> the Central Market after</not>
14	the liberation by the Hun Sen soldiers. But <that means=""> when you</that>
15	filled out the form in 2008, you already knew that <you hadn't<="" th=""></you>
16	been to> the Central Market, but <to> Chbar Ampov. Is that not</to>
17	the case?
18	A. I meant to say Chbar Ampov market or Phsar Chbar Ampov.
19	Q. Right. But <since already="" been="" by="" had="" informed="" of="" that="" you=""></since>
20	the Hun Sen soldiers, why did you still talk about <a central<="" th="">
21	market when> filling out that first form?
22	MR. PRESIDENT:
23	Civil Party, please hold on.
24	And Civil Party Lawyer, you have the floor.
25	Please turn on the microphone.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	1
- I	
_	_

1	[09.26.16]
2	MS. TY SRINNA:
3	Mr. President, I object to this question because the civil party
4	did not say it was Hun Sen soldiers who told him about Phsar
5	Chbar Ampov. So, if this line of questions is allowed to
б	continue, it's going to get the civil party <out line="" of="" td="" what<=""></out>
7	he previously testified>.
8	Thank you.
9	BY MS. GUISSE:
10	I believe it may be an interpretation problem because that is
11	what I heard in French.
12	Q. Mr. Civil Party, can you tell us who informed you that it was
13	Phsar Chbar Ampov and not the Central Market, and when were you
14	informed of that?
15	[09.27.22]
16	2-TCCP-1063:
17	A. When you asked me a question previously, <i could="" grasp<="" not="" td=""></i>
18	fully>. I did not mean that I was told by Hun Sen soldiers. What
19	I meant is that I was told after the Hun Sen soldiers liberated
20	us. I was told by people, but not by Hun Sen soldiers.
21	And in fact, it was people, that is, after the liberation in
22	1979, whom I met and I spoke to them. They said about the
23	evacuation from Svay Rieng, and I asked whether we were
24	instructed to rest at Phsar Thmei or Phsar Chbar Ampov. And those

25 people who were evacuated when I was, said that we were evacuated

23

12

- and stayed at Phsar Chbar Ampov. 1 2 <>At the time, people were evacuated from three provinces: Prey 3 Veng, Svay Rieng and Kampong Cham<. Since the central market or Phsar Thmei was already full>, some of the evacuees were allowed 4 to rest <> at Phsar Chbar Ampov, or Chbar Ampov market. 5 [09.28.55]б 7 Q. Very well. My question remains the same, therefore. 8 Since after the liberation by the Hun Sen troops you had received that information, why, therefore, in 2008 do you still talk of 9 10 the Central Market? 11 A. I apologize. Maybe I did not pay much attention to that. And 12 it is probably my mix-up. Q. In the passage I quoted, you talk of your visit, <and your 13 14 stay, > but you did not talk of the presence of Khieu Samphan. Can 15 you explain why? 16 A. Because when I spoke, maybe I did not say everything and 17 probably I only spoke in response to the questions that were 18 asked. Q. But since you made mention of the distribution of food, 19 20 scarves and clothes and the fact that you were transported in 21 trucks to subsequently go to the railway station and you give 22 details on that day <about what they gave you. In> your statement

before the Chamber, you appear to <make a link between> the

- 24 distribution of food and supplies <with> Khieu <Samphan's
- 25 arrival>. Even though you may not have been asked specific

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

13

- 1 questions, why did you not make mention of that?
- 2 A. I cannot catch your question, counsel. Could you repeat it?3 [09.31.30]
- Q. At the hearing when you talked about the arrival of food <and> 4 5 supplies, etc., you say that they arrived in two vehicles in which Khieu Samphan also <arrived>, and that it was after his б 7 arrival <and his speech> that these goods were distributed to you. So there was a link between the distribution and the 8 9 presence of Khieu Samphan, in what you recounted in the hearing. 10 So my question is: why didn't you mention it then in your first 11 information form even without any specific questions about it? 12 Because it corresponds to what you're <readily> describing in 13 that document then -- receiving food and supplies, etc.
- 14 [09.32.30]
- 15 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President. Just a brief comment.

17 I've made several observations throughout this trial on the <conditions under which> the NGOs noted down <information> 18 19 regarding the civil parties to begin with, and I would like to 20 note again that it's not necessarily the witness who did not 21 mention it, but perhaps the staff of the NGO< - CHRAC, in this 22 case -- > did not write it down because they're the ones that 23 recorded the words of the civil party. It's not the civil party 24 who wrote it.

25 So once again, the Defence is, of course, authorized to <test the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

14

civil party's statements today>, but I think that we are all very 1 2 aware of the specific circumstances under which these documents 3 were noted down by the NGOs <before lawyers were assigned>. 4 Thank you. BY MS. GUISSE: 5 I do understand these arguments by my colleague, but <that is all б 7 they are, arguments. In this instance, I'm asking the Civil Party 8 a question and he is free to provide me with this explanation, 9 but> I would like to have the explanation from the witness 10 himself. 11 Q. So I will continue with my questioning, Mr. Civil Party. 12 Because there was a link< -- given what you stated before this 13 Court -- >with the alleged arrival of Khieu Samphan and the 14 distribution of these supplies, <why did you not mention it, and> 15 why was it not mentioned in this initial document? 16 [09.34.15]17 2-TCCP-1063: 18 A. Allow me to inform the Chamber at the time of the writing, I, 19 myself, did not fill in the information. It was the person <> 20 from <an organisation> who wrote about it. Questions were put to 21 me and I gave my answers at the time accordingly. In fact, that 22 was the experience that I underwent at the time. That what I 23 experienced. 24 Q. You signed this document and it was re-read to you before you 25 signed it; is that correct?

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 14

A. I did not recall at the time. I did not recall <whether or not 1 2 I was read that> application<.> 3 [09.35.20]Q. On the same page that I referred to earlier, in the story you 4 recount at the time that this first information sheet was 5 created, there is mention of <the head of a cooperative, Preaek б 7 Chik (sic) at> Svay Por (phonetic). 8 So this part of your statement, which is not of interest in the 9 absolute sense, but simply <mentions> the name of one of the 10 leaders of the cooperative that you ostensibly mentioned on that 11 day, so my question is as follows: 12 At the time that you saw Khieu Samphan, or you say that you saw him at this market, did you know what post he held? 13 A. Mr. President, I testified about that yesterday. I cannot 14 15 remember his position and role at the time. Q. Without knowing what position he held, did you think that it 16 17 was someone of importance in the state of Democratic Kampuchea, 18 or did you think that it was just someone who might have had the 19 same level as the head of a cooperative, for example? 20 A. Mr. President, at the time, he held a high position, one of the senior persons <in that time>, but I do not recall his rank 21 22 and his position. What I can recall is that he held <the> highest 23 position in the leadership, the senior leadership at the time. 24 [09.37.46]

25 Q. And during the DK period, did it happen often that you met

16

1	people who you thought had a higher level?
2	A. I never met them at the time, as I was an ordinary citizen
3	residing in a rural area. It was only when I was evacuated to
4	Phnom Penh, I met him. That was the only time.
5	Q. In that context, are we in agreement that this meeting with
6	the person that was considered to be a senior leader was
7	exceptional?
8	A. I agree with what you said. People in general rarely had the
9	occasion to meet those senior people.
10	Q. All right. So my question, and this will be the last point on
11	this particular item in the document this was an exceptional
12	event. As far as you remember, did you mention this event to the
13	person who assisted you in filling out the form and who perhaps
14	had just simply forgotten to write down the name of Khieu
15	Samphan?
16	[09.39.55]
17	MR. KOUMJIAN:
18	Your Honours, I believe it's only fair to the witness that
19	counsel indicate the section of the form where this is written
20	because the section of the form is entitled, in English,
21	"Description of crimes, what crimes occurred and how they
22	occurred".
23	So this is what the general question that's being answered by the
24	witness when he recounts what happened to him and to his family.
0.5	

25 It wasn't a question about how the criminal policies were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

- 1 formulated and how the leadership formulated the policies.
- 2 If you look at the beginning of the section, it says,
- 3 "Description of crimes, what crimes occurred and how they
- 4 occurred".
- 5 [09.40.44]
- 6 BY MS. GUISSE:
- 7 There again, Mr. President, that is an argument that <may> be the
- 8 Prosecution's position, <at the end, when we will be arguing
- 9 about why> Khieu Samphan's name does not appear in this form.
- 10 Nevertheless, my question was legitimate and even more so <now>
- 11 because, there is <also> the name of the head of the cooperative,
- 12 which is mentioned. So my question remains.
- 13 Q. Insofar as you remember, Mr. Civil Party --
- 14 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 15 Can counsel indicate, where's the name of the head of the
- 16 cooperative?
- 17 MS. GUISSE:
- 18 So the document is E3/10760 <(sic)>. The ERN in English is
- 19 00422204; and in Khmer, 00397758. And as follows --
- 20 JUDGE FENZ:
- 21 You were a bit too quick for me. For the repetition of the E
- 22 number.
- 23 [09.41.52]
- 24 BY MS. GUISSE:
- 25 It's document E3/10670a <(sic)>. It's the <first civil party

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

18

1 application, dated> the 2nd of August 2008. So I maintain my 2 question. 3 Q. Mr. Civil Party, insofar as you remember, did you mention the name Khieu Samphan to this person during this first interview, 4 5 and does this name not appear in the document in spite of what you recounted because the person that was in charge of drafting б 7 the document forgot it? 8 Do you remember having mentioned this incident? 9 2-TCCP-1063: 10 A. Mr. President, to my recollection, when I was interviewed, no 11 such questions were put to me about my meeting with Khieu 12 Samphan. It was later on that I was asked about my meeting with him. It was after that time I told the information about the 13 14 meeting and I, myself, did not know if the meeting with him was 15 included in my application. 16 [09.43.45]17 Q. Very well, then. 18 What you want to say, and I want to be sure that I understand 19 this correctly, since you were not asked questions about Khieu 20 Samphan, when you talked about what happened at the market --21 whether it was at Chbar Ampov or the Central Market -- you didn't 22 spontaneously mention Khieu Samphan, but you mentioned the fact 23 that you had received rice, scarves, clothing. That you 24 mentioned. And you talked about your transfer to the <train 25 station when> you were asked about it because, a priori, that is Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 18

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

19

1	what <came> out.</came>
2	But when recounting all of this, what happened, you didn't
3	mention Khieu Samphan because you weren't asked any questions
4	about him. Is that what I should understand?
5	A. I was not asked about him.
б	[09.44.48]
7	Q. And just to be sure that I understand, because you weren't
8	asked any questions about him, you didn't mention him. Is that
9	correct?
10	A. They did not ask me about that. Frankly speaking, the
11	interviewer did not ask me about my encounter with Khieu Samphan
12	or my meeting with Khieu Samphan<. If they did not ask me>, I did
13	not tell the interviewer<>.
14	Q. Just one last point on this document, and then I'll come back
15	<to document.="" in="" initial<="" khieu="" samphan="" second="" td="" this="" your=""></to>
16	document, just one clarification, at> the ERN in <english></english>
17	00422202, and in Khmer 00397758. In the document that we are
18	looking at now, it's noted, and I will quote in English:
19	"On 7th of May '75, the Khmer Rouge soldiers came to our village
20	commune and evacuated people from Svay Rieng provincial town to
21	live in our <birth> village commune."</birth>
22	Do you confirm this, meaning that there was an evacuation? I want
23	to make sure that I understood it. The 17th of April 1975 and
24	then afterwards, you returned to your birth village in May 1975.
25	Λ I stand by that statement

25 A. I stand by that statement.

1	[09.47.10]
2	Q. And in this document, you talk about the fact that you
3	underwent <education> sessions at the Ta Chey pagoda, and you say</education>
4	the following, and I will quote again in English:
5	"After spending four months there, I was released by Angkar to
б	return home and asked to work hard in the cooperative of Ta Chey
7	village." End quote.
8	I would like to be sure. I believe that I understood from your
9	testimony that you were held <for> nine months, and here it's</for>
10	written that it was four months. Could you please clarify what
11	the exact length of your <detention> was for the Chamber?</detention>
12	We're talking about how long you were detained before you
13	returned to the cooperative.
14	A. Thank you, counsel.
15	Mr. President, I was not detained in the prison for a period of
16	four months. And at the time, perhaps the one who assisted <>in
17	filling out the information did not get clearly my answer. I was
18	<detained for="" there=""> nine months, not four months<>.</detained>
19	[09.48.50]
20	Q. I would now like to come back to your second form. This one is
21	from the 2nd of April 2010, and this is the first time that we
22	have a document where you mention Khieu Samphan's name. The
23	document number is $E3/5932a$, and the part that interests me in
24	English is at ERN 01332268, and in Khmer 00578456.
25	And before questioning you more specifically on this part, just a

1	clarification. We also have in the file another document,
2	document D22/19414, which is the Power of Attorney, the document
3	that gives permission to your lawyer to represent you. And I
4	believe it's only in English. The ERN is 00407825, and it's a
5	document dated the 25th of October 2009. And the lawyer
6	representing you is Counsel Chet Vanly.
7	So my first question is, do you remember in October 2009 that you
8	had a lawyer who began to represent you on this case?
9	[09.50.42]
10	A. Yes, I was assisted by a lawyer at the time.
11	Q. So we also have in the file, as I indicated to you, your
12	<second statement,="" your=""> Supplementary Information Form, which is</second>
13	dated 2 April 2010; <so after=""> a lawyer had already been assigned</so>
14	to you.
15	Do you remember if the Supplementary Information Form was carried
16	out with the assistance of your lawyer at the time?
17	A. She assisted me in the filling out the form.
18	Q. All right. So now we'll come back to the document in question
19	at the ERNs that I have already quoted. And here is what is
20	indicated at your <visit> to the market, and I will quote in</visit>
21	English <because french="" is="" no="" there="" version="">:</because>
22	" <at penh,="" phnom=""> in the vicinity of Chbar Ampov, they (Khieu</at>
23	Samphan) distributed blue scarves to us. During the night, at 2
24	a.m., they took us to a rail station and we resumed our journey
25	by train to Prey Svay, etc., etc." End quote.

1	[09.52.42]
2	So on the 2nd of April 2010, you were assisted by a lawyer who,
3	if I understand your logic, when you talk about Khieu Samphan
4	because you're asked questions about him, and correct me if
5	that's not the case, but on the 2nd of April 2010, you mentioned
б	Khieu Samphan as simply having distributed scarves.
7	Do you remember this form and having said this when filling out
8	the form?
9	A. At the time, he was the one who distributed a krama scarf,
10	medicines, clothes and food before we departed for the railway
11	station.
12	[09.54.00]
13	Q. In this document of April 2010, you mentioned Mr. Khieu
14	Samphan for the first time, but you never mention any speech that
15	he gave at the time he was distributing these scarves or food
16	supplies.
17	Can you explain to the Chamber why although there's no doubt
18	that you're talking about Khieu Samphan why did you not
19	mention the speech that you spoke of afterwards in this Chamber?
20	A. Mr. President, the lawyer did not ask me about the speeches
21	made by Khieu Samphan. I was asked <only> what kind of stuff</only>
22	distributed by Khieu Samphan. The question about speeches were
23	not asked, <so,> I did not give my answers about the speeches.</so,>
24	That is why such information was not included in the form.
25	Q. So what you're explaining to the Chamber is that when you

1	mention this passage about Khieu Samphan, you're not telling a
2	whole story of what happened at the market because you were not
3	asked specific questions. Is that correct?
4	A. Yes, that is what I want to say. Such questions were not
5	asked, and I did not give my answers. And at the time, <i did="" not<="" th=""></i>
6	anticipate that my application would lead me to this stage.> I
7	was only giving my answers to the limit of the questions. <i< th=""></i<>
8	dared not speak much.>
9	[09.56.27]
10	Q. So what I would like to know is, since you didn't mention
11	Khieu Samphan's name at all when you were giving information for
12	your first form, how did the name of Mr. Khieu Samphan show up in
13	this second form? Are you the one who spontaneously mentioned
14	him, or was it because you were asked a question which included
15	Khieu Samphan's name that you mentioned him?
16	What I want to know is if it is you, yourself, who spontaneously
17	spoke about Mr. Khieu Samphan when filling out this second form,
18	which is not simply a second form. I really want to clarify that
19	it is a Supplementary Information Form <about th="" what="" you<=""></about>
20	experienced under Democratic Kampuchea>.
21	A. Mr. President, regarding Khieu Samphan, it was in a later
22	interview that I was asked about him. Clarification question was
23	put to me. I was asked what kind of thing <> he spoke at the time
24	when he was distributing the stuff to the people.
25	Again, it was later on that I was asked about him, and I gave my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

> 24 answers. At the outset, I was not asked about him. That is why 1 2 the issue of him was not included in the first form. 3 [09.58.34]Q. All right. So here in the second form since we're talking 4 5 about him, you didn't think that there was a need to tell the full story about your experience at Chbar Ampov? б 7 A. It did not come to my mind at the time, and only when I was 8 asked about that, I recalled about the hardship that I 9 experienced at the time. At the beginning, I was not interested 10 in telling such event and, also, it was because I was not asked
by> that organization and the lawyer. That is why I did not tell 11 12 them about that. 13 Q. And that leads me back to a previous question that I asked 14 you. 15 On the first form, you said yourself that the meeting of -- with 16 a senior leader, was something exceptional. So here, when you're 17 asked about Khieu Samphan during the filling out of this 18 Supplementary Information Form, do you still consider that it's 19 not very important, that there's no need to go into detail? 20 That's what I understood from your previous response. [10.00.50]21 22 MS. GUIRAUD: 23 Mr. President, just an observation. Our colleague noted earlier 24 that I was reading an argument, but I think she is also doing so 25 when she <is putting questions and> systematically interpreting

25

1	what the civil party witness did or did not do, <think did="" not<="" or="" td=""></think>
2	think,> when he met with the NGO or the lawyer when he was
3	filling out the forms. So if she could please be neutral instead
4	of putting words into the mouth of the civil party and <making< td=""></making<>
5	him say whether> it was important or it was not important, etc.
6	JUDGE FENZ:
7	And perhaps additionally, things that are clearly important to
8	the Defence, like a speech might not necessarily have seemed
9	important for a legally non-trained witness or civil party. Just
10	a general remark.
11	[10.01.50]
12	BY MS. GUISSE:
13	I do not know whether after the pleadings by the various parties,
14	we are already in closing arguments on this subject, but I think
15	that as counsel for Khieu Samphan, I have good reason to ask
16	these <types of=""> questions, to ask why <it> was only some time in</it></types>
17	2015 that the civil party <voiced> inculpatory <testimony against<="" td=""></testimony></voiced>
18	my client>, whereas he had been interviewed by various persons

19 <since> 2008. It appears to be normal to me.

20 When the civil party lawyer says that I am putting words in the 21 witness' mouth, that is not the case. <It is not me who put words 22 in the witnesses mouth,> it is the witness himself who said that 23 he did not deem it necessary to provide this information. 24 It is normal that I should try to obtain information before 25 making my own interpretations. I am putting questions to him to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

26

- 1 <inquire as towards his state of mind and> ask why he did not
- 2 talk about this earlier, and < it is normal to put> questions on
 3 this matter.
- 4 So I think that <in that> sense, these are only remarks, are not
 5 objections. <So> I can proceed.
- 6 [10.03.03]
- 7 Q. So to be very specific, my question is as follows:
- 8 At this time, you talked about Khieu Samphan in that 9 Supplementary Information Form even though specific questions 10 were not put to you on what he said, you did not consider it
- 11 important to talk about all of the events that occurred at the 12 market, all of what you saw and all of what you heard from Khieu
- 13 Samphan during that distribution?
- 14 2-TCCP-1063:

A. Frankly speaking, at that time, I did not pay much attention 15 to that. And only later when I was called by the Court staff, I 16 could recall the event. Previously, I did not think of that. 17 18 As <simple> people, we do not pay much attention to that. The 19 main focus of us, the farmers, were to <increase high yield> in 20 the field and not to pay much attention to that. And that is the 21 reason I did not respond or speak about that, nor was I asked by 22 the organization staff on this issue.

- 23 [10.04.53]
- 24 Q. I would like us to now talk of the last Supplementary
- 25 Information Form that you submitted as part of the investigations

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	of Case 004, <so another="" case,=""> document E3/10670, forwarded on</so>
2	the 5th of January 2015 by your counsel. And this document, if I
3	fully understand the explanations you gave before on the way the
4	interviews unfolded in order for you to provide the information,
5	precisely, in 2014 or in 2015, your counsel put specific
б	questions to you on Khieu Samphan. And that is why you went into
7	much detail.
8	So am I right in providing this explanation of the way things
9	unfolded on that occasion?
10	A. My lawyer did not ask me about that, but after I recalled it,
11	I told the lawyer <subsequently>. And that's why this information</subsequently>
12	was included in the later form. As I said, it happened so long
13	ago, and only later on, I recalled it and I reported it to the
14	lawyer. And subsequently, the lawyer included that information on
15	the <application> form.</application>
16	[10.06.53]
17	Q. Very well. If I understand correctly, therefore, you forgot
18	that part of those events because you remembered it only when you
19	mentioned it to the lawyer. My question to you, therefore, is as
20	follows:
21	Are you sure that your memories are very reliable? Because you
22	have said that those events occurred a long time ago, and yet
23	whether it is before this Court or in form E3/10670, you are very
24	specific in providing certain details citing practically word for
25	word the topics and the issues that Khieu Samphan addressed. And

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

28

I quote: The ERN in English is 01190623, ERN in Khmer 01118429 and it continues on the following page. And this is what you state, and I will raise questions on this part. I'm quoting in English:

5 [10.08.20]

"<While we were resting,> Angkar provided steamed rice and б 7 steamed mackerel for people to eat. On the third morning before we continued on our journey, the Khmer Rouge leader, Khieu 8 9 Samphan, and his team, most of whom I did not know, came in vehicles to distribute food, clothes, scarves, balm oil and 10 11 packages of medicine to us. After providing the items, Khieu 12 Samphan and the leader of the Democratic Kampuchea regime said 'We carried out the revolution to topple the Lon Nol regime and 13 to eliminate capitalism, feudalism and intellectualism. We 14 15 forbade the capitalists from oppressing the poor people. We want to eliminate the classes of rich and poor. We want to promote 16 17 equality amongst old people.

18 Angkar brought our brothers and sisters here to protect you from 19 the Vietnamese imperialists and invaders, who will kill our 20 brothers, sisters, fathers and mothers. You are going to live in 21 the southwest. Please follow the Democratic Kampuchea's policy. 22 Please be loyal to the Party forever. If anyone betrays the 23 Party, that person will be killed and the saying goes 'to keep 24 you is of no benefit, to kill you is of no loss'.'" End of quote. 25 I'll press on. Sorry.

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 28

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	[10.10.08]
2	"After Khieu Samphan distributed the items and delivered the
3	speech, people got in vehicles to go to the train station at 9
4	a.m." End of quote.
5	Here you therefore provide an account with details on the
6	contents of the speech that Khieu Samphan is alleged to have
7	delivered.
8	Insofar as you've told the Chamber that you forgot this part and
9	only remembered it subsequently, my question to you is whether
10	you are sure that these were, indeed, the specific words that
11	Khieu Samphan uttered or <did> your memory fail you?</did>
12	A. These words were from Khieu Samphan because, at the time he
13	did make his speech, he spoke at length, but I cannot recall
14	everything and I can only remember portions of it. He spoke for
15	nearly an hour, and I could only recall what I stated.
16	[10.11.51]
17	Q. Yesterday, you stated that he did not speak for an hour, but
18	for about 30 minutes because you thought he was in a hurry and it
19	was urgent for you to be taken to the railway station.
20	Do you remember whether it was for 30 minutes or an hour that he
21	spoke?
22	MR. PRESIDENT:
23	Civil Party, please hold on.
24	And lawyer for civil parties, you have the floor.
25	MS. TY SRINNA:

30

1	I'd like to make an observation. A while ago, in the Khmer
2	language, the civil party stated that Khieu Samphan spoke for
3	"almost an hour", and not "over an hour", so there may be a
4	problem with the interpretation.
5	BY MS. GUISSE:
б	Q. I will rephrase the question in order to align myself with the
7	Khmer.
8	So it was rather close to an hour as opposed to 30 minutes <as></as>
9	you stated yesterday?
10	2-TCCP-1063:
11	A. I did not say that he spoke for over an hour. I said that he
12	spoke for almost an hour. But maybe you did not get it right and
13	it says that it was over an hour.
14	[10.13.35]
15	Q. My question was whether it was close to an hour or
16	approximately an hour, <that's a="" not="" problem="">. I <understand td="" you<=""></understand></that's>
17	said that in Khmer> but <yesterday,> you rather talked of 30</yesterday,>
18	minutes.
19	My question is, <which version=""> is <closest to=""> the truth, <from></from></closest></which>
20	what you can remember today
21	JUDGE FENZ:
22	Just to be clear, can you give us the reference for the 30
23	minutes but because perhaps there was a mistranslation there,
24	too, just to avoid further confusion.

25 [10.14.18]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

	31
1	MS. GUISSE:
2	I've asked my team to look for the reference in the transcript. I
3	am just speaking by memory <based my="" notes="" on="">.</based>
4	MS. GUIRAUD:
5	<i co-counsel.="" have="" it=""></i>
б	<ms. guisse:=""></ms.>
7	I believe it's 11.53, and it is Judge Fenz who is asking for
8	this.
9	<ms. guiraud:=""></ms.>
10	<i "more="" 15.52="" 30="" actually.="" have="" he="" in="" minutes",="" says="" than="" which=""></i>
11	The ENGLISH INTERPRETER:
12	Mr. President, could counsel repeat what he said because we were
13	still translating what the other counsel was saying?
14	JUDGE FENZ:
15	Could you please repeat this? Translation didn't get it.
16	MS. GUIRAUD:
17	Of course. I have the transcript in French. Yesterday at
18	15.52.43, answer by the civil party:
19	"Khieu Samphan delivered a speech for more than 30 minutes.
20	Perhaps he was in a hurry to go somewhere else."
21	[10.15.25]
22	MS. GUISSE:
23	Indeed, I did not have the time to look up the transcript in
24	<french>, but in <english>, that is exactly it.</english></french>
25	So my question to the civil party was as follows: Was it closer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

32

- 1 to an hour or closer to half an hour?
- 2 I see Judge Fenz holding her head somewhat overwhelmed. Perhaps I
- 3 can assist you.
- 4 JUDGE FENZ:
- 5 What's the difference between more than an hour -- more than half
- 6 an hour and less than an hour? Where's the contradiction? Or
- 7 perhaps I'm missing something.
- 8 [10.16.12]
- 9 BY MS. GUISSE:

10 I'm trying to obtain information. I believe that, once more, we 11 are dealing with a civil party who recounts that Khieu Samphan 12 delivered a speech for the first time in 2015. It is normal that, as Khieu Samphan's counsel, I should be seeking details <and 13 contradictions> on what he said<, for you to consider>. <I 14 15 understand we are already upstream when it comes to potential 16 conclusions the Chamber may reach, but in any case, > as a lawyer, 17 I should seek to elicit details on the manner in which the civil 18 party is presenting his account. 19 I'm almost done, Mr. President. I do not know whether you want us

20 to take the break now, but I believe I still need about five

21 minutes, so as you wish.

the question.

24

22 I see you indicating that I can continue.

23 Q. Mr. Civil Party, do you remember -- perhaps I should rephrase

25 Yesterday, I understood from your testimony that you said Khieu

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 32

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	Samphan spoke in what is referred to in French as a megaphone,
2	that is, a <large> portable microphone. Can you specify that it</large>
3	was, indeed, for half an hour, slightly more than half, <or> an</or>
4	hour that Mr. Khieu Samphan spoke into that megaphone? <can td="" you<=""></can>
5	be more precise?>
б	[10.17.55]
7	2-TCCP-1063:
8	A. I stated that he used a microphone yesterday. And the
9	microphone was battery operated. That's what I stated.
10	Q. You also stated that when he <allegedly> delivered that</allegedly>
11	speech, you were in a market. And I do not understand exactly how
12	many people were around you. I believe you said that you were
13	asked to line up.
14	And were you on your feet as you lined up, or there was a place
15	where you were seated?
16	A. At that time, we were not sitting. We were standing in rows.
17	All the people were standing in rows in the market.
18	[10.19.10]
19	Q. And once more, according to my notes and what I remember from
20	what you said yesterday, you said you were at a distance of about
21	20 metres. When he delivered that speech, were you also 20 metres
22	away from him?
23	JUDGE FENZ:
24	Given the problems we have had with references, could you kindly
25	give us the reference of the transcript as opposed to a reference
1	to your notes? Obviously, we have interpretation problems.
----	--
2	BY MS. GUISSE:
3	I have also asked my team to look that up. My colleague says in
4	Khmer it was, indeed, 20 metres that the civil party said
5	yesterday, but <no problem,=""> we'll give you the reference</no>
6	subsequently.
7	In any case, if it wasn't the distance of 20 metres, can you
8	specify at what distance you were at the time when that speech
9	was delivered?
10	2-TCCP-1063:
11	A. Yesterday, I already stated that I was about 20 metres away
12	from him. That's what I said.
13	[10.20.33]
14	Q. And in front of you, were there also people on their feet
15	since I understand that you were not on the first row?
16	For Judge Fenz, the time was 15.19.
17	Excuse me. The question wasn't very clear. Let me ask it again.
18	When you heard that speech, Mr. Khieu Samphan was using a
19	microphone as he delivered the speech, <he spoke=""> and there were</he>
20	people between you and Mr. Khieu Samphan. You were not on the
21	first row. Is that not the case?
22	A. No, I was not at the first row. And there were people who were
23	standing in front of me.
24	[10.21.35]
25	Q. One last clarification.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

35

1	I understood from what you said yesterday that there were many
2	people at that market at the time the speech was delivered. Can
3	you assess the number of persons?
4	I <thought i="" said="" that="" understood="" you=""> that many people were</thought>
5	evacuated, but what I want to know is whether you can give an
6	estimate of the number of people who were present at the market
7	at that particular time?
8	A. At that time, I did not do any <> counting. I knew that there
9	were many, many people.
10	For that reason, I cannot give you a proper estimate.
11	Q. One clarification I forgot to ask of you regarding your form
12	E3/10670, the ERN in English 01190623, in Khmer 01118429. When
13	you talk about people who came at the same time with Khieu
14	Samphan, it is stated in that statement:
15	"The Khmer Rouge leader, Khieu Samphan, and his team, most of
16	whom I did not know, came in vehicles", etc., etc. End of quote.
17	When you say that "I did not know most of them", does it mean
18	that you knew some of the people in the team that came on that
19	day?
20	A. No, I did not know those people. I only knew Khieu Samphan
21	because other people also saw him, too. And in addition to that,
22	I saw him in a film. And when I saw him in person, then I
23	recognized him. And besides him, I did not recognize anyone else.
24	[10.24.10]
25	0 And do you remember in what year you saw that film?

25 Q. And do you remember in what year you saw that film?

36

1	A. I saw the film in 1975, that is, after I returned <> from
2	Pursat. At the time, Samdech Hun Sen's troops <liberated and=""></liberated>
3	showed the film to the villagers in other villages.
4	Q. Do you, therefore, mean that you saw the film featuring Khieu
5	Samphan after the events, after the period of Democratic
6	Kampuchea?
7	A. I apologize. I got mixed up <at last="" moment="" the="">.</at>
8	In fact, I saw him earlier, and not after the liberation in 1979.
9	<my apology.=""> I just got mixed up as in the previous case, that</my>
10	is, in term of the issue of whether it was almost an hour or
11	<half> an hour.</half>
12	[10.25.51]
13	Q. Just one question.
14	Who showed that, and on what occasion was that?
15	A. Allow me to be clear. I saw that film during the times that
16	Democratic Kampuchea controlled the country. At that time, the
17	front troops showed the film in cooperatives, and that's when I
18	saw the film. But I made a mistake earlier that I said I watched
19	it after 1979. But in fact, I watched the film during the Pol Pot
20	regime.
21	The film showed about farmers who worked in the rice fields, <>
22	digging canals, etc. And the film was also <shown senior=""> leaders</shown>
23	<participating event="" in="" the="">. So I recall that what I said</participating>
24	earlier was a mistake.
25	[10.27.15]

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 36

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

37

1	Q. And when you say that that film was about the leaders, were
2	the <roles> of the different leaders specified?</roles>
3	A. Sometimes I could hear a voice from the film. Sometimes it was
4	silent. And for that reason, I cannot recall that point <because></because>
5	leaders of the regime kept changing. For that reason, I cannot
б	recall their respective positions during the regime.
7	We, the people, we did not pay attention to that. We were afraid
8	<of and="" becoming="" being="" killed=""> victimised <of deprivation.<="" food="" td=""></of></of>
9	We were concerned about the prospect of being killed>, so we did
10	not pay attention to such matter.
11	Q. Mr. President, this will be my last question. I'm sorry that I
12	went on for much longer than I thought.
13	My last question, therefore, <to i've="" make="" sure="" td="" that="" understood<=""></to>
14	correctly>: you spontaneously decided to contact your lawyer to
15	provide supplementary information on Mr. Khieu Samphan sometime
16	in 2014 or 2015. Did I properly understand your testimony?
17	A. There was a staff from organization asking me to obtain a
18	lawyer. Then I contacted the lawyer so that I could file my
19	supplementary information.
20	[10.29.40]
21	MS. GUISSE:
22	I am done with my examination of the civil party, Mr. President,
23	and my colleague, Kong Sam Onn, says he has a few more questions
24	to put to the civil party.
25	MR. PRESIDENT:

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 37

- 1 Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you have the floor.
- 2 QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours and
- 4 everyone.
- 5 Q. And good morning, Mr. Civil Party. I'd like to ask you about
- 6 your presence at the Chbar Ampov market when you said that you
- 7 received some items from Khieu Samphan.
- 8 While you were standing in rows, can you tell the Chamber how
- 9 many rows there were?
- 10 2-TCCP-1063:
- 11 A. I did not count the number of rows because there were many 12 rows.
- 13 Q. Can you give an estimate whether there were more than 100 rows 14 or less than 100?
- 15 A. From my <estimation>, the rows were less than 100.
- 16 [10.31.04]
- 17 Q. Was this a bit lower than 100 or was it half of 100?
- 18 A. It was a bit lower <> than 100 <but it was not half of 100>.
- 19 Q. Yesterday, you testified that you were in a row that there
- 20 were about 20 people who were in front of you since you were not
- 21 in the front row. Am I correct?
- 22 A. Yes, that is correct.
- I estimated at the time. I did not count the exact number, but what I could say is that there were around 20 people in front of me. At the time, no one had time to count those people who were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

39

- 1 standing in front of us.
- 2 [10.32.19]
- 3 Q. Thank you.

4 Could you clarify for the Chamber while you were standing, were 5 you in the middle row or were you at the corner? Where was your 6 position of your standing?

A. Mr. President, <it is hard to answer your question.> I cannot tell you exactly how far I was from the front. If I say it was 15 metres away from the front row, <it could be wrong and it becomes controversial just like the question of "half an hour, almost an hour, and over an hour."> I could not say that, or how long I was standing. I cannot also inform you, Mr. President.

Q. If you compare to those who were standing to the right and to your left, could you tell the Chamber, were you in the middle row or were you close to the right side or to the left side <in</p>

16 comparison to those estimated 100 rows>?

17 A. I was standing to the right, to the far right side<>.

18 Q. So if you talk about those who are standing to your right, how

19 many of them were standing to your right?

20 A. There were 10 rows <to my row, from left to right side.>

21 Q. Thank you. Yesterday, you indicated that Khieu Samphan

22 distributed stuff to the people <as a symbolised gesture.> He was

23 distributing stuff to 10 or 20 people at the time, then he left;

24 do you recall that you testified this point to the Chamber?

25 A. Yes, I did tell the Chamber about that <yesterday>.

40 1 [10.34.48]2 Q. Thank you. You also indicated that among the 20 people who 3 received stuff from Khieu Samphan, including you, as well; do you recall that? 4 A. Mr. President, yes, I, personally, received stuff from him. 5 б Q. Thank you. Were you in your row, at the time, while he was 7 distributing the stuff to people? 8 A. We were called out to go <forward, out of the queue. Accidentally,>my name was called and I went to receive, directly, 9 10 the items from him. Q. <How> were names called out, at the time, or was there a list 11 12 of names? 13 MR. PRESIDENT: 14 Please observe the microphone. You have to wait until the 15 activation of the microphone before you can speak. 16 [10.36.10]17 2-TCCP-1063: 18 A. My apology, Mr. President. While the stuff was distributed 19 <from row to row>, names were called out. One or two people 20 assisted him in distributing the stuff. My name was called out and I went to receive the stuff. I, at the time, did not recall 21 22 all the detail and what really happened, at the time, and I did 23 not recall how many people received the stuff from him. I was not 24 so interested in all the detail.

25 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:

41

1	Q. My doubt comes from the fact that Khieu Samphan<, through your
2	testimony,> had distributed the stuff to 10 or 20 people and you
3	stated that you were standing in the <> 20th row, <and td="" there="" were<=""></and>
4	many more rows.> So how could the stuff be distributed to you
5	<among 10="" 20="" or="" people=""> since you were standing in the <back></back></among>
б	row? There were people in front of you, in fact, at the time. You
7	stated that there was a working group; one or two people coming
8	to call you to receive the stuff; so how could you explain this
9	point?
10	2-TCCP-1063:
11	A. I did not know what happened at the time. <i did="" not="" pay<="" td=""></i>
12	attention.> They came to call me out of the row and to receive
13	the stuff. <i arrangement="" be="" did="" how="" know="" made.="" not="" the=""></i>
14	[10.38.10]
15	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
16	Thank you, Mr. President. I am done with my questionings, Mr.
17	President.
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	At the end, you are entitled to express your Victim Impact
20	Statement as a civil party. You indicate that you underwent the
21	sufferings and injury under the Democratic Kampuchea in the
22	period between 17 April 1975 up to 6 January 1979; you can now
23	express your sufferings, if any.
24	[10.38.53]

25 2-TCCP-1063:

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 41

42

Mr. President, I wish to inform the Chamber the suffering 1 2 inflicted on me<.> In 17 April 1975 <,> I was evacuated from 3 Svay Rieng. At the time, the Khmer Rouge troops entered Svay Rieng, they <chased and> forced people to go out of Svay Rieng. 4 They shouted at us, <brothers, sisters, mothers, fathers, to> go 5 out of the town; otherwise, you are all shot to death. б 7 After I had left my birthplace and reached a main road, I saw an old man who could not walk. That old man <was very old> could 8 9 hardly walk and they shouted at him, "Why you do like that? Why 10 you walk like that? You will be shot to death." <Shortly after this threat, that old man was shot to death and fell to the 11 12 ground in front of me.> I was so scared at the time. I was so 13 frightened <so I continued my journey forwards>. 14 [10.40.25]15 All people, including me, were in the same situation. They were 16 being evacuated. < We did not bring much> belongings with us, but 17 only some clothes and some rice, since we were forced to leave. 18 We were told that we would leave only for two or three days, then 19 we would be allowed to return home. <We> were told that we did 20 not need to bring with us <> our belongings. We were so scared 21 and we had to leave. Then we arrived at Ta Chey village where <we 22 were> allowed to take a rest for one month. <Shortly>, they 23 learned that my elder brother used to be a former Lon Nol 24 soldier. My elder brother was then taken for <re-education> at 25 Wat Krous (phonetic) <or> Krou (phonetic) pagoda. In fact, he was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

43

1	not sent for <re-education>; he was instead sent for execution.</re-education>
2	He disappeared ever since.
3	Half a month later, my father was taken away. He was taken for
4	also <re- education=""> at Wat Ta Chey. They said that my father was</re->
5	part of the <self-defence force="">. My father, in fact, was an</self-defence>
б	ordinary <peasant>, but he was <merely> given a rifle to protect</merely></peasant>
7	villagers <at rear="" the="">.</at>
8	[10.42.22]
9	Half a month later, they found out that I was once a former Lon
10	Nol soldier, but for only three months. After I got injured while
11	being a soldier, I was sent to the hospital and then I was
12	released out of the hospital and came back home and I, later on,
13	became a part of <the force="" self-defence="">.</the>
14	After they found out that I was a former Lon Nol soldier, I was
15	also sent for <re-education> at Ta Chey pagoda or Wat Ta Chey.</re-education>
16	<in even="" harder.="" i="" it="" not<="" of="" period="" re-education,="" td="" the="" was=""></in>
17	given enough to eat.> I was forced to work to carry dirt to build
18	dams <and dikes.=""> and on some occasions, <we assigned="" td="" to<="" were=""></we></and>
19	work in some villages. Two people, including me,> were made to
20	become the ox so that <we> could pull the oxcart which carried</we>
21	the woods from the forest. <> I underwent a very bad experience
22	and ordeal. Later on, in 1976
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Please hold on, Mr. Civil Party, we need to change the new DVD

25 because the old DVD runs out of space.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

44

- 1 (Technical problem)
- 2 [10.44.06]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:

4 You may now resume your suffering statement starting from the

- 5 period of 1976.
- 6 2-TCCP-1063:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President.

In June 1976, Angkar initiated a plan to evacuate people out of 8 9 the province, again, <in the evacuation, there were also> my 10 family, included my elder brother, sister <> my father, my mother, one of my sister and brother <, together, 5 people> were 11 12 evacuated from <Ta Chey village, Khpos> Chamlang (phonetic) <commune>, Svay Chrum district, Svay Rieng province. My wife and 13 I were not allowed to go with my siblings and parents. Angkar 14 15 told me and my wife that I did not need to go because I was 16 married. My father and mother were sent <before others> to Peam 17 Chor <district>, Prey Veng province to reside in that location. 18 My mother-in-law was also among the evacuees.

19 [10.45.36]

From the information that I learned from the survivor; I did not learn it myself, after two months there, they <investigated and found> that <> my elder sister's husband, was once a former soldier, so she was taken to Koh Dach to be executed. My <sister> disappeared ever since.

25 Later on, in 1977, I was evacuated for the second time. < In each

45

1 commune, > seventy people -- 70 families were evacuated<. Among 2 these, > 20 families were from Ta Chey. <We> were evacuated to 3 <Pursat and> Battambang. I left my house and I went on foot with my bare soles; <in that time, no one> had no shoes to wear. I 4 left my village and arrived at Kraol Kou; <we walked> about 25 5 kilometres per day>. I took one <night there>. <We were> allowed б 7 to rest there and the rain came down all day and night. < We could 8 not sleep because we> had no shelter to stay in. I had to take 9 shelter at the milling warehouse.

10 [10.47.21]

The next morning I was made to leave and take rest at Phum 11 12 Trabaek <village>. I was in a very bad situation. <> I had very 13 little rice <from a cooperative> and some clothes. When we 14 arrived at Kampong Trabaek, we were allowed to spend the night 15 there and the next morning, we were made to leave for Neak 16 Loeang. We spent seven days and nights <> at Neak Loeang. <We> 17 then left Neak Loeang <in the morning>; we went on foot. 18 We were allowed to take a rest at one location in order to wait 19 for the motorboats <or ships> to transport us to Phnom Penh. On 20 the sixth night, names were called to board a ferry <in mid night 21 at 12.00>. We left on a ferry and reached Chbar Ampov at around 9 22 or 10 <o'clock>. It is my estimate that we arrived at 9 or 10, or 23 1 or 2 <o'clock>, because we did not have clock to time.

24 [10.48.59]

25 We boarded the ferry at Neak Loeang's pier and we arrived at

46

1	Chbar Ampov at around 9 p.m. We were told to take rest for <>
2	three days, and it was <on day="" of="" our="" rest,="" the="" third=""> that I met</on>
3	with senior leaders Khieu Samphan and others who came to
4	distribute stuff to us. And speeches were made, at the time, as I
5	indicated for the Chamber <yesterday>. We were informed and</yesterday>
6	advised about some specific situation. I did not have time to
7	inform everything, Mr. President.
8	Then I boarded the train. I left by train at 1 p.m. and we
9	arrived at Prey Svay at around 12 a.m. or 1 a.m. the next day. We
10	were told to disembark the train when we arrived and we had to
11	walk with our bare foot. We had to walk five kilometres from that
12	train station.
13	There was a hill, when we arrived at a location, and the hill had
14	no trees on it. <at a="" day,="" it="" lot.="" rained="" that=""> It was a rainy</at>
15	season. There was rain all day long and all night. We had no
16	shelter to stay in, but we used our mats to prevent us from
17	soaking <and down="" sat="">. We had to wait until the morning came and</and>
18	at around 5 a.m. in the morning, there were cooperative <chiefs></chiefs>
19	from <various> cooperatives coming to receive us.</various>
20	[10.51.05]
21	It was at that location that we separated from one another<, the
22	evacuees from Svay Rieng province>. Although, we were from the
23	same village, two families or three families were put in one
24	cooperative; for example, I was put in Preaek Chik cooperative,
25	<snay (phonetic)="" commune.="" sampor=""> I was received by the head of</snay>

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 46

a cooperative in the morning and I was told to pack my 1 2 belongings. I was told to leave for my cooperative at night time. 3 It was so dark. I went on foot <along the railroads>. I went without shoes. <I stumbled on rubbles making my foot blistered.> 4 5 Young children were crying at the time. We were walked on stones. We were walking at night time. In fact, there was a clean and б 7 good dirt road, but we were made to walk on the railroad full of 8 rocks. We had our belongings with us: cooking pot, rice, and some 9 other belongings were put on the oxcarts. The oxcart had left 10 before us. For me, I left at around 6 a.m. and I arrived at Preaek Chik 11 12 cooperative at around 7 p.m. in the evening. I had no rice to eat 13 along the way <the whole day> since cooking pot and rice and 14 other belongings were already put on the ox cart and the ox carts 15 had already left before us. It was my ordeal at the time. I was 16 exhausted and when I arrived, I was starved. I had no food to 17 eat. <We were led by a head of the cooperative.>

18 [10.53.16]

When we arrived at Preaek Chik, we were given rice to eat and we had two full spoons of rice to eat. That is the truth that I am telling you, Mr. President. We ate cooked red rice and, later on, we were given a full <ladle> of rice, not two full <ladles> of rice. <A half month later, we were given just only one ladle of cooked rice.>

25 I worked at <Preaek Chik Cooperative or> Preaek Chik worksite.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

48

1 There were only five families. There had already -- five families 2 had already been at that worksite and five more families were added. < Together, there were 10 families. > I asked other why 3 there were few people working in the worksite. I was told that 4 5 some of them died because of starvation; they had no rice to eat. I became even more frightened and I thought that I would die one б 7 day because other people< who came before me> had passed away 8 already because they were starved. 9 I was made to work at that worksite. I was made to uproot the tree and clear the land and also farm the field. I was told to 10 farm a rice close to Veal <Srae Muoyroy> (phonetic); <> that was 11 12 the location close to a reservoir, but I do not know the names of 13 that reservoir. I was trying my best to work until I fell ill. [10.55.07]14 15 I would like to pause here about my experience and now, I'm 16 telling you about my parents. My parents were also evacuated out 17 of Prey Veng later on. They were further evacuated. In fact, we 18 were evacuated on the same occasion, but we were separated from 19 one another. 20 Information was cut off; we were not allowed to know. They were 21 evacuated to a cooperative; <Bak Chenhchien> Cooperative in 22 Pursat province. From the <survivor's account>, they, my parents, 23 <> stayed there for two or three months. Then my father had been 24 called out for execution. My siblings -- my <two> younger 25 <sisters were called from the children unit> called for execution

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

49

1	as well. My father, my mother, my younger brother, and my younger
2	sister, four of them, were executed <at bak="" chenhchien="">. <i felt<="" th=""></i></at>
3	shocked soon I learned about this.>
4	It was my suffering that I have lost my parents and my siblings.
5	I was so terrified. My elder brother, one of <among> them, <> was</among>
б	also killed in Prey Veng.
7	[10.56.44]
8	When I arrived at Preaek Chik, I fell ill and I was hospitalized,
9	Kochdei (phonetic) hospital. I <> stayed <at> Kochdei (phonetic)</at>
10	hospital for a half a month, then I was better and I was
11	<pre><discharged and="" returned="" to=""> my cooperative. From that time</discharged></pre>
12	onwards, since I was fatigued, I was made to take care of a
1 0	alextation as a form
13	plantation or a farm.
13	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were</over>
14	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were</over>
14 15	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that</over>
14 15 16	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that Vietnamese could seize us. We, together with the elder people</over>
14 15 16 17	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that Vietnamese could seize us. We, together with the elder people elderly and the sick people <and pregnant="" women="">, were sent to</and></over>
14 15 16 17 18	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that Vietnamese could seize us. We, together with the elder people elderly and the sick people <and pregnant="" women="">, were sent to Tracheak Chet (phonetic) forest. We were walked and we walked on</and></over>
14 15 16 17 18 19	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that Vietnamese could seize us. We, together with the elder people elderly and the sick people <and pregnant="" women="">, were sent to Tracheak Chet (phonetic) forest. We were walked and we walked on the road, which were full of sharp woods. We were walking from</and></over>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that Vietnamese could seize us. We, together with the elder people elderly and the sick people <and pregnant="" women="">, were sent to Tracheak Chet (phonetic) forest. We were walked and we walked on the road, which were full of sharp woods. We were walking from <9 in> the morning and we arrived at the cooperative of the</and></over>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I was there for <over> one month and the Vietnamese troop were approaching from Kaoh Kralor (phonetic). They were afraid that Vietnamese could seize us. We, together with the elder people elderly and the sick people <and pregnant="" women="">, were sent to Tracheak Chet (phonetic) forest. We were walked and we walked on the road, which were full of sharp woods. We were walking from <9 in> the morning and we arrived at the cooperative of the forest at around 4 p.m. The fatigue people were evacuated first,</and></over>

25 I underwent another hardship because I was still ill<>. I arrived

50

at Prey Tracheak Chet (phonetic), but I did not recall <the date> 1 2 when I arrived there. < We were > allowed to stay at Prey Tracheak 3 Chet (phonetic) for one or two months. There were aeroplanes at the time, <with loudspeakers and> 4 5 leaflets, telling us to get out of Prey Tracheak Chet (phonetic); otherwise, bombs will be dropped on the forest. The militiamen of б 7 the Khmer Rouge got the messages and they <immediately> forced us 8 to leave Prey Tracheak Chet (phonetic) for Phnum Koun Khla 9 (phonetic). 10 I, at the time, did not know all the location of Phnum Koun Khla 11 (phonetic), but I heard from other that we were being evacuated 12 to Phnum Koun Khla (phonetic). Some people were from the east. 13 Twenty families were from the east. They were with me. We were all together, at the time, from different cooperatives and also 14 15 from the east. 16 [10.59.26]17 We were further moved, at the time; we were further transferred, 18 but at the time, we did not dare to leave and we did not want to 19 go because we knew, at the time, that the Vietnamese troop were 20 approaching and we would die. We thought that we would die if the 21 Vietnamese troop were coming to our area. <Militiamen and 22 cooperative chiefs said, "you all have> wings <now, and you all 23 want> to fly <away from us>. <It appeared they understood the 24 situation and they did not force us to stay with them as well.> 25 So we <escaped from them and> returned to the <south> area at

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

51

- 1 Damnak Ron (phonetic).
- 2 [11.00.22]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:

4 I believe that is sufficient. In fact, our instruction to you was
5 to make a statement of harm and suffering and not about the
6 entire life experience through the regime.

7 And Mr. Civil Party, the Chamber is grateful of your presence and 8 the hearing of your testimony and your impact statement as a 9 civil party that you claim that you went through during the 10 Democratic Kampuchea is now concluded and your testimony may contribute to the ascertainment of the truth in this case. 11 12 You are no longer required to be present in this courtroom and 13 you may return to your residence or wherever you wish to go to 14 and we wish you all the very best. 15 Court officer, please work with WESU to arrange for Mr. Civil 16 Party to return to his residence or wherever he wishes to go to. 17 And next, the Chamber will hear a witness, 2-TCW-1069.

18 I notice that Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties is on her feet.

- 19 You have the floor.
- 20 [11.01.32]
- 21 MS. GUIRAUD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yesterday, we sent to the Chamber the question that the civil party wanted to put to Khieu Samphan through you, <the Chamber should have received an email> <with a guestion --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

52

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Well, then, I allow the civil party to put the questions through3 the Bench.
- 4 And Mr. Civil Party, do you have any questions to put to the 5 accused?
- 6 [11.02.00]
- 7 2-TCCP-1063:

8 Mr. President, I'd like to put the questions through you, the 9 President of the Chamber, to Mr. Khieu Samphan. Mr. Khieu Samphan 10 said that he did not know, nor did he see the killing or the 11 evacuation of people. That <was> his previous statement from what 12 I heard and I knew. So he denies about the evacuation and the 13 killing; is that true; is that what he denies?

14 MR. PRESIDENT:

15 Thank you. And the Chamber wishes to inform Mr. Civil Party that 16 in the substantive hearings in Case 002/02, from the beginning, 17 the two accused, that is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan confirm 18 that they exercise their rights to remain silent and not to 19 answer any questions by the parties or the Bench.

20 [11.03.09]

21 <The hearing> on 8 January 2015 <>, in response to the question,
22 Mr. Khieu Samphan reaffirmed his position to exercise the rights
23 to remain silent. And so far, the Chamber has not been informed
24 of any change in their status, that is, their exercise to remain
25 silent. And, according to the existing national and international

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

53

1	laws, the Chamber cannot compel the accused to answer questions.
2	Next, the Chamber will hear testimony of witness 2-TCW-1069;
3	however, it is now time for a short break. We'll take a break now
4	and resume at a quarter past 11.00.
5	The Court is now in recess.
6	(Court recesses from 1104H to 1118H)
7	MR. PRESIDENT:
8	Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
9	The Chamber now proceeds to hear testimony of 2-TCW-1069 and the
10	latest information that the Chamber received, this witness has
11	Mr. Chan Sambo as his duty counsel.
12	Court officer, please usher the witness and his duty counsel into
13	the courtroom.
14	(The witness enters the courtroom)
15	[11.19.12]
16	MR. KOPPE:
17	Mr. President, if if I may take the opportunity to raise the
18	following issue with regard to this witness: During my
19	preparation, yesterday, of this witness and, as a matter of fact,
20	also of TCW-1070, I came to realize that the breadth of knowledge
21	of this particular witness in relation to events in the East Zone
22	is quite extensive.
23	In the light of your earlier decision to plan two days for
24	TCW-1065 (sic), who testified a few weeks ago, I feel it is

25 important that we hear this witness longer than the projected one

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

54

- 1 day. So, my request would be, both, in relation to this witness,
- 2 but also in relation to TCW-1070 to plan at least one day and a
- 3 half, maybe even two days.
- 4 [11.20.28]
- 5 JUDGE FENZ:
- 6 Short comments by the parties and then we can make a decision
- 7 during the lunch break.
- 8 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 9 Your Honours, my guess is that the one day is sufficient.
- 10 Perhaps, we could see how it goes this morning, but I don't see a
- 11 particular need. On the other hand, we don't have an objection;
- 12 it doesn't hurt us other than slightly prolonging the trial.
- 13 MS. GUISSE:
- 14 No objection from the Khieu Samphan defence.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 And Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties?
- 17 [11.21.17]
- 18 MS. GUIRAUD:

19 Thank you, Mr. President. I do admit not to have heard the

- 20 beginning of my colleague's submission as I was <searching for
- 21 the French channel>. <So> I haven't understood the reasons for
- 22 the request for additional time and we will defer to the
- 23 discretion of the Chamber.
- We have seen the decision of the Supreme Court Chamber in which a number of theories of the Defence regarding the rebellion <,and

1	the rift, I apologize that those words came to me in English,
2	were> largely set aside by the Supreme Court Chamber. I believe
3	that, of course, the Chamber will be able to consider that when
4	it considers whether to grant the Nuon Chea defence's request or
5	not.
6	<from decision,="" from="" request="" the="" this="" understood="" we="" what=""> of the</from>
7	Nuon Chea team <is much="" very="">adversely affected by that decision,</is>
8	but we will defer to the Chamber's discretion.
9	[11.22.32]
10	MR. PRESIDENT:
11	Thank you and the Chamber will consider all the opinions
12	addressed by the parties before we make our decision on <times></times>
13	allotted to this witness and we will deliberate this matter after
14	the lunch break.
15	QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Witness, what is your name?
17	MR. SIN OENG:
18	A. My name is Sin Oeng.
19	Q. Thank you, Mr.Sin Oeng. And when were you born?
20	A. I was born on the 8th of probably March of 1957.
21	Q. Thank you. And where you born?
22	A. I was born in Sangkom village, Kokir Saom commune, current
23	Svay Teab district, Svay Rieng province.
24	Q. And where is your present address?
25	A. I still live in the same native village, that is, Sangkom

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

56

- 1 village in Svay Rieng province.
- 2 [11.24.10]
- 3 Q. What is your current occupation?
- 4 A. I am a rice farmer.
- 5 Q. What are the names of your parents?

6 A. My father is Yos Sari; he is deceased and my mother is alive

- 7 and her name is Sin Pen.
- 8 Q. Is your mother's name Sin Pen or Sin Pet?
- 9 A. Her name is Sin Pen -- Sin Pen.
- 10 Q. What is the name of your wife and how many children do you
- 11 have?

12 And please observe the microphone before you speak; you should 13 only speak after you see a red light on the tip of the microphone 14 so your voice would go through the interpretation system and to 15 the parties. Your response will be interpreted into English and 16 French, respectively, since the three languages are the official 17 languages of the Court.

18 A. My wife is Long Samath and we have five children.

19 [11.26.10]

Q. Thank you, Mr. Sin Oeng. The greffier made an oral report this morning, that to your best knowledge, you have no relationship by blood or by law to any of the two accused, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan or any other civil parties admitted in this case; is the report accurate?

25 A. Yes, the report is accurate. I am not related to any of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

two accused.

1

57

2 Q. Have you taken an oath before the Iron Club Statue located to 3 the east of this courtroom before your appearance? A. I have already taken an oath. 4 [11.27.09]5 Q. Thank you. And the Chamber now would like to inform you of б 7 your rights and obligations as a witness. Mr. Sin Oeng, 8 regarding your rights, as a witness in the proceedings before the 9 Chamber, you may refuse to respond to any question or to make any 10 comments which may incriminate you. That is your right against self-incrimination. 11 12 Your obligations: Mr. Sin Oeng, as a witness in the proceedings 13 before the Chamber, you must respond to any questions by the 14 Bench or relevant parties except where your response or comments 15 to those questions might incriminate you as the Chamber has just 16 informed you of your right as a witness. <As a witness,> you must 17 tell the truth that you have known, heard, seen, remember, 18 experienced, or observed directly about an event or occurrence 19 relevant to the questions that the Bench or parties pose to you. 20 Mr. Witness, have you been interviewed by investigators of the 21 Office of the Co-Investigating Judges of this Court? 22 A. I have never been here. There were people who came to 23 interview me at my house, but I have never been in this place. 24 [11.28.45]

25 MR. PRESIDENT:

1	Thank you. And you are now assisted by a duty counsel through
2	WESU, that is, Mr. Chan Sambo, and he is now sitting beside you
3	to provide you consultation if you think that the questions put
4	to you may incriminate you.
5	Due to a short period of time, that is, we only have a few
б	minutes left before the lunch break, let we have a break now and
7	resume at 1.30 this afternoon.
8	Court officer, please assist the witness at the waiting room
9	reserved for witnesses during the break time and invite him, as
10	well as his duty counsel, back into the courtroom at 1.30 this
11	afternoon.
12	Security personnel, you are instructed to take Khieu Samphan to
13	the waiting room downstairs and have him returned to attend the
14	proceedings this afternoon before 1.30.
15	The Court stands in recess.
16	(Court recesses from 1129H to 1331]
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
19	Before the Chamber gives the floor to the defence team for Mr.
20	Nuon Chea to put questions to the witness, the Chamber is now
21	issue an oral ruling in relation to 2-TCW-920.
22	[13.32.16]
23	The Chamber notes that the Co-Prosecutors informed it of the
24	existent of a prior DC-Cam statement of 2-TCW-920 on case file
25	004. Considering that this is a public document and in order to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	avoid multiple requests to the International Co-Investigating
2	Judge for disclosure, the Chamber obtained the document directly
3	from DC-Cam and provided it to the parties in advance of the
4	testimony of the witness. Recalling the practice of the Chamber
5	to admit prior statements of testifying witnesses, the Chamber
6	now admits the DC-Cam statement of $2-TCW-920$ and accords it
7	document number <e3 10762="">.</e3>
8	And the defence team for Mr. Koppe<, in the morning,> requested
9	the additional time to put question to this witness and now, the
10	Chamber decides the combined time for the concerned parties. So
11	the total time for all parties is one day and a half, three
12	sessions <> are given to the defence teams <> and three sessions
13	are given to the Co-Prosecutor and Lead Co-Lawyers for civil
14	parties.
15	And now the floor is given to the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea
16	to put questions before the parties. You may now proceed.
17	[13.34.21]
18	QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
19	Thank you, Mr. President.
20	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. I'm the international counsel for
21	Nuon Chea and I will be asking you some questions this afternoon.
22	Let me start by asking you a few questions about what you did
23	between 1975 and 1979. Can you describe that to the Chamber?
24	Where were you as of 17 April '75 and what did you do?
25	MR. SIN OENG:

1	A. From 1975 to 1979, I believe that this is the question I
2	was part of a mobile unit carrying dirt and after 1975 I remained
3	working in that mobile unit up until 1976.
4	Following <> 1976, I was taken by So Phim's group to a zone.
5	When I was placed in a zone, first I was made to plant vegetables
б	and I was <trained in="" military="" rules.=""> I was tasked with</trained>
7	planting the rice as well.
8	At night time, I was told to be a guard and when the older guards
9	were removed and sent away, I was assigned to be a guard for So
10	Phim and I would accompany him wherever he went and at night time
11	I took <one-hour> shifts with others securing the protection for</one-hour>
12	him, So Phim.
13	[13.37.02]
14	Q. Thank you for that comprehensive answer, Mr. Witness.
15	Just one or two follow-up questions: Is it correct that in
16	respect of your position working for So Phim, you received
17	military training and you were trained for several months; is
18	that correct?
19	A. Yes, I was <> trained for a few months, but the training did
20	not happen on a regular basis. Sometimes I missed the training
21	sessions.
22	Q. And one other follow-up question, Mr. Witness. You gave a
23	lengthy statement to interviewers from an organization called
24	DC-Cam and your statement is laid down in document E3/10716 and
25	on English ERN 01353342 and Khmer 01340556.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

- Mr. President, because of time issues, we were not able to do the 1 2 French ERN, so my apologies for this. 3 Mr. Witness, you said you were working at a defence office. Is that correct? 4 A. That is correct. I worked at a defence office of the zone. 5 [13.39.42] б 7 Q. And how many colleagues did you have in that Defence office? A. All of them were around, 60, including male and female, <young 8 9 and old> but we did not work together. In the morning, we would 10 be divided and assigned to work in various locations. 11 Q. Can you tell us who it was that at the time was the defence 12 unit chairperson? 13 A. It was Prak <Choeuk> (phonetic). He was the chief of the quard unit. 14 15 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. 16 Now, let me turn to the person that you just mentioned already, 17 So Phim. Is it correct that you were related to So Phim and, if 18 yes, can you tell us please how you were related? 19 A. My deceased grandmother was related to him. My deceased 20 grandmother <may have been> his cousin, so I was a distant 21 relative. I <therefore> did not know clearly about the family 22 relation with him, but my mother told me that my deceased 23 grandmother was related to him. 24 [13.41.27]
- 25 Q. And at the time in 1976 when So Phim asked you to become a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	member of his defence unit, did So Phim know that you were
2	related to him?
3	A. He knew that I was the child of someone related to him. I was
4	quite young at the time. <so,> I was not sure at the time how I</so,>
5	was related to him. The elder people would know clearly.
6	Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness.
7	MR. KOPPE:
8	Mr. President, I would like to show one of three photos that I
9	have assembled to the witness. With your leave, I would like to
10	start with showing the witness photograph E3/3259. There is one
11	ERN only at P, as in "P" from photo, 004166559.
12	I have a copy for the witness and I can also, with your leave,
13	put it on the screen.
14	MR. PRESIDENT:
15	Yes, you can proceed.
16	(Short pause)
17	[13.43.42]
18	BY MR. KOPPE:
19	Q. Mr. Witness, do you recognize anyone in this photo?
20	MR. SIN OENG:
21	A. I recognize one individual. He is So Phim. He looked young in
22	this photo and when I was <not> assigned to work with him <yet.< td=""></yet.<></not>
23	When I was with him>, he already had grey hair and was older
24	compared to this picture. <that before="" i="" photo="" taken="" td="" to<="" was="" went=""></that>
25	live with him.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

- As for others, I could not see <their faces clearly>, and I do 1 2 not recognize them. 3 Q. Mr. Witness, are you referring to the third person on the left? 4 MR. PRESIDENT: 5 б Please look at the photo on the screen and there is a red circle 7 around one face. [13.45.07]8 MR. SIN OENG: 9 10 A. From the left, the third, the man standing-- the third man is So Phim, the one in the red circle. 11 12 BY MR. KOPPE: 13 Q. Mr. Witness, do you recognize the person who is immediately 14 standing on the left of him, the second person on the left, a
- 15 person who is smiling?
- 16 MR. SIN OENG:
- 17 A. It is not clear to me, the picture. I do not recognize him. I
- 18 do not know who he is.
- 19 Q. Well, you do know him and we will be speaking quite
- 20 extensively about him later today. That is Nuon Chea. Do you
- 21 recognize Nuon Chea?
- A. When <Nuon Chea> made a visit to the place where I was, I, atthe time, did not recognize him well and did not know him well.
- 24 <When I was assigned to guard,> I asked elder people about the
- 25 delegation to that location and I was told that they were senior

64 people; namely, Nuon Chea and Pol Pot. I did not know them well 1 2 at the time. I was told by others who they were. 3 I was an outsider guard so I, at the time, did not know specifically who they were but I was told and I tried to 4 recognize their faces. 5 [13.47.13]б 7 Q. Well, let me follow up, Mr. Witness. Let me follow up about 8 Nuon Chea. 9 In your DC-Cam interview, E3/10716 on English, ERN 01353347; and 10 Khmer, 01340559; you said the following about Nuon Chea. Let me 11 read it back to you: 12 "Back then, did you know Ta Nuon Chea well?" 13 And then you answer: "Nuon Chea came to sleep at my office location three to four times. Of course I knew him." 14 15 "At which office did he sleep?" 16 "He slept in Suong, the defence office." 17 "He slept at the defence office in Suong three to four times?" 18 "Yes. Nuon Chea came pretty often. Nuon Chea, Son Sen and Thiounn 19 Thioeunn and the like came pretty often." 20 Is that something you remember saying one year ago, one year and a half ago to the interviewers of DC-Cam? 21 22 [13.48.40]23 A. I may forget some information. I, at the time, did not know 24 them clearly. I could not recognize them well since I was told by 25 others. <> I can <hardly> recognize <them, but I cannot

65

1	constantly recognise them like what I see their faces daily>.
2	It happened 30, 40 years ago. I may forget some information <,>
3	all I knew back then was that individual was Nuon Chea and that
4	the other individual was Son Sen, for example. And, I, from that
5	time onwards, tried to recognize their faces but when I look at a
6	photo it appears that they don't look very similar to those I saw
7	back then.
8	[13.49.44]
9	Q. Well, let me move then to a second photo that I would like to
10	show you, Mr. Witness. Maybe that photo is more clear to you.
11	There is also another person I would like you to identify, if
12	possible, at all.
13	Mr. President, E3/3250 I will be referring to; that is ERN
14	P00416551. And with your leave, we can also put this photo on the
15	screen.
16	MR. PRESIDENT:
17	Yes, please.
18	JUDGE FENZ:
19	Just one general remark because I think it happened a bit early
20	the last time. The red circle should only come when after an open
21	question has been asked. It came a bit early the last time.
22	[13.50.54]
23	BY MR. KOPPE:
24	Yes. No problem.

25 Q. Mr. Witness, I will assist you a little bit here. This is a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

66

group of high-ranking CPK leaders; it's at Pochentong Airport. 1 2 And let me go from right to left. The person on the right with a 3 krama on, that's Pol Pot. Right next to him is Nuon Chea. Right next to him is So Phim. Then we see Ieng Sary. Then we see Vorn 4 Vet. Then we see Koy Thuon. And then we come to a little man, a 5 relatively little man on the left. б 7 MR. PRESIDENT: 8 Please hold on. And Judge Lavergne, you may have the floor now. 9 10 [13.52.03]

11 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

12 Counsel Koppe, I don't understand very well the good in

13 indicating all of the identities of the people who are in this

14 photo. Are you testifying yourself or would you like for the

15 witness to testify?

16 It seems to me that if you want the witness to testify it would

17 be good to let him take the floor and to ask him who <these

18 people are>. We are <not> here to listen to you.

19 BY MR. KOPPE:

I will only be seeking his evidence in relation to the person on the left. The rest, that is very obvious to us. So, I don't need him to identify those people. I'm just assisting him a bit.
Q. So Mr. Witness, my interest is on -- is about the little,

24 relatively little person on the left with a krama on. Is that

25 someone that you recognize?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

	67
1	MR. SIN OENG:
2	A. I seem not to recognize him seeing this photo. Perhaps I may
3	recognize him if I can see him directly, physically.
4	[13.53.28]
5	Q. Well, that won't be possible anymore, I'm afraid.
б	Do you know someone named Phuong P-H-U-O-N-G, chief of the rubber
7	plantations, member of the Central Committee and also the number
8	two of the East Zone, Phuong?
9	A. I do not know Phuong. I have never seen this person, although
10	I encounter him now. I do not know him because no one told me at
11	the time about him.
12	Q. That's no problem. Thank you, Mr. Witness.
13	Mr. President, with your leave, I would like to show my last
14	photo, third and last photo to the witness and ask him whether he
15	is in a position to recognize this person.
16	I will show on the screen and a copy of a still from a
17	documentary, a documentary that I have shown earlier to other
18	East Zone witnesses. It's film E3/3015R and it's the person that
19	one can see at 1.53.
20	[13.55.18]
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Yes, you may proceed.
23	JUDGE FENZ:
24	Do we get it on the screen?
25	MR. KOPPE:

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 67

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

68

- 1 Yes, it's going to be on the screen.
- 2 (Short pause)
- 3 [13.56.02]
- 4 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Q. Mr. Witness, do you know him?
- 6 MR. SIN OENG:

7 A. It appears that I do not know him. I seem not to know this 8 person. That is the photo back then and the person looked very 9 different from the time. <> I may recognize his face but I do not 10 know where he <lived> and what he did back then. I never stayed 11 with him and I may have met him for a brief moment so I could not 12 recognize who he was.

13 [13.57.24]

Q. Could it be that this person is someone that you testified about extensively in your DC-Cam statement, a person named Heng Samrin?

A. <On the other hand,> he looks very young in this photo. He is now old compared to the time. He was<rather> young in the past when I met him. He looked quite older than this person in the picture. Since I happened to see him once in a while, I could not identify who he is in this photo.

I saw him a long time ago. I cannot recognize and I could not have a clear memory of him.

24 If you do not tell me the name, I cannot say who he is.

25 JUDGE FENZ:

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages. Page 68

69

- 1 May I just ask a question for clarification?
- 2 Are you saying this is not him or are you saying "I don't know if
- 3 this is him", him being Heng Samrin?
- 4 [13.59.07]
- 5 MR. SIN OENG:
- 6 In this photo, I do not know who he is, and if I am told that
- 7 that is he, the name the counsel mentioned a while ago, I can say
- 8 that, yes, he is <Heng Samrin>.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The question is different, Mr. Witness. Regarding the photo, you
- 11 see it now. Is that individual Heng Samrin? You stated already
- 12 that you did not know who he is in the photo and then the
- 13 question was put to you one more time whether or not he is Heng
- 14 Samrin. And now is he Heng Samrin or not?
- 15 You cannot <> give an unclear answer like this. You can say
- 16 whether if this individual is Heng Samrin or not. That is the
- 17 short answer from you.
- 18 [14.00.17]
- 19 MR. SIN OENG:

20 My apology. I am not sure about the person in this photo whether 21 he is Heng Samrin or not, since I cannot recognize the person 22 clearly <in that photo>. It could be Heng Samrin or it could be 23 somebody else.

- 24 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 25 Q. That's fine, Mr. Witness. But now we're on the subject, in
Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

25

[14.03.40]

70 your DC-Cam statement you talked of several different places 1 2 about Heng Samrin. And you said that he was the Division 4 3 commander, that his division was stationed at Memot and that he 4 was a member of the East Zone general staff. 5 Is that correct? Did you say that to DC-Cam? MR. SIN OENG: б 7 A. Yes, I can recall that they asked me those questions and I 8 made those responses about him that he was in charge of a 9 division in Memot. That is all I can say. 10 [14.01.43]11 Q. I will come back to him, Mr. Witness, a bit later. 12 Let me now move to So Phim. You mentioned a few family members of 13 So Phim and I'm interested in what you said to DC-Cam about them. 14 Let's start with So Phim's wife, Yeay Kirou. What can you tell us 15 about So Phim's wife, Yeay Kirou? 16 A. I can recall Yeay Kirou because I was there for over 10 days. 17 So I can recognize his wife. <She often came to take a rest.> 18 Q. Mr. Witness, in your DC-Cam interview, English, ERN 01353324; 19 Khmer, 01340545; you said that, Yeay Kirou and So Phim had 20 different offices. Yeay Kirou was at an agricultural worksite and 21 Ta So Phim was at the zone office. There was a separate defence 22 office and he was at the defence office. 23 Is that correct? 24 A. Yes, that is correct.

1	Q. And is it also correct that she was the chairperson of the
2	agricultural worksite in the East Zone?
3	A. I saw Yeay Kirou at the agricultural office. She was always
4	there so I could make my conclusion that she was in charge of the
5	agricultural worksite, and it seems that everybody respected her
б	<in period="" that="">. And it was her who ordered people to do this</in>
7	thing or that thing, so she <must been="" have=""> the chief.</must>
8	Q. Is it correct that Yeay Kirou was related to Ta Chea Sim?
9	A. Whether she was related to Ta Chea Sim or not, I am not sure.
10	What I knew is that they were from the same village but I am not
11	sure whether they were related or not. I heard people <said> that</said>
12	Chea Sim and her came from the same village. When the journalists
13	asked me about that, I said the same thing that they came from
14	the same location.
15	Q. Following up on Ta Chea Sim, did you see Chea Sim often in the
16	period between '75 and 25 May 1978?
17	A. Yes. I met him three times. I went to Stoung as a guard of Ta
18	So Phim and at Stoung, there was a newly-built house. It was
19	quite small. That is all.
20	[14.06.48]
21	Q. You said three times. That's something you also said in your
22	DC-Cam statement. You also said that you went very often to Ta
23	Chea Sim's house.
24	In your DC-Cam statement you also refer to So Phim's visits to Ta
25	Chea Sim. You said that that is on ERN 01353319 in English and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

72

1 Khmer 01340542 -- that So Phim went often to visit Ta Chea Sim 2 very often. Is that correct? 3 A. Yes, that is the statement that I made. Q. Can you tell us how often So Phim and Chea Sim met and if --4 5 and where did they meet? A. They met at the house that I just described at Stoung. б 7 MR. PRESIDENT: Please hold on. I could not hear the interpretation. 8 9 (Short pause) 10 [14.08.45]MR. PRESIDENT: 11 12 Witness, please respond to the last question if you still recall 13 it. 14 MR. SIN OENG: A. He went to that house and sometime Ta <Chea Sim> also came to 15 16 the guard office, but I did not know much about the nature of his 17 visit and sometimes they discussed among themselves in that 18 office, although I did not know the content of their discussions 19 because I was outside. 20 BY MR. KOPPE: 21 Q. You answered my follow-up question, Mr. Witness, but one last 22 question about Chea Sim. 23 You told the DC-Cam investigators that he was the district 24 governor of Ponhea Kraek; is that correct? 25 MR. SIN OENG:

73

1 A. Yes, that is correct. He was <the governor of> Ponhea Kraek 2 <district>. 3 [14.10.00]Q. Did you ever have any affairs to deal with Chea Sim or was it 4 5 only that you saw Chea Sim when you were with your boss, So Phim? A. I was not that close to Chea Sim and the reason I saw him, б 7 because I was with Ta So Phim because the two met. And sometimes So Phim visited him and I would escort Ta So Phim to go there. 8 9 That's how I met him. 10 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Now, let me move to the children of So 11 Phim. 12 Do you remember the names of So Phim's children? A. I can recall the names. I recall their names but I think they 13 are all dead. One was Nat. The second was Si. The third was 14 15 Kadev. Fourth was Khuch (phonetic) and at the time <Khuch 16 (phonetic) > was about my age. And I recalled that he had two sons 17 and two daughters. 18 Q. Very briefly about the children, is it correct that Nat was 19 the chairperson of the P-2 hospital? 20 A. Yes, at the time he was the chairman of the P-2 hospital at Ou 21 Reang Ov. 22 [14.12.27]23 Q. You mentioned Si. Do you know to whom Si was married? 24 A. I did not see the person but their siblings < such as Khuch 25 (phonetic) > spoke about Si to me. Because Si got married before I

1	arrived, that is, for up to 10 days before I arrived and Si left.	
2	Si, after the marriage, <> went to live with her husband so I	
3	did not see her, but her siblings spoke of her.	
4	Q. Was she married to the son of the Northwest Zone chief, Ros	
5	Nhim?	
6	A. Si got married <to> the son of <> the Northwest Zone</to>	
7	Committee. Other people in the office said that Ros Nhim was the	
8	chief of the Northwest Zone who came to visit his in-law. <this< th=""></this<>	
9	was what the people in the office told me>.	
10	Q. You didn't remember during the interview the name of Ros	
11	Nhim's son, but does the name Cheal ring a bell?	
12	A. The name Cheal does not sound familiar to me.	
13	[14.14.36]	
14	Q. You said in the DC-Cam interview that Si and Ros Nhim's son,	
15	who is called Cheal, or Chhnang, that they got married in 1976.	
16	Is that something that you recall saying?	
17	A. They got married that year because people who came to attend	
18	the meetings, <came from="" my="" village,=""> including some of my</came>	
19	relatives, my cousin. And that's all I can recall.	
20	And she is still living although her husband passed away. That is	
21	Prak Choeuk (phonetic) who was the chief of the guard unit and	
22	his wife is still living today.	
23	Q. Are you saying that Si is still living or are you may be	
24	confused with another daughter of So Phim, who is indeed still	
25	living and is named Kadev or Kadeu (phonetic), the person that	

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

75

- 1 you just mentioned?
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Witness, please hold on.
- 4 And International Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 5 [14.16.12]
- 6 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 7 I just suggest that counsel ask questions rather than testifying.
- 8 He is testifying to facts about who is alive or not.
- 9 JUDGE FENZ:
- 10 Or at least give us the reference for the names you are putting
- 11 to her.
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- 13 I am never testifying. I am just merely repeating what I read in
- 14 the case file.
- 15 I have a reference for Kadev being still alive in the DC-Cam
- 16 statement of 2-TCW-1070.
- 17 I only have the English ERN so far and, Mr. President, that is
- 18 01354173 (sic).
- 19 Question: "What is the child's name?"
- 20 "The name is Kadev."
- 21 "Is Kadev still alive?"
- 22 "Yes, still alive."

23 "Where?"

- 24 "Over in Phnum Srok."
- 25 [14.17.17]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	In addition, we requested a while ago in one of our requests to	
2	have admitted into evidence an interview she gave to a Khmer	
3	newspaper called "Rasmei Kampuchea", I say it by heart. That	
4	request was refused. So, very recently, she gave an interview,	
5	which is, of course, also a sign that she is still alive.	
б	Would you like me to continue?	
7	[14.17.43]	
8	BY MR. KOPPE:	
9	Q. So Mr. Witness, are you maybe mixing up Si with Kadev who is	
10	still alive?	
11	A. I do not know about that. I only heard of the name Kadev, but	
12	Kadev disappeared <up now="" to="">. <> I do not know whether she is</up>	
13	still alive today. But Si <was> a different person. She <was> the</was></was>	
14	daughter-in-law of Ros Nhim.	
15	But Kadev lived with her parents in Tuol Samraong, but later on,	
16	we separated and I did not know about her fate, whether she is	
17	still living or not. But just be sure that she and Ta Dev	
18	(phonetic) are two separate persons although they lived in Tuol	
19	Samraong but I did not know what happened to them later on.	
20	MR. PRESIDENT:	
21	Please pronounce the name properly. Is it Kadev or Kadeu	
22	(phonetic) because when you do not pronounce it correctly, it is	
23	a bit crude.	
24	What is the actual name, per your recollection?	
25	[14.19.23]	

77

1	MR. SIN OENG:	
2	A. At the time the people spoke of Ta Dev (phonetic), Ta Dev	
3	(phonetic). Even the mother also called her Ta Dev (phonetic)	
4	because Yeay Kirou, the mother of Ta Dev (phonetic) referred to	
5	her as Ta Dev (phonetic) too. Maybe that is an alias. I do not	
6	know the native name of Ta Dev (phonetic).	
7	MR. PRESIDENT:	
8	Thank you.	
9	And International Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.	
10	MR. KOUMJIAN:	
11	Your Honour, my understanding of the witness' answer, which, I	
12	think, has caused a lot of confusion is he is being asked about	
13	the wedding. He said he heard about it from others. He said "she	
14	is still alive" and then he explained who's speaking of the wife	
15	of the head of the defence office, Ta Dev (phonetic), not Si. He	
16	was not talking about Si but Ta Dev (phonetic).	
17	[14.19.57]	
18	BY MR. KOPPE:	
19	Q. That's perfectly possible. Maybe it's my mistake, Mr. Witness.	
20	But I am mostly interested in Si, who was married to Cheal. You	
21	said in your DC-Cam statement that she got married in '76, and	
22	you said that it was raining. Is that something that you	
23	observed? Were you there or is it something that was told to you	
24	that it was raining?	
25	MR. SIN OENG:	

78

1	A. As I stated from the outset about the marriage.< The marriage	
2	happened before my arrival>, I only came 10 days after the	
3	marriage. However, at the time <> the sheds that they built for	
4	the marriage was not yet removed, but I did not attend the	
5	wedding. As for her, I only heard of her name and I did not see	
б	her in person.	
7	[14.21.36]	
8	Q. For reasons I think beyond your knowledge, it's quite	
9	important for us to establish the moment that Si and Cheal or	
10	Chhnang got married.	
11	Mr. President, I will be referring to a document E3/10665, which	
12	is a transcript of an interview that Robert Lemkin had with the	
13	witness called Toat Toeun; English, ERN 01151760; and Khmer, ERN	
14	01168447.	
15	Toat, who was an adopted son of Ros Nhim, said that, "The	
16	marriage was in August 1975."	
17	Could that be, Mr. Witness?	
18	A. As for the date of the marriage, I <did know="" not="">. As I said,</did>	
19	I came only after the marriage. <i knew="" nhim="" only="" ros="" td="" their<="" was=""></i>	
20	in-law.> So, I can only tell you what I know and I can't tell you	
21	what I do not know. And when I arrived, I heard people talking	
22	about the in-law relationship.	
23	[14.23.30]	
24	Q. Another witness who is coming to testify, 2-TCW-1070, told in	
25	an interview that and I will be referring to the ERN, Mr.	

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

79

- 1 President -- the marriage between Si and Cheal took place at
- 2 Rokar Khnaor. Is that something that you can recall?
- 3 That is E3/10717, English ERN 01354165 (sic).
- 4 Mr. Witness, the wedding was --
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Counsel, please repeat the document number and the ERN again.
- 7 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Yes, that's E3/10717 and I only have English ERN so far. That's
- 9 the document that became available in English yesterday. It's
- 10 English ERN 01354165 (sic).
- 11 Q. The wedding was held at Rokar Khnaor; is that something you 12 recall?
- 13 MR. SIN OENG:

A. I did not know the location of the wedding since I did not arrive on time. However, there was a big shed for wedding at <Tuol Samraong.> I arrived but I did not know <> how many couples there were. <That time, if there was a wedding, there had to be several couples. 10 days after my arrival,> actually I stayed at that shed<>.

- 20 [14.25.48]
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Could you locate the area that you saw that wedding shed?
- 23 MR. SIN OENG:
- 24 Your Honour, I am not sure where Tuol Samraong was located
- 25 geographically, whether it belongs to Ponhea Kraek <district> or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	Kamchay Meas (phonetic) <district>, because, probably, it was in</district>		
2	the junction of these two districts. In fact, it was a former		
3	forest and I did not know which village or commune it <was></was>		
4	located in.		
5	MR. PRESIDENT:		
б	<it appears="" confusing=""> because one person may refer that <></it>		
7	wedding took place in this village while others spoke about the		
8	wedding that took place in the commune. That's why you should be		
9	more specific.		
10	JUDGE FENZ:		
11	It appears he said he doesn't know.		
12	[14.27.00]		
13	BY MR. KOPPE:		
14	Yes, that's I will move on.		
15	Q. Mr. Witness, you weren't at the wedding. You don't know		
16	exactly where it was. However, is it correct that Si's		
17	father-in-law, Ros Nhim, came often to the East Zone to visit So		
18	Phim?		
19	A. I saw him come three times and he came by himself to the guard		
20	office. That's how I saw him in person.		
21	Q. Can you describe the times that Ros Nhim came to visit the		
22	father-in-law of his son and East Zone chief So Phim? Can you		
23	tell us about where exactly Ros Nhim would meet with So Phim?		
24	A. When he came, I was working outside and I knew that the in-law		
25	came to visit. So he parked his car and he entered the house and		

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1

81

2	of their discussion because I was working outside. <> That's		
3	because I am not sure about the content that's why I said that I		
4	did not know.		
5	[14.28.59]		
б	Q. Were Ros Nhim and So Phim by themselves when they spoke to		
7	each other?		
8	A. I did not know what they discussed because I was outside. What		
9	I can say is that he came with his car and I knew that's the car		
10	of So Phim's in-law. He parked his car at a location about 50		
11	metres away. Then he walked into the house of his in-law, and		
12	from then onward, I did not know what they discussed. That's all		
13	I know.		
14	Q. And did Ros Nhim and So Phim always meet in the same house?		
15	A. Yes, they met in the same house.		
16	Q. Can you give us an exact description of that house; where is		
17	it, how did it look like; what did it look like?		
18	A. The house was a traditional Khmer-type house. It was roof with		
19	tiles and the floor and walls were made from wood. And all the		
20	houses, as the guard office, were wooden houses. There was no		
21	concrete house.		
22	[14.30.56]		
23	Q. And was this house in Suong or was this somewhere else?		
24	A. It was in Suong and located to the north of the national road,		
25	300 or 200 metres away from the national road. I may say it was		

nobody went near him. For that reason, I did not know the content

1	300 metres away from the national road. It was not right in the
2	centre <to market="" suong="">. In fact, the house was located on the</to>
3	northwest side of the village. <back a="" few<="" td="" then,="" there="" were=""></back>
4	houses. But now, there are several houses situated there.>
5	Q. And I think I asked you before but just to be certain, Ros
б	Nhim was always by himself? He was never accompanied by any of
7	his commanders when he visited So Phim or any of his or any of
8	Northwest Zone cadres?
9	A. When I saw him, he was by himself. Sometimes he would come
10	with just a small boy. No guards were with him whenever he came.
11	Perhaps that young and small boy was his grandchild. He went to
12	the location for a visit. I can only tell you what I saw at the
13	time.
14	[14.32.55]
15	Q. And for how long would Ros Nhim stay in Suong? Was it just for
16	the day or was it for more than one day? Is that something that
17	you remember?
18	A. He did not spend time there for many hours. He was there for
19	<over> one hour or two hours the most because <he concerned="" it<="" td=""></he></over>
20	would be dark and the <> roads <were and="" difficult="" for="" his<="" long="" td=""></were>
21	return>. He <arrived afternoon="" and="" he="" in="" the=""> would <spent> one</spent></arrived>
22	hour or two hours the most.
23	Q. The witness who will be testifying in person, I believe that
24	you know quite well, Mr. Witness, but I will refer to him as
25	2-TCW-1070, confirmed indeed that Ta Nhim, "often came to visit".

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

83

- 1 But this particular witness also said that So Phim in his turn
- 2 went quite often to visit Ros Nhim in the Northwest Zone in
- 3 Battambang. Is that something that you know?
- 4 JUDGE FENZ:
- 5 The reference, please.
- 6 [14.34.34]
- 7 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Yes, E3/10716, 01353350 -- or let me see. I am reading from the
- 9 wrong document. Sorry. It's E3/10717, English, ERN 01354165.
- Q. Rather a lot of times he says that So Phim went to visit Ros
 Nhim in Battambang.
- 12 Mr. Witness, is that something that you knew that So Phim in his

13 turn often went to Battambang to meet with Ros Nhim?

- 14 MR. SIN OENG:
- 15 A. I never went with him to the northwest since the time I

16 started to be a guard for him. <He may have gone before my

17 arrival, but> I never went with him to the northwest.

- 18 [14.36.19]
- 19 Q. Let me read to you what this other witness told DC-Cam,
- 20 E3/10717, English, ERN 01354168:
- 21 The question is: "Did Ta Ros Nhim come to visit Ta So Phim more
- 22 often than the latter went to visit in Battambang? Which was more
- 23 often than the other?
- 24 And then he says: "Our side went more often."
- 25 And then a bit further, "Both Yeay Kirou and Ta So Phim went."

25

84

1	Yes, he confirms.	
2	Do you know or do you remember whether it was correct that So	
3	Phim went to the Northwest Zone more often than Ros Nhim came	
4	privately to the East Zone?	
5	A. When I became his guard, I never accompanied him to the	
б	northwest <or asked="" do="" i="" not="" so="" to="" was="">. It was perhaps when that</or>	
7	grandfather or Ta came and I was not at the office <because> I</because>	
8	was assigned to farm in the field <at khmuoch="" roka="">. Perhaps he</at>	
9	came to make a visit.	
10	As I said, we had a rotation duty. One guard would accompany our	
11	supervisor for one week and then the other would come to replace	
12	us. Perhaps it was not within my shift.	
13	And I, myself, never accompanied him to the northwest.	
14	[14.37.58]	
15	Q. That other witness	
16	MR. KOUMJIAN:	
17	Just a brief comment on that point: We don't we can be more	
18	precise than just that he went there often because the witness	
19	2-TCW-1070 on page 01354165 quantified it as "one or two times	
20	per year".	
21	And just while I am on my feet, I understood counsel to make a	
22	reference to test the notes of Lemkin from an interview that	
23	Lemkin says or the note say counsel says were Toat Toeun about	
24	the date of the wedding, and I thought I heard counsel say it was	
0.5	1075 Discourse all the descent that really deterior	

1975. But, actually, the witness that Lemkin interviews -- again

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

85

- 1 it's on the document counsel cited, E3/106665 at page 01156806.
- 2 He was asked, "Was he married in 1975?" The witness answered
- 3 "'75".
- 4 "What month?" "May, the month of -- no, it was January 1976."
- 5 [14.39.51]
- 6 MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Yes, but I read what Toat said and he talks about the marriage in
- 8 1975, August 1975.
- 9 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 10 Let's get the citation for that, please.
- 11 BY MR. KOPPE:
- Well, I just mentioned that. That's English, ERN 01151760; and Khmer is 01168447; and it is document E3/10665.
- Q. One other question, Mr. Witness, in relation to the visits that So Phim and Ros Nhim brought each other: The witness who will be testifying said to DC-Cam that they -- that So Phim and Ros Nhim, whenever they would meet each other made a joke when they were introducing themselves. Is that something that you
- 19 recall?
- 20 MR. SIN OENG:
- A. When in the meeting between So Phim and Ros Nhim, I was not encar them, I just saw them>, I did not hear their conversation. In fact, I was guarding at the outer compound. I was not close to them.
- 25 [14.41.30]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	Q. This upcoming witness says the following in E3/10717 at	
2	English, ERN 01354177: "So Phim would call Ros Nhim "A Siem", "A"	
3	as in contemptible and "Siem" as the equivalent for Thailand. And	
4	Ros Nhim in his turn would call So Phim jokingly, "A Yuon",	
5	Vietnamese.	
6	Is that something that you ever witnessed yourself?	
7	A. No. I never heard such words.	
8	Q. It's just a detail; It's not terribly important. But what is	
9	important, Mr. Witness, is the following and that's why I have	
10	been talking about these meetings for quite a while now. That	
11	same person who said that the marriage took place in August '75,	
12	Toeun Toat, Ros Nhim's adopted son, said in E3/10665 on page -	
13	English, ERN 01151766; and Khmer, 01168457 that when they were	
14	meeting each other and they were discussing they were, and I	
15	quote, "preparing armed forces".	
16	And from the rest of his testimony it is clear and I'll be	
17	happy to read other quotes but that they were in fact planning	
18	a rebellion against Pol Pot.	
19	Is that something that you ever heard later that that was the	
20	real content of the conversations between So Phim and Ros Nhim?	
21	[14.43.55]	
22	A. I will not tell the Court of what I have never heard in	
23	relation to their discussion. <i about="" learned="" rebellious<="" th="" the=""></i>	
24	movement in times we were chased and arrested for execution.> In	
25	fact, leaflets were dropped from the airplane <and message<="" th="" the=""></and>	

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

87

- 1 was that So Phim betrayed. He conspired with the Vietnamese>. I
- 2 was so scared at the time. I was afraid that I would be arrested.
- 3 Regarding the internal <discussion>, I did not know.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Thank you very much.
- 6 It is now break time and we will resume our hearing at 3 p.m.
- 7 Court officer, please assist the witness in the waiting room
- 8 during the break time and please invite him back together with
- 9 the duty counsel at 3 p.m.
- 10 The Court is now in recess.
- 11 (Court recesses from 1445H to 1503H)
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 14 Again, the floor is given to the defence counsel for Nuon Chea to
- 15 put questions to the witness.
- 16 [15.03.51]
- 17 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President.

Q. Just one brief follow-up question, Mr. Witness, in respect of Si. Maybe an English translation wasn't completely understood by me, but is it correct that you were -- that you said that Prak Choeuk's (phonetic) wife is still alive and that she was married

- 23 at the same time as Si, the daughter of So Phim?
- 24 MR. SIN OENG:
- 25 A. I do not know when she got married because I didn't see it.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

> 88 1 [15.04.58]2 Q. That's fine, Mr. Witness. 3 Talking about Prak Choeuk who was the chairperson of your defence unit, was he So Phim's nephew? 4 A. Prak Choeuk was a distant nephew, not a direct line nephew. 5 б Q. Do you know when Prak Choeuk was arrested and where he was 7 arrested? A. I do not recall when he was arrested, however, he was arrested 8 9 in Suong and, in fact, staff of our office was arrested there and 10 Prak Choeuk went there in order to resolve the matter. However, it turned out that he, himself, was arrested, but I cannot recall 11 12 the date of his arrest. It happened a long time ago. Q. If the Chamber's interested, document E3/2014 says that Prak 13 14 Choeuk was arrested on 26 May 1978; English, ERN 01305698. 15 Mr. Witness, one last question about Prak Choeuk. Is it correct 16 that So Phim trusted Prak Choeuk? Is that something that you said 17 to DC-Cam? 18 A. Yes, that is correct. 19 [15.07.30]20 Q. A question about the name "Prak". Was So Phim at one point in 21 time also called Prak but then not Prak Choeun but then Prak 22 Cham, C-H-A-M? 23 A. I heard other people say that initially So Phim's name was 24 Prak Cham. 25 Q. Did So Phim have a sister who was called Prak Chhun,

89

1	C-H-H-U-N?		
2	A. I am not sure about that name because she was old and only		
3	elder people would know about their native names. In the village,		
4	there were his elder siblings and one younger sibling and I only		
5	know these three people. Prak Chhun was chief of a cooperative in		
6	the district and another person was Prak Tith. I only know these		
7	two.		
8	[15.09.18]		
9	Q. The reason I'm asking about Prak Chhun is because you talked		
10	about her to the interviewers at DC-Cam on English, ERN 01353378		
11	and 79; and Khmer, 01340578 and 9. You said:		
12	"So Phim's elder sister was very strict. The people were very		
13	much mistreated by her." And you said that: "Even the district		
14	governor was afraid of that old woman."		
15	Is that something you said about So Phim's sister?		
16	A. Yes, that is correct.		
17	Q. And that she was in charge of a cooperative called Kokir Saom		
18	cooperative, K-O-K-I-R S-A-O-M?		
19	A. Yes, that is correct. The person was the chief of the Kokir		
20	Saom Cooperative.		
21	Q. Before I go to another brother of So Phim, the younger		
22	brother, Prak Tith, one question about, again, another brother of		
23	So Phim who was the district chief of Chhloung, Dul, D-U-L. Is		
24	that someone you knew?		
<u>م</u> ۲	A Three Dul Dul wares in fact Duck mith the second		

25 A. I know Dul. Dul <was>, in fact, Prak Tith. His previous name

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

-	-
\sim	\cap
9	U

- 1 was Dul or Ta Dul.
- 2 [15.11.46]
- 3 Q. Then that mystery is solved. Thank you very much for the
- 4 clarification.
- 5 And let me ask you some questions about Dul or Prak Tith. Is it 6 correct -- no, let me rephrase.
- 7 Did you say that Prak Tith was the chief of the district police
- 8 of Krouch Chhmar?
- 9 A. He was not chief <> of police, but he went to become chief of
- 10 Krouch Chhmar district and he was chief of that district.
- 11 Q. I might have confused that. Indeed, your DC-Cam statement --
- 12 let me refer to the particular page -- document E3/10716;
- 13 English, ERN 01353315; in Khmer, 01340539, where you speak about
- 14 So Phim's younger brother, Prak Tith alias Dul.
- 15 Indeed, you're saying that he was in charge of the Krouch Chhmar
- 16 district, but was he, after 1979, chairperson of the Svay Teab
- 17 district police?
- 18 A. Yes, he was chief of Svay Teab district police.
- 19 [15.14.10]
- 20 Q. So is it correct then that he managed to escape to Vietnam at
- 21 one point in time in May '78?
- 22 A. I did not know about what happened in 1978 because, at that
- 23 time, <we got separated, and> I was sent to S'ang Kaoh Thum
- 24 (phonetic), so everybody was separated.
- 25 Q. Do you know from when until when Prak Tith or Dul was the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	Krouch Chhmar district chief? When did he become that and when
2	did he stop being the chief of Krouch Chhmar district?
3	A. I know that he stopped it when the East Zone people were
4	arrested.
5	Q. So, to be precise, was he district chief all the way up until
6	May '78?
7	A. I cannot recall that detail because people fled and separated
8	when they started the arrests and I did not know <whereabouts he<="" th=""></whereabouts>
9	was.>
10	Q. I understand. As you might know, Krouch Chhmar was liberated
11	already, I believe, in 1970. Was Dul or Prak Tith Krouch Chhmar
12	district chief from the very beginning, from 1970, or did he
13	become district chief later?
14	A. I did not know when he started doing that work because I
15	arrived there in 1976 and I did not know as from when he began
16	that work.
17	[15.17.12]
18	Q. I understand, but when you arrived in '76, was he already
19	Krouch Chhmar district chief?
20	A. Yes, <he already="" did="">.</he>
21	Q. Do you know anything about events that took place in 1974,
22	September-October 1974, and also in 1975, events about a
23	rebellion of Cham forces and the subsequent crushing of the Cham
24	rebellion in places like Trea village? Do you know anything about
25	the crushing of the Cham rebellion in Krouch Chhmar?

1	A. No, I was not aware of that because I was not there in 1974.
2	Q. Let me read something to you from a book of an Australian
3	scholar and then I will ask you whether there's something that
4	you heard as well.
5	[15.18.52]
6	Mr. President, that is, Ben Kiernan's book, E3/1593; English, ERN
7	01150139; French, 00639033; and Khmer, 00637771.
8	A high-ranking post-'79 communist cadre, Hem Samin, H-E-M
9	S-A-M-I-N, is being interviewed by Kiernan and this what this
10	Australian scholar writes, and let me quote:
11	"Hem Samin, a Hanoi-trained communist, blames the Zone CPK
12	Secretary So Phim for the first repression of local Chams. It was
13	he who signed the orders for Phuong, P-H-U-O-N-G, to kill the
14	Chams in Trea in 1974. He was nasty." End of quote.
15	Phuong, we just discussed, the little person on that photo I
16	showed you. Do you know anything about this, maybe something that
17	you heard after 1979, that So Phim signed the orders for Phuong
18	to crush the East Zone Cham rebellion?
19	A. I did not hear or know about that because nobody told me
20	anything about that.
21	[15.21.13]
22	Q. That's no problem. Thank you, Mr. Witness.
23	One or two additional questions in relation to So Phim's brother,
24	younger brother, Dul or Prak Tith, when you mention him in your
25	DC-Cam statement, you mention him in connection with a person

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

- 1 name Mao Phok -- the English, ERN 01353315; Khmer, 01340539 --
- 2 Mao Phok, who was Mao Pok?
- 3 A. As for Mao Phok, I am not familiar but there is one person Mao
- 4 Pou (phonetic) who was at the staff office. I do not know about5 this Mao Phok.
- 6 Q. It's absolutely my mispronunciation, I apologize, Mr. Witness.
- 7 Maybe I can ask my colleague from the Khieu Samphan team,
- 8 national colleague, to read this, to read that name just to make
- 9 sure that we pronounce it correctly.
- 10 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 11 Thank you. And the name is Mao Phok. Thank you.
- 12 [15.22.55]
- 13 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 14 Q. You refer to him in your DC-Cam statement and this is what you
- 15 said when you talk about Dul or -- you said: "He was also
- 16 arrested but he fled into the forest. He fled into the forest
- 17 with Mao Phok."
- 18 Can you expand a little bit on that?
- 19 MR. SIN OENG:
- 20 A. I did not know when he fled because we were separated from one
- 21 another and we did not know which direction everyone went, so
- 22 nobody knew the details of that matter

 because I did not see>.
- 23 <We minded only our own survival.>
- 24 Q. Do you know whether Mao Phok was somehow in charge of
- 25 Battalion 09?

1	A. Yes, I knew that he was at that 09 <>. He was there <>.
2	Q. Do you know whether there were battles or armed clashes
3	between the forces from the Centre and Battalion 09?
4	A. I only heard about it after I fled. I heard people saying that
5	there were <lengthy> clashes between the 09 <> and the Centre</lengthy>
6	forces, but as I said at the time I also fled.
7	[15.25.15]
8	Q. Were you, yourself, involved in the armed clashes between the
9	East Zone forces and the Centre forces?
10	A. At the time, I was not involved, but I was arrested, then I
11	<pre>managed to flee <because also="" armed="" i="" was="">.<></because></pre>
12	Q. I will come back to that a bit later, Mr. Witness.
13	Let me finish my questions in relation to East Zone people,
14	people who were in charge of the East Zone.
15	We spoke briefly already about Heng Samrin who was a high-ranking
16	member of the East Zone military. Did you ever see any meetings
17	between So Phim and Heng Samrin?
18	A. I cannot recall the specific date but, yes, there was a
19	meeting in <prey provincial="" town="" veng="">. That's all I can say, but</prey>
20	I did not know the content of that discussion.
21	[15.27.02]
22	Q. Let me ask a general question. You, as a guard of So Phim, saw
23	many meetings between So Phim and other people. Were you ever at
24	any point in time present during the meetings that So Phim had
25	with any ranking East Zone cadre or any ranking leader from the

95

1	Centre? Were you ever present in the room? Were you ever able to
2	listen to the conversations that So Phim had?
3	A. When he attended any meeting, I, myself, was never present in
4	the meeting because I would stand outside to guard.
5	Q. And never in time did you accidentally hear what was being
6	said in the room? You were always outside, never hearing ever
7	what was discussed inside?
8	A. I never did because I was not inside and I always stayed
9	outside, so I could not hear anything.
10	[15.28.46]
11	Q. Heng Samrin had brothers at the time and I believe, Mr.
12	Witness, you often visited one of his brothers. Is that correct,
13	did you often visit the house of the Zone courier chairperson,
14	Heng Samkai?
15	A. Yes, I visited his house because his house and my office was
16	not far from each other. So I went to visit him and to visit <>
17	staff there and sometimes I went there because <near his="" house,<="" th=""></near>
18	some grandfathers played >musical instruments <so could="" i="" listen.<="" th=""></so>
19	I therefore frequently visited that house>.
20	Q. Do you remember ever having had any conversations with Heng
21	Samkai?
22	A. No, I did not, but I chit-chatted with his bodyguards. I don't
23	think I ever spoke to him in person but only chit-chatted with
24	the bodyguards of Heng Samkai .
25	Q. Is it correct that you also delivered letters from So Phim to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

96

- 1 Heng Samkai?
- 2 A. Yes, but it was <delivered to> the office staff, not <directly3 to> the chairperson.
- 4 [15.31.14]
- 5 Q. Do you know whether it is -- do you know what position Heng 6 Samkai had after 1979?

7 A. After 1979, he became the provincial governor of Svay Rieng. 8 Q. Do you know whether he was also a member of the Central 9 Committee of the People's Revolutionary Party of Kampuchea? 10 <A>. I did not know his function and position at the time. All I 11 knew is that he was the chief of zone messenger unit. 12 Q. Heng Samkai gave an interview to an American journalist who 13 was -- who has testified in another case as an expert, an interview in 1981 referred to in his book, "Brother Enemy", 14 15 E3/2376, English, ERN 00192440 -- and I will provide the other 16 ERNs shortly, Mr. President -- and in this interview Heng Samkai 17 said or told Chanda -- and I quote -- that: "It was impossible to 18 overthrow Pol Pot on our own. We had to seek Vietnamese help." 19 End of quote 20 Is that something that you ever heard, something similar that 21 Heng Samkai said, that there was a plan to overthrow Pol Pot, a 22 plan in the East Zone, and if that didn't work and Vietnamese

23 help was needed to effectuate that plan?

24 A. I have never heard of that.

25 [15.34.03]

97 Q. That's no problem, Mr. Witness. I'm just finishing the various 1 2 divisions or the military structure that you saw. 3 Heng Samrin was Division 4 Commander. His younger brother, Thal, T-H-A-L, was Commander of Division 2. Is that correct? 4 A. I do not know clearly. All I know is that Samdech Heng Samrin 5 was part of the Division 4. Regarding his younger brother, I do б 7 not know in detail what he did because he was in the front and I 8 was at the rear. 9 Q. The upcoming witness identifies him as Thal. You refer to 10 Division 2 commander as Ta Tat. That's what you say at ERN 11 01353341, in Khmer 01340556. Does that refresh your memory, Ta 12 Tat, or maybe you meant Ta Thal? [15.35.40]13 14 MR. PRESIDENT: The name is Khmer is Thal, not Tat<. It is> Thal. 15 16 MR. SIN OENG: 17 I, at the time, did not hear of that name. I will not tell the 18 Court, testify before the Court what I do not know. 19 BY MR. KOPPE: 20 Q. Of course, Mr. Witness. One other question about another East Zone division, Division 5. 21 22 You told the DC-Cam interviewer that chairperson of Division 5 23 was someone named Heng Kim. The upcoming witness is saying that 24 Heng Kim (phonetic) was not the family of Heng Samrin. Do you 25 know whether Heng Kim (phonetic) is also family of Heng Samrin or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

-	
	\sim
ч	×

- 1 is that something that you don't know?
- 2 MR. SIN OENG:
- 3 A. I do not know about the relation of that individual with
- 4 others.
- 5 [15.37.02]
- 6 Q. That's no problem.
- 7 Mr. Witness. Is it correct that there were four divisions in the
- 8 East Zone in 1978, Division 2, 3, 4 and 5?
- 9 A. There were five divisions in the east, but I do not know all
- 10 the divisional <commanders>, their names, I mean.
- 11 Q. I understand.
- 12 Heng Samrin gave an interview to Ben Kiernan, E3/1568 and on
- 13 English, ERN 06 00651894; Khmer, 00713971; and French,
- 14 00743368; he talks about the various East Zone divisions. He says
- 15 zone forces, three divisions of 8,000, 6,000 and 5,000, total
- 16 20,000, region up to two regiments, 15,000, District 2 companies
- 17 6,000 men.
- 18 Is that something that you are in a position to somehow confirm,
- 19 that the strength of the East Zone forces was at least 40,000
- 20 troops?
- 21 [15.39.25]
- A. I have no idea how many soldiers there were in the army. I wasnot so interested about the number of soldiers at the time.
- 24 <Since I didn't know, I cannot answer.>
- 25 Q. I understand.

1	Are you in a position to say what part of these East Zone forces
2	or how many East Zone troops were in armed conflict with Centre
3	forces at any point in time after 25 May 1978?
4	A. I do not know that very clearly. <i at="" quit="" that="" time.=""></i>
5	Q. One last question about the military structure in respect
б	relating to two names. Have you ever heard of someone called Keo
7	Samnang, K-E-O Samnang, S-A-M-N-A-N-G?
8	A. I did not hear of that name. What is not true will not be
9	testified here.
10	[15.41.14]
11	Q. That's correct, Mr. Witness. One last name, Pol Saroeun, who
12	is presently a two- or three-star, or maybe even a four-star
13	general in the Cambodian Armed Forces, Pol Saroeun, have you
14	heard of that name?
15	A. Again, I will tell the Court what I know, but not what I don't
16	know. Regarding the name, I did not hear of it and perhaps <in< th=""></in<>
17	that regime, names were often changed>.
18	Q. Let me move onto my next subject, Mr. Witness.
19	On a few occasions in your DC-Cam statement, you use the word
20	"coup d'état". Let me be specific and read the English, ERN
21	01353339; Khmer, 01340554. You're talking about Prak Choeuk, the
22	chairperson of the defence unit, and you say:
23	"Choeuk must have died with him, So Phim. As of dawn, he had not
24	yet come. On that day, they made something like a coup d'état.
25	They had been arresting for several days already, those arrested

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	Λ	Λ
1	υ	υ

- 1 being taken unaware."
- 2 [15.43.02]
- 3 JUDGE FENZ:
- 4 I guess your questions will hinge on the word "coup d'état". So
- 5 if this is the case, perhaps one should tell him the Khmer word
- 6 he used just in case there are various ways to interpret.
- 7 MR. KOPPE:
- 8 My national colleague is sick so he left.
- 9 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 10 I believe it's the same word according to my colleague; French.
- 11 MR. KOPPE:
- 12 Same word?
- 13 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 14 Yes.
- 15 [15.43.37]
- 16 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 17 That's easy.

18 Q. Let me just for completeness sake refer you to something that you said about a coup d'état on page - English, ERN 01353376; in 19 20 Khmer, 01340577. You were speaking about drinking habits of So 21 Phim. 22 The question is: "What kind of alcohol did So Phim like?" 23 And then you say: "Whenever that -- one time when things were 24 difficult, the coup d'état was being made and there had been a 25 number of arrests, I saw him [So Phim] drink for a number of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

101

1	days, almost a month, downing alcohol by himself, drinking
2	whatever there was to drink, finishing off a whole bottle by
3	himself as if he was in such difficulty he couldn't think
4	straight."
5	That's a very detailed statement in relation to So Phim in the
б	days of the coup d'état.
7	What exactly did you mean when you talked about coup d'état?
8	[15.45.22]
9	MR. SIN OENG:
10	A. I meant that there was only one party at the time, the
11	Communist Party of Democratic Kampuchea, and later on<, there
12	were some changes. The Centre went to> arrest <people in="" other<="" td=""></people>
13	zones> because of that I said there was a coup d'état and people
14	were killed arbitrarily.
15	I, myself, did not know the clear definition of coup d'état. As
16	long as there was a conflict within the unified government, it
17	was considered by me a coup d'état. <actually,> I did not know</actually,>
18	clearly the definition of <this word="">.</this>
19	Q. I understand, but who was it that attempted a coup d'état? Was
20	it the East Zone forces or was it, what many others from the East
21	Zone say, Pol Pot or Son Sen who staged a coup d'état?
22	A. Regarding the coup d'état, the Centre went to conduct the
23	arrests against the East. The Centre, <the from="" people="" td="" the<=""></the>
24	Southwest,> went to make the arrests <>the East. That is all I
25	know.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

- 1 [15.47.17]
- 2 JUDGE FENZ:
- 3 Can I just ask a question?
- 4 Witness, in your opinion, who makes the coup d'état, the Centre5 or somebody against the Centre?
- 6 MR. SIN OENG:
- 7 A. I was working there and the Centre went to make the arrest in 8 the east and the east at the time, people in the east at the 9 time, were not aware of the plan to arrest. <People disappeared 10 from various places.>
- 11 BY MR. KOPPE:
- Q. Let me move away from people like Heng Samrin or Chea Sim, who were saying that it was Pol Pot indeed who made -- who staged the coup d'état. Let me more go to your level in the hierarchy, Mr.
- 15 Witness, and let me refer to what the upcoming witness,
- 16 2-TCW-1070, told DC-Cam, English ERN -- that's E3/10717; English,
- 17 ERN 01354198. He said, and I quote:
- 18 "A Pot, the contemptible Pol Pot, made a coup d'état and was
- 19 killing people."
- 20 So he's saying that it was Pol Pot who staged the coup d'état.
- 21 Is this something that you thought was happening as well?
- 22 [15.49.07]
- 23 MR. SIN OENG:
- A. I think that I do not know very clearly who initiated the coupd'état. All I know is that the Centre <and> the Southwest <waged>

1	the arrests. <i arrests.<="" did="" exact="" know="" not="" of="" reason="" th="" the=""></i>
2	But> those who were arrested later on disappeared.
3	Q. Well, let me be a bit more specific, Mr. Witness. You gave a
4	very long statement about the events between Thursday 25 May '78
5	and Saturday 3 June '78, the day that So Phim committed suicide.
6	I don't have the time to go in all the details, but you said to
7	DC-Cam something interesting in relation to this collusion
8	between the east and the Centre; English, ERN 01353387; and
9	Khmer, 01340584.
10	It seems that you witnessed something that So Phim told Heng
11	Samrin and this is what happened according to you, and let me
12	read it to you:
13	"He [So Phim] met Ta Heng Samrin. Having met him, he stayed there
14	for several nights upon which he asked 'Ta Rin, however many you
15	have got left, gather them up and go into the forest to struggle.
16	We are to fight again, fighting Khmer again, the Pol Pot Khmer.'"
17	End of quote.
18	Mr. Witness, is that something you told DC-Cam and can you
19	explain the circumstances during which So Phim said this to Heng
20	Samrin?
21	[15.52.09]
22	A. It was almost to the end when we were separating from one
23	another. I heard about it, and we <said before="" goodbye="" we=""> left</said>
24	to different places.
25	Q. But is it something that you heard personally being So Phim's

104

1	guard? Or was it something that you heard from someone else? Can
2	you tell us, please?
3	A. I heard about it. I heard with my own ears at the time, so I'm
4	testifying about it now.
5	Q. And do you know what So Phim meant when he said to Ta Rin,
б	"Gather them up and go into the forest to struggle. We are to
7	fight again, fighting Khmer again, the Pol Pot Khmer."
8	What did So Phim, for instance, mean with "them"; "Gather them
9	up"? Who are "them"?
10	[15.53.50]
11	A. It is my understanding that divisional chiefs and the deputy
12	chiefs, <some of="" them=""> remained at the location. He told me about</some>
13	that when he went to Phnom Penh.
14	<he that="" told=""> soldiers were gathered up in order to go into the</he>
15	forest to initiate the resistance movement. This is what I heard
16	at the time. <he but="" can="" have="" i="" may="" more="" remember="" talked="" th="" that<=""></he>
17	particular phrase.> I went into the location to <collect th="" water<=""></collect>
18	bottles and glasses, so> I heard clearly what he said.
19	Q. What did you mean, "getting the glasses"?
20	A. He was sitting at a table and there were drinking glasses and
21	I had to clear clean the table at the time, clean his desk
22	before he left. <i a<="" and="" collect="" glasses="" in="" put="" th="" them="" these="" would=""></i>
23	car for his trip.>
24	Q. And it was only So Phim and Heng Samrin in the room or were
25	there also others?

105

1 A. There were only two of them, he and Heng Samrin.

2 [15.55.40]

3 Q. Heng Samrin -- sorry, So Phim, as I said, committed suicide on

4 3 June '78. How many days before So Phim died did So Phim tell

5 Heng Samrin to gather forces and fight Pol Pot?

A. It was 10 days before he died or a bit more than that. He left for Akreiy Ksatr at on one point in time. <There, they began the arrest, so we fled.> I remained at one specific location for three days and seven days later I heard the news that he committed suicide. I cannot recall the exact date when that happened.

Q. Was it during the time that you described in your DC-Cam statement that I just read to you, the time that he, So Phim, was continuously drunk? Was that the time that he told Heng Samrin to gather up forces?

16 A. Yes, he drank wine. They had a gathering and also they drank17 wine while talking.

18 [15.57.56]

Q. Do you know whether Heng Samrin followed So Phim's order? And,
if yes, do you know how Heng Samrin implemented that order?
MR. KOUMJIAN:

22 Mr. President, I think just to be fair to the witness before he 23 answers, the order, the full quote of the witness should be given 24 to him. On that page, the witness said to DC-Cam, he said he was 25 going to Phnom Penh to sort things out. He said: "I'm going to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

- 1 Phnom Penh. If I disappear for just one week, you Rin, go into
- 2 the forest, gather however many there are left and go into the
- 3 forest to struggle."
- 4 So he indicated if he disappeared in one week.
- 5 [15.58.48]
- 6 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 7 I have no problem with that addition. It was just a shortcut
- 8 because of time, Mr. President.
- 9 Q. My question is, do you know whether Heng Samrin followed So
- 10 Phim's order to gather forces and to fight against Pol Pot?
- 11 MR. SIN OENG:
- 12 A. He was discussing that with Heng Samrin<, but> how the plan
- 13 was implemented by Heng Samrin was not really known by me.
- 14 [15.59.51]
- 15 BY MR. KOPPE:
- One last question, Mr. President, if you would allow me, please?
 Q. Mr. Witness, in discussing a coup d'etat in your DC-Cam
 statement, English, ERN 01353348; and Khmer, 01340560; you said:
 "I did not know about a coup d'etat. I only knew that when they
 arrested him, they said So Phim was in cahoots with the 'Yuon',
 that he was in league with the 'Yuon'."
 Who said -- or how did you hear that So Phim was in league or in
- 23 cahoots with the "Yuon"?
- 24 MR. SIN OENG:
- 25 A. During the time of the <so-called> cooperation with the

1	Vietnamese, it was almost to the end, and during the time,
2	leaflets were also dropped from the aeroplane <from penh="" phnom="" th="" to<=""></from>
3	various places in the East Zone>. The leaflets were thrown from
4	the aeroplane and people and I got also the leaflets. The
5	leaflets <was that="" written=""> that So Phim<, the traitor,> had</was>
б	cooperated with the "Yuon".
7	MR. KOPPE:
8	Mr. President, I think it's 4 o'clock.
9	[16.01.57]
10	MR. PRESIDENT:
11	Thank you, Counsel.
12	It is now time for the adjournment.
13	And the Chamber will resume its hearing on Monday, 5 December
14	2016 at 9 a.m.
15	And next week, the Chamber will resume the testimony of Sin Oeng
16	and the Chamber has also a reserve witness, <2-TCW-1060>.
17	The Chamber is grateful to you, Mr. Sin Oeng. The hearing of your
18	testimony as a witness has not come to a conclusion yet. You are
19	therefore invited to come and testify to the conclusion on <next></next>
20	Monday <, starting> at 9 a.m.
21	And the duty counsel is also invited to be here on Monday next
22	week as well.
23	Court officer, please work with the WESU unit to send this
24	witness back to his residence and please invite him back into the
25	courtroom on Monday next week.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 486 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 1 December 2016

1	[16.03.01]
2	Security personnel are instructed to bring Nuon Chea and Khieu
3	Samphan back to the detention facility of the ECCC and have them
4	returned into the courtroom on Monday, 5 December 2016 before 9
5	a.m.
б	The Court is now adjourned.
7	(Court adjourns at 1603H)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	