

អត្ថខិត្តិ៩ម្រះចិសាមញ្ញត្តួខត្តសាគារតម្លូវា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ព្រះព្យាឈាម គ្រង ម្គី ជា ជានិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាគ្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អនិទ្ធមុំស្រិះមារបន្តឥនិ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **PUBLIC**

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

22 September 2016 Trial Day 460

อสเอาเรีย

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

31-Jan-2017, 09:38 ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date):.

Sann Rada CMS/CFO:

Before the Judges: YA Sokhan, Presiding

Claudia FENZ

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

THOU Mony

YOU Ottara

Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve)

NIL Nonn (Absent)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

Maddalena GHEZZI

SE Kolvuthy

The Accused:

NUON Chea

KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

Doreen CHEN Victor KOPPE SON Arun Anta GUISSE KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

Marie GUIRAUD

PICH Ang

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL

Dale LYSAK **SENG Leang**

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

INDEX

2-TCW-1036

| Questioning by Mr. LYSAK resumes | page 4 |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Questioning by Judge LAVERGNE | page 12 |
| Questioning by Ms. GUISSE | page 18 |
| Questioning by Mr. KONG Sam Onn | page 31 |

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

| Speaker | Language |
|---------------------------|----------|
| 2-TCW-1036 | Khmer |
| Ms. CHEN | English |
| Judge FENZ | English |
| The GREFFIER | Khmer |
| Ms. GUIRAUD | French |
| Ms. GUISSE | French |
| Mr. KONG Sam Onn | Khmer |
| Mr. KOPPE | English |
| Judge LAVERGNE | French |
| Mr. LYSAK | English |
| Mr. PICH Ang | Khmer |
| The President (YA Sokhan) | Khmer |

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0901H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 Today, the Chamber continues to hear the remaining testimony of
- 6 2-TCW-1036 and begins hearing testimony of a civil party,
- 7 2-TCCP-1064, in relation to Regulation of Marriage.
- 8 Ms. Se Kolvuthy, please report the attendance of the parties and
- 9 other individuals to today's proceedings.
- 10 [09.02.35]
- 11 THE GREFFIER:
- 12 Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all parties to this case
- 13 are present except Mr. Liv Sovanna, the National Counsel for Nuon
- 14 Chea, who is absent without providing any reason.
- 15 Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell downstairs. He has
- 16 waived his rights to be present in the courtroom. The waiver has
- 17 been delivered to the greffier.
- 18 The witness who is to conclude his testimony today, that is,
- 19 2-TCW-1036, as well as his duty counsel, <Chan Sambour, > are
- 20 present in the courtroom.
- 21 And the upcoming civil party, 2-TCCP-1064, is <in the waiting
- 22 room and> ready to be called by the Chamber.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 [09.03.39]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

2

- 1 Thank you, Ms. Se Kolvuthy. The Chamber now decides on the
- 2 request by Nuon Chea.
- 3 The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon Chea, dated 22nd
- 4 September 2016, which states that, due to his health, that is,
- 5 headache, back pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long. And
- 6 in order to effectively participate in future hearings, he
- 7 requests to waive his right to be present at the 22nd September
- 8 2016 hearing.
- 9 He advises that his counsel advised him about the consequence of
- 10 this waiver, that in no way it can be construed as a waiver of
- 11 his rights to be tried fairly or to challenge evidence presented
- 12 to or admitted by this Court at any time during this trial.
- 13 [09.04.34]
- 14 Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor
- 15 for the accused at the ECCC, dated 22nd September 2016, which
- 16 noted that, today, Nuon Chea has back pain and feels dizzy when
- 17 he sits for long and recommends that the Chamber shall grant him
- 18 his request so that he can follow the proceedings remotely from
- 19 the holding cell downstairs.
- 20 Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the
- 21 ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea his request to
- 22 follow today's proceedings remotely from the holding cell
- 23 downstairs via audio-visual means.
- 24 The Chamber instructs the AV Unit personnel to link the
- 25 proceedings to the room downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

3

- 1 That applies for the whole day.
- 2 And before I hand the floor to the Co-Prosecutor to continue
- 3 putting further question to the witness, the Chamber issues an
- 4 oral ruling on decision to call Trial Chamber witness 2-TCW-1065.
- 5 On 13 September 2016, the Chamber informs the parties that it was
- 6 considering calling one additional witness for the trial topic on
- 7 the nature of the armed conflict, that is , 2-TCW-1065, and
- 8 invited the parties to make submissions on the matter.
- 9 [09.06.14]
- 10 Having heard the parties' submissions on 15 September, the
- 11 Chamber decides to call 2-TCW-1065 during the topic on the nature
- of the armed conflict, and we'll hear him after 13th October 2016
- 13 for two days. The OCP will initiate questioning.
- 14 The Written Records of Interview associated to this witness are,
- 15 therefore, admitted into evidence with the following numbers:
- 16 $\langle E319/43.3.9 \rangle$ is designated as E3/10667, $E319/43.3.10 \langle is$
- designed as> E3/10668, and E319/43.3.11 is designated as
- 18 E3/10669.
- 19 The Chamber notes that the three Written Records of Interviews
- 20 indicates that the interviews of 2-TCW-1065 were audio or video
- 21 recorded and will address this matter in due course. Written
- 22 reasons will follow in due course.
- 23 Again, I hand the floor to the Co-Prosecutor to continue putting
- 24 further questions to the witness.
- 25 [09.07.52]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

4

- 1 QUESTIONING BY MR. LYSAK RESUMES:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours, counsel,
- $3 \quad [2-TCW-1036].$
- 4 Q. I wanted to follow up with you on a few questions about the
- 5 trip to Phnom Den that defence counsel asked you about yesterday.
- 6 How long did it take for your group to drive from Battambang to
- 7 Phnom Den?
- 8 2-TCW-1036:
- 9 A. I left at night time, and I didn't know what time it was. And
- 10 when I arrived, it was dawn.
- 11 Q. And how many vehicles or trucks were in the group, the convoy
- 12 that you travelled with?
- 13 A. There were two vehicles.
- 14 Q. And do I understand correctly, one of those vehicles was a big
- 15 GMC truck?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And what was the second vehicle?
- 18 A. It was a Jeep.
- 19 [09.09.43]
- 20 Q. Did you go through Phnom Penh on your way from Battambang to
- 21 Phnom Den?
- 22 A. It's likely that we went through Phnom Penh, but since I did
- 23 not know the location well and since it was night time. <I
- 24 departed from Battambang.>
- 25 Q. Do you know whether or not Ta Nhim -- Ta Nhim had obtained

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

5

- 1 travel passes from the Centre for your two vehicles to travel
- 2 through Phnom Penh, to travel from Battambang down to Phnom Den?
- 3 A. I did not know about that.
- 4 Q. When you arrived in Phnom Den, you said it was dawn. Did you
- 5 get out of -- outside of your vehicle and do anything while you
- 6 were there, or did you remain in your vehicle?
- 7 A. I remained in my vehicle except when I had to relieve myself.
- 8 Q. And how long in total were you in Phnom Den before you
- 9 returned?
- 10 A. I didn't stay overnight, and during the daytime on that day, I
- 11 remained there, but we left again at night.
- 12 [09.11.50]
- 13 Q. Now, you testified yesterday that you didn't travel with Ta
- 14 Nhim when you went to Phnom Den, that he had left Battambang at 9
- 15 a.m., in the morning and you left at night.
- 16 When you arrived in Phnom Den, did you see Ta Nhim and talk to
- 17 him there?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 O. Since he didn't travel with you, are you -- do you know
- 20 whether Ta Nhim was even there in Phnom Den when you arrived?
- 21 A. He had gone there before I left.
- 22 Q. Did you -- my question is: Did you see him in Phnom Den while
- 23 you were there?
- 24 A. I did not see him because when I was there, they told me to
- 25 remain with my vehicle, and I did not know where he went or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

6

- 1 whether he was somewhere in the area. <I went there after him.>
- 2 [09.13.35]
- 3 Q. Now, you testified yesterday that the uniforms that were
- 4 picked up, you've described them as Vietnamese military uniforms,
- 5 bluish in colour.
- 6 During the time you worked with Ta Nhim, do you know -- did you
- 7 ever hear whether the leaders of Democratic Kampuchea were trying
- 8 to put spies or agents within Vietnam to find out what was going
- 9 on there? Did you ever hear anything on that subject?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 Q. Let me turn to another subject, Mr. Witness.
- 12 You testified yesterday that one of your assignments while you
- 13 were in the sector military in the pre-April '75 period was to
- 14 spy on Lon Nol barracks. You identified one location as Banan.
- 15 When the Khmer Rouge took power on 17 April 1975, what happened
- 16 to the Lon Nol officials and soldiers, especially those who held
- 17 ranking positions?
- 18 A. I didn't know anything about that. That was the affairs of the
- 19 senior peoples to deal with.
- 20 [09.15.25]
- 21 Q. Do you know what happened to the Lon Nol soldiers who were at
- 22 the barracks that you had been spying on?
- 23 A. After I went spying in that location, then there was an attack
- 24 on that barrack.
- 25 Q. Okay. I want to focus on the period -- the period immediately

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

7

- 1 after the Khmer Rouge took power in 17 April 1975. I want to just
- 2 ask you about an excerpt in a book that was written by Thet
- 3 Sambath.
- 4 This is E3/4202, E3/4202; ERNs: Khmer, 00858392; English,
- 5 00757552; French, 00849470. This was a book written based on his
- 6 interviews of Nuon Chea, and in this excerpt, he's discussing
- 7 Nuon Chea's home town, which we talked about yesterday, Wat Kor.
- 8 And this is what is written -- quote:
- 9 "His home town also suffered devastating losses. Many pilots
- 10 serving in the government air force lived in Wat Kor, and most of
- 11 them were killed during the Khmer Rouge years." End of quote.
- 12 Did you ever hear anything, Mr. Witness, about the pilots who had
- 13 served in the Lon Nol air force in Wat Kor, Nuon Chea's home
- 14 town? Did you ever hear anything about that?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 [09.17.56]
- 17 Q. Let me move to another subject that defence counsel asked you
- 18 about yesterday, and that was an individual who went by the
- 19 revolutionary alias Ham.
- 20 And I wanted to -- do you read, Mr. Witness?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 Q. Well, let me read to you -- there is a telegram in -- that's
- 23 in evidence.
- 24 Your Honours, this is document E3/1208, E3/1208. It is a telegram
- 25 that was written by Ta Nhim on the 21st of December 1977 to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

8

- 1 "Respected Angkar 870". And the last sentence of this telegram
- 2 reads as follows -- quote:
- 3 "I wish the security to ask Ham, who is responsible for zone
- 4 military logistics, as soon as possible so that all of his
- 5 connections are identified and arrested." End of quote.
- 6 The Ham that you spoke of yesterday who had been part of the
- 7 Northwest Zone general staff, was his assignment or his position
- 8 the chief of logistics or the chief of the zone military
- 9 economics?
- 10 A. He was with the Northwest Zone.
- 11 [09.20.18]
- 12 Q. Let me read to you what you said in his -- in your OCIJ
- 13 interview, E3/9581, at answer 67. And you were asked about Ham,
- 14 and you identified three people you knew who had that name. And
- 15 this is the third person who went by the name Ham. This is what
- 16 you identified as his role -- quote:
- 17 "He used to be a military commander, but, as he was aged and
- 18 injured, he was assigned to take charge of rice and ammunition
- 19 warehouses in Sala Krau, east of Pailin." End of quote.
- 20 Is it -- is that the Ham that you were talking about yesterday
- 21 with defence counsel? Was that his position, and do you remember
- 22 whether he held the title of chief of zone military economics or
- 23 logistics?
- 24 A. I do not know about his position.
- 25 [09.21.45]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

9

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Counsel for Nuon Chea, you have the floor.
- 3 MR. KOPPE:
- 4 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 5 I might be mistaken, but the telegram that was referred to by the
- 6 prosecutor doesn't talk about Ham but, in the English
- 7 translation, Sam (phonetic). French is Ham, and I just was
- 8 informed, but in my English version, it says "Sam" with an S.
- 9 MR. LYSAK:
- 10 You might have an old version. I have the one off -- right off of
- 11 ZyLAB with the E3 number on it, and it says Ham.
- 12 JUDGE FENZ:
- 13 That's true. I have the same one. I just opened it.
- 14 MR. KOPPE:
- 15 I have E3/1208.
- 16 [09.22.36]
- 17 MR. LYSAK:
- 18 Yes.
- 19 MR. KOPPE:
- 20 It says Sam with an S.
- 21 BY MR. LYSAK:
- 22 Q. The Ham that you remembered that had been part of the
- 23 Northwest Zone military that you remember, is he someone who
- 24 survived the Khmer Rouge regime, or someone who was arrested and
- 25 disappeared at some point during the regime?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

10

- 1 2-TCW-1036:
- 2 A. I did not know about that.
- 3 [09.23.23]
- 4 Q. The reason I ask you, Mr. Witness, is this telegram is a
- 5 request from Nhim asking about his interrogation, the
- 6 interrogation of this person who had been responsible for zone
- 7 military logistics. And in the S-21 -- the OCIJ S-21 list, number
- 8 100 and -- I'm sorry, number 1,791 on that list, 1,791, is a 36
- 9 year-old male named Phy or Hy Hing, alias Ham, identified as
- 10 chief of Northwest Zone military economics who entered S-21 on
- 11 the 13th or 14th of September 1977 and died in October.
- 12 Do you -- did you know Ham's full name? Do you remember whether
- 13 the Ham who was in charge of the rice and ammunition warehouses
- 14 who you identified in your interview, whether his full name was
- 15 Phy or Hy Hing, alias Ham?
- 16 A. I did not know; I only heard people refer to him as Ham.
- 17 [09.25.10]
- 18 Q. Last subject I want to ask you about, Mr. Witness, are you
- 19 able to tell the Court what happened during the Khmer Rouge
- 20 regime to the Vietnamese people who were still living in
- 21 Battambang province?
- 22 A. I did not know.
- 23 Q. I ask you as one of the -- another one of the reports that was
- 24 sent by Ta Nhim to the Party leaders in Phnom Penh. That is in
- evidence, E3/863, E3/863. It's a telegram or report dated 17 May

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

11

- 1 1978, less than a month before the time of his arrest.
- 2 And in this report to Angkar 870, the fifth paragraph reads as
- 3 follows:
- 4 "The meeting raised a question about how to decide on the
- 5 elements of soldiers from previous regime and the 'Yuon' with
- 6 Khmer spouses and the half-breed Khmer 'Yuon'. Regarding this
- 7 issue, the meeting would like to ask Angkar 870 what to do with
- 8 them. Whatever Angkar decides, please give instruction." End of
- 9 quote.
- 10 First question, Mr. Witness, do you remember during the time you
- 11 worked with Ta Nhim whether he reported to and received
- 12 instructions from the leaders in Phnom Penh?
- 13 A. I did not know about that since I was not close to him.
- 14 [09.27.30]
- 15 Q. Did you ever accompany him to Phnom Penh?
- 16 A. No, I did not.
- 17 Q. In the same telegram, which, as I said, is written less than a
- 18 month before his arrest, Nhim -- Ta Nhim wrote the following at
- 19 the bottom of this -- quote:
- 20 "I have already received a telegram which advised me to take a
- 21 rest at the hospital. An illness still exists, especially
- 22 irregular blood pressure, which is up and down all the time. I
- 23 have had a lower back pain for two to three days. I'm not able to
- 24 sit and walk."
- 25 And it continues on, and he says that if the illness becomes more

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

12

- 1 serious, he will go seek treatment.
- 2 Does this refresh your memory? Do you remember whether, towards
- 3 -- in 1978, near the time he was arrested, whether Nhim was in
- 4 poor health at the time?
- 5 A. I knew that he was unwell, but I do not know the symptoms or
- 6 the illnesses he had since he did not tell me anything about
- 7 that.
- 8 [09.29.20]
- 9 MR. LYSAK:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. Witness. We have no further questions.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Thank you, Co-Prosecutor.
- 13 And the floor is now given to the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil
- 14 parties to put question to the witness.
- 15 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, everyone. We don't have
- 17 any questions for this witness.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 And Judge Lavergne, you have the floor.
- 20 [09.29.56]
- 21 OUESTIONING BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 23 Q. Mr. Witness, I would like us to revisit your trip to Phnom
- 24 Den. I understood that you didn't get out of your vehicle, but
- 25 can you tell us whether you saw any persons of Vietnamese origin

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

13

- 1 or whether you saw Vietnamese vehicles close to your vehicle?
- 2 2-TCW-1036:
- 3 A. No, I did not see them.
- 4 Q. Can you explain where those uniforms you saw came from? Where
- 5 did they come from? Did they fall from heaven? Who brought them?
- 6 Who brought them?
- 7 A. I did not know. They were brought in, and <> they were
- 8 transported in, and we received them. <They were transported to
- 9 me and I transported them to Battambang.>
- 10 [09.31.22]
- 11 Q. In order to receive them, sir -- in order to receive those
- 12 uniforms, someone had to give them to you, or were they left on
- 13 the spot? I do not understand.
- 14 Did someone hand those uniforms to you?
- 15 A. I saw them brought those uniform, and then they left.
- 16 Q. Well, who were those people? You said, "I saw them bring
- 17 them". Who were "they"?
- 18 Were they Vietnamese soldiers, were they Khmer soldiers? Do you
- 19 know who they were?
- 20 A. I did not know them. I did not know whether they were Khmer.
- 21 Q. Did you hear them speak? Were they dressed in black? Did they
- 22 have Vietnamese uniforms?
- 23 A. They wore black clothes.
- 24 Q. At any point in time when you were in Phnom Den, did you hear
- 25 people speaking Vietnamese?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

14

- 1 <Did you have> any radio equipment <with you> for communication
- 2 purposes?
- 3 A. No, we did not have.
- 4 [09.33.36]
- 5 Q. Who was with you during the trip in your vehicle? Who was with
- 6 you?
- 7 A. We had three people: Phy and Sam (phonetic).
- 8 Q. And what did those persons tell you?
- 9 A. No, they did not tell me anything. And they did not speak
- 10 anything. We simply drove our vehicle back.
- 11 Q. Who was driving the vehicle? Was it you or they?
- 12 A. It <>was one of the men. For me, I sat at the rear.
- 13 Q. So if I understand correctly, you travelled at night with two
- 14 other persons. You went practically across the whole of Cambodia.
- 15 You arrived in Phnom Den. You did not exchange a word.
- 16 And then you stayed there on the spot for a whole day and you
- 17 left again in the evening for Battambang. And you did not
- 18 exchange a single word.
- 19 A. No, I did not mean like that. <We did talk, but> when you
- 20 asked me about our exchange of words <when> they brought in the
- 21 materials, we did not talk during that time.
- 22 [09.36.01]
- 23 Q. Very well. So before or afterwards, did you discuss what had
- 24 happened, who had brought in equipment and the reasons why the
- 25 equipment was brought?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

15

- 1 A. No, we did not talk about that.
- 2 Q. Were you afraid of going on such a trip and to see that kind
- 3 of equipment being exchanged, and what did you think?
- 4 A. He told us that when we departed <from> Battambang, we did not
- 5 need to feel worried because we left at night time<, so no one
- 6 would block us>.
- 7 Q. The Co-Prosecutor put a question to you this morning regarding
- 8 the Vietnamese who may have resided in the Battambang region. Did
- 9 you know whether there were any persons of Vietnamese origin
- 10 living in the Battambang region before the 17th of April 1975 or
- 11 after the 17th of April 1975?
- 12 A. No, I did not know. I did not go out much often. Mostly, I
- 13 stayed at the base. <I was not allowed to walk around.>
- 14 [09.38.12]
- 15 Q. And when you accompanied Ta Nhim, did you happen to accompany
- 16 him to dam construction sites? Did you have the opportunity to
- 17 go, for instance, to the Trapeang Thma dam worksite?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. I do not very well understand. Where did you accompany Mr. Ta
- 20 Nhim to? What did you visit with him? Did you see any visitors
- 21 who came to meet Ta Nhim?
- 22 A. Yes, I saw some of them, but as I told you, I was assigned to
- 23 <guard> outside. Only those who were close to him would know
- 24 about this. <I was just a messenger at layer 2. I only went near
- 25 him when necessary.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

16

- 1 Q. Did you know whether there were enemies during the period of
- 2 Democratic Kampuchea and who were considered as the enemy?
- 3 A. I did not know regarding this matter.
- 4 [09.40.05]
- 5 Q. So according to you, you knew absolutely nothing as to whether
- 6 there <were certain categories> of persons that the leaders of
- 7 Democratic Kampuchea could have considered as the enemy?
- 8 A. No. I was not close to him. I was outside, so I did not know
- 9 much.
- 10 Q. Did you know, for instance, <if> some people tried to flee to
- 11 Thailand during the period of Democratic Kampuchea?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. According to you, during the period of Democratic Kampuchea
- 14 did any people suffer from hunger?
- 15 A. Yes, there were. It happened at some places.
- 16 Q. And did you witness that yourself? Did you, yourself, suffer
- 17 from hunger, and why were those people suffering from hunger?
- 18 A. For <us>, we were given rice to eat <once a day>, and if we
- 19 accompanied him, we <got to eat more> rice. <> And at our base,
- 20 sometimes we ate porridge.
- 21 [09.42.25]
- 22 Q. You haven't answered my question, sir.
- 23 I asked whether there were people -- or rather, you said that
- 24 there were people who suffered from hunger. And I asked you why
- 25 those people suffered from hunger.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

17

- 1 A. At my place, we had enough food.
- 2 Q. Very well. So as a matter of fact, everything was perfect
- 3 during the period of Democratic Kampuchea. You didn't experience
- 4 any problems. There were no enemies, people ate to their fill.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Defence counsel for Nuon Chea, you have the floor.
- 7 MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Yes, Mr. President.
- 9 I know it's very difficult for Judge Lavergne to hide his bias.
- 10 It's not easy for him, I know, but at least he can make an
- 11 attempt.
- 12 [09.43.40]
- 13 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 14 I am trying to understand what this witness is saying, Counsel
- 15 Koppe, and I would like him to answer my questions.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Mr. Witness, please answer the question.
- 18 2-TCW-1036:
- 19 A. Please repeat your question, Your Honour.
- 20 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 21 Q. Well, let me put a more open question to you.
- 22 Did you observe that there were any problems whatsoever during
- 23 the period of Democratic Kampuchea and, if yes, what were those
- 24 problems?
- 25 [09.44.42]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

18

- 1 2-TCW-1036:
- 2 A. There were no problems.
- 3 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 4 Well, Mr. Koppe, I think I don't have any further questions.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 7 The floor is now given to defence counsel for Khieu Samphan.
- 8 [09.45.10]
- 9 QUESTIONING BY MS. GUISSE:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 11 Q. Good morning, witness. My name is Anta Guisse. I am
- 12 International Co-Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan, and it is in this
- 13 capacity that I will put some follow-up questions to you, and I
- 14 will limit myself to something you know because you experienced
- 15 that personally, specifically, <in> your work as a bodyguard,
- 16 driver, and messenger to Ta Nhim.
- 17 First of all, I would like us to revisit something you said
- 18 yesterday. You stated that <Ta Nhim's> guard corps consisted of
- 19 three quards who were close to him, and four worked outside. And
- 20 I believe you were in that group that worked outside.
- 21 Did I properly understand your testimony?
- 22 2-TCW-1036:
- 23 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 24 [09.46.26]
- 25 Q. I believe I did understand, and please correct me if I am

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

19

- 1 wrong in pronouncing that name. You said that among those close
- 2 to Ta Nhim was a person called Chouk (phonetic) or, rather,
- 3 Chrouk. I beg your pardon. I think that is the name you gave
- 4 yesterday, and you said there was a messenger called Chrouk.
- 5 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 6 Q. Yesterday, you also stated that, at the place where Ta Nhim
- 7 resided, that place was different from where you lived yourself.
- 8 Did I properly understand your testimony?
- 9 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 10 Q. How far was the house in which you lived from Ta Nhim's house?
- 11 A. It's about 50 or 60 metres away from each other.
- 12 Q. In that house in which you resided, were all the guards living
- 13 with you, that is, the three who <were> close to Ta Nhim and the
- 14 four others, including yourself, who are assigned to work
- 15 outside?
- 16 Were you all residing in the same house?
- 17 A. No, we lived separately. For them, they lived closer to him.
- 18 [09.48.26]
- 19 O. When you talk of "them", who are you referring to, that is,
- 20 the persons who lived closer to Ta Nhim?
- 21 A. His personal messengers. For us, we lived outside.
- 22 Q. Very well. And where were those personal messengers residing?
- 23 Were they living in a house close to Nhim's house, that is, <or
- 24 just closer than the 50 meters between Nhim's house and yours?>
- 25 A. They lived with him, but for me, I lived far from him.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

20

- 1 <Normally, he would assign them to perform regular tasks. Only>
- 2 if he had assignments for me to <go to distant places that> he
- 3 would call me to come.
- 4 Q. Very well. So if I properly understood you, the three
- 5 bodyguards who were close to him lived in the same house as Ta
- 6 Nhim. Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 [09.50.02]
- 9 O. Very well. I would now like to put a follow-up question to you
- 10 regarding the times when you used the Jeep to drive Ta Nhim to
- 11 various locations.
- 12 My question is as follows. When you took the Jeep and drove it to
- 13 accompany Ta Nhim, was one of the three bodyguards who lived with
- 14 him always with you, or did it sometimes happen that Ta Nhim
- 15 <went out with you alone>?
- 16 A. No, <there> were different vehicles. He was in one vehicle and
- 17 I was in another because I provided security protection for him.
- 18 <When his vehicle stopped, I would park my vehicle far from him
- 19 and I would walk around to guard him.>
- 20 Q. Very well. As a matter of fact, if I understand you correctly,
- 21 regardless of where you went, you were always in a different
- 22 vehicle different from the one in which Ta Nhim was, if I did
- 23 understand you correctly.
- 24 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 25 Q. Another question related to the previous question as regards

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

21

- 1 the three bodyguards you referred to as being the closest guards
- 2 to him; were they systematically in Ta Nhim's vehicle whenever he
- 3 went out?
- 4 A. Yes, his <driver and> personal bodyguards were in the same car
- 5 with him.
- 6 [09.51.50]
- 7 Q. Did they always leave in a group of three persons or <were the
- 8 guards rotated>?
- 9 A. No, they <did not rotate, the three always went anywhere
- 10 together>.
- 11 Q. I'll have to rephrase my question because the answer you gave
- 12 doesn't answer my question. My question is: Did the guards take
- 13 turns to go out with him or were <the> three of them <always>
- 14 together?
- 15 A. Three of them accompanied him; all of them went out with him
- 16 except me because I was far from him. For <the three of> them,
- 17 they went all together.
- 18 [09.53.13]
- 19 O. Very well. <Now that you have clarified that, > I would like us
- 20 to now talk about your trip to Phnom Den. I am speaking by memory
- 21 because I've not read through the draft again. I believe I
- 22 understood that you said that Ta Nhim had left in the morning of
- 23 that day and that you, yourself, left in the evening; did I
- 24 understand you correctly?
- 25 A. Yes.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

22

- 1 Q. On that day in the morning, did you see him leave?
- 2 A. He had already left.
- 3 Q. You say that when someone came to tell you that you had to go
- 4 to Phnom Den, Ta Nhim had already left; is that what you're
- 5 saying?
- 6 A. He <>was still there and then he asked his men to come and
- 7 tell me and then he left. <And I left in the evening.>
- 8 Q. Very well, but my question is whether you saw him leave
- 9 physically or you simply saw his men come to give you that
- 10 information; did you actually see him board his vehicle to leave?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 [09.55.17]
- 13 Q. I think there may well be another interpretation problem here.
- 14 Did you see him board the vehicle and leave; is that what you're
- 15 saying?
- 16 A. I did not see him boarding the vehicles; <I was behind his
- 17 house. > I only heard from other people that he's gone.
- 18 Q. Who came to <give you information that you had > to go to Phnom
- 19 Den to join Ta Nhim?
- 20 A. The person who worked at the office.
- 21 Q. Do you recall that person's name?
- 22 A. Yes, the name was Kaiy (phonetic).
- 23 Q. In answer to a question put to you by Judge Lavergne -- and
- 24 here I'm <referring to> my recent notes -- I believe I did
- 25 understand that when Judge Lavergne asked you whether you were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

23

- 1 afraid of traveling that you did say that someone had told you
- 2 not to be worried because you were traveling at night; did I
- 3 properly understand your statement?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 [09.57.20]
- 6 Q. Who told you that you didn't have to worry because you were
- 7 traveling at night?
- 8 A. Ta Kaiy (phonetic) came to tell me that he had already left
- 9 for Phnom Den and he told us not to worry because we left at
- 10 nighttime<, so no one would do anything to us>.
- 11 Q. So it was Kaiy (phonetic) who told you so; did he tell you
- 12 that it was Ta Nhim, himself, who had asked you to travel at
- 13 night?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Let us now talk about the time when you arrived in Phnom Den.
- 16 You say you didn't recall at what time in the evening you left,
- 17 but you did arrive early in the morning; when you arrived <very
- 18 early in the morning>, who did you see in Phnom Den?
- 19 A. I saw some people, but I did not know those people. They came
- 20 to get the vehicle to transport the materials and after the
- 21 materials were loaded on the vehicle and then we departed.
- 22 [09.59.10]
- 23 Q. You have stated -- and I'm sorry, I don't remember the name of
- 24 <these people> -- you said that there were two persons -- or two
- 25 other persons in your vehicle, so I understood that there were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

24

- 1 three of you. Were those two other persons also bodyguards like
- 2 yourself, who worked outside, or you are talking of other
- 3 persons?
- 4 A. I said that there were three people, including myself, in the
- 5 vehicle and the three people, including myself, went there under
- 6 his order.
- 7 Q. I understood this part of your testimony quite well. The
- 8 additional part I'd like to know <is> if the two other people,
- 9 besides you, in the vehicle, were also bodyquards from the
- 10 four-member team that was <less close> to Ta Nhim, or were they
- other people <who were not Ta Nhim's> bodyguards.
- 12 A. I was one of the three people and then there was another group
- 13 <> who were closer to him.
- 14 [10.01.02]
- 15 Q. So if I understand correctly, the other two people who were in
- 16 your vehicle were people who were close to Ta Nhim and who were
- 17 among his three bodyguards, is that correct?
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 20 MR. LYSAK:
- 21 At least on the English translation, that's not what -- what the
- 22 witness just said; he said that they were a different group. But
- 23 you can clarify, but I don't think you should put it to the
- 24 witness that that's what he said.
- 25 [10.01.50]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

25

- 1 BY MS. GUISSE:
- 2 That's what I understood in French, but there's no problem; I'll
- 3 clarify.
- 4 Q. There was a problem in the interpretation or I didn't
- 5 understand your <answer> very well. Can you please clarify who
- 6 were the two other people who were in the vehicle with you; what
- 7 <were> their functions?
- 8 2-TCW-1036:
- 9 A. They did not have any other function. We all served him. <They
- 10 were his messengers.>
- 11 Q. Okay, then I just need you to clarify: Earlier, you indicated
- 12 that in the group of messengers and bodyguards, there were three
- 13 who were close and who were those who were the personal
- 14 bodyguards of Ta Nhim and there were four, including you, who
- 15 worked outside, who were a bit further away from him. So my
- 16 question is to know if the two who were in the vehicle were part
- 17 of his personal bodyguard team or if they were part of your group
- 18 of messengers who worked more outside; do you understand my
- 19 question?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 [10.03.17]
- 22 Q. So since you understand my question, can you please tell me if
- 23 those people who were in your vehicle were among the personal
- 24 bodyguards or if they were part of the group who worked outside?
- 25 A. Are you referring to the three people who were close to him

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

26

- 1 because we were in a separate group; we were outside?
- 2 O. All right. In the vehicle that you were in when you went to
- 3 Phnom Den, who was with you; were these people from the <close>
- 4 group or from the group that worked outside?
- 5 A. Yes, they were the three people who were outside. As I said,
- 6 there were first and second groups.
- 7 Q. That I had understood that you were talking about the first
- 8 and second groups in general. Here, I'm asking you, on this
- 9 particular day, when you were in Phnom Den, were there only the
- 10 people from your group from outside or were there also members of
- 11 his personal bodyguard team?
- 12 A. It was the outside group.
- 13 [10.05.34]
- 14 Q. Outside of the people that you saw who gave the material to
- 15 the people getting out of the car, did you see other people that
- 16 you knew, outside of those who provided that material?
- 17 A. I saw them, but I did not know them because I moved away from
- 18 the vehicle, and I did not stay near it, <I went back to the
- 19 office> after the materials were unloaded at his house.
- 20 Q. Another clarification, perhaps, it will be easier this way;
- 21 did I understand correctly that when you left on that day, you
- 22 left following another vehicle?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was in this other vehicle? You said that in your own
- 25 vehicle, your co-workers who were less close to Ta Nhim than the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

27

- 1 others were there, but who was in the second vehicle or in the
- 2 vehicle that you were following?
- 3 A. The three people with me included Phy and I cannot recall the
- 4 other name.
- 5 [10.07.45]
- 6 Q. And my last question before the break, Mr. President: When you
- 7 say that Ti (phonetic) was among them, was he in your vehicle or
- 8 was he in the vehicle that left before yours?
- 9 A. They were in the same vehicle as me.
- 10 Q. My question was: Do you remember who was in the other vehicle,
- 11 the vehicle that you were not in?
- 12 A. I only recall Phy, and I cannot recall the others since we
- 13 separated from one another a long time ago.
- 14 MS. GUISSE:
- 15 Mr. President, if you would like to take the break now, I will
- 16 continue on Phnom <Den> after.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 19 [10.09.16]
- 20 MR. LYSAK:
- 21 Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Normally, I don't object over time
- 22 issues, but the Defence had only Khieu Samphan defence only had
- 23 15 minutes. They've well exceeded that 15 minutes. Normally, I
- 24 wouldn't consider that an issue, but I simply don't see any
- 25 reason for us to be extending the time for this witness giving

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

28

- 1 the, to put it mildly, rather lack of significance or importance
- 2 of the evidence that's coming here.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Counsel for Khieu Samphan, you have the floor.
- 5 [10.10.01]
- 6 MS. GUISSE:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. I thought that the issue of time would
- 8 not be a problem given that the prosecutors and civil parties did
- 9 not ask questions on the subject for which the witness appeared.
- 10 And in general, it's true; I don't have a lot of questions,
- 11 generally, because the topics have already been somewhat
- 12 exhausted, but in fact, the <Prosecution has> not spent much time
- 13 on the issue of Phnom Den, which was actually the reason that the
- 14 witness appeared. On the other topics, yes, I understand the
- 15 strictness, but since I haven't had much time on this topic, I
- 16 don't know if this sign I'm receiving from Judge Fenz is about
- 17 how much time I have or--
- 18 JUDGE FENZ:
- 19 Cut it down to how much longer do you need.
- 20 MS. GUISSE:
- 21 All right. I think that -- for myself, I think I have about 15
- 22 minutes and my colleague says he would have several additional
- 23 questions also.
- 24 (Judges deliberate)
- 25 [10.11.36]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

29

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 The Chamber will grant you an additional 10 minutes to conclude
- 3 your questioning.
- 4 BY MS. GUISSE:
- 5 Q. Mr. Witness, you've understood that our time is very limited;
- 6 therefore, I'll continue. I'll speak about the return.
- 7 When you were leaving the equipment you'd put in the vehicle, you
- 8 say that you were starting on the road toward Battambang, still
- 9 at night.
- 10 So my first question is: Who asked you to drive at night?
- 11 2-TCW-1036:
- 12 A. It was Ta Nhim.
- 13 Q. When did he tell you this concerning the return trip or when
- 14 did you have this information that for the return trip, it was
- 15 also necessary to leave at night?
- 16 A. It was at around 5 o'clock.
- 17 [10.12.44]
- 18 O. <Around> 5 o'clock in the afternoon?
- 19 A. Yes, 5 o'clock in the afternoon.
- 20 Q. I understand from your testimony that at 5 o'clock p.m. in the
- 21 afternoon when you were in Phnom Den, you received the
- 22 instruction to leave at night; did I correctly understand your
- 23 testimony?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Who gave you this information?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

30

- 1 A. The soldiers who were there.
- 2 O. When you say the soldiers who were there, were those the ones
- 3 you didn't know who were carrying the equipment or were there
- 4 other soldiers there?
- 5 A. They were different and I did not know about the arrangement;
- 6 however, when the materials were loaded, that's what we were
- 7 told.
- 8 [10.14.52]
- 9 O. So then you started on the road to Battambang <and upon your
- 10 return> to Battambang, do you know where the equipment was
- 11 unloaded?
- 12 A. I drove the vehicle to his place and I left the vehicle there
- 13 and there were people there who would organize unloading those
- 14 materials and then I left.
- 15 Q. Who were you talking about; at whose home were you?
- 16 A. That is the house of Ta Nhim. I left the vehicle at his house.
- 17 I handed everything over, then I left; I left to my sleeping
- 18 quarter <at the field>.
- 19 Q. And when you left the vehicle at Ta Nhim's house, do you know
- 20 if Ta Nhim was there; did you see him or do you know if he was
- 21 there?
- 22 A. I did not see him. <I let someone there knew that> I left the
- 23 vehicle there and then I left.
- 24 Q. And without having seen him, himself, did you see one of his
- 25 <close team of> personal bodyguards?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

31

- 1 A. I did not. I only see people who were on standby at the office
- 2 including Ta Kaiy (phonetic).
- 3 [10.17.00]
- 4 Q. Was Ta Kaiy (phonetic) there when you left the vehicle, and if
- 5 so, did you talk with him at all?
- 6 A. I told him, "Brother, the car has arrived" and then I was told
- 7 to drive it in, to fully cover the vehicle and then after I did
- 8 that, I left.
- 9 Q. Were you told why the vehicle needed to be completely covered?
- 10 A. Because there were uniforms and other equipment in the vehicle
- 11 <so> we had to fully cover it so that nobody could see it. <I did
- 12 not really know about the details. I only did what I was told to
- 13 do.>
- 14 Q. And do you know why it was important that no one see this
- 15 equipment; was that explained to you?
- 16 A. No, I only was asked to fully cover it.
- 17 MS. GUISSE:
- 18 Mr. President, thank you for these additional minutes. I have
- 19 finished since I cannot continue.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 National Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you have the floor. You have four
- 22 more minutes.
- 23 [10.19.03]
- 24 QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 25 Thank you, Mr. President, and good morning, Mr. Witness.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

32

- 1 Q. I'd like to have a clarification. At one point, that is, at 9
- 2 hours 59 minutes this morning, you stated that when you arrived
- 3 at Phnom Den, people came to bring a vehicle into transport the
- 4 materials. After you arrived, didn't you get out your vehicle and
- 5 went along with others?
- 6 2-TCW-1036:
- 7 A. No, our group was not allowed to go with them as we had to
- 8 wait there in order to receive the materials when they arrived.
- 9 Q. This morning, you also testified that you drove the vehicle
- 10 with two others; namely, Sam (phonetic) and Phy, and when you
- 11 arrive at Phnom Den, Phy and Sam (phonetic) also did not go with
- 12 another group; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 [10.20.00]
- 15 Q. Did you have to wait there throughout the morning and until
- 16 what time the vehicle returned?
- 17 A. It was around 3 o'clock.
- 18 Q. So you had to wait until 3 o'clock and you meant 3 p.m.?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So when the vehicle returns to you -- to your location at
- 21 Phnom Den and you saw the -- the GMC truck was fully loaded with
- 22 those equipment and materials; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So from 3 o'clock that afternoon, you and other colleagues,
- 25 Sam (phonetic) and Phy, took control of that vehicle and then

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

33

- 1 later on, <in the evening on the same> day, you returned to
- 2 Battambang province; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you, Mr. Witness.
- 6 [10.21.27]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you, Counsel, and thank you, Witness.
- 9 JUDGE FENZ;
- 10 Can I just take the floor?
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 (No interpretation)
- 13 JUDGE FENZ:
- 14 I'm sorry; I didn't want to interrupt you, yes.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Judge Fenz, you have the floor.
- 17 [10.21.42]
- 18 JUDGE FENZ:
- 19 Probably should have waited until the witness is gone.
- 20 This is just to alert the parties. Please check your email. There
- 21 is an email from the Nuon Chea defence, a very recent one from
- 22 10.04, which requests submissions.
- 23 We will allow this submission and parties should be ready to
- 24 comment on that. Thank you.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

34

- 1 The Chamber would like to thank Mr. Witness, and the hearing of
- 2 your testimony is now concluded.
- 3 Court officer, please work with WESU to make transport
- 4 arrangement for the witness to return to his residence.
- 5 And the Chamber will take 20 minutes break from now.
- 6 (Court recesses from 1022H to 1047H)
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Please be seated. The Chamber is now back in session.
- 9 Before the break, the Chamber received an email in English from
- 10 defence counsel for Nuon Chea requesting for the testimony of
- 11 Civil Party 2-TCCP-1064 <> to be held after the Pchum Ben break.
- 12 And in order to clarify the matter, I'd like to hand the floor to
- 13 Judge Fenz to clarify this request.
- 14 Judge Fenz, you have the floor.
- 15 [10.48.50]
- 16 JUDGE FENZ:
- 17 Thank you, President.
- 18 Yes, the Nuon Chea team has, indeed, sent an email to the parties
- 19 and the Court alerting us to the existence of previous record,
- 20 the DC-Cam interview of the upcoming civil party and has asked to
- 21 be allowed to make submissions.
- 22 In order to avoid two rounds of submissions, we suggest that you
- 23 make the two -- well, obvious submissions, one being 87.4 to
- 24 admit the document and the second to postpone, at the same time,
- 25 then we can comment also at the same time.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

35

- 1 The floor is given to the Nuon Chea defence.
- 2 [10.49.43]
- 3 MS. CHEN:
- 4 Thank you, Judge Fenz.
- 5 Good morning, Mr. President, Judges, parties, and everyone in the
- 6 courtroom.
- 7 Yes, as we said in our email, we've just discovered this new
- 8 DC-Cam statement of the upcoming civil party.
- 9 In terms of the Rule 87.4 request, we consider the statement to
- 10 be directly relevant to the case. It addresses -- we haven't been
- 11 able to read it in any detail as I explained in the email, but
- 12 from what we saw from a brief scheme, it seems to be addressing,
- 13 indeed, the topics that are at issue in the civil party's
- 14 testimony and the reasons for which she is called.
- 15 From what we can see, the information -- certain information
- 16 seems to be different, so that could be interesting as well but
- 17 we haven't, as I said, looked in any detail so I can't comment
- 18 any more in that regard.
- 19 [10.50.26]
- 20 Obviously, also it's a prior written statement of the witness so
- 21 -- of the civil party, my apologies -- so, in that sense, we
- 22 consider it to be important to have in any case as background
- 23 information about the civil party.
- 24 In terms of postponement, given the overlap in the material in
- 25 that statement with the other material on the case file, we think

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

36

- 1 it would make more logical sense that the civil party testify
- 2 only after all of these statements are available to all of the
- 3 parties and we can question her in one go about all of these
- 4 things, especially if it's possible that there may be differences
- 5 in what she said in different documents.
- 6 [10.51.07]
- 7 And I also outlined in my email but I'm happy to go through that
- 8 again, are the factors that are relevant to us in this regard and
- 9 that is, obviously, we've just been notified of this document. We
- 10 only have it in Khmer for the moment. It's 35 pages.
- 11 We've just put it in for translation. We asked for it to be
- 12 submitted back on 29 September, noting that ITU says that they
- 13 can normally do four pages a day. We've yet to hear back. The 29
- 14 September would not be a very realistic deadline in any case but
- 15 we were hoping to get it back urgently so that the parties would
- 16 have it upon resumption of hearings after the Pchum Ben break.
- 17 I understand that that language direction, Khmer to English, is
- 18 outsourced, so perhaps it could be possible that it's faster, we
- 19 don't know. In any case, we don't have confirmation yet from ITU
- 20 about when they could have the statement back.
- 21 [10.51.57]
- 22 We also understand that the Khieu Samphan team has also requested
- 23 the translation into French. Again, from what we understand, no
- 24 confirmation as to when that translation could be delivered.
- 25 Obviously, as I also mentioned, it happens today that our

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

37

- 1 national co-lawyer is unable to be with us and also our national
- 2 legal consultant who's unable to be with us, so they are unable
- 3 to look at the document in detail and/or to question the civil
- 4 party about that document or to discuss the contents of the
- 5 document with our client in any substantial way.
- 6 So our understanding, as a final point, is just that given the
- 7 time already, it would seem unlikely, in any case, that the civil
- 8 party would complete her testimony today and then, obviously,
- 9 we're looking at a long recess. So it might make more sense if
- 10 she were to testify after the break in any case so that there
- 11 isn't this long delay between the start and the end of her
- 12 testimony.
- 13 I think that's all I have for the moment, Judge.
- 14 [10.52.54]
- 15 JUDGE FENZ:
- 16 Can I just ask you -- it was a bit quick so I might have missed
- 17 it -- but did I understand you correctly, you have information
- 18 from the Translation Unit that they would have English
- 19 translation by 29 September?
- 20 MS. CHEN:
- 21 No, my apologies, I was a bit quick. We asked for that, just to
- 22 try and have the statement back to the parties before the end of
- 23 the Pchum Ben break, and that seems to be last working day. They
- 24 haven't confirmed yet whether they can do that.
- 25 Our understanding is, at least for Khmer to English, it's

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

38

- 1 outsourced, so perhaps it would be possible to produce it faster
- 2 than the usual four pages per day. So we don't yet know in that
- 3 regard.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 JUDGE FENZ:
- 6 Thank you. I give the floor to the Khieu Samphan defence. Please
- 7 shortly respond to both requests.
- 8 [10.53.45]
- 9 MS. GUISSE:
- 10 As regards to the two applications, we obviously support the Nuon
- 11 Chea defence team and we note that this is often the problem when
- 12 we have civil parties and witnesses drawn from other cases
- 13 summoned to testify. We discover some documents belatedly, and
- 15 we find ourselves> in a very particular case.
- 16 So we endorse the application, that this document be tendered
- 17 into evidence as a prior statement of the civil party and that
- 18 the parties, in any case, the Defence -- I don't know about the
- 19 others -- should be allowed to have sufficient time to prepare
- 20 themselves<, given the inclusion of these new elements>.
- 21 JUDGE FENZ:
- 22 Thank you. The floor is given to the Co-Lead Lawyers.
- 23 [10.54.45]
- 24 MR. PICH ANG:
- 25 Good morning, Your Honour. Related to the request by the Nuon

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

39

- 1 Chea defence team, the Lead Co-Lawyer for civil party do not have
- 2 any objection to this matter.
- 3 We have already reviewed briefly the document and we thought that
- 4 the document is somehow relevant. The civil party <also stated
- 5 that she used to be invited for interview with DC-Cam;
- 6 therefore, our team does not have any objection.
- 7 JUDGE FENZ:
- 8 And there's (Microphones overlapping).
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The floor is given to Judge Lavergne.
- 11 [10.55.39]
- 12 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 13 Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is just a request for
- 14 clarification.
- 15 Is that person a civil party in Case 002 and, if that is the
- 16 case, I am somewhat surprised that the Civil Party Lead
- 17 Co-Lawyers did not inform us <until> the last minute of the
- 18 existence of this DC-Cam interview.
- 19 Can you please provide some explanations as to why we are hearing
- 20 about this in the last minute, and we are hearing it from the
- 21 Nuon Chea defence team, and not from the Civil Party Lead
- 22 Co-Lawyers?
- 23 MR. PICH ANG:
- 24 Your Honour, I have just asked the civil party and I just
- 25 received information from her that she has given interview to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

40

- 1 DC-Cam. So the information -- I learned about her interview with
- 2 DC-Cam. I just received it quite recently. I did not receive it
- 3 long time ago.
- 4 [10.56.50]
- 5 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 6 This is just a remark. I think that it will perhaps be better to
- 7 somewhat anticipate the interviews of civil parties and to ask
- 8 questions that may be useful for the preparation of this
- 9 examination of the civil party; otherwise, we wouldn't find
- 10 ourselves in this situation today.
- 11 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to add a remark in answer
- 13 to the questions asked by Judge Fenz. We don't have any objection
- 14 as to the postponement of the examination of the civil party
- 15 until after the Pchum Ben break.
- 16 To answer Judge Lavergne's question, this civil party was
- 17 proposed by the Chamber proprio motu at the last minute. So there
- 18 we are. This <may> also explain a number of things.
- 19 [10.57.53]
- 20 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 21 That notwithstanding, this is a <confirmed> civil party from Case
- 22 002, so even though that civil party was proposed by the Chamber,
- 23 you should have been informed well in advance.
- 24 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 25 Welcome to our world, Judge Lavergne.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

41

- 1 JUDGE FENZ:
- 2 Let's first finish this round and then to the Prosecution for
- 3 both requests, please.
- 4 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
- 5 Thank you, Judge Fenz. We do not have any objection to the Rule
- 6 87.4 application. <Perhaps to partly explain, when> I presented
- 7 the documents, and I <used these documents, the WRI, there was an
- 8 error. I think the ICOJ had identified him as a witness. It was
- 9 WRI E3/9820, I did not realize this mistake immediately, myself,
- 10 that he was a civil party in Case 002.>
- 11 In any case, that document was discovered recently. We do not
- 12 have it on record. It is available only in Khmer so we, indeed,
- 13 are requesting that the appearance of this civil party be
- 14 postponed until after next week, but <also>, until the
- 15 translation of that person's prior statements are available in
- 16 <either> French <or> English. <Probably one or two days after the
- 17 translations are available, so we have enough time to prepare
- 18 adequately.>
- 19 [10.59.27]
- 20 We've not been able to scan through the documents, so I don't
- 21 know whether there are any variations or any contradictions and
- 22 <how much> weight that could be attached to such variations.
- 23 <But> this is something that can be crosschecked only when the
- 24 document is made available to all the parties.
- 25 That is our position. Thank you, Honourable Judge.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

42

- 1 MS. CHEN:
- 2 It's just an addendum; we've received information from the ITU
- 3 that they cannot guarantee the delivery of the document by 29
- 4 September.
- 5 (Judges deliberate)
- 6 [11.01.29]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 I'd like to hand the floor to Judge Fenz.
- 9 JUDGE FENZ:
- 10 I am issuing the decision on both requests.
- 11 Firstly, that the discussed DC-Cam interview as attached to the
- 12 email is admitted into evidence according to Internal Rule 87.4.
- 13 Short reasoning: It's standing jurisprudence of this Chamber that
- 14 previous statements of upcoming -- individuals who are coming to
- 15 testify are to be admitted in the interest of justice.
- 16 As to the postponement, the Chamber also grants the request to
- 17 postpone the hearing of the civil party until further notice.
- 18 This further notice will be provided by email. As to the
- 19 reasoning, we basically refer to the request and to the fact that
- 20 all the parties have either not objected or even supported that
- 21 request.
- 22 [11.02.57]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Thank you, Judge Fenz.
- 25 The Chamber will adjourn the proceedings for today and will

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 460 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 22 September 2016

resume after the Pchum Ben recess, and that would mean it will resume on Tuesday, 4 October starting from 9 o'clock. And on that day, the Chamber will hear testimony of expert 2-TCE-81 in relation to Regulation of Marriage. Security personnel, you are instructed to take the two accused, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, back to the detention facility of the ECCC and have them returned to attend the proceedings on Tuesday, 4 October 2016, before 9 o'clock. The Court is now adjourned. (Court adjourns at 1103H)