Declassified to Public 12 June 2020

លេខ / Nº: D299/4



អចិត្តម៉ូឡត់ខេម្ខាគមីដៃមិនដំលាមរដងដំនុ

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux cambodgiens បាន សេសនា ព្រះមហាក្បត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia **Nation Religion King**

Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ಖ್ಯಾಣು ಬ್ಯಾಣಾ ಬ್ಯಾಣ್ಣಾ ಬ್ಯಾಣಾ ಬ್ಯಾಣ್ಣಾ ಬ್ಯಾಣಾ ಬ

Office of the Co-Investigating Judges Bureau des co-juges d'instruction

Case File No: 004/07-09-2009-ECCC-OCIJ

ಶಚಿಕಾಚಬೆ ಟ
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL
ថ្ងៃ វ៉ាន ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de reception):
17 1051 2016
197월 (Time/Heure): 15520
មន្ត្រីមទូលបន្ទកសំណុំអ៊ឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé
du dossier: LAMM PARA

Before:

The Co-Investigating Judges

Date:

17 May 2016

Language(s):

English [Original]

Classification:

CONFIDENTIAL

CONSOLIDATED DECISION ON AO AN'S ADDITIONAL APPLICATIONS TO SEISE THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER WITH A VIEW TO ANNULMENT OF INVESTIGATION OF WAT TA MEAK AND TUOL BENG AND WAT ANGKUONH DEI AND CHARGES RELATING TO TUOL BENG

Distribution:

Pre-Trial Chamber Civil Party Lawyers: **CHET Vanly** Ferdinand DJAMMEN-**HONG Kimsuon NZEPA Co-Prosecutors** Nicole DUMAS KIM Mengkhy CHEA Leang Nicholas KOUMJIAN LOR Chunthy Isabelle DURAND SAM Sokong Françoise GAUTRY **Emmanuel JACOMY** SIN Soworn Ao An Defence Martine JACQUIN MOM Luch TY Srinna Christine MARTINEAU **VEN Pov** Richard ROGERS Barnabe NEKUI Göran SLUITER Linda BEHNKE Laure DESFORGES Lyma NGUYEN Beini YE Herve DIAKIESE

Yim Tith Defence

SO Mosseny

Suzana TOMANOVIĆ

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. Disagreements between the Co-Investigating Judges ("CIJs") in this case were registered on 22 February 2013, 5 April 2013, and 22 January 2015.
- 2. On 27 March 2015, my predecessor charged Ao An with, *inter alia*, crimes against humanity and premediated homicide allegedly committed at Tuol Beng. ¹
- 3. On 9 September 2015, the Ao An Defence ("Defence") filed an application pursuant to Internal Rule 76(2) requesting the CIJs to seise the Pre-Trial Chamber ("PTC") with a view to annulment of the investigation of Wat Ta Meak ("Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application").²
- 4. On 18 November 2015, I issued a decision granting the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application ("18 November 2015 Decision"). The PTC is currently seised of the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application.
- 5. On 18 February 2016, the Defence filed an application pursuant to Internal Rule 76(2) requesting the CIJs to seise the PTC with a view to annulment of the investigation into Tuol Beng and Wat Angkuonh Dei and the charges in relation to Tuol Beng ("Tuol Beng Annulment Application").⁴
- 6. On 14 March 2016, I notified Ao An, through his Co-Lawyers, of further charges against him, which included crimes against humanity and violations of the 1956 Penal Code allegedly committed at Wat Angkuonh Dei and Wat Ta Meak Security Centre ("Further Charges").⁵
- 7. On 27 April 2016, I issued a decision granting the Tuol Beng Annulment Application insofar as it relates to the annulment of investigative actions conducted during the period February 2012 to 24 April 2014, as set out in Annex A of my decision, and denying the remainder of the Application ("27 April 2016 Decision"). The PTC is currently seised of the Tuol Beng Annulment Application, to the extent it was granted in the 27 April 2016 Decision.
- 8. On 27 April 2016, the Defence filed two additional requests concerning the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application ("Additional Wat Ta Meak Application")⁷ and Tuol Beng Annulment Application ("Additional Tuol Beng Application").⁸

¹ Case File No. 004-D242, Written Record of Initial Appearance, 27 March 2015, pp. 6-7.

² Case File No. 004-D263, Application to Seise the Pre-Trial Chamber with a View to Annulment of Investigation of Wat Ta Meak, 9 September 2015.

³ Case File No. 004-D263/1, Decision on Ao An's Internal Rule 76 Application for Annulment of the Investigation of Wat Ta Meak, 18 November 2015, paras. 16-17.

⁴ Case File No. 004-D299, Application to Seise the Pre-Trial Chamber with a View to Annulment of Investigation of Tuol Beng and Wat Angkuonh Dei and Charges Relating to Tuol Beng, 18 February 2016.

⁵ Case File No. 004-D303, Written Record of Further Appearance, 14 March 2016, pp. 5-6, 7, 8.

⁶ Case File No. 004-D299/1, Decision on Ao An's Application to Seise the Pre-Trial Chamber with a View to Annulment of Investigation of Tuol Beng and Wat Angkuonh Dei and Charges Relating to Tuol Beng, 27 April 2016.

⁷ Case File No. 004-D263/2, Additional Request Concerning Ao An's Application to Seise the Pre-Trial Chamber with a View to Annulment of Investigation of Wat Ta Meak, 27 April 2016, para. 1, ("Additional Wat Ta Meak Application").

II. **SUBMISSIONS**

- 9. The Defence submit that the Further Charges laid against Ao An in relation to Wat Ta Meak and Wat Angkuonh Dei are procedurally defective because they result from investigative action which the Defence purport in the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application and Tuol Beng Annulment Application to be procedurally defective.9
- 10. The Defence argue that had my predecessor charged Ao An with crimes at Wat Ta Meak and Wat Angkuonh Dei, the Defence would have included the present applications in the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application and Tuol Beng Annulment Application. Therefore, the Defence request the CIJs to seise the PTC with the Additional Wat Ta Meak Application and Additional Tuol Beng Application with a view to joining them with the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application and Tuol Beng Annulment Application currently before the PTC.¹¹

III. DISCUSSION

- 11. Internal Rule 76(5) provides that where the PTC annuls an investigative action, it shall also decide whether the annulment affects any other actions or orders. The PTC is currently seised of the Tuol Beng Annulment Application and the Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application. Should the PTC decide to annul any of the investigative actions taken in relation to these two crime sites, it will also determine what other actions and orders, including charges, are affected by its findings. It is therefore unnecessary to seise the PTC with the Additional Wat Ta Meak Application and the Additional Tuol Beng Application, because the relief requested therein will be considered by the PTC when reviewing the validity of the investigations on these two crime sites. 12
- 12. The outcome of the annulment motion underlying the 18 November 2015 decision is still open and the decision is therefore at present not determinative of the issue; only the PTC has the power to decide whether an act was procedurally defective.
- 13. Accordingly, I will not further consider whether the two additional applications meet the test for referral to the PTC.
- 14. This decision is filed in English, with a Khmer translation to follow.

¹² See 27 April 2016 Decision, para. 35.



⁸ Case File No. 004-D299/2, Additional Request Concerning Ao An's Application to Seise the Pre-Trial Chamber with a View to Annulment of Investigation of Tuol Beng and Wat Angkuonh Dei and Charges relating to Tuol Beng, 27 April 2016, para. 1, ("Additional Tuol Beng Application").

See Wat Ta Meak Annulment Application, paras 21-52; Additional Wat Ta Meak Application, paras

^{15, 17;} Tuol Beng Annulment Application, paras. 19-24, 39-65; Additional Tuol Beng Application, paras. 10-11.

10 Additional Wat Ta Meak Application, para.17; Additional Tuol Beng Application, para. 10.

10 Additional Tuol Beng Application, para. 2.

¹¹Additional Wat Ta Meak Application, para.3; Additional Tuol Beng Application, para. 2.

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, I:

- 15. **DENY** the Additional Tuol Beng Application and the Additional Wat Ta Meak Application; and
- 16. **INFORM** the Defence that the PTC is already seised of the relief requested in the Additional Tuol Beng Application and the Additional Wat Ta Meak Application.

Dated 17 May 2016, Phnom Penh

Judge Michael Bohlander-

स्कृतिकार्तिकशास्त्रवसाधितप्रणा

International Co-Investigating Judge Co-juge d'instruction international