

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

หอริร์ซุรโละยวยวรูล์อ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

ព្រះពថាណាទត្រកម្ពុ ថា ថាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ວສຄາແຂຶ້ນ

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃ ឆ្នំ ព្នាំ (Date): 13-Apr-2017, 09:07 CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

<u>TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

30 July 2015 Trial Day 307

Before the Judges: NIL N

NIL Nonn, Presiding Martin KAROPKIN Jean-Marc LAVERGNE YA Sokhan YOU Ottara THOU Mony (Reserve) Claudia FENZ (Absent)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: CHEA Sivhoang Matthew MCCARTHY

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL Travis FARR SENG Leang

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

Victor KOPPE LIV Sovanna SON Arun Anta GUISSE KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties: PICH Ang Marie GUIRAUD LOR Chunthy TY Srinna

INDEX

Ms. KHIN Vat (2-TCW-866)

Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 3
Questioning by Ms. GUISSE	page 24
Questioning by Mr. KONG Sam Onn	page 29

Mr. OM Chy (2-TCW-926)

Questioning by The President (NIL Nonn)	page 32
Questioning by Mr. SENG Leang	page 36
Questioning by Mr. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL	page 52
Questioning by Mr. LOR Chunthy	page 77
Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 83
Questioning by Ms. GUISSE	page 96
Questioning by Mr. KONG Sam Onn	page 109

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Mr. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL	French
Mr. FARR	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Ms. GUISSE	French
Ms. KHIN Vat (2-TCW-866)	Khmer
Mr. KONG Sam Onn	Khmer
Mr. KOPPE	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
Mr. LOR Chunthy	Khmer
The President (NIL Nonn)	Khmer
Mr. OM Chy (2-TCW-926)	Khmer
Mr. PICH Ang	Khmer
Mr. SENG Leang	Khmer
Mr. SON Arun	Khmer

1

1	PROCEEDINGS

- 2 (Court opens at 0910H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court continues its proceedings.
- 5 Today, the Chamber continues to hear the remaining testimony of
- 6 <Khin Vat,> the current witness in relation to the Kampong
- 7 Chhnang Airport worksite, and after that we'll commence hearing
- 8 the testimony of another witness -- that is, 2-TCW-926, in
- 9 relation to <allegations regarding> the 1st January Dam worksite.
- 10 Ms. Chea Sivhoang, please report the attendance of the Parties
- 11 and other individuals at today's proceedings.
- 12 [09.11.45]
- 13 THE GREFFIER:
- 14 Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all Parties to this case 15 are present.
- 16 Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell downstairs. He
- 17 requests to waive his direct presence in the courtroom. His
- 18 waiver has been delivered to the greffier.
- 19 The witness who is to conclude her testimony today -- that is,
- 20 Madam Khin Vat, is present and ready in the courtroom.
- 21 We also have a reserve witness today; namely, 2-TCW-926. The
- 22 witness confirms to his best knowledge that he has no
- 23 relationship by blood or by law to any of the two Accused -- that
- 24 is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil parties
- 25 admitted in this case. The witness will take an oath before the

2

- 1 Iron Club Statue this morning before the commencement of his
- 2 testimony. Thank you.
- 3 [09.12.52]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Thank you. And the Chamber now decides on the request by the6 Accused Nuon Chea.

7 The Chamber has received a waiver from the Accused Nuon Chea,

8 dated 30 July 2015, which notes that due to his health; namely,

9 headache, backache, and that he cannot sit and concentrate for

10 long, and in order to effectively participate in future hearings,

11 he requests to waive his rights to participate in and be present

12 at the 30th July 2015 hearing.

Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor for the Accused at the ECCC dated 30th July 2015, who notes that Nuon Chea has a back pain when he sits for long, and recommends that the Chamber <grants> him his request so that he can follow the proceedings remotely from the holding cell downstairs.

18 [09.14.01]

Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea his request to follow today's proceedings remotely from the holding cell downstairs via an audio-visual means.

The AV Unit personnel are instructed to link the proceedings to the room downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow it remotely. That applies for the whole day.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

3

- 1 The Chamber now hands the floor to the defence teams to put
- 2 questions to this witness. First, Nuon Chea's defence team is
- 3 having the floor.
- 4 And you may proceed, Counsel.
- 5 [09.14.46]
- 6 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 8 morning, counsel.
- 9 Q. Good morning, Madam Witness. I have a few questions today that
- 10 I would like to put to you. And I would like to start with
- 11 something that you said yesterday morning, when you were asked
- 12 some questions by the President. And I will read this little
- 13 excerpt from the transcript to you.
- 14 The President, at 11.29 yesterday, asked you: "And before you
- 15 appeared before us, have you reviewed or read the written record
- 16 of your statement in order to refresh your memory?"
- 17 Then you answered this question from the President: "In fact, my
- 18 nephew read it aloud to me."
- 19 Then the President asked you: "And to your best recollection, 20 does the written record of your statement reflect the words that 21 you used during your interview with OCIJ investigators at your 22 house?"
- And then you answered: "Yes, I can. I can recall what I said."
 Then the last question from the President: "Is the written record consistent with what you told the OCIJ investigators during your

4

- 1 interview?"
- 2 Then you answered: "Yes, it is consistent."
- 3 Madam Witness, when exactly did your nephew read aloud your
- 4 statement to you?
- 5 [09.16.50]
- 6 MS. KHIN VAT:
- 7 A. My nephew read the statement aloud to me before my appearance8 yesterday morning.
- 9 Q. And at the time when he read it to you, you didn't think there 10 were parts in the statement which maybe weren't correct, or which 11 you maybe remembered differently?
- 12 A. I may forget some because I cannot remember everything. My
- 13 memory is <limited>.
- Q. Do you recall the interview in 2009, the interview from the -taken by the investigator of the Office of the Investigating Judges?
- 17 A. I actually almost forgot what I said as it was quite a long 18 time ago when the statement was made. <Moreover, my health was 19 also deteriorating due to sickness.> However, it refreshed my 20 memory when my nephew read it aloud to me. And I recall that I 21 was asked to be summoned to appear before this Court, and I said 22 that I would appear before this Court if my health permits. And 23 upon the statement being read aloud to me, it refreshed my 24 memory. But I cannot recall every point that I said to the OCIJ 25 investigators at the time of the interview.

E1/326.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

5

1	[09.19.20]
2	Q. I understand, but do you remember whether the statement that
3	you gave six years ago, whether that testimony was read out to
4	you by the investigator, and then after hearing it, you signed
5	it? Do you recall any of that?
б	A. I did not review the statement; however, I told them that I
7	<was> willing to be a witness if my health permits.</was>
8	Q. I understand, Madam Witness, but if my understanding is also
9	correct, you are not able to read and write. But do you recall
10	whether the investigator that interviewed you six years ago read
11	back to you what you had stated to him?
12	A. I do not recall that.
13	[09.21.03]
14	Q. The reason, Madam Witness, that I'm asking these questions is
15	that there seem to be quite some discrepancies between what you
16	testified to yesterday, and what you have stated earlier, six
17	years ago, before the investigator. We have counted about eight
18	examples of possible discrepancies. Would you be able to shed
19	some light on this? Or should I, for instance, point you to one
20	or two examples, and then ask your answer?
21	A. I do not recall every point, as I stated earlier. And you can
22	ask me questions on whatever point you wish to. And if I recall
23	it, I will say so. If not, I can tell you that I do not remember.
24	Q. I shall try with one example. Madam Witness, yesterday no,
25	let me first go to what you said in your statement that is,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

б

1	E3/5284.
2	Mr. President, Khmer, page 00315914; French, 00375492; and Khmer,
3	00304364; in your statement to the investigator, you said that
4	while working at Pochentong Airport, you accompanied the Chinese
5	to study at Kampong Chhnang Airport about three times a month.
6	Yesterday, you testified that you never went with the Chinese
7	team, and that you only went to Kampong Chhnang the first time in
8	1977 for a week to marry your husband.
9	[09.23.44]
10	MR. FARR:
11	Mr. President, I would just draw the Chamber's attention to the
12	fact that the witness did testify that she was cooking for
13	Chinese guests at Kampong Chhnang Airport for about a week on one
14	occasion. It's not an objection, it's just to remind everyone
15	what her evidence was, that she was at Kampong Chhnang Airport,
16	and she was cooking for Chinese. So she was there with the
17	Chinese guests at one point.
18	BY MR. KOPPE:
19	Thank you for this observation, Mr. Prosecutor.
20	Q. Is there a discrepancy between the two, or am I mistaken,
21	Madam Witness?
22	[09.24.35]
23	MS. KHIN VAT:
24	A. I think I already made my statement and I cannot recall every

point that I told the OCIJ investigators at my home during the 25

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

б

7

1 interview. I can tell you that I didn't stay for long in Kampong 2 Chhnang. I was there to get married, and then I cooked for a week 3 for them. And my second trip to Kampong Chhnang was that I was reassigned to work in the rice field near the Kampong Chhnang 4 Airport worksite <during an entire rice-farming season>. 5 MR. PRESIDENT:

7 Parties, please try to carefully read the transcript and the 8 responses by the witness. <Having reviewed the Khmer version of 9 her statement, > I think the witness <was> actually correct in 10 making her response. And yesterday, Ven Pov, the lawyer for civil 11 parties, also got confused. The statement in the transcript by 12 the witness is that she <came> with the Chinese quests. And it 13 was the Chinese guests who made <frequent> trips to the airport 14 site -- that is, about three times per month. <>. And it was not 15 her who actually went to the airport<, but it> was the Chinese 16 team that went to the airport <three times per month. She made it 17 clear that she went there with the Chinese who were going to see 18 the airport three times a month. This is what I understand from 19 the Khmer version.> And that point was not clearly transmitted, 20 and that was -- that led to the question being asked by the 21 lawyer for civil parties, Ven Pov, yesterday.

22 [09.26.55]

23 BY MR KOPPE:

24 Let me try another example then, Mr. President.

25 Q. In your statement to the investigators, you said that you

8

1	overheard the talks of airport leaders, senior leaders such as
2	Lvey and Thuok. Yesterday, I believe, you said that you minded
3	your own business, and that you tried not to listen to what
4	others were saying. So my question is: Did you overhear the talks
5	of the leaders at the Kampong Chhnang Airport site or didn't you?
6	And were you just minding your own business?
7	MS. KHIN VAT:
8	A. While I was cooking for them, they were speaking amongst
9	themselves about the work plan, but I did not pay attention to
10	that. I only knew that they were talking about the work plan for
11	the airport worksite.
12	Q. One last example and then I will move on, Madam Witness.
13	Yesterday, you were asked questions about alleged suicides at
14	Kampong Chhnang Airport. Yesterday, you gave two possible
15	examples. However, in your statement to the investigators of the
16	Investigating Judge, you said that suicide by running under the
17	wheels of trucks took place "very often". Is your testimony from
18	yesterday correct, or is what you said to the investigator
19	correct?
20	[09.29.27]
21	A. I did not know <how happened="" it="" often="">. I knew <only> one case</only></how>
22	<where> a man ran into a truck and killed himself. <i overheard<="" th=""></i></where>
23	this when the truck drivers were talking among themselves.>
24	Q. Thank you, Madam Witness. I will move on now to some other
25	questions. Yesterday you also gave testimony about your missing

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

9

1	husband; Laoth is his name, I understand. Is it possible that he
2	was a commanding officer in the handicapped unit of Division 502?
3	A. I do not know about his real position; however, I heard people
4	say that he came from the children's handicapped unit.
5	Q. But do you recall whether he had a rank? Whether he was a
6	commander?
7	A. I knew that he had a supervisory role, though I <did> not know</did>
8	his actual rank or position.
9	[09.31.36]
10	Q. Do you know whether he was a member of the handicapped unit
11	because he was because he had been wounded in the war?
12	A. He <lost> his leg and that's what I could observe.</lost>
13	Q. And did he tell you that he was injured during battle? If yes,
14	how did it come about? Did he tell you that?
15	A. I do not remember that. I do not know whether I asked that
16	question or not. I cannot remember it. I only knew that he was
17	injured in a battlefield, although I do not know which
18	battlefield he was injured in. He was from Division 11.
19	Q. And was that division part of the Southwest Zone forces? Do
20	you know that?
21	A. I do not know about that. I do not know whether Division 11
22	was from <kandal or="" province="" the=""> Southwest Zone. <></kandal>
23	[09.34.08]
24	Q. Are you saying that you had no idea where the Southwest Zone
25	was? Is that my understanding?

10

1	A. That is correct. I also I also did not know whether
2	Division 11 was part of <the> Southwest Zone.</the>
3	Q. I will return to your husband. I'll make a sidestep to the
4	Southwest Zone. You said in the very first answer to the question
5	to the investigators that and I quote: "Before 17 April '75, I
6	was a soldier in Battalion 304 of Division 502 under the command
7	of Khon in the Southwest, based in Samraong,
8	Kampong Speu." And at the end of that same answer, you said: "I
9	knew that Ta Mok was in charge of the Southwest." Can you explain
10	that to me, please?
11	A. I only <knew> that he was within the Southwest Zone, and I</knew>
12	myself <did> not know how many divisions that there were in the</did>
13	Southwest Zone. I knew that Division 502 was part of the
14	Southwest Zone. People said that <ta mok=""> was in charge of the</ta>
15	Southwest <zone>.</zone>
16	[09.36.43]
17	Q. Very well, Madam Witness. I will move on, and again to your
18	husband. Yesterday you said that you were "required" to marry
19	Laoth, who became your husband, and that, if I understand your
20	testimony correctly, the marriage wasn't consensual. Do you
21	remember who asked you to marry Laoth? Who was it, and what did
22	he say?
23	A. I had no choice back then. I said that I <surrendered myself<="" th=""></surrendered>
24	to Angkar and> would follow Angkar, no matter what the decision
25	from Angkar was. I had no choice. I would follow Angkar.

1	Q. I understand, Madam Witness, but do you recall who it was that
2	asked you to marry Laoth? And whether he or she and what
3	and whether he or she said something when he required this? Do
4	you remember anything of that conversation?
5	A. I cannot recall his name; perhaps <mao> (phonetic). It is in</mao>
б	the I cannot think of it. He said that Angkar required me to
7	marry a man at Kampong Chhnang Airport worksite. I was told that
8	at 5 a.m. <> the <next> morning, I had to be ready <to depart<="" td=""></to></next>
9	for> Kampong Chhnang. I did not know the <> man, my future
10	husband, at that time, and I only learned of his name when I met
11	him <in evening="" on="" our="" the=""> wedding <day. we=""> had never seen</day.></in>
12	<each other=""> before that time.</each>
13	[09.39.39]
14	Q. Do you remember whether the person who asked you to marry
15	Laoth said anything about his injury from the battlefield?
16	A. He did not tell me at that time. He only told me his name,
17	Laoth, and he said that he was based in Kampong Chhnang.
18	Q. Thank you, Madam Witness. I will move on to the next question.
19	In your statement to the investigators, you said that you, at one
20	point in time, joined the National Salvation Front. Do you recall
21	why it was that you joined the National Salvation Front?
22	A. I was stationed in a base area at that time. I was stationed
23	in my village and commune. Cadres came to villages and communes
24	and encouraged women to join a study session; that there was a
25	coup against the late King. We were told that the Lon Nol regime

1 was supported by <the> Americans and <Thieu and Ky>, and they 2 encouraged us<. If we loved the nation, and wished to save it and 3 to sacrifice our life for its sake, we were to join the <front, and we could do that via> cadres at <> village or commune level. 4 After I learned about this, I made a decision that <I would not 5 be able to help my country much by just remaining as a village б 7 lady. I put all my faith in the Salvation> Front to liberate the 8 country. Back then, people loved <and respected> the late King. 9 For this reason, everyone volunteered to <join the Salvation 10 Front to> liberate the country. 11 [09.42.42]12 Q. In your written record of interview, you were asked that same

question as well. It's the second question in this document, and you said to the investigators: "At that time, there was a movement in the countryside among the people, in order to save the country from the oppression of the capitalists and feudalists who were exploiting the poor." Do you remember saying that to the investigators?

19 A. I could recall it. At that time, I joined the Front and 20 committed to follow the line of the Front. I was trained by 21 cadres <who worked> in the commune and local areas.

22 Q. Do you recall what you meant when you said that the

23 capitalists and the feudalists were oppressing and exploiting the 24 poor?

25 A. In my opinion, at that time, capitalists were the rich and

13

1	powerful, to my understanding, and they oppressed us. And they
2	did not let us <> have any freedom, or <> have the right of
3	association. And they prevented us from <earning i<="" living.="" our="" td=""></earning>
4	was of the opinion that they were dictators.>
5	[09.45.23]
6	Q. Thank you, madam, for that explanation. I would like now to
7	move on to the Kampong Chhnang airfield itself. Yesterday you
8	were asked questions about the military, or the soldiers, that
9	you saw working at the airfield site. Do you recall you were
10	asked the question, but I will ask it in general terms first
11	do you recall where the various divisions were coming from? From
12	which parts of the country?
13	A. I heard them say, or my colleagues say that they were from the
14	east. This is all what I know. They were from the east <and> the</and>
15	southwest.
16	Q. Thank you, Madam Witness. In your statement, you answered that
17	there were two divisions from the east, one division from the
18	north, and two divisions from the southwest. Could that be
19	correct as well?
20	A. Yes, that is correct. This is my understanding.
21	[09.47.20]
22	Q. Do you remember the numbers of these divisions? You yourself
23	were from Division 502, but do you remember the numbers of the
24	two divisions from the east?
25	A. I <did> not know <their numbers="">. I was there for a short</their></did>

14

1	period of time, and my work colleagues in the rice field
2	<mentioned numbers="" the="">, but I could not recall it. What I <knew< td=""></knew<></mentioned>
3	was> that there were different people from <three> different</three>
4	divisions working in that site. <i did="" division<="" know="" not="" td="" their=""></i>
5	numbers.>
6	Q. And do you remember whether the soldiers belonging the
7	number of the soldiers belonging to the divisions from the

8 southwest, whether that number was the same, or roughly the same, 9 as the soldiers that were coming from the two divisions from the 10 east? In other words, are you able to tell so many soldiers from 11 the east, so many soldiers from the north, and so many soldiers 12 from the Southwest Zone?

13 [09.49.05]

14 A. <From my own> analysis <>, there were many soldiers from <the 15 divisions of> the north and the east <as they were working on 16 many aspects of work.> There were not so many soldiers <from> 17 Division 502 working at the worksite.

18 Q. Yesterday you testified that you saw "many people in military 19 uniforms". Were you able to make a distinction on the basis of 20 your recognition of uniforms, whether soldiers were coming from the East Zone, the North Zone, or the Southwest Zone? 21 22 A. Soldiers had the same uniform. However, people who were 23 working with the Chinese wore different uniforms; namely, those 24 who <were building the runways, those who were installing> 25 electrical wire, <those who were constructing the five-storey

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

15

building, and so on>. But to me, soldiers had the same military 1 2 uniform. 3 Q. So just to be sure, all the military that you saw, they were all wearing the same uniform? The same would apply to soldiers 4 from the East Zone, the North Zone, and the Southwest Zone; is 5 that correct? б 7 A. Yes, that is true. They had the same uniforms to wear. 8 [09.52.03] Q. Were there also soldiers wearing green uniforms, rather than 9 10 black uniforms? A. No. 11 12 Q. Yesterday, you confirmed something that you had also said to 13 the investigators, that the forces from the -- or the military from the east who were working at the site, were from a division 14 15 or unit from which the chief was removed. Do you remember the 16 name of the chief of these East Zone forces that was removed? A. I <did> not know their names, and I <did> not have the full 17 18 knowledge. Upon my arrival, I noticed only the presence of 19 low-ranking soldiers<, not their chiefs>. And soldiers from 20 Division 502 were assigned to be in charge of other working men. 21 Division 502 led the working forces, and they received 22 instruction from the Chinese. As I told the Court already, I was 23 there working for a brief period of time only. 24 Q. And do you know whether the reason that Division 502 soldiers 25 were in charge was because Division 502 was also known as the Air

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

|--|

- 1 Force?
- 2 A. Yes, it is true.
- 3 [09.54.54]

Q. When you were sent to work at the rice fields close to Kampong Chhnang airfield, was that because you were a soldier belonging to Division 502? That the instruction to work there was within the realm of your normal duties as a 502 division soldier? A. Back then, I learnt all the matters from Thuok. <It was on his order that I was> called <from> Ou Baek K'am, and <sent to> do the rice farming at that worksite.

- 11 Q. But when he instructed or ordered you to do that, was that a
- 12 normal military order, as any other orders that you would
- 13 regularly receive as a soldier?
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Please wait, Madam Witness. You have the floor, Lead Co-Lawyer
- 16 for civil Parties.
- 17 [09.56.50]
- 18 MS. GUIRAUD:

19 Thank you, <Mr.> President. I have a brief remark. <The Chamber 20 and the Parties should correct me, if necessary, because> I 21 haven't read the transcripts again <before the beginning of the 22 hearing, and I am simply reading> my notes, <but> I believe that 23 the witness was very clear yesterday <about the fact> that she 24 <had been> sent to the Kampong Chhnang Airport as a form of 25 punishment. And so this is in complete contradiction with what

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

17

the witness stated yesterday. <Our> colleague's questions <seem 1 2 to me to be particularly> leading <>, and I <would simply ask him 3 to rephrase the question much more generally because I> think there's a complete contradiction with what was stated yesterday 4 5 by the witness. <> MR. PRESIDENT: б 7 Judge Lavergne, you may now proceed. 8 JUDGE LAVERGNE: 9 <Yes, > Mr. Koppe, could you tell us what <> a <normal> military 10 order <is>? Is there a difference <between that and> an 11 extraordinary military order? < And what would it be>? 12 [09.57.58]13 MR. KOPPE: Well, there are many kinds of normal military orders. I think an 14 15 average soldier, also in peacetime in every country, would get 16 many orders per day, meaning go to the shooting range, do this, do that. The same, of course, applies to the soldiers working 17 18 within Division 502. 19 And to reply to the civil party lawyers, one doesn't necessarily 20 exclude the other. It can be a military order on the one hand, 21 and some form of punishment on the other hand. It's still a 22 military order. So I think I'm entitled to ask that question. 23 There is not necessarily, from a military law perspective, a

24 discrepancy between the two.

25 (Judges deliberate)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

	-
1	0
	8

- 1 [09.59.42]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Mr. Koppe, you may resume your line of questioning. You may
- 4 <rephrase the> last question you put to this witness <as she may
- 5 have forgotten what your question was>.
- 6 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Q. Repress or repeat? I heard "repress".
- 8 THE KHMER INTERPRETER:
- 9 Correction from the interpreter: rephrase.
- 10 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 11 Rephrase. Thank you, Mr. President.

Q. Madam Witness, when you were ordered or instructed by your superior commander to go to Kampong Chhnang airfield, was that a military order as you would receive normally, or as you would have received prior to your mission of going to Kampong Chhnang airfield?

- 18 MS. KHIN VAT:

19 A. I <had no impression that I was still considered> a soldier 20 <as I had been placed in a women unit whose members were spouses 21 of soldiers. Having received the order, I packed up> my 22 belongings <and headed for> the rice field. I had to go <as 23 ordered>. 24 Q. What do you mean when you say that you were "no longer a

25 soldier"? I'm not sure if I understand. Maybe something in the

1 translation. But can you explain what you mean with that? 2 A. After I had <been> married <to> my husband, and <by the time of> my <husband's disappearance>, I stopped working with the 3 Chinese as a cook. I was reassigned to <a womens unit and tasked 4 to> do the rice farming <at> Ou Baek K'am<. On one occasion>, I 5 was told to pack my belongings <in order to go> to work in б 7 Kampong Chhnang <. By that time, > I was thinking that I was 8 deprived of the status of a soldier. I was required to work as an 9 ordinary worker doing the rice farming <to support the army. I 10 was thinking that I was deprived of my former rights>. 11 Q. I think I understand your answer now. But were you were 12 officially dismissed as a soldier? Did you have a letter, for 13 instance or an official notification that you were no longer a soldier of 502? 14 15 [10.03.25]A. No, <not any form of> official or formal <notification. 16 17 However, the moment I was removed from> being a cook for the 18 Chinese, <> I realised that <my entitlements as> a soldier <could 19 have been withdrawn. This is only my personal presumption>. There 20 was no official or formal letter <from the commander regarding my 21 reassignment. They simply> reassigned <me> to do <> rice farming 22 <in> Kampong Chhnang. 23 Q. But when you were done with the rice farming at Kampong 24 Chhnang, what did you do then?

25 A. After I worked in the paddy field, I worked with other

20

1	<elderly> women to produce fertilisers. <the man="" my<="" th="" was="" who=""></the></elderly>
2	superior instructed me to lead those elderly women, who were
3	considered the secondary or weak workforce,> to cut kantreang
4	khet <plants course="" day,<="" during="" fertilisers="" make="" of="" td="" the="" to=""></plants>
5	and we were to chop them up in the evening> to make fertiliser
б	for the <rice fields="">. And that <was what=""> happened <on> the</on></was></rice>
7	location to the south of the Preah Theat (phonetic) pagoda.
8	[10.05.12]
9	Q. Where were you when you heard the gunshots from the Vietnamese
10	invading the country? Do you recall?
11	A. We <became frightened="" very="">, and the situation was chaotic</became>
12	throughout the airport. Some women <were delivering=""> their babies</were>
13	on <a> truck. Everyone tried to board a truck, and we were so
14	afraid that <> we <would> not survive.</would>
15	Q. Did you jump on the military truck when you were escaping from
16	the Vietnamese?
17	A. Those women <with> young babies, <and> who were pregnant, were</and></with>
18	allowed to board the military trucks <heading westward="">. I myself</heading>
19	was asked to lend support to help those women, pregnant women and
20	women with young babies, to board the truck. <i also="" boarded="" td="" the<=""></i>
21	truck and left with them.> And from that point onward, <people< td=""></people<>
22	from my unit were scattering all over the place.> I did not know
23	<where heading="" those="" were="" women="">.</where>
24	Q. And did you escape yourself? Did you go to the west as well?
25	A. I went along with other people on the truck.

21

1 Q. A military truck?

A. Yes, <they were military trucks given by the Chinese> to the Cambodians <to use for the sake of the airport construction. In such an emergency situation, the drivers used the trucks to transport people, while those who could walk were fleeing via Krang Skear heading for Aoral mountain. Those who could hardly walk, including pregnant women and women with children, were transported by those trucks.>.

9 [10.08.17]

Q. The fact that you were able to flee the Vietnamese on a military truck, does that not imply that you are still a member of Division 502?

13 MR. FARR:

14 Mr. President, I think that question is basically an argument. I 15 don't think that it's anything that the witness is going to be 16 able to help us with.

17 MR. KOPPE:

18 Mr. President, I know there is quite some testimony referred to 19 in secondary sources that many soldiers were not very happy with 20 the fact that they were asked to do all kinds of things that they 21 didn't consider to be military. Maybe that is the same thing with 22 this witness. I'm trying to figure out whether her discontent 23 with her tasks didn't actually mean that she was discharged 24 formally as a military.

25 (Judges deliberate)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

	22
1	[10.09.31]
2	MR. FARR:
3	Your Honour, I think it still amounts to an argument. I mean, the
4	inference that he wants is that if she was on a military truck,
5	she was therefore still a soldier. She's already told us
б	everything she can, I think, about what she knows about what her
7	status as a soldier or not was at that time.
8	MR. KOPPE:
9	I still think I can ask the question whether she was still
10	considered a Division 502 member, yes or no. And if the answer is
11	no, then I'm sure she'll be able to say so.
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	The National Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties, you have the
14	floor.
15	MR. PICH ANG:
16	<i clarify="" like="" point.="" the="" to="" would=""> I listened attentively to</i>
17	the witness' statement<. She never> said she was no longer a
18	soldier. <however, she=""> used words to the effect that she thought</however,>
19	that she was no longer <considered> a soldier, or that she made a</considered>
20	conclusion that she was no longer a soldier, that she was feeling
21	that she was no longer a soldier. That's what went behind her
22	mind. But she never said that she actually left the military.
23	[10.11.01]
24	MR. PRESIDENT:

25 All the objections raised are not sustained, and overruled. And

23

1 the Counsel, you may specify your question again to the witness. 2 BY MR. KOPPE: 3 Thank you, Mr. President. Q. Madam Witness, you just testified that you escaped the 4 5 Vietnamese by boarding a military truck. Having heard that, my б question to you -- to you was: Were you at the time that you 7 boarded that military truck still a member of Division 502? 8 MS. KHIN VAT: 9 A. At that time I was still attached to Division 502. 10 [10.12.07]MR. KOPPE: 11 12 Thank you, Madam Witness. Thank you, Mr. President MR. PRESIDENT: 13 14 Thank you, Counsel. It is now appropriate for our short break. 15 We'll take a break now and resume at 10.30 <a.m.> 16 Court officer, please assist the witness during the break time at 17 the waiting room for civil parties and witnesses, and usher her 18 into the courtroom again at 10.30 <a.m.> 19 The Court is now in recess. 20 (Court recesses from 1012H to 1030H) MR. PRESIDENT: 21 22 Please be seated. The Court is back in session. 23 The floor is now given to the defence teams but first I would 24 like to know whether Mr. Koppe has further questions to put to 25 this witness, if you do not have, you have the floor counsel for Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

24

- 1 Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 2 QUESTIONING BY MS. GUISSE:

3 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning to all, and good morning,

4 Madam Khin Vat. I would like to introduce myself. I am Anta

5 Guisse and I am <International> Co-Counsel <> for Mr. Khieu

6 Samphan and <it is in this capacity that> I will ask you a few

7 brief questions <> for clarification on your testimony.

8 Q. Now, first point because in the French translation<, it was 9 not> very clear <--> you said that when you <got> married to your 10 husband, you spent a week in Kampong Chhnang. Do you remember the 11 date of your wedding?

12 MS. KHIN VAT:

A. I got married in 1977. I cannot recall the month; perhaps itwas in late 1977.

15 [10.32.35]

16 Q. <Specifically, you> said that you <had been> reassigned to 17 Kampong Chhnang <approximately> at the end of 1977. <So, using> 18 the date <> you were reassigned to Kampong Chhnang to work in the 19 rice <fields>, could you give us <at least> an idea <of a time 20 frame>? Was it a month, two <months, or> three months before you 21 <got> married <or another time estimate? Might that> help you 22 situate yourself on the timeline? 23 If you don't remember<, I don't want to make it difficult for

24 you>. It's only that<, as> you said you had been assigned <after, 25 do you have a time frame or not? If> you don't remember, just say

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

25

1 so. 2 A. I do not recall it. Q. No problem. < However, regarding a specific fact, you> said 3 4 that after your wedding you spent a week with your husband. Did 5 you work during that week in the kitchen <while you were spending> time with your husband? б 7 A. <When> I was <living> with my husband<, I worked> in the 8 kitchen. < During the day when he went to work, I cooked for the barracks of> Chan Sari<. And> my husband would come to spend time 9 10 with me at night-time <during those> seven days. 11 [10.35.14]12 Q. Thank you for <that clarification>. You said that you worked in rice fields following that, rice fields that were located 13 14 <about one> kilometre from the Kampong Chhnang Airport<, and you> 15 said that you worked with women soldiers from the East Zone<. My 16 question is:> did you have a <> specific function within that 17 unit, when you worked in the rice fields? 18 A. I was instructed to be in charge of <a unit whose members 19 were> soldiers' wives in the second force or Force Number 2<. 20 Those women with children and I were assigned> to <> collect 21 kantreang <khaet plants> to make fertilisers. <I was assigned to 22 lead those women to do the task.> 23 Q. And this happened as soon as you arrived <at> the rice field 24 next to the Kampong Chhnang Airport <? It was right when> you were 25 assigned <that you began this work>?

26

1	A. <upon arrival="" my="">, I rested at my location near the Preah</upon>
2	Theat (phonetic) pagoda. One day <later,> there was a meeting</later,>
3	<during assigned="" i="" was="" which=""> to be in charge of the <elderly< td=""></elderly<></during>
4	women who were considered> the second force or Force Number 2. I
5	was the one who led the group making fertilisers.
б	[10.37.55]
7	Q. Does this mean that you had some command authority <over></over>
8	these women?
9	A. Back then I received plan or instructions from my <superior< td=""></superior<>
10	who was a> male. He instructed me to <lead> the work force to</lead>
11	make fertilisers so I was in charge of the married women, I mean
12	the wives of the soldiers <tasked make="" to=""> fertilisers.</tasked>
13	Q. Another point I would like to ask. Do you remember <if,></if,>
14	during the year 1977, when you were <working> in the rice</working>
15	<fields,> any soldiers from Division 502 or other divisions<, if</fields,>
16	you know about them,> were sent to fight the Vietnamese troops </td
17	Do> you remember this?
18	A. At that time I did not know whether they were sent to fight
19	against the Vietnamese. <i all="" just="" knew="" of="" that="" them=""> were</i>
20	working with the Chinese at the airport worksite. I <did> not</did>
21	know whether <any of="" them="" were=""> sent to fight against the</any>
22	Vietnamese.
23	[10.40.51]
24	Q. You said <several> times that you stayed brief</several>

25 time <working in> the rice fields near Kampong Chhnang Airport;

27

1	do you remember <about> how long it was? <i in="" that,="" thought=""> my</i></about>	
2	notes, I wrote down "for six months" but <i'm i'd="" like<="" not="" sure.="" th=""></i'm>	
3	you to clarify. Do> you remember, approximately, how many months	
4	you worked on that assignment?	
5	A. <> I can recall <> that I <had sown=""> the seeds <and< th=""></and<></had>	
6	transplanted the seedlings, but> the rice was not yet <ready for=""></ready>	
7	harvest<. The rice had not been harvested yet when we fled. That	
8	is what> I can recall<>.	
9	Q. From <your> answer, I understand that you left before the rice</your>	
10	was harvested; is that the case?	
11	A. Yes, that is what I said.	
12	Q. Could you tell me, generally speaking, during which month of	
13	the year is the rice usually harvested?	
14	A. The harvest took place mostly in December.	
15	Q. You spoke of <an> incident of suicide, and my colleague raised</an>	
16	it again this morning, which was <reported to="" you=""> by a driver<,</reported>	
17	you said yesterday. Do> you remember the name of the driver who	
18	told you about <this incident="">?</this>	
19	A. No, I do not remember. During the resting time, the drivers	
20	were chit chatting <among themselves=""> about that matter and I did</among>	
21	not try to find out what <had> happened or the <name> of that</name></had>	
22	person. I <just drivers="" incident="" overheard="" the="" when=""> were</just>	
23	discussing <among themselves="">.</among>	
24	[10.43.25]	

25 Q. I would like to <come back now to what you> said yesterday

28

1	<about> a visit <by> Khieu Samphan<you said=""> that you hadn't</you></by></about>
2	seen him personally<, but> that it was a friend of yours who told
3	you about it. Could you tell us the name of this person and could
4	you tell us if it was a man or a woman?
5	A. <it> was a man; his name was <sokun (phonetic)="">. He was</sokun></it>
б	working with the Chinese <in area="" of="" runways.<="" surveying="" th="" the=""></in>
7	He> told me about that matter.
8	Q. And do you remember what his job was?
9	A. He was of Division 502; he was <carrying a<="" th=""></carrying>
10	telescope, and> accompanying <the> Chinese <while surveying="" th="" the<=""></while></the>
11	runways>.
12	Q. I know this was a long time ago, but do you remember the
13	<exact> words he used <> when he spoke of Khieu Samphan's visit?</exact>
14	[10.45.31]
15	A. I cannot recall it. Later on I knew that the soldiers who were
16	working at the site were allowed to watch a film at night <when< th=""></when<>
17	those> soldiers <> working <there a="" day-off="" given="" were=""> on</there>
18	Sunday. <rumours among="" around="" colleagues="" my="" needed<="" th="" that="" we="" went=""></rumours>
19	to continue working hard as our> condition <> would be better. I
20	could recall that <i to="" used=""> go and watch a film at the</i>
21	five-storey house.
22	Q. <do know="" you=""> who the <chief of="" staff=""> of Democratic Kampuchea</chief></do>
23	<was at="" basically,="" commander-in-chief="" of="" th="" that="" the="" the<="" time=""></was>
24	Army did, or do> you know who it was?
25	A. I <did> not know who <> the commander <was>; I <did> not know</did></was></did>

29 the senior <leaders or high-ranking> people. 1 2 Q. <Does the name> Son Sen <> ring a bell? 3 A. I <> heard of his name; however, I did not know his face at that time and I did not know his position and duty as well <>. 4 5 Q. Do you <> know what his revolutionary <alias> was? б A. No. 7 [10.48.15]Q. <And do> you know what Khieu Samphan's revolutionary <alias> 8 9 was? 10 A. No, I cannot recall it. I did not know his alias name. 11 Q. Yesterday you <said> that someone had told you that Khieu 12 Samphan was called Uncle Number Two. Did you hear any other names 13 <besides this Uncle Number Two from> this person who mentioned 14 <this to you>? 15 A. I heard people <referring to him as> Uncle Number Two; that is 16 all what I know. I <knew> nothing else. 17 MS. GUISSE: 18 Thank you, Madam <>. 19 I don't have any more questions, Mr. President. <My> colleague, 20 Mr. Kong Sam Onn, has some follow-up questions <>. MR. PRESIDENT: 21 22 You have the floor now, Counsel Kong Sam Onn. 23 [10.50.00]24 OUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:

25 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Madam Witness, Khin Vat.

	30	
1	I have short questions in relation to the time while you were	
2	working in the rice field at Kampong Chhnang worksite.	
3	Q. You stated that you got married in 1977 and you also stated	
4	that you did not recall the month. You mentioned as well you were	
5	working in rice field near Kampong Chhnang Airport less than six	
б	months. Can you tell the Court <about last="" left="" td="" the="" the<="" time="" you=""></about>	
7	rice field in Kampong Chhnang?>	
8	MR. FARR:	
9	Your Honours, I don't recall the witness saying that she worked	
10	there for less than six months and I would appreciate a	
11	reference. I know Madam Guisse represented that was in her notes	
12	but I'm not aware of the witness having said that.	
13	MR. KONG SAM ONN:	
14	Mr. President, I can look into my notes. I took note yesterday	
15	what she said. From my recollection, witness said that she was	
16	working in the rice field at Kampong Chhnang worksite for less	
17	than six months. Perhaps I can ask the witness to clarify the	
18	matter.	
19	[10.51.48]	
20	MR. KOPPE:	
21	It is in the transcript; in English transcript, six months.	
22	MR. FARR:	
23	Could you give us a page number for that?	
24	MS. GUISSE:	
<u> </u>		

25 <Pardon me, it> is a bit before 15.37 <> yesterday, thanks to my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

2	1
	<u> </u>

- 1 team's efficiency.
- 2 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 May I resume my line of questioning, Mr. President? Thank you Mr.
- 4 President.
- 5 Q. I would like to go back to the last question I put to you a
- 6 while ago. You already stated that you left Kampong Chhnang
- 7 worksite by <a> military truck when the Vietnamese <were
- 8 entering> the country, could you tell the Court when it was,
- 9 whether it was in late <1978 or early 1979>?
- 10 [10.53.16]
- 11 MS. KHIN VAT:
- 12 A. Yes, it is true that I fled Kampong Chhnang worksite. I recall 13 that I fled that worksite in 1979.
- Q. Thank you. Concerning the timeline, you stated particularly in relation to the time when you were working in the rice field, you stated that immediately after you arrived at Kampong Chhnang you sowed the seeds and the harvest was not yet done at that time when you fled the <site>. What kind of rice were you doing --
- 19 were you <farming>?
- 20 A. It was called the <heavy rice;> and it <took> six months21 <before> the harvest <could take place>.
- 22 Q. Thank you. So is it correct to say that you went to Kampong
- 23 Chhnang in mid-1978?
- 24 A. It <could be> right; I may have forgotten the year.
- 25 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

32

- 1 Thank you Madam Witness. I conclude my line of questioning Mr.
- 2 President.
- 3 [10.55.21]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:

5 The hearing of your testimony is now concluded. Thank you very much, Madam Khin Vat. Thank you for spending time giving б 7 testimony as a witness, yesterday <afternoon> and this morning. Your testimony will contribute to justice and to the truth. You 8 9 may now be excused; you may go to any place you would like to go. 10 I wish you good luck and safe trip. 11 Court officer, please work with WESU to send Madam Khin Vat back 12 to her preferred destination. 13 Now the Chamber continues to hear 2-TCW-926 concerning the 1st 14 January Dam, and the Chamber would like to inform the Parties 15 that if we cannot conclude to hear 2-TCW-926 <this afternoon>, 16 the Chamber will summon this witness to come back and testify at 17 a later stage. 18 Court officer, please usher 2-TCW-926 into the courtroom. 19 (Witness 2-TCW-926 enters courtroom) 20 [10.58.20]21 OUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT: 22 Good morning, Mr. Witness. What is your name? 23 MR. OM CHY: 24 A. My name is Om Chy. 25 Q. Thank you, Mr. Om Chy. When were you born?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

33

Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone -- that is, when you 1 2 see the red light on the tip of the microphone, then you can 3 begin speaking. A. I was born in 1952. 4 5 0. And <> where <were> you born? б Again, Mr. Witness, please wait a moment before you speak, and 7 that would give a little bit of time for the Court to interpret 8 your statement into other official languages so that all Parties 9 can hear your testimony in the <three> official languages of the 10 Court. 11 A. I was born in Chey Mongkol village, Ballangk commune, Baray 12 district, Kampong Thom province. [11.00.02]13 14 Q. <What> is your current address? 15 A. I live at Yeay Tieng village, Ballangk commune, Baray 16 district, Kampong Thom province. 17 Q. What are the names of your mother and father? 18 A. My father is Um Chun and my mother is Bun Sun and my wife's 19 name is Hin Vuth; we have six children. 20 Q. Thank you. And Mr. Om Chy, to your best knowledge and ability, 21 are you related by blood or by law to any of the two Accused -22 that is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil 23 parties admitted in Case 002? 24 A. No. I am not related to any of the two Accused or to any of 25 the civil parties. Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

34

- 1 Q. Have you taken an oath before the Iron Club Statue this
- 2 morning before your appearance?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 [11.01.28]
- 5 Q. Thank you. The Chamber now informs you of your right and6 obligation.
- 7 As witness before the proceedings in this Court, you may refuse 8 to respond to any question or to make any comment which you think 9 could incriminate you; that is your right against
- 10 self-incrimination. And on your obligation as a witness, you must 11 respond to all questions put to you by the Bench or by relevant 12 Parties except where you think your responses or comments would 13 incriminate you, as I have just stated. And as a witness, you 14 must tell the truth that you have known, heard, <remembered> or 15 experienced or observed directly -- that is, in relation to any 16 event raised in the questions put to you by the Bench or by the 17 concerned parties.
- 18 Mr. Om Chy, have you been interviewed by the investigators of the 19 Office of the Co-Investigating Judges? If so, how many times,
- 20 when and where?
- A. In 2009, the ECCC officers came to interview me in <Tras
 village,> Ballangk commune, Baray district, Kampong Thom province
- 23 at 10.00 a.m. in the morning.
- 24 [11.03.08]
- 25 Q. Thank you. And before you appeared before us, have you read or

35

1	reviewed your written record of your statement of the interviews
2	that you gave to the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges
3	Investigators in order to refresh your memory?
4	A. Yes. I read parts of it; however, I don't remember everything
5	as the events took place about 40 years ago.
6	Q. You said that you read parts of your written record of your
7	statement and can you tell the Chamber whether the written record
8	of your statement is consistent with what you told the OCIJ
9	investigators at your place of residence in 2009?
10	A. I read the written record of statement concerning the Accused
11	and the victims; however, it is not a hundred percent clear to
12	me; I can remember parts of the statement but not everything.
13	[11.04.46]
14	Q. What I want to ask you is that, whether that written record is
15	consistent with the statement you provided to the investigators
16	at your place of residence in Kampong Thom province in 2009?
17	A. The written record that I read is consistent to the statement
18	that I provided to the ECCC officers <during interview="" the="">.</during>
19	MR. PRESIDENT:
20	Thank you. Pursuant to Rule 91bis of the ECCC Internal Rules, the
21	Chamber gives the floor first to the Co-Prosecutors before other
22	Parties <to chy,="" om="" put="" questions="" to="" witness=""> and the combined</to>
23	time for the Co-Prosecutors and the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil
24	parties <is> two <court> sessions. And if possible, please try to</court></is>
25	reduce your time. If that is the case, we might be able to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

36

- 1 conclude the testimony of this <witness> today. This witness is
- 2 rather busy with his business. Thank you.
- 3 [11.06.09]
- 4 QUESTIONING BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Mr. President, Your
- 6 Honours and everyone and good morning, Mr. Witness. My name is
- 7 Seng Leang, I'm a National Deputy Co-Prosecutor. I have some
- 8 questions that I would like to put to you and my first question
- 9 to you is the following:
- 10 Q. When were you <assigned> to build the <canal that was
- 11 connected to> the 1st January Dam?
- 12 MR. OM CHY:
- 13 A. I was assigned to build <a canal that was connected to> the
- 14 1st January Dam in 1978.
- 15 Q. Do you recall the month<>?
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Mr. Om Chy, please wait for the microphone to be operational.
- 18 MR. OM CHY:
- 19 A. It occurred in February<>.
- 20 BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 21 Q. And what was your position at the time?
- 22 MR. OM CHY:
- 23 A. <At the time of that assignment,> I was <chief of> a mobile
- 24 unit<>.
- 25 [11.07.55]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

37

- 1 Q. And how many workers were under your supervision?
- 2 A. At that time, they gathered people to build the canal. <A
- 3 total of 500 workers were collected> from all the villages
- 4 <within> the commune<>.
- 5 Q. Upon your arrival at the worksite, was the 1st January Dam
- 6 fully built?
- 7 A. Yes, it was completed.
- 8 Q. Was the canal <> you had to build part of the 1st January Dam
- 9 project or was it <> a separate project?
- 10 A. The dam actually connected <with> the 1st January Dam.
- 11 Q. Can you give the Court an estimate as to how many workers were
- 12 working on <the dam that was connected to the 1st January Dam>?
- 13 A. The dam project <was the project of> the sector<, so they
- 14 were> between 5,000 <and> 6,000 workers.
- 15 [11.09.48]
- 16 Q. Can you please identify the location of that canal?
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone.
- 19 MR. OM CHY:
- 20 A. The canal <> was <located on> the border <between> Chong Doung21 <commune> and Ballangk communes.
- 22 BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 23 Q. You just stated there were between <5,000 and 6,000> workers
- 24 who worked on this connecting dam, did those workers volunteer to
- 25 work there or were they instructed to go and work there?

38

- 1 MR. OM CHY:
- 2 A. Nobody actually volunteered to go there; however, during the
- $3\,$ $\,$ regime, everyone was forced and we were forced to go and work $\,$
- 4 there at the work site.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Counsel Koppe, you have the floor.
- 7 [11.11.10]
- 8 MR. KOPPE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I don't have an objection to this 9 10 particular question, I would like to raise a jurisdictional point 11 - or, rather, a question of scope. Obviously, the witness has not 12 been working on the 1st January Dam. According to the Closing 13 Order, the 1st January Dam was finished by the time the 6th 14 January Dam was built. Although it seems that the site was 15 connected to the 1st January Dam, it does seem a separate 16 project, certainly from a temporal perspective. So the question 17 arises whether his testimony does in fact fall within the scope 18 of this particular segment. We believe it's not. 19 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:

20 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours. Good morning,

everybody <in the courtroom>. I don't think this objection is justified. If <we take, for example,> paragraph 352 of the Closing Order, it <is clearly explained> that there was a whole series of canals that had been <> dug to bring water from the dams to the rice fields. There's a reference to <a main canal,

39

and I think here, we're also talking about the main canal> that 1 2 went in the southward direction. All of this together has to be 3 considered as part of the 1st January Dam < construction site. There's> also the 6th January Dam, but there are all of these 4 canals, and <without these canals, these dams would have served> 5 б no purpose at all<, since the objective, we were told, was> to 7 irrigate the <surrounding rice> fields. I consequently believe 8 that this <witness's testimony is relevant and is> well within 9 the scope of the trial and the Closing Order. Thank you <>.

- 10 [11.13.29]
- 11 MS. GUISSE:

12 If I may take the floor, Mr. President; <I'm hearing> the 13 Co-Prosecutor <say that it seems that> we're talking about <a> 14 southern canal<. Perhaps -- before> taking a decision <on this 15 issue>, perhaps <> the Co-Prosecutor <should ask preliminary 16 questions to determine geographically what> we are talking about, 17 so as to see whether it was <in fact> attached to the 1st January 18 Dam or not. These are <> questions that have to be put first to 19 the witness rather than somehow asserted by the Prosecution. 20 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:

21 <Just to follow up on that point,> Mr. President, the witness has 22 just said he was working <on the canal> between the communes of 23 Chong Doung and Baray, and if you look at a map from <that time>, 24 you can see that it is <precisely> to the south of the 1st 25 January Dam. <>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

40

1	[]	1.	14.	. 45]
---	----	----	-----	-------

- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The objection by the defence counsel is overruled as this fact is
- 4 related to the 1st January Dam worksite and is part of the
- 5 Closing Order as indicated by the International Deputy
- 6 Co-Prosecutor. And Deputy Co-Prosecutor, you may continue.
- 7 BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 Q. Mr. Witness, you stated that there were <between 5,000 and>
- 10 6,000 workers working on this connecting dam to the 1st January
- 11 Dam. Did they all volunteer to go there or <were> they <>
- 12 obligated to go there and work?
- 13 MR. OM CHY:
- 14 A. They were required to go and work there. <Not a single> worker 15 volunteered and it was imperative for them to follow
- 16 instructions.
- 17 [11.15.55]
- 18 Q. Did they dare not refuse or did they not want to refuse?
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Mr. Witness, please hold on; and Counsel Koppe, you may proceed.
- 21 MR. KOPPE:
- Thank you, Mr. President. I should have objected to the earlier question because the witness cannot possibly say anything about the state of mind of all 5000 workers. Surely he can for himself and his -- the workers that were close to him -- but not for all

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

41

- 1 5000.
- 2 [11.16.30]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:

The objection by the defence counsel for Nuon Chea is sustained 4 5 and this matter happens rather repeatedly and for that reason, the Co-Prosecutor is reminded to put questions within the scope б 7 of the knowledge of the witness or the facts being debated before 8 this Court and not to put the questions that would elicit an 9 assumption from the witness. You should make your questions 10 precise and specific within the scope of knowledge of the witness and the witness has been reminded of his obligation and rights 11 12 before this Court.

13 BY MR. SENG LEANG:

14 I'll rephrase my question to the scope or the knowledge of the 15 witness.

16 Q. Mr. Witness, within your unit <of> 500 workers, <> did they 17 mostly volunteer to work or were they forced to go and work

- 18 there?
- 19 MR. OM CHY:

A. <Through> my observation <about those> small <units>, nobody
volunteered to go to work or engage in this kind of hard work.

22 [11.18.25]

23 Q. If that is the case, why did they not refuse to work, did they 24 dare not or did they not want to refuse?

25 A. During the regime, every worker, including myself, did not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

42

1 dare to refuse; we had to <do the tasks we were assigned>.

2	Q. And why was it so, including yourself, can you give the \ensuremath{Court}
3	the reason?

A. During the regime -- and Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may as well know -- throughout the country, not only <at> the location that I was engaged in, everybody had to adhere to <strict military rules; if anyone failed to do certain things according to their principles, he or she would be accused of opposing the society under their leadership>.

10 Q. So <what would have happened if someone was considered an 11 enemy of the society under their leadership>?

A. It means that <the person would have put himself or herself at risk. If such a case had happened, the person would have been arrested and sent for> re-education at <a> detention centre or <a> commune office.

16 [11.20.12]

Q. Could you please tell the Court during the time that your group members were building the dam, did <your mobile unit members> reside at the worksite with their family members? A. During the building of the dam, all the workers in my <mobile> unit were unmarried.

Q. Where did they stay<? Did> they stay with their family members?

A. They built a shelter along the dam embankment and they had to stay there. They would not <be allowed> to visit <their family>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

43

- 1 except when <they were given a day-off>.
- 2 Q. Besides the <day-off> as you put it, could those workers seek
- 3 permission to visit their home?
- A. If it was out of necessity or urgency; namely, their child or
 their wife was sick <at home>, then <they> could seek permission
 <to visit them accordingly;> otherwise, they could not.
- 7 Q. If there was no such an urgent matter but a worker missed
- 8 <his> family members, could they seek <the> permission?
- 9 [11.22.16]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 This is a kind of question that the Chamber prohibits, so Mr.
- 12 Witness, you do not need to respond to this question as it tries
- 13 to elicit your answer in a hypothetical way.
- 14 BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 15 Q. Did any workers from your group run to visit his or her house
- 16 without authorisation?
- 17 MR. OM CHY:
- 18 A. Yes, there were such cases as some <youths> ran away to visit 19 their house and later on the unit chiefs would go and get them 20 back to the worksite.
- 21 Q. Was any sanction or punishment imposed on those workers who
- 22 ran to visit their home without authorisation?
- 23 A. The relevant unit chiefs did not impose any sanctions but
- 24 those workers were reprimanded and allowed to return to work.
- 25 [11.23.52]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

44

1	Q. Did the workers in your unit actually strictly adhere to the
2	regulations and the disciplines?
3	A. There were many workers in my unit and not everyone could
4	strictly adhere to the regulations. They were about 20 to 30 per
5	cent of them who could not actually follow the regulations and
6	they had to be <constantly> advised.</constantly>
7	Q. I would like now to move on to the working hours. Please tell
8	the Court how the working hours were arranged within your unit.
9	A. The working hours started from 4 o'clock in the morning,
10	continued until 11 a.m. when we stopped for meal and within this
11	hour we had a 15-minute break between 9.00 <and> 10 a.m.</and>
12	Q. What about the afternoon shift?
13	MR. PRESIDENT:
14	Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone.
15	MR. OM CHY:
16	A. For the afternoon shift, we started from 1 p.m. and continued
17	until 5 p.m. and we had 15-minute break <at 3="" around="" p.m.=""></at>
18	[11.25.54]
19	BY MR. SENG LEANG:
20	Q. Were you required to work night shift?
21	MR. OM CHY:
22	A. When the work plan was demanding, we also had to work through
23	the night that is, starting from 6 o'clock in the evening and
24	continued until 11 p.m.
25	Q. Who actually made decision on this shift or the working hours

45

or was a meeting held to make a decision on the shift hours? A. The decision was made by chief of the worksite on behalf of the sector <whose office was in the district office>. All the chiefs at the worksite were called to a meeting and <then, the decision reached at the meeting regarding the shift hours> was relayed.

7 [11.27.10]

8 Q. Were workers in your unit happy with this arrangement?

9 A. Workers in my unit were not happy with these working hours 10 since it was too tiring for them as we had also sometime through 11 the night; however, there was nothing we could and we had to 12 follow their instruction.

Q. If they were not happy with it, did anyone protest and if there was no one who protested, what was the reason? Did they dare not to protest or did they <not> want to protest?
A. During the regime, as you might know it, nobody dared to protest, we had to carry out the work plan <as indicated>.
Q. What would <have happened> to a worker who <had> dared to

19 protest?

20 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

21 Mr. President, this is a hypothetical question as pointed out by 22 the President a moment ago.

23 MR. PRESIDENT:

24 Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please rephrase your question and as the 25 Chamber has just reminded you, please try not to use any

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

46

- 1 hypothetical question <as only hypothetical responses will be
- 2 given by the witness.>
- 3 [11.29.01]
- 4 BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. I'll move on.

6 Q. Mr. Witness, you stated that sometimes you were required to

- 7 work between 6 <p.m. and> 10 p.m., and that you had to start
- 8 working again next morning at 4 a.m. With this working hour
- 9 arrangement, could workers actually sustain at this particular
- 10 dam worksite?
- 11 MR. OM CHY:

A. Workers could adhere to the working hour arrangement but
mentally or emotionally they could not. But they had no choice;
they had to follow the guidelines.

Q. You said they could adhere to the work plan; however, please tell the Court whether they were healthy enough to do it or they were struggling to do it because they did not dare to protest? [11.30.26]

A. They were struggling to complete the work, nobody dared to
 protest, including myself and members of my unit.

Q. When workers were woken up at 4 a.m. in the morning, how did it happen?

A. When workers had to wake up and go to work at 4 o'clock in the morning, it was by means of a whistle blow.

25 Q. And what happened to those who could not get up on time?

Page 47

47

A. Everybody had to wake up and go, except those who were sick 1 2 and could not get up. 3 Q. I <was referring> to those workers who had to work through the night and were in deep sleep and could not hear the whistle blow, 4 5 what happened to them if they could not hear the whistle blow? MR. KONG SAM ON: б 7 Mr. President, this is the same <> question that was prohibited 8 by you a moment ago as it was hypothetical in nature. 9 [11.32.13]10 MR. PRESIDENT: 11 The objection is sustained and Mr. National Deputy Co-Prosecutor, 12 please rearrange your question again and your question seems to 13 be in a form of hypothetical nature as you keeping saying "what 14 if", "what if" again and again. Please try to make your questions 15 specific as we are trying to conclude this witness's testimony 16 today. If you put this kind of question which leads to objections 17 raised, then it's a waste of time. 18 BY MR. SENG LEANG: 19 I'll move on, Mr. President; and thank you. 20 Q. Mr. Witness, now on the issue of work plan, what was the daily 21 work quota for each worker in your unit? 22 [11.33.16]23 MR. OM CHY: 24 A. When we were working on the crest of the dam, we were required 25 to finish three cubic metres of soil. Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

48

1	Q. Were workers able to meet the work quota that is, three
2	cubic metres of soil per day?
3	A. The workers who were digging the earth, some of them were able
4	to meet the quota but some were not. While I was in charge of
5	them, there were only a few workers who could not meet the work
б	quota but if some of them could not finish the very little amount
7	of earth that they had to do, I could allow them to take rest <as <math="">\</as>
8	usual according to the schedule>.
9	Q. <in how="" percentage,=""> many of your workers could meet the work</in>
10	quota?
11	A. <about sixty=""> per cent of them were able to meet the work</about>
12	quota.
13	Q. Sixty or 70 per cent?
14	A. I could not give you the specific percentage<; however, it
15	could go up from 60 to 70> per cent of them. <only a="" small<="" th=""></only>
16	percentage of them could not meet the quota.>
17	[11.35.18]
18	Q. Mr. President, I would like to read $E3/5265$ to refresh this
19	witness memory in relation to some information he gave to the
20	investigators. Am I allowed to do so, Mr. President?
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	The Chamber made decision already. If it is a document concerning
23	this witness concerned, you Parties are allowed to use the
24	document and the Chamber also informs Parties that first they
25	need to ask open questions after which they can go into detail

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

49

- 1 <for clarification if any discrepancies arise.>
- 2 BY MR. SENG LEANG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to read E3/5265. ERN in 3 Khmer, <00271398 to 99>; English, ERN 00282347; French, 00487925; 4 5 you stated that "workers were required to dig three cubic metres б of earth per day and most of them could not meet the work quota 7 and only 30 per cent of workers could meet work quota. When they 8 did not meet the work quota, I did not make a report to the upper 9 echelon and as a result the upper echelon did not know about this 10 case. Within my group, those who could not meet the work quota 11 were not punished by me because I told lies in the report

12 submitted to Angkar."

Does this refresh your memory, Mr. Witness? Could you clarify for the Chamber how many people could meet the work quota?

- 15 [11.38.20]
- 16 MR. OM CHY:

17 A. Some workers who were weak could not meet the work quota. For 18 those who were strong, they were able to finish the quota and 19 based on what you read, I never made <such> a report concerning 20 the fact that some of them could not meet the quota. There was 21 another chief above me and I was required by my chief to make a 22 daily report to him concerning whether or not workers could meet 23 the work quota. <I agree that what I said earlier was not 24 reflecting the truth.>

25 Q. Could you tell the Court, who set out the work quota and was

50

- the work quota set based on the <capability of> people <>?
 A. Chief of the construction site at the district level
- 3 instructed all <the unit> chiefs to set such a quota. There were 4 many units or groups<, not only my unit> within the construction
- 5 site.
- 6 [11.39.55]
- Q. In the document that I have just read, you stated that you did not make a report when some of your workers were not able to meet the work quota. <Why did you-->
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Counsel for the defence team, you may now proceed.
- 12 MS. GUISSE:

13 <Yes, thank> you, Mr. President. I regret the interruption. This 14 is not an objection but we are going beyond <the time set for the 15 break established to accommodate> the health <concerns of Mr.> 16 Khieu Samphan. <> I <have asked how he is feeling at regular 17 intervals>, and we are coming to a point where it's becoming <> 18 difficult for him, and so <I felt I needed to inform the Chamber 19 to> ask if there will be a break shortly.

20 MR. PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Counsel. I observed that on some occasions there were requests made by the defence team for the Accused that they requested to go <not> beyond <11:45 p.m.> on some occasions, so what the Chamber is doing now is trying to finish the hearing of testimony of this witness by 4 p.m. <and> we are <not> expecting

51

1	<to run=""> the hearing <beyond 4="" hearing<="" is="" moreover,="" no="" p.m.="" th="" there=""></beyond></to>
2	for tomorrow.> And the witness confirmed and told the Court that
3	he may be unavailable sometime in the future.
4	Now I would like to ask the position of Parties whether we can
5	resume our hearing at 1 o'clock this afternoon?
б	It is now convenient time for a lunch break but I would like to
7	seek position from Parties whether or not we can resume our
8	hearing at 1 o'clock in the afternoon today. We observed that
9	there have been many objections put by <the> Parties and on</the>
10	Monday we lost <the morning="" was="" which="" whole=""> a rather long period</the>
11	of time for <hearing the="" witness="">.</hearing>
12	[11.42.32]
13	MS. GUISSE:
14	My client tells me that he can make an effort to be here at 1.00.
15	I would like to <clarify,> however, that if on the Defence side</clarify,>
16	we <have gone="" limit="" over="" sometimes="" the="" time="">, we always ask Mr.</have>
17	Khieu Samphan how he feels <beforehand. so=""> Mr. Khieu Samphan <is< td=""></is<></beforehand.>
18	telling me that> he can make the effort today, but I cannot tell
19	you what's going to happen this afternoon.
20	MR. PRESIDENT:
21	Thank you. This is just a try by the Chamber and the Chamber
22	wishes to adhere to the Scheduling Order of the Chamber. Thank
23	you very much, Counsel, for your information and it is now time
24	for lunch break and it will resume at 1 p.m.
25	Court officers, please find a proper place for this witness

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

52

- 1 during the lunch break and please invite him back into the
- 2 courtroom at 1 p.m.
- 3 Security personnel, please bring Mr. Khieu Samphan back to the
- 4 waiting room downstairs and please invite him back into the
- 5 courtroom at 1 p.m.
- 6 The Court is now in recess.
- 7 (Court recesses from 1144H to 1259H)
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Please be seated. The Court resumes its hearing.
- 10 Once again the floor is given to the Co-Prosecutors to continue
- 11 putting questions to the witness. You may proceed.
- 12 QUESTIONING BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
- 13 Thank you <>, Mr. President. <Mr. Witness,> I'm going to continue
- 14 <the questioning> where my colleague left off <earlier, and
- 15 perhaps I'll ask some> follow-up questions <first.>
- 16 Q. <Mr. Witness, you> said that <you arrived> in February 1978<,
- 17 at the site where> canals linked up to the 1st January Dam <were
- 18 being dug. Can> you tell us until what <> date you were working
- 19 there and did you work without any break?
- 20 MR. OM CHY:
- 21 A. I worked on the canal until <its inauguration>.
- 22 Q. How <long> before the arrival of the Vietnamese <was the canal
- 23 able to be completed?>
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

53

- 1 [13.01.24]
- 2 MR. OM CHY:
- 3 A. It concluded in August 2008.
- 4 BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
- 5 Q. <I heard "2008", but I understand that it was presumably
- 6 "1978". Is that correct, 1978 -- August 1978 <>?
- 7 MR. OM CHY:
- 8 A. I worked in 1978 and <it was inaugurated> in August of the
 9 same year -- that is, 1978.
- 10 Q. Thank you. Among the group of 500 people that you were in
- 11 charge of, <500 young men>, were there also New People?
- 12 A. The workers were mixed; they were both Old People and New
- 13 People.
- 14 Q. Were there also Cham people there?
- 15 A. Yes, there were Cham people.

- 19 <have to> hold meetings to convey the instructions that you had
- 20 <gotten> from the higher echelons?
- 21 [13.03.42]
- A. Yes. When I arrived at the worksite, I conducted meetings torelay the instructions to the small unit chiefs.
- 24 Q. Did these 500 people <you spoke of> make up the entirety of
- 25 the people in the Ballangk commune who were working on <this>

54

- 1 canal <construction site>?
- A. The 500 workers were from <all the> different villages within
 Ballangk commune<.>

Q. <Very well. Concerning the> meetings, did you yourself also have to <participate in> criticism or self-criticism meetings or did you <have to> chair meetings of this kind <for> your group? A. I did not chair <any> criticism or self-criticism meetings with all members in the unit. I usually held meetings <among the group> chiefs under my subordination.

- Q. Did the workers <themselves> hold these kinds of meetings with their <own> unit chiefs and, if they did<, at> what time <of day would they> take place?
- 13 [13.05.50]
- 14 A. Meetings were held at night <> after the dinner time.

Q. Earlier you talked about meetings you had attended<. Did> the commune or district heads <explain to> you why it was necessary for the regime to build the 1st January Dam and the canals

18 connected to it?

19 A. The policy of the Khmer Rouge regime to build dam and to dig 20 that canal in order to get access to water to irrigate the rice 21 field and to obtain three yields of rice per year.

Q. Were you told <during meetings> what the additional rice that <would be> harvested <following construction of this> dam <> was to be used for<? Were> you told that <> rice was going to be

25 exported?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

55

A. I was not sure <of> that. I did not know where the rice was 1 2 taken to. <I noticed that> after the harvest trucks came to 3 transport <the> rice away. Q. <What direction did> you see <these> trucks leaving <> in <>? 4 Did they unload < the entire supply within each commune or were 5 they meant to go in another direction>? б 7 [13.08.07] 8 MR. PRESIDENT: Witness, please hold on and Counsel Guisse, you have the floor. 9 10 MS. GUISSE: 11 <Yes>, Mr. President. Once again I'm intervening<, as it 12 frequently happens> with this Co-Prosecutor, to <ask him to> 13 leave his questions open and not to include <multiple-choice> 14 answers in his questions. The last two <sentences were 15 unnecessary>. 16 BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL: 17 Q. Mr. President, we have very little time so I won't answer 18 that. Do you know, Mr. Witness, where the <harvests were taken 19 from the rice fields that were grown in> your commune or your district? 20 21 MR. OM CHY: 22 A. As I just stated, I was not sure where the harvest was taken 23 to. They said Angkar required to take the harvest away at to --24 in order to help -- given to the military. 25 [13.09.32]

56

1	Q. Thank you. During the six or seven months you were working
2	there, <if correctly,="" counted="" i=""> did any zone authorities or</if>
3	regime authorities from Phnom Penh or <any> foreigners <come> to</come></any>
4	visit the construction site of the canal <you on="" were="" working="">?</you>
5	A. No, there were no foreigners. However there were sector
б	committee and district committee who came to the worksite.
7	Q. Well we'll look at their <> names at a later stage. During
8	commune, district or sector meetings <> in fact you might help
9	us <clarify> what sort of meetings you attended <> did you ever</clarify>
10	hear the higher echelons talk <to you=""> about the <notion> of</notion></to>
11	enemies?
12	A. Yes I did. I heard them talking about the enemy. Anyone who
13	<did follow="" not=""> the regulations would be considered enemy.</did>
14	Q. Can you <specify> which different people talked to you about</specify>
15	this notion of enemies?
16	A. It was the sector committee and district committee who spoke
17	about the notion of enemy during meetings.
18	[13.11.42]
19	Q. And what did they tell you about the fate that was awaiting
20	such enemies <under> the Democratic Kampuchea regime? <what td="" was<=""></what></under>
21	done to enemies?>
22	A. Regarding the fate of those people, <> I heard that they would
23	be smashed as they were <blocking of="" progress="" the="" their=""></blocking>
24	construction.
25	Q. So when <these> sector or district chiefs were talking of</these>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

25

57 1 enemies<, did they refer,> in particular, to <> enemies who might 2 sabotage the construction of the 1st January Dam or the canals 3 <surrounding> it? A. They <never talked about any specific> enemy who <had 4 sabotaged the worksite or the dam. However, they just mentioned 5 б that any enemy who was slowing down the progress of their work 7 would be smashed>. 8 Q. Very well, on the construction site <of> the canal linked to 9 the 1st January Dam, did you yourself witness one person or 10 several being arrested? 11 [13.13.37]12 A. Yes, I did<. While> working there, <> security forces from the district <> were overseeing us. However the worker who was 13 14 arrested was not from my <unit. He or she was a member> from 15 Tnaot Chum commune who was working nearby. 16 Q. How old was that person, that worker? 17 A. < In my estimation, > the worker was <either> 18 or 19 years 18 old. 19 Q. Did you find out why that worker was arrested by the security 20 forces? A. The arrest was made in order to deter other workers <from 21 22 following his example>. 23 Q. <I would just like to cite an instance when you said something 24 a> little bit more precise<. In your record of interview

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

E3/5265>, in Khmer, <it's 00271397 to 98; in French, 00482924>;

1	and in English, 00282346. And you said <the following:=""></the>
2	"One day, I saw <with arrest="" eyes="" my="" of="" own="" the=""> a child in the</with>
3	mobile unit <>. He <had been="" just=""> talking and he was arrested by</had>
4	the internal security agents from the work place. He was called
5	from his work post to go to the top of the dam, where he was
6	publicly arrested." <end of="" quote.=""> So was <it> forbidden to <></it></end>
7	chat <or stop="" to="" working=""> without authorisation on the</or>
8	construction site<, at the risk of being punished by> the
9	security forces <>?
10	[13.16.31]
11	A. During the regime, we were prohibited from talking to one
12	another on anything that was not compliant with the <lines> of</lines>
13	the Party <>.
14	Q. <alright.> You told us that <these> security agents came from</these></alright.>
15	the district. Were you able to find out which zone of the country
16	they originally came from?
17	A. At a later stage, those security forces and the cadres at the
18	commune or the district levels <mostly> came from the Southwest</mostly>
19	Zone.
20	Q. Did you ever see the person who was arrested again or did you
21	ever learn what happened to that person?
22	A. For anyone who was who had been arrested<, and taken away,
23	he or she> never appeared again.
24	[13.18.15]
25	Q. <you just=""> said "anyone who was arrested." <you mentioned="" td="" the<=""></you></you>

59

1	arrest of one person that you saw.> Am I to understand that you
2	saw or heard <that> other people <> were arrested on the</that>
3	construction site, maybe not in your own unit but <maybe> in</maybe>
4	other units?
5	A. I did not witness any other arrest as I only focused and
б	mainly stayed at the location where I was assigned to work. <i< th=""></i<>
7	was not aware of all the aspects.>
8	Q. <even did="" so,=""> you ever hear <that> other people <had been=""></had></that></even>
9	arrested?
10	A. Yes, especially it happened in my village. I worked in the
11	plantation and when I returned home, the neighbours disappeared
12	and when I asked around I was told they had been arrested. I only
13	heard about this event but I personally did not witness it.
14	<again, about="" disappearances.="" i="" knew="" their=""></again,>
15	Q. <very i'm="" well.=""> staying <more less="" or=""> on the canal and dam</more></very>
16	construction site, <but later,=""> I will <come back="" th="" to="" what<=""></come></but>
17	happened in> your village<. A clarification now: did> the village
18	of Tras <or (phonetic),="" tros=""> in the Ballangk commune, <> have a</or>
19	security centre and, if it did, what was its name?
20	A. <there> was a security centre <in tras="" village="">; it was</in></there>
21	located at the Baray Choan Dek pagoda.
22	[13.20.36]
23	Q. <was it=""> near the <pagoda actually="" inside="" it="" or="" the="" was=""> Baray</pagoda></was>
24	Choan Dek pagoda <>?
25	A. The security centre was within the compound of the Baray Choan

60

- 1 Dek pagoda.
- Q. <Did you learn during> meetings or in <discussions> what happened to people who were detained in <Wat> Baray Choan Dek?
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Mr. Witness, please hold on and Counsel Koppe you have the floor.6 MR. KOPPE:

7 Thank you, Mr. President. There's no connection between the 8 security centre at Baray Choan Dek pagoda and the worksite. In 9 addition to that it's outside the scope of this Trial, of this 10 segment, so also considering the time, I think Prosecution should 11 move on.

12 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:

Mr. President, if I may, I will answer. We have <already> had this discussion before <this> Chamber<. It has already been> established that we could ask questions about <Wat> Baray Choan Dek and I <will be doing so> for two reasons: firstly because other witnesses made a link between this and the construction site and also, and we'll come back to this in a minute, <because> there were purges in the Ballangk commune, as well.

- 20 [13.22.24]
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:

22 The objection raised by the Defence Counsel for Nuon Chea is

- 23 overruled and the reason given by the <International>
- 24 Co-Prosecutor is <right> so and the Chamber <has already ruled
- 25 on> the connection between the Baray Choan Dek pagoda <and> the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

61

1	lst January Dam worksite. And Mr. Witness, you are now instructed
2	to respond to the last question put to you by the <international></international>
3	Co-Prosecutor if you can recall it<; and> if not, the
4	<international co-prosecutor=""> may repeat the question.</international>
5	BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
6	Q. My question, Mr. Witness, was about whether or not you <found< th=""></found<>
7	out> what happened to people who were detained inside <wat> Baray</wat>
8	Choan Dek?
9	MR. OM CHY:
10	A. I did not witness the event. I only heard that the place was
11	used as a security centre and I myself was even afraid to go near
12	it.
13	Q. Did you ever attend <a> meeting <that was=""> held towards the</that>
14	end of the regime in the pagoda of <wat> Baray Choan Dek?</wat>
15	[13.23.59]
16	A. Yes, I attended <> meetings <at place="" security<="" th="" the="" where=""></at>
17	centre was once based>. However, at that time, there was a
18	directive from Office 870 <regarding granted="" pardon="" th="" the="" those<="" to=""></regarding>
19	allegedly CIA and KGB networks. The> security centre <had been=""></had>
20	relocated from that pagoda, and <the back="" given="" location="" th="" to<="" was=""></the>
21	the cooperative where meetings were held. I attended meetings
22	there.>.
23	Q. <all during="" right.="" this=""> meeting, were you able to see any</all>
24	signs <indicating> that this <centre> had been used as an</centre></indicating>
25	execution site?

62

1	A. I did. When I attended <meetings> inside the <buddhist main<="" th=""></buddhist></meetings>
2	hall and the eating> hall, I actually saw <bloodstains> on the</bloodstains>
3	<walls hall="" main="" of="" the=""> and <> the <eating hall.=""> I also saw</eating></walls>
4	<remnants clothes="" heaps="" in="" of=""> scattered on the ground.</remnants>
5	Q. And <was> there still <an> odour <> of <decaying> corpses</decaying></an></was>
6	<remaining> when you were there?</remaining>
7	[13.25.33]
8	A. Yes, the bad odour was still lingering in the air when I was
9	there.
10	Q. Coming back to the canal <itself, at="" i<="" th="" the="" there,="" time="" were=""></itself,>
11	know that the canal was perhaps not that deep> but were there
12	<> accidents that occurred, for example mud slides which buried
13	or injured the workers who were there?
14	A. Throughout the canal worksite, <of course,=""> there were</of>
15	<work-related> injuries<. For> instance, rock fragments fell on</work-related>
16	to workers and as a result they <got cannot="" i="" injured.="" recall="" th="" the<=""></got>
17	details.>
18	Q. <were> those workers taken to hospital, <and> did they always</and></were>
19	come back to resume their place in the <work units="">?</work>
20	A. After the injury, the responsible chief would have them taken
21	for treatment at the hospital but I did not know what happened
22	next.
23	Q. <very earlier,="" well.=""> you said that huts <had been="" built="" very=""></had></very>
24	near the canal to house the workers<. Can> you describe these
25	huts to us very briefly What were they made of Were they

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

63

- 1 strongly built?
- 2 [13.27.48]

A. The sleeping shelter built for the mobile unit workers were made from young wood and they used hay to build <the> roof. They built floor for workers to sleep on. Some workers had their own mat and they could use it and some other workers <converted> their sack <into> pillows. Other workers had their own hammock so they did not have to sleep on the floor.

9 Q. You were working <there at the height of> the rainy season<.

10 Were> the roofs of these huts waterproof or not?

11 A. Of course, it was kind of waterproof for small and light rain

12 but it could not sustain the heavy down pour. < When it was

13 raining heavily, we could not find anywhere to sleep.>

14 Q. Could you <also> describe the clothing of the workers<? What

15 was its condition>?

16 A. During the regime there was severe shortage of clothing. We <> 17 had only a pair of clothes <each> and parts of the clothes were 18 torn. <The clothes we had were in all colours. At that time, they 19 also distributed to us heavy-duty cloth in the colours of> grey 20 and black.

Q. What about the food<? Could> you describe the <quantity> but also the <quality> of the food? Was the food <supplied such that it was> sufficient to allow the workers to complete <their> tasks?

25 [13.30.16]

64

1	A. On the matter of food, we were given rice <in morning,="" the=""></in>
2	and for the dinner, we were given gruel<. The soup provided to us
3	was not sufficient. It> was kind of sour soup with morning glory
4	or with small fish and of course it was not sufficient. Once in a
5	blue moon we were given pork to eat.
б	Q. Did the Cham, <in on="" site,="" the="" units="" various="" working=""> have</in>
7	to eat the pork when <> there was <pork, even="" happened<="" if="" it="" th=""></pork,>
8	rarely>?
9	A. The Cham people who strictly adhered to their religious
10	practice would restrain themselves from eating pork and they
11	would resort to eating salt instead while others <who> could not</who>
12	stand <the eat="" hunger="" not="" soup,="" the="" would=""> pork.</the>
13	Q. What about the water that the workers drank Was it water</th
14	that was suitable for drinking? Was it potable water, or not>?
15	A. The waters for workers were unsanitary. Water source was from
16	the <canal available="" both="" for<="" itself.="" th="" the="" there="" was="" water=""></canal>
17	cleaning> ourselves and <for drinking="">.</for>
18	Q. <could if="" medical="" onsite,<="" staff="" tell="" th="" the="" there="" us="" were="" who="" you=""></could>
19	were qualified, and> did they have <the medications?="" necessary=""></the>
20	[13.32.28]
21	A. During the time medics or medical staff were not qualified.
22	<young 14="" 18="" age="" and="" between="" from<="" of="" people="" recruited="" th="" were="" years=""></young>
23	villages>. After they got a <10 - 15 day> training, they would be
24	despatched to treat people<. And> as for medicines, usually they
25	used the traditional medicines <commonly> known as the rabbit</commonly>

65

1 dropping pellets and there were also <orange-juice bottles filled 2 with red liquid or B12> for treatment. 3 Q. Were there any people who were too sick and <had to be> transferred to the hospital<, and if so, > did they <always> 4 5 return or did you never see them again? A. <Severely> sick people <were> referred to the hospital at the б 7 district level. Some people <> recovered and some did not and 8 died at <the> hospital. 9 [13.34.00]10 Q. Very well, I would like to touch on another topic now. I would 11 like to talk about <what happened to> the New People <> in the 12 village <of Chey Mongkol> where you hail from. Did you, indeed, work in <this village,> Chey Mongkol, in Ballangk commune between 13 14 1975 and 1979 at <some> point? 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 Please observe microphone, Mr. Om Chy. 17 MR. OM CHY: 18 A. <I was not assigned to any specific task during the regime.> I 19 was just a youth at that time and I was <at their disposal, and 20 ready for engaging in building dykes in paddy fields>. BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL: 21 22 Q. <When> you worked in Chey Mongkol <village>, did you see any 23 New People, <> who had been <evacuated> and had <come to> settle 24 <> in that village, and if that is the case, can you tell us 25 <about> how many <there were>?

66

1	MR. OM CHY:
2	A. After the fall of Phnom Penh in 1975, <about 20="" families="" of<="" td=""></about>
3	New People, including the Khmer, the Cham and the Chinese, were
4	sent to my village>.
5	Q. How many families came to your village, <of> New People?</of>
б	A. I could not recall everything because I was not in charge of
7	the statistics; I was <just a="" in="" worker=""> youth unit <>.</just>
8	[13.36.20]
9	Q. Very well. Before the <investigating is="" it="" judges,="" of<="" record="" td=""></investigating>
10	interview> E3/5265, <on> Khmer <page> 00271396; <in> French,</in></page></on>
11	<00482923>; and in English, 00282345; <> you said that <there< td=""></there<>
12	were deportations of people to your village, roughly 20 families,
13	and that these 17 April People came> from Phnom Penh<. Does this
14	figure of 20 families> refresh your memory Is that about</td
15	right>?
16	A. Yes. As I stated I was not in charge of the statistics. <in my<="" td=""></in>
17	estimation,> perhaps there were 20 families <including cham<="" td="" the=""></including>
18	and the Khmer families who were> evacuated from <> Phnom Penh.
19	Q. Could you briefly <tell us=""> how were they housed <once td="" they<=""></once></tell>
20	arrived in> the village?
21	A. First<, some of them> were allowed to live <on ground<="" td="" the=""></on>
22	floor of the houses> of the Base People, and they could go and
23	live <on big.="" first="" floor="" for<="" houses="" however,="" if="" td="" the="" those="" were=""></on>
24	small houses, they had to live on the ground floor.>
25	[13.38.16]

67

1 Q. Very well, were any huts built for them to live in

2 <afterwards>?

A. Later on, huts were built for them <in open spaces within the>
villages and these people were disbursed <to live throughout the>
villages.

Q. <At some point between> 1975 and the beginning of 1979, were (17 April> families <> subject to arrest <and> execution<? And>
I'm speaking here of any families within the 20 <families you</p>
spoke to us about>.

10 A. <There> was a plan to purge people <in 1977>, however I was 11 not in the village at that time <.> I was relocated to work <on 12 a> plantation <away from the village; thus,> I did not know who 13 <were> purged.

Q. Could you <specify> the date again<? I heard "1970", and I don't think that is correct. Could you repeat the year when that occurred? And please,> wait until your microphone is turned on. A. The plan <for purges came about> in 1977, exactly it was in 18 1977.

19 [13.40.25]

Q. Afterwards you became the leader of 500 people<. Before that, in> 1977, did you <also> attend meetings within the commune or the district where this plan <> for purges <was discussed>? A. I told the Court already <in that same year,> I was reassigned to work <on a> farm or plantation away from the village. I was <reassigned> to work in Kokir Thum commune <which was about> 20

68

1	kilometres away from my previous village. <i back="" came="" rarely="" th="" to<=""></i>
2	the village. And only when we ran out of food, did I come to the
3	village once in a while. I did not attend any of such meetings>.
4	Q. Very well. I don't believe you've said how many families were
5	affected by <the do="" executions.="" remember?="" you=""></the>
6	A. <as earlier,="" mentioned="" when=""> I came to visit my previous</as>
7	village, <i noticed="" of="" some="" that=""> my neighbours <had></had></i>
8	disappeared<. After making inquiry, I learned> that some of them
9	had been purged. Five families I believe <were purged.=""></were>
10	Q. And who arrested these five families, according to what you
11	heard, and what happened to <the people=""> who arrested them?</the>
12	[13.42.25]
13	A. <according i="" talked="" the="" to="" villagers="" whom=""> when I visited</according>
14	<my a="" attend="" been="" called="" had="" meeting="" people="" th="" those="" to="" to<="" village,=""></my>
15	discuss about their transfer to a new village, then a truck came
16	to take them away. No one knew where they were being taken>.
17	Q. I would like to quote at this point what you said to the
18	investigators of the Investigative Judges Office<, E3/5265. In>
19	Khmer, <it's 00271396="" 97;="" in="" on="" page="" to=""> French, 00482923; and</it's>
20	<in> English, 00282345. <the question="" you=""> were asked <is as<="" th=""></is></the></in>
21	follows:>
22	"Who was the chief of the cooperative and who took those <five></five>
23	families away to kill them?" You answered, "At the time, Heng was
24	the chief of the small cooperative, and Leak was the chief of the
25	large <> cooperative. <these people="" two=""> took these <five></five></these>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

69

- 1 families to <execute them. Afterwards,> these two were<, in
- 2 turn, > arrested and <executed in that > same year, 1977." <End of
- 3 quote.>
- 4 Do you confirm <this>?

A. I stand by my statement. It is correct. I recall it as you have just read. <What I just mentioned earlier was a bit beyond the truth.> It happened a long time ago. <At that time,> Heng was <> chief of the small cooperative and Leak was <> chief of <the big> cooperative. <After the plan for purges had been carried out, the plan for purging cadres followed. Eventually, Leak and Heng were arrested and taken away>.

- 12 Q. <Do> you know <> if these two people <or> others<, also> were
 13 replaced by people from other zones?
- 14 [13.45.02]
- 15 A. Following the arrest <of the two men>, cadres from the
- 16 Southwest Zone came <as a replacement for them in> Ballangk
- 17 commune, Baray district.

Q. While we are on the topic of the command structure in your sector <-- and then we will also come to the district and the commune -- who, to your knowledge, was <> the head of Sector 42 in 1977 and 1978>?

22 A. In 1977 and '78, Oeun was ,<> chief of the sector.

23 Q. Was he part of Ke Pauk's family? Allow me to rephrase. Do you

24 know <> Ke Pauk<, first of all>?

25 [13.46.25]

70

1	A. I have heard of the name. I <never him,="" him,<="" met="" th="" with="" worked=""></never>
2	or attended any meeting chaired by him. I never managed to get
3	close to him. I just> heard that Ke Pauk was chief <>.
4	As I stated, I <did him="" know="" not="">.</did>
5	Q. Very well. <so,> before Oeun in <1977 and 1978>, did you know</so,>
б	 Chan Mon, alias <> Tol <>?
7	A. I <did> not know this person. I have heard of the name Tol,</did>
8	but I never <knew or=""> saw this individual.</knew>
9	Q. And do you know what happened to this Tol?
10	A. I have no idea, <after a="" he="" just="" while=""> disappeared. I did not</after>
11	know what happened within the rank of the Party.
12	Q. <very perhaps="" well.=""> closer to your level, at the Baray</very>
13	district level, do you remember the names of the <successive></successive>
14	district secretaries<, so> those who followed one another between
15	<'75> and '79?
16	A. I only recall Moul when I was working at the worksite. He was
17	the one who chaired <meetings and="" lead="" on="" th="" the="" to="" us="" work="" worksite<=""></meetings>
18	in the early stage>. Three or four months later, Moul was
19	<relocated and="" by="" kouk="" pauch="" pauch.="" remained<="" replaced="" tang="" th="" to=""></relocated>
20	there until the canal was completed>.
21	[13.48.42]
22	Q. So this Pauch, did he come from the Southwest <zone>?</zone>
23	A. I heard that he was also from <the> Southwest Zone. During</the>
24	that time I did not dare to ask where <the> Southwest Zone was.</the>
25	Q. <were> there any <changes in=""> the daily <lives of="" region's<="" td="" the=""></lives></changes></were>

71

1	inhabitants once> the Southwest Zone cadres came and, in some
2	cases, replaced the previous cadres Did you notice any
3	changes Of instructions, <of> orders, <or of=""> living</or></of>
4	conditions?
5	A. After the reshuffle of <> cadres <came> a circular or</came>
б	<directive from=""> the Party. I did not know <which level=""> the</which></directive>
7	circular or <directive> came from<, but it came> from Office 870</directive>
8	<regarding> the pardon <being granted=""> to those who <had></had></being></regarding>
9	allegedly <been again="" and="" cia="" equal<="" given="" kgb="" networks,="" td="" were=""></been>
10	status as other ordinary people.>
11	Q. Was this <directive, this=""> Party circular, in writing? Were</directive,>
12	you able to read it yourself?
13	[13.50.41]
14	A. I never read that circular <itself>. During the break that we</itself>
15	were allowed to have once in every 10 days, <the chief<="" commune="" td=""></the>
16	usually raised the matter concerning the circular or directive
17	among us during meetings>. But I myself did not read the
18	circular.
19	Q. And <> at which level was the meeting Commune, district, or</td
20	sector>?
21	A. The meeting was held at the commune level <after had<="" td="" they=""></after>
22	received work plan from their superiors. After> every 10 days,
23	there was a wrap up meeting <where all=""> workers were invited to</where>
24	<attend and="" be="" informed="" of="" the=""> circular.</attend>
25	Q. <very well.=""> Do you know <about> how long before the end of</about></very>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

72

1	the construction <> on the canal you <received> notice of <this></this></received>
2	circular?
3	A. The canal <was canal="" completed="" not="" td="" that="" the="" was<="" year.="" yet=""></was>
4	actually still under construction. At that time, we were given a
5	day-off for> every 10 <working> days <where invited<="" td="" were="" workers=""></where></working>
б	to a meeting. I heard the announcement from one of these
7	meetings>. I do not recall the month <the convened="" meeting="" was="">.</the>
8	Q. I will try to <> identify this document <with you="">. And <now,> $$</now,></with>
9	with your leave, Mr. President, I would like to <provide td="" the<="" to=""></provide>
10	witness> document E3/763 <and at<="" display="" it="" on="" screen,="" td="" the="" to=""></and>
11	least the> pages that I am about to give you. This document is
12	<dated> 20 <> June 1978, <and came="" it=""> from the <central< td=""></central<></and></dated>
13	Committee> of the Communist Party of Kampuchea.
14	MR. PRESIDENT:
15	You can do so.
16	[13.53.24]
17	BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
18	Q. So, I think the way to go about it is to show the front page
19	and you'll see the title of the document. I will read the title
20	<to you="" you.=""> have it before you. It reads as follows:</to>
21	" <directive> of the <central committee=""> of the Communist Party of</central></directive>
22	Kampuchea, on the Party's policy towards <> persons who have
23	<mistakenly> joined the CIA, <or agents="" been="" have="" of<="" td="" those="" who=""></or></mistakenly>
24	the Vietnamese, or those who> joined <> the KGB <in order="" to=""></in>
25	oppose <> the Party, <the> Revolution, <the> People and</the></the>

73

1 Democratic Kampuchea". <So,> Mr. Witness, if you <look at> the 2 part that is underlined <in this document>, there are various 3 categories that have been <singled out>. The first category is those who joined <> the CIA, <who supported the> Vietnamese or 4 <who joined> the KGB <between 1946 and> 1967. The second category 5 is for those who joined those groups from 1968 and 1970 and the б 7 third category, between 1970 and 1975. For these three categories 8 of people, the document says that the Party does not condemn 9 them<, but> only if they have not committed any act of treasons 10 after. Do you remember these points, <concerning> people who had 11 joined the <enemies> before <April 1975? Was> this brought <> to 12 your attention during the meetings?

- 13 [13.55.35]
- 14 MR. OM CHY:

15 A. I have no idea. I myself did not know what CIA or KGB 16 <networks were. I just> heard that they were <being> pardoned. 17 Q. <And, just another quote that I would like to refer to 18 regarding the> fourth category <that was set out> in this 19 circular<. It> is for those who joined <> the CIA, <or who 20 supported the> Vietnamese <or who joined the> KGB between 1975 21 and 1978. This <category> can be found <on page 2, I believe, in 22 all languages, and> I will quote, so the first subcategory of 23 this fourth group, this is what the circular says <about them: 24 "This concerns those who continued to oppose the Communist Party 25 of Kampuchea, to fight against the revolutionary power of the

74

1	workers and farmers, to fight against the socialist and
2	collectivist regime, to fight against the> Kampuchean people and
3	<finally, against="" fight="" to=""> Democratic Kampuchea <itself. td="" those<=""></itself.></finally,>
4	people are guilty. In fact, they have intentionally committed
5	treasonous acts, with a> resolute <> opposing stance, with <a>
6	stance <of and="" devotion,="" heart="" soul="" to=""> the enemies<, who were</of>
7	the CIA, or the Vietnamese or the KGB. These people have very
8	clearly defined> their <> boundaries<. Thus,> the CPK must
9	<destroy> them." End of quote. Do you remember this specific</destroy>
10	category <of people="">?</of>
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	Mr. Koppe, you may have the floor now.
13	[13.57.57]
14	MR. KOPPE:
15	Thank you, Mr. President. It would be very helpful if the next
16	paragraph be read as well because it says that, I will do it for
17	the Prosecution, "For any individual who stops to carry out the
18	traitorous activity from this July '78 onwards and who tries to
19	re-educate himself or herself, who makes his or her efforts in
20	fulfilling the revolutionary duty, such individuals shall not be
21	punished."
22	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
23	Mr. President, I would like to <> object <> to the last question
24	put by the Deputy Co-Prosecutor. Mr. Witness said already that he

25 was not aware of the content in the circular or directive. He did

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

75

not <even understand what> KGB or CIA <were about>. So this 1 2 question was meant to <elicit only> speculation from <the> 3 witness. [13.59.04] 4 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL: 5 Mr. President, if I could <first reply. First of all, he б 7 actually> said that this directive <> had been read to him<>. 8 <That's the first thing. Second, > I was about to <get to this> 9 second paragraph but I wanted to <first> ask a question on the 10 first <paragraph>. I did not have the time to ask my question<, 11 which means I> don't <> understand why there <would already be> 12 an objection, <since> I haven't even asked <a> question yet on 13 the paragraph that I <just read. So,> I would like to be able to 14 ask my question. 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 Yes, you can now put your question first. 17 BY MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL: 18 Q. <So,> to sum up, within this fourth category <of people>, 19 there is a distinction made between a first subcategory <of 20 which> I read the quote -- that is, those who <continued to 21 pursue> their traitorous activities, and <a> second category for 22 those who <renounced traitorous activities as of> July 1978. <Do> 23 you remember<, at the time, of> a category of people who, 24 according to the Party, had to be smashed <or destroyed,> even 25 after this directive had been <issued>, because they continued to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

76

- 1 oppose the Party?
- 2 [14.00.33]
- 3 MR. OM CHY:

A. After the issuance of that circular, anyone who committed
wrong in contradictory to the guideline, <would still be subject
to arrest; however,> as I said after the circular was issued, <>
people were <given more freedom compared to the period prior to
circular>.

9 Q. Just to close <with this; I won't have time to cover other 10 subjects, so,> after the <issuance of this> circular, did you 11 yourself see <that> one or several <cadres> in your commune or 12 district <were, in fact,> arrested?

A. <No> arrest <occurred> in my village after the circular was issued. I heard <from> people <> that there were arrests but I myself did not see the actual arrests. <We just cannot trust what people said.>

Q. Very well, but can you tell us exactly what you did hear about with respect to those arrests that took place after the circular had been <dispatched and announced>?

20 A. I heard <> that <those who were leading people to do tasks 21 against their guidelines were considered enemies. They would 22 arrest only this type of people, not anyone else.>

23 [14.02.42]

24 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:

25 <Thank you,> Mr. President<. Given the time constraints,> I shall

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

_	_
•7	٠/

- 1 therefore stop there<. Thank> you <>.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Thank you. The floor is now given to the Lead Co-Lawyers for
- 4 civil parties.
- 5 MR. PICH ANG:
- 6 Good afternoon Mr. President. I would like to get your permission
- 7 for my lawyer for civil party, Lor Chunthy to ask questions on my
- 8 behalf.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Yes, Mr. Lor Chunthy, you may proceed.
- 11 QUESTIONING BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Your Honours and
- 13 everyone in and around the courtroom. Good afternoon, Mr.
- 14 Witness. My name is Lor Chunthy, I am a <Civil Party> lawyer
- 15 <from Legal Aid of Cambodia> and I would like to put some
- 16 additional questions to you and to get your response concerning
- 17 certain events that took place between 1975 and 1979.
- 18 Q. My first question is the following. <Was> there a security
- 19 centre known as Sarikakaev <in the nearby areas you have worked>?
- 20 [14.04.27]
- 21 MR. OM CHY:
- 22 A. No, I don't <know>. There might be a security centre <in>
- 23 another commune <so-called> Chaeung Daeung.
- 24 Q. <Thank you. Regarding the time you were building> the canal,
- 25 you were asked whether <> there was any <visit of> foreign

78

1 delegation <> to <> the worksite and you said there was none<; 2 however, there were visits of the> sector committee and district 3 committee <> to the worksite. So can you tell the Court if you know the names of those sector committee or district committee? 4 MR. PRESIDENT: 5 б Witness, please observe the microphone. 7 [14.05.28]8 MR. OM CHY: A. The sector committee was Oeun and Moul was the district 9 10 committee; and later on <Moul> was replaced by Pauch. BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY: 11 12 Q. You said you were a unit chief at the worksite. Upon the 13 arrangement of the work distribution how was it organised, was 14 the work quota determined on a daily basis for each worker? 15 MR. OM CHY: 16 A. The work quota was set for each shift -- that is, one and <a> 17 half cubic metres for the morning shift and another one and <a> 18 half cubic metres for the afternoon shift. 19 Q. How was the food distributed to the workers, how many meals 20 per day? A. As I stated earlier, the food ration was not enough. We had 21 22 cooked rice for lunch and for dinner we had gruel. 23 [14.07.30]24 Q. A while ago you spoke <of> the condition of hygiene on site. 25 Was there a proper arrangement for workers to relieve themselves?

79

1	A. Workers had to dig a <pit> in the rice field <and cover="" th="" the<="" to=""></and></pit>
2	spot with several palm leaves> in order to relieve themselves.
3	However, when <it and="" flooded="" or="" pit="" pit,="" rained="" th="" the="" was<="" when=""></it>
4	full,> they had to go <> into the bushes to do so.
5	Q. <thank you.=""> Concerning sick workers, you said there were</thank>
6	medical staff on site who only received <14 or 15 days of>
7	training and then administered the injection. What kind of
8	injection was given to workers who were sick?
9	[14.09.05]
10	A. Usually the medicine and injection at the time was <mostly></mostly>
11	made from natural <herbs> and then they would filter it into</herbs>
12	bottles <and "b1",="" "b12"="" as="" did="" i="" labelled="" not<="" or="" th="" whatsoever.=""></and>
13	have medical knowledge, I did not know what medicine was for a
14	treatment of a specific disease. Tablets were also extracted from
15	natural herbs. Some of them came in black while some others came
16	in red. As mentioned earlier,> they were in the form of rabbit
17	drops. <those distributed="" for<="" medicines="" people="" th="" the="" to="" were=""></those>
18	treatment. As I was not a medic myself, I did not know what they
19	really were>.
20	Q. Based on your observation was such a medicine effective?
21	A. On the effect of the medicine, some workers recovered from
22	their illnesses and that applied to both injection and to the
23	pellets. So the situation varied.
24	Q. For people who were working at the dam site, what was their
25	physical appearance, were they healthy for instance?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

80

1	A. During the regime and as you can imagine, when the food was
2	not sufficient nobody looked fat apparently, they were emaciated.
3	Q. You said at a certain point you attended study sessions. What
4	were the main topics that you studied?
5	[14.11.40]
6	A. The study sessions that I participated in and as I said
7	earlier, the people including myself were asked to attend that
8	kind of study session and that happened every 10th day, it was
9	kind of criticism and self-criticism <meetings>.</meetings>
10	Q. Were instructions set or were work plans discussed and relayed
11	to workers and to you during those sessions?
12	A. They made general announcements that each unit chief
13	responsible for a certain target had to <report respective<="" td="" their=""></report>
14	accomplishment and made> sure that the work plan determined by
15	the Party be completed on time.
16	Q. I would like to move on to another topic. You said that there
17	were many male and female youth workers and in your case you had
18	500 workers under your supervision. Were any marriages organised
19	at the worksite?
20	[14.13.33]
21	A. Yes, there were marriages. However the marriage ceremonies did
22	not occur at the worksite. Usually they organised such ceremonies
23	at the commune office or at the pagoda or sometimes at <the< td=""></the<>
24	security> centre. And for each marriage ceremony <involved< td=""></involved<>
25	between 10 and> 15 couples <>.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

0	1
ø	Т

1	MR. PRESIDENT:
2	Lawyer for civil parties, please try to use only five more
3	minutes of the time and for this afternoon, we cannot exceed 4
4	p.m. as certain interpreters have to leave the courtroom <latest></latest>
5	by 4 p.m.
6	BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
7	Thank you, Mr. President.
8	Q. My question to you is related to the arranged marriage. Can
9	you tell the Court, the men and women <whose marriages=""> were</whose>
10	organised <>, did they consent to the marriage?
11	MR. OM CHY:
12	A. The marriage was determined by the village chief. They
13	reviewed the biographies and if they matched <>, then they would
14	organise <for couple="" the=""> to get married. For example, if they</for>
15	<both> belonged to the same peasant class then they would be</both>
16	matched and likewise it applies to other classes. <those< td=""></those<>
17	marriages were matched and determined by village chiefs and
18	approved by the commune chief.>
19	[14.15.28]
20	Q. And what about the individuals who were actually the subject
21	of the marriage, did they consent to the marriage?
22	A. Certain couples actually consent to the marriage arrangement
23	but others did not, as a result after the marriage some of them
24	could not stay together.
25	Q. You spoke <of making="" of="" the=""> biography, from the beginning <></of>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

25

82 there <were> evacuees coming to settle in your village<. Were 1 2 they required to make a biography>? 3 A. This applied across the board. It also applies to the new evacuees, so the village chief would look at their biography and 4 5 if they were both evacuees from Phnom Penh then they could be matched. б 7 [14.16.40] 8 Q. What about the Cham people, what was the arrangement for them? 9 A. The same principle applied like those who were from Phnom 10 Penh. So the Cham people would be matched with the Cham people. 11 <There was not a single case where a Cham was forced to get 12 married to a Khmer.> 13 MR. LOR CHUNTHY: 14 Thank you, Mr. President, I am done and thank you, Mr. Witness. 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 It is now appropriate for a short break; we take a break now and 17 resume at <2 p.m.> to continue our proceedings. 18 Court officer, please assist the witness during the break time at 19 the waiting room for civil parties and witnesses and invite him 20 back into the courtroom at <2 p.m.> 21 The Court is now in recess. 22 (Court recesses from 1417H to 1427H) 23 MR. PRESIDENT: 24 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session and the floor

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

is given to the defence teams for the Accused. First, the defence

83

- 1 team for Mr. Nuon Chea, you have the floor.
- 2 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Witness, I would like to ask you some questions, not very many. So I will be done quickly. Q. You just testified before the break in respect of the time that you and your 500 workers were working at the river. Did you work with these 500 workers from the beginning until the end, in other words, from February '78 until August '78? Were these always the same 500 workers, if yes?

- 10 [14.29.08]
- 11 MR. OM CHY:

12 A. Back then, I was single. And later in August, I was required 13 to get married after which I was dispatched to work and live in a 14 village. <I did not stay until the project was completed.> 15 Q. I'm not sure if my question was properly translated. I was 16 referring to the 500 workers. You commanded 500 workers. My 17 question was whether these 500 workers were there under your 18 command from the beginning in February '78 until the end that you 19 worked there, August '78.

A. These 500 workers were not under my responsibility <throughout the period>. Some of them were <recruited into the army, some of them got married. The number actually became smaller and smaller over time. I myself also got married and moved away. I did not stay there until the project was completed>.

25 [14.30.28]

84

1	Q. So were they then replaced by new workers?
2	A. <so and="" chiefs="" directly<="" of="" only="" small="" th="" units="" who="" worked=""></so>
3	with the commune continued to work there>.
4	Q. Let me try to ask you differently. In the period that you were
5	working at the river digging the canal, were there always 500
б	workers?
7	A. Not all of them went to work. Some <members of="" small="" units=""></members>
8	fell sick<, so> there were not <always> 500 workers.</always>
9	Q. That was exactly where I was going to. In the period between
10	February and August '78, do you recall how many workers of the
11	approximately 500 workers got sick?
12	A. I <did> not remember it well <as belonged="" people="" sick="" th="" those="" to<=""></as></did>
13	the small units. The situation varied. Some of them who> got sick
14	<> did not go to work. <but from="" recovered="" th="" their<="" they="" when=""></but>
15	sickness, they went back to work.>
16	Q. I will refresh your memory, hopefully, Mr. Witness. In your
17	statement to the investigators on English page 00282347; French,
18	00482926; and Khmer, 00271399; you said the following: "Since
19	there was not enough food, a number of people became ill. Four or
20	five (sic) persons out 500 were sick due to hunger, some were
21	swollen and some were very thin." Does that refresh your memory
22	that of about 500 workers in the period that you were working
23	there, around four or five people got sick?
24	[14.33.30]

25 A. I recall it now<. That was what I mentioned.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

85

1	Q. Do you recall their names, do you recall what happened to
2	them, and do you recall whether some of them or all of them were
3	brought to the hospital?
4	A. The sick were sent to a hospital. I do not recall the names of
5	those who were sick at that time.
б	Q. Do you agree with me that it's not very many, four or five out
7	of 500 during the period of six months?
8	MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
9	Mr. President, I object. The quote <talks about=""> four to 10 sick</talks>
10	people<, from what I see,> and there's something else that <i< th=""></i<>
11	would like> the Defence <to perhaps=""> clarify with the witness,</to>
12	because it's not clear. <was it=""> four to 10 people <who> were <></who></was>
13	permanently missing <from site="" the="" work="">? Or was it four to 10</from>
14	people <in all=""> throughout the <entire> period of <> construction</entire></in>
15	<>?
16	[14.35.09]
17	BY MR. KOPPE:
18	I thought I had clarified that, but I will ask again. The four or
19	five people who got sick, was that a number of all the 500
20	workers during the whole period of six months?
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Please observe the microphone, Mr. Witness, before you speak.
23	MR. OM CHY:
24	A. As I told the Court already, the 500 workers were not working
25	always at the worksite. Some of them were <recruited into="" th="" the<=""></recruited>

1	army, some of them got married; thus, the number became smaller
2	and smaller over time. There were about 300 workers left>. And
3	those who fell sick were dispatched to the hospital. They fell
4	sick from day to day. On one day, there may have been five or 10
5	people who fell sick <but at="" sick<="" stayed="" td="" the="" there="" worksite.=""></but>
6	people were constantly spotted staying in the sleeping quarters
7	at the worksite.>
8	Q. But just before, you said it was only four or five people, and
9	you confirmed that. Is it now more all of a sudden?
10	[14.36.32]
11	A. <as all="" fell="" mentioned,="" not="" people="" sent="" sick="" td="" the="" to<="" were="" who=""></as>
12	the hospital>. I said that <there been="" between="" could="" four<="" have="" td=""></there>
13	and 10 severely sick people sent to the hospital. There were
14	always sick people staying at the sleeping quarters on a daily
15	basis>. And as I said, <the> five or 10 <severely people="" sick="" td="" who<=""></severely></the>
16	were sent to the hospital could have returned to their respective
17	villages, while some of them returned to their respective units.
18	I did not tell the full story that's why I am being challenged
19	before this court>.
20	Q. Very well, Mr. Witness, I will move on to another subject. You
21	spoke earlier about an arrest of a young worker, and you said
22	that that arrest was intended to "deter other workers". Did you
23	ever speak to the security agents, as you call them, who arrested
24	this young man?
25	A. I <did and<="" new,="" not="" people="" security="" speak="" td="" them.="" those="" to="" were=""></did>

87

1 I had no> contact with them<. I did not dare to ask them>.

2 [14.38.19]

Q. Did you ever speak to the persons that these security guards brought the young man to? Did you ever speak to anybody else in relation to the arrest of the young man?

A. I used to meet <only> unit chiefs <who were> working close to
my worksite. <On some occasions,> I did not <fully speak of> some
points, <and for this reason, I am being accused by the
Co-Prosecutors. As a matter of fact, it was not a short canal,
but a long one. Workers from each village were deployed to finish

11 a segment. On certain occasions, unit chiefs could go and reclaim

12 their members; however, if the workers were severely charged,

13 they could not be reclaimed>.

Q. Let me rephrase my question. Did you ever speak to anybody in an official position who was in the authority to say why this young man was arrested?

A. <As mentioned earlier on, I did not speak to anyone about that>. At the time, <my main task was to lead people to work, and I dared not make any contact with people I knew were holding> high-ranking positions <>.

Q. Is it, Mr. Witness, then fair to say from me that the arrest of this young man in order to deter other workers is speculation on your side?
A. Yes. <I saw the arrest. If he had committed a serious offense,</p>

25 he would not have been arrested that way. However, he was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

88

arrested from the large crowd or in such a manner in order to 1 2 deter other workers. That was what I observed from the nearby 3 position.> [14.41.11]4 5 Q. But it's nevertheless speculation; correct? I'll move on, Mr. б Witness. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. I would like to ask you now the question on another matter. 9 Earlier you testified that night work at the site was occasional. 10 You said when it was demanding and that people worked "sometimes 11 through the night". Can you give us an example of a situation 12 when on a particular evening or night, it was demanding to work? 13 One example of a situation when night work was demanded at the 14 time. 15 A. < On some occasions, workers> were required to work at night at 16 <the> worksite <as in certain areas, they were not able to use 17 the explosives; thus, workers were required to get the job done 18 in time>. 19 [14.42.51]20 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Are you aware, Mr. Witness, that at 21 one point in time there was a guideline or an instruction from 22 "the upper echelon", that night work in general should be limited 23 because of various reasons? 24 A. I heard of that matter. They required us to work at night so 25 that they could finish the construction of the <> canal in time

89

1	before the <rainy as="" could="" downpour="" it<="" make="" season="" started;="" th="" the=""></rainy>
2	difficult for the workers to build the canal>.
3	Q. Maybe you didn't understand my question well, but are you
4	aware of a guideline or instruction in October - November '77 to
5	limit night work as much as possible?
б	A. In principle, there was a guideline but it depended on those
7	who led the work group. <the fully="" guideline="" implemented<="" not="" th="" was=""></the>
8	anyway.>
9	Q. Mr. President, I would like to read a very small excerpt from
10	a "Revolutionary Flag", a special number from October - November
11	1977, E3/170, English page 00182578; Khmer, 00064792; and French
12	I don't have at the moment but I will give you later. Mr.
13	Witness, in this "Revolutionary Flag", it says as follows: "Our
14	past experienced has been that the profitable aspects of night
15	work are small, whereas there are a lot of costly aspects: one,
16	adverse effect on health; two, expenditure of electricity; three,
17	but the biggest losses are political and ideological". Does this
18	somehow ring a bell with you, the instruction for these reasons
19	to limit night work as much as possible?
20	[14.46.04]
21	A. I am not clear on this point. We were located at only the
22	designated area that we were working. <so th="" the="" when="" worksite<=""></so>
23	chief> required us to work<, we had to work. In principle, a
24	guideline was a guideline. It could somehow bring about some
25	political disadvantages; however, if we did not work when we were
_	

90

1 required to do so by our supervisor, we could be accused of not 2 complying> with the guideline<>.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Is it correct that the 500 workers at the site were working according to the village they were coming form, that they were divided into groups as per the village that they were living in?

A. Yes. <Workers were classified into units according to their
respective villages.> There <was also a chief for each village
unit. I was the person who led them to work, and relayed to them
work plans from the upper echelon>.

Q. And from your statement I understood that workers were allowed to bring personal belongings, for instance, for the sleeping quarters, things like mats or hammocks, etc. Who was responsible for the decision in respect of what workers could bring with them, was that the unit chief or was that you on a higher level? [14.48.14]

A. It was the decision made by <the> respective <unit> chiefs.
They decided on what their members were to bring to the worksite
in order to live and work there.>

Q. But is that the reason why some people had hammocks and other people didn't have hammocks, for instance, that that decision was made on a lower level, and that there wasn't a central decision; is that correct?

A. Indeed, <there> was not enough <gear> for everyone. <As
mentioned earlier, some brought along their gear, and> some did

91

1	not <have along="" anything="" bring="" to="">. For those who did not have</have>
2	sleeping mat, they would sleep on the <floor>. During that time,</floor>
3	workers had a <small clothes="" old="" put="" sack="" their="" they="" where="">, so</small>
4	they <converted into="" it=""> a pillow to sleep on.</converted>
5	Q. Earlier, Mr. Witness, you spoke about, when asked questions by
б	the prosecution about smashing of enemies, and you said in
7	particular that enemies were smashed who may sabotage the
8	movement. What exactly do you mean when you say "who may sabotage
9	the movement"? What does that mean?
10	[14.50.26]
11	A. I am not clear on this point. In every worksite, <some people<="" th=""></some>
12	were actually provoking passive workers . In such a case,> if the
13	upper echelon <had> found <that have<="" not="" out,="" people="" th="" those="" would=""></that></had>
14	kept them. However, no such event occurred> at my work place<. As
15	mentioned earlier, I did not report on such a matter.>
16	Q. There is quite some testimony, Mr. Witness, that would imply
17	that if somebody was inactive or lazy, that either he would be
18	reprimanded, sometimes re-educated, sometimes there could be a
19	little harsher punishment. Can you be a little more specific if
20	you have any knowledge as to what would happen to people whom
21	sabotaged the movement?
22	MR. PRESIDENT:
23	Please observe the microphone, Mr. Witness, before you give your
24	response.

25 [14.51.50]

92

1	MR. OM CHY:
2	A. I told the Court earlier that meetings were held <in order="" td="" to<=""></in>
3	identify those> who <were acts="" committing="" of=""> sabotage. And if</were>
4	the upper echelon <happened could="" identify="" people,="" they="" those="" to=""></happened>
5	be taken away for <either or="" torture=""> re-education <>.</either>
б	BY MR. KOPPE:
7	But have you ever actually seen anybody being tortured?
8	MR. OM CHY:
9	A. I never <witnessed> the actual torture. <i did=""> not witness</i></witnessed>
10	<such a="" general="" in="" thing=""> at the canal construction site <due td="" to<=""></due></such>
11	the fact that we slept and worked in different sleeping quarters
12	and areas of responsibility. Again, I did not witness such a
13	thing within my unit. On the other hand, I did not go around that
14	much>.
15	Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. For the record, Mr. President, the
16	French ERN that I owe you is 00665429. Mr. Witness, I would like
17	to move on to another topic and that is a person with the name
18	Pauch. I'm not sure if I pronounce it correctly. You just
19	mentioned that name. My national colleague will say it again.
20	MR. SON ARUN:
21	<a. i="" of="" recall="" spoke="" that="" yes=""> Pauch.</a.>
22	[14.53.41]
23	BY MR. KOPPE:
24	Do you recall just speaking about this person?
25	MR. OM CHY:

93

1	A. Yes, I recall that I spoke <of pauch="">.</of>
2	Q. About him or to him what did you say?
3	A. I mentioned <that> the individual by the name <of> Pauch</of></that>
4	<became a=""> district committee <as a="" for="" replacement=""> Moul <>.</as></became>
5	Q. Do you remember anything about this person, Pauch?
б	A. I cannot recall <much. i="" just="" knew=""> that he <became a=""></became></much.>
7	district committee <as a="" for="" replacement=""> Moul. <i aware<="" not="" td="" was=""></i></as>
8	of his activities.>
9	[14.55.07]
10	Q. Did you ever hear stories about him being very cruel or other
11	things like that?
12	A. No<, I did not>. Pauch came to work at Baray <district> after</district>
13	the <circular been="" directive="" had="" i<="" issued.="" moreover,="" on="" or="" pardon="" td=""></circular>
14	did not hear from anyone saying that about him. He came to work
15	in the area towards the very end of the regime.>
16	Q. I'm not sure about that, Mr. Witness. But let me ask you
17	another question. Did you ever hear allegations against Pauch,
18	that he without any authority killed families living in his
19	district?
20	A. I told the Court already <earlier.> Pauch had not arrived <></earlier.>
21	yet <when after="" only="" place.="" purges="" taking="" the="" were=""> the circular</when>
22	<had been=""> issued <towards did="" end="" of="" pauch<="" regime="" td="" the="" very=""></towards></had>
23	arrive. The circular came as a huge relief to the people, and
24	they started to enjoy freedom>.

25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

94

- 1 You may now proceed, Judge Lavergne.
- 2 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 3 <Counsel> Koppe, I've heard of allegations <against this Pauch.
 4 Could you tell us what> are the sources <you are drawing on to
- 5 make> these allegations<>?
- 6 [14.57.08]
- 7 BY MR. KOPPE:

8 I base myself upon a statement, E3/35. It's a statement of the 9 son, Ke Pauk. I was about to read an excerpt from his WRI. The 10 English ERN is 00346157; Khmer, 00340572; and French, 00367729. 11 That's my source. Let me return to my question, although I'm not 12 quite sure what it was.

- Q. But I think I was asking you whether you know anything aboutPauch killing families in his district without any authorisation.
- 15 Have you heard any of those allegations?
- 16 MR. OM CHY:

17 A. I told the Court already. Pauch came to work at Baray

18 <district> at a later stage, and the killing did not happen

19 seriously at the later stage when Pauch came<. I was not aware of

- 20 the information, and from where the information came. I myself
- 21 never knew or heard such a thing.>

22 [14.58.52]

Q. Mr. Witness, let me read to you an excerpt from a statement of the son of Ke Pauk the zone leader. Mr. President, that is exactly that -- on the ERN that I just mentioned.

1 "I remembered later my father received news about people in 2 truckloads were killed at Phnom Pros Phnom Srey. One day after he 3 received that news, he went down to the 1st January Dam. During the trip, he stopped by Krava Bak Sna village in Baray district 4 where he saw about 200 families who had been arrested and put in 5 the pagoda waiting to be killed. At that time, he asked those б 7 people, 'Why many of you are gathering here?' The people replied, 'Pauk, you should not do this to us.'" -- to Ke Pauk. "My father 8 continued, 'What has happened?' The people replied, 'Pauk, you 9 10 ordered them to arrest us to kill and they will kill us tonight.' 11 My father told me this story personally. At that time, the person 12 who drove him there named Chiem." -- was Chim. "He knew all of 13 those people because they used to support him with food since 14 during the time he was in the struggling movement in the jungles. 15 At that time, he told the militias to call the district committee 16 named Pauch to come and see him, but the district committee 17 refused to come. Then the commune committee of the Krava or Bak 18 Sna commune arrived, so my father ordered him to release all 19 those people and warned that no one absolutely was allowed to 20 touch these people." This is the story, Mr. Witness, that happened in the district 21 22 that you were from. Does his story somehow ring a bell? 23 [15.01.04]24 A. I do not know about that. I am not hiding the information. 25 Frankly, I do not know about it. <When he came to work in Baray

96

1	district, his office was located in the commune of Ballangk,
2	specifically at Kampong Thma market. I did not hear anything
3	about his activities. I was not aware of people being taken to
4	commune offices>.
5	Q. Very well, Mr. Witness. Earlier you were asked a question
б	about a person that you said you didn't know, somebody with the
7	name Tol. Tol was replaced at one point by Oeun who is the
8	brother-in-law of the earlier mentioned Ke Pauk. Have you ever
9	heard of truckloads of weapons being sent to the predecessor of
10	Oeun coming from division of the revolutionary army, Division
11	310?
12	A. <in capacity,="" did="" i="" know="" my="" not=""> anything about <such an<="" td=""></such></in>
13	event. Since I was mostly based in villages, I was not aware of
14	the progress of their movement>.
15	MR. KOPPE:
16	That, I understand. Thank you very much, Mr. Witness.
17	[15.02.56]
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Thank you, Counsel. The floor is now given to the defence team
20	for Khieu Samphan. Counsel, you may proceed.
21	QUESTIONING BY MS. GUISSE:
22	Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. My name is
23	Anta Guisse. I am <the> International <co-lawyer> for Mr. Khieu</co-lawyer></the>
24	Samphan and, as such, I am going to ask you <several clarifying<="" td=""></several>
25	questions>. You answered a question from the International

97

1 Co-Prosecutor or perhaps it was the national one, I don't recall, 2 saying that when you started to work on digging <canals>, it was 3 after the <> 1st January Dam <had been finished. Can you explain 4 what> you mean <when you say "the construction was finished?"> 5 What <exactly> was finished?

- 6 [15.04.04]
- 7 MR. OM CHY:

A. <It was in 1977, when> the 1st January Dam was constructed<.</p>
In that year, I was living in the village, and was assigned by
the> village chief <> to work <on a farm. After the dam had been</p>
completed -- and it was in 1978, when --> I was assigned to build
ca canal> connecting <> to the 1st January Dam. <And for this</p>
reason,> I am not familiar at all with <the matters regarding>
the construction of the 1st January Dam <>.

15 Q. Should I understand <from your answer> that the canals you 16 <have been> talking about during this hearing were connected with 17 the 6th January Dam rather than the 1st January Dam? I see that 18 the International Co-Prosecutor <may have an objection. I would 19 clarify that I would like him to refrain from providing elements 20 of a response to the witness. It is an answer that I need and 21 that I think the Parties> need to hear <directly> from the mouth 22 of the witness <>. I'm seeking a clarification<, so I would 23 prefer to make this preliminary observation before the 24 Co-Prosecutor's> objection. <>

25 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:

98

Thank you. My objection concerns the fact that the witness <has> 1 2 never talked about the 6th January Dam. We were only ever talking 3 about a canal linking the 1st January Dam to his region<, and that's it>. So I really <don't see where> this question <is 4 5 coming from>. [15.05.43]б 7 BY MS. GUISSE: Let me <reformulate> this question more clearly. 8 9 Q. Mr. Witness, you've <just told me> that you <do> not know what 10 <the situation was concerning construction of> the 1st January 11 Dam. <Could you explain to me which canals you were talking about 12 when you spoke to us today about the canals you had to dig? 13 Which> dam were they linked to<>? 14 MR. OM CHY: 15 A. <I was referring to the> canal that <was connected to> the 1st 16 January Dam. < Actually, a portion of about five kilometres in 17 length of the canal coming from the dam had been constructed; and 18 in 1978, we were assigned to continue working on the construction 19 of the canal. We were working on the segment towards the south>. [15.06.40]20 Q. <So, if> I understood correctly, there was already a first 21 22 part of the canal that had been dug already when you yourself 23 started your work; is that correct?

24 A. Yes, that is correct.

25 Q. And these canals that had already been dug before you

99

1 <yourself started to> work, were they already functioning<? Were 2 they already being used> for <> agriculture in the region? 3 A. No, that canal was not yet functional. It could only irrigate 4 certain parts of the Ballangk commune. However, the section that 5 I worked on <would go through> two nearby communes <namely Chhuk 6 Khsach and> Treal communes. <According to their work plan, the 7 canal would run all the way through Treal commune>.

Q. Did you yourself go to the 1st January Dam site<, meaning> to the place where there was <the> reservoir and the bridges<, etc.? Did> you <> go to that main section of the <1st January> Dam? A. After the arrival of the Vietnamese, the dam was used <by> everyone -- that is, for all the people in the region and I used to ride my <ox-cart> on its crest.

14 [15.08.58]

Q. Yes, just to be more precise<, my> question was <> whether, before 1979 and <even> before you worked on the canals that you have <just told us about, had you ever been on> the dam or was the first time <that you went to this main> dam after the arrival of the Vietnamese?

A. As I stated earlier, <I did not go there as I was assigned to work on a farm in that same year.> I never went to the main body of the dam or the reservoir itself. Only after the arrival of the Vietnamese <did I travel back and forth on the dam when I was collecting firewood>. During the regime, I would not be able to have the liberty to go anywhere besides the work assignment at

100

1 the specific locations.

2 Q. Thank you. I'd like now to look at your role as a unit chief. 3 You <said> that you were a unit chief <of a> group of about 500 people. Who appointed you to this position? 4 5 A. I was transferred from the plantation <to work on the project by> the arrival of the southwest group. < Everyone, including б 7 myself, trembled in fear the moment we heard of the Southwest 8 group.> And since I was single, I was <removed from the farm and> assigned to go and build the <canal. The> village chief <told me> 9 10 that I could not refuse because <we were under the command of a 11 totally new group of people. > Chin and Dong who came to supervise 12 the Ballangk commune appointed me to lead the workforce to go 13 there. And Dong himself also went to the <canal> construction 14 site. 15 [15.11.19]16 Q. Was there any particular reason why the unmarried people were 17 sent to the worksites? You just told us that you were chosen<, 18 appointed, > because you were not married<. You> also said that 19 you were at the head of the unmarried unit. Was there any

20 particular reason for this?

A. We were unmarried and we would not be allowed to <live among the middle-aged people. Upon arrival> in the village<,we> were gathered <and formed into a> mobile unit <before leaving to work there>. And since I was a bit older than the rest, I was appointed to lead them.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

101

1	Q. Yes. My question was slightly different actually. I will try
2	and use different words. Were only single people chosen for the
3	mobile units?
4	A. Members of the mobile unit were all unmarried, no one had a
5	wife or was married.
б	Q. <you a="" as="" below="" chief,="" said="" that,="" unit="" you,=""> there were group</you>
7	chiefs or subunit chiefs. <were appointed="" one="" the="" who="" you=""> these</were>
8	people?
9	A. Actually, <the along="" came="" different="" from="" th="" villages="" with<="" workers=""></the>
10	their group chiefs who had been appointed by their respective
11	village chiefs before> they came to work at <the canal=""> worksite.</the>
12	Q. <and were="" you=""> their hierarchical superior, <> on the dam or</and>
13	rather, on the canals?
14	[15.13.55]
15	A. I was overall in charge of that mobile unit. And partly, I was
16	also in charge of the workers from my village.
17	Q. You said that, in your unit, there were <both> Base People and</both>
18	New People. As unit chief, did you give any instructions <for< th=""></for<>
19	these two groups to> be treated differently?
20	A. No, there was no such instance. We worked together. We did not
21	differentiate between the Base People and the New People. And
22	usually, those New People were stronger physically than the Base
23	People and we worked together as a team. <personally, i="" never<="" th=""></personally,>
24	discriminated against anyone on the basis of their status.>
25	Q. As unit chief, did you give instructions to your group leaders

102

to carry out corporal punishment of workers in your unit?
A. No, I never did that. Some group chiefs actually came to
request permission to punish certain members, but I denied the
request. <I told them that those people were also human beings.</p>
If I had done anyone wrong, I would not have been living> after
the 1979 fall of the regime.

Q. And did these <group> chiefs who asked your permission to perform corporal punishment <or general punishment, was that coming from their own chiefs? Was it they, themselves, who took this initiative to come and ask your authorization to proceed with punishments>?

A. It was their own initiative. And I could also see that certain 12 13 members were pretty young <and naughty>, so they did not pay much 14 attention to the work. And sometimes, <many of them got sick. And as mentioned earlier on, members of each unit were not from the 15 16 one place. They were required to stay and work only on their 17 section of responsibility. However, workers were from between 18 four and ten villages within the same commune, and worked and 19 lived on the worksite>.

20 [15.17.14]

Q. With the Co-Prosecutor, you were talking about evening meetings <convened or> conducted by the <unit chiefs -- pardon,> group or subunit heads. Do you know if these meetings were held every day or was the frequency different? A. No, it was not held every day. It was held sometimes once a

103

1 week or sometimes we had to <wait until> a bigger meeting <was</p>
2 held>.

Q. You talked about the food rations and the meals. In your unit, 3 how did you organize things in order to provide cooked meals for 4 5 the people in your unit? Whose job was it to go and fetch the rice and <where did the rice that was served at meals come from >? б 7 A. In my unit, a small group of workers was assigned to <prepare 8 food for workers. They had to> transport rice from Ta Prok village in Ballangk district -- Ballangk commune rather, however, 9 10 it is now in another commune. <As you may imagine, they were 11 single; thus, they were not good at cooking. As for stew, there 12 was not a balance between meat and water. They just boiled those 13 things together. I personally found it hard to eat the stew; 14 however, if I had not eaten it, I would have gone hungry>. 15 [15.19.38]

16 Q. You <say> that the rice came from the village. During the 17 entire five or six months that <you said> you were present on the 18 canal, did the rice <always> come <> from the same village or 19 <could it> vary depending on which month it was? 20 A. The rice was transported only from that same village. And I 21 was <constantly> asked to provide a rather firm statistic of the 22 number of workers for rice transportation purpose. <As mentioned 23 earlier, youth workers ate a lot. And since some of them had been 24 recruited in the army and those who got married went back to live 25 in their respective villages, I never submitted to them accurate

1	reports as requested concerning number of workers. If I had
2	submitted to them the accurate number of workers, the supply of
3	rice would not have been sufficient to feed those workers>.
4	Q. <and it="" was=""> the head of that village <who was=""> in charge of</who></and>
5	providing you with your rations or <do if="" know="" there="" you=""> was <></do>
6	a different form of organisation Do you know how things worked</th
7	there to make sure rations were provided>?
8	A. <only> Ta Prok village <transported our="" rice="" supply="" to="" us="">. As</transported></only>
9	for the food, <it> came from the economic section of the commune.</it>
10	And that's where we <obtained> our food <every morning="">.</every></obtained>
11	[15.21.31]
12	Q. And was everything cooked on the actual worksite?
13	A. Yes, <> food was <prepared> on site near a water source that</prepared>
14	<was> at the canal section which had been built.</was>
15	Q. As unit leader, did you authorize <workers> under <your></your></workers>
16	authority to <sometimes> go fishing to add a little bit of food</sometimes>
17	to the rations provided?
18	A. No, I did not because food ration was the responsibility of
19	the commune. And if we <had done="" so=""> to supplement our food,</had>
20	<and> the commune <later accused="" been="" found="" have="" of<="" out,="" th="" we="" would=""></later></and>
21	going against their assignment. We could not do that. We just
22	waited to receive the supply from them>.
23	Q. Are you saying this because you <have> an example of somebody</have>
24	having their rations cut after <such an="" occurrence="">?</such>
25	[15.23.13]

105

A. The main principle was not to reduce our ration. However, if we <had> assigned a group of workers from our unit to find supplementary food, then <we would have been accused of going against their order as food <> was already provided to us. Thus, it was not necessary for us to find our own food. As a result, we did not find any supplementary food. We just waited to receive whatever amount of food provided>.

8 Q. Mr. Witness, I am saying <this to you, Mr. Witness, > because we had another witness who <came to testify> in this Chamber, who 9 10 was also a unit chief<. It was witness> Or Ho, who<, at the hearing> on the 19th of May 2015, in document E1/301.1, a little 11 12 before 9.43, said that he, as <> unit chief, <authorized> people to catch fish in the streams, and <he did not mention> any food 13 14 reduction measures <>. That's why I <asked> you this <question. 15 So, were you aware of other unit chiefs, such as Or Ho, who 16 authorized, for example, their> workers to go fishing? 17 A. I myself did not dare do that. <At the worksite, if anyone 18 happened to do that, one would immediately identify the unit he 19 belonged to.> In the case of Or Ho, he was actually not a unit 20 chief, he was a village chief. So he had the authority to assign 21 people to go fishing. <I do agree with him when he stated that 22 the life and death of people in a village depended heavily on the 23 village chief and his management. However, I dared not do that> 24 at the worksite.

25 [15.25.28]

1	Q. <which means="" that,=""> in your unit, it was the village chief</which>
2	where you went to collect your rice who <> had <this></this>
3	responsibility; do I understand correctly?
4	A. In <a> village, it was the village chief who had the authority
5	to <assign> people to go fishing in order to improve the living</assign>
6	condition of the villagers. And for us, <members a="" mobile<="" of="" td=""></members>
7	unit,> the food was <supplied and="" by="" commune.<="" rationed="" td="" the=""></supplied>
8	Whether or not the food ration was sufficient,> we did not dare
9	to go and find any supplementary food on our own initiative.
10	Q. And as unit chief, did you ever ask the village head for
11	permission to have <supplementary> food of one sort or another?</supplementary>
12	A. No, I did not dare ask for that kind of permission as I was
13	concerned that they would say the food distribution to us was
14	sufficient. And if we were to ask for more, then they would say
15	that we <had been="" not=""> tempered enough <as supposed="" td="" to<="" we="" were=""></as></had>
16	undergo tempering experiences during the regime.>
17	[15.27.16]
18	Q. <you> also talked <> about the water that was used by the</you>
19	workers both for drinking and <for> washing, <explaining> that it</explaining></for>
20	was not <potable> water. <also,> before this Chamber, <at td="" the<=""></at></also,></potable>
21	hearing of> the 2nd of June 2015, we had a civil party, Seang
22	Sovida, document E1/308.1, who said that on the site where <she></she>
23	was working, there were arrangements <made> for boiling <> water.</made>
24	<so my=""> question <> is, at any stage, did you ever think about</so>
25	taking similar steps, as unit chief?

107

1	A. At that time, due to the lack of the necessary <resources> and</resources>
2	due to the large number of workers, I did not give any
3	instructions to have <the constantly="" water=""> boiled <> for my</the>
4	workers. <we canal.="" from="" i<="" just="" straight="" th="" the="" used="" water=""></we>
5	acknowledged that the water was dirty.>
6	Q. You <> stated that <it for<="" make="" possible="" requests="" th="" to="" was=""></it>
7	permission> to move around during <> days off<, which, if I
8	understand your testimony correctly,> happened every 10 days.
9	<in> your unit, <when> a worker wanted to <leave or="" to=""> go</leave></when></in>
10	somewhere else, <for did="" permission="" whose=""> the worker <have th="" to<=""></have></for>
11	ask? Was it> the head of the group or <> you, as the unit chief?
12	MR. SENG LEANG:
13	Mr. President, the Defence Counsel used a hypothetical question
14	"if", and that would lead to drawing a presumption from the
15	witness.
16	[15.29.39]
17	BY MS. GUISSE:
18	No issue. I can rephrase. <i think=""> it's a question of language.</i>
19	Q. <when> someone wished to go somewhere during their day off, to</when>
20	whom did that person have to place this request, to the unit
21	chief or the group chief?
22	MR. OM CHY:
23	A. On the day off, they never sought permission from me. Workers
24	had their own respective group chiefs. And in fact, on the day
25	off, in the morning, they had to attend the meeting in order to

108

reinforce their work commitment<, be updated on the progress and management issues, in general. About half of the workers remained on the worksite, while the other half> of the workers <went> to visit their villages. And of course, I cannot tell you what kind of food they ate on their <day-off> at their villages <as I did not follow them to their villages.> And later during the day, they returned to the worksite.

8 [15.30.52]

Q. From your answer, I understand that workers were given 9 10 authorisation to travel back to their <villages>. If you weren't 11 the one <they asked, am I right to conclude that> it was <to 12 their> group chief that the workers would <make this request>? 13 A. Yes, they sought permission from their respective group 14 chiefs. And of course, I didn't have anything to do with it 15 because it was a <day-off>. And wherever they wanted to go, they 16 could go as long as they obtained the permission from their group 17 chiefs. <Some workers remained in the sleeping quarters on the 18 worksite.>

19 Q. I'd like to touch on a different topic <now>. With the 20 International Co-Prosecutor, you discussed <the> Baray Choan Dek 21 pagoda, <saying> that it was a security centre but that<, at one 22 point,> the security centre was <> transferred elsewhere and that 23 you were able to go to <this> pagoda to attend a meeting. Are you 24 certain that you attended a meeting in that pagoda?

25 [15.32.25]

109

1	A. Yes, I did attend that meeting there when the security centre
2	had already been relocated elsewhere. <and by="" td="" the="" then,="" workshop<=""></and>
3	where elderly men worked was placed within the compound.>
4	Q. I ask the question<, Mr. Witness,> because <at hearing="" the=""> on</at>
5	25 May 2015, a witness<,> Meas Layhuor, document E1/304.1,
6	shortly after <15:51:19, said> that the security centre existed
7	until the fall of the regime, <so> until the arrival of the</so>
8	Vietnamese. <so> I would like to know if there is a mistake and</so>
9	if you are <> certain that this security centre was relocated
10	before the fall of the regime.
11	A. I am clear on the point that I made earlier. I am saying only
12	the truth. If you do not believe me, you can go to ask the people
13	living close by to that security centre. I am not evading the
14	question. The security centre was relocated to a <new> place<,</new>
15	so-called Khnaor Baek (phonetic) near the current> Kampong Thma
16	market. <it a="" and="" just="" place.="" small="" unwell-known="" was=""> Some people</it>
17	<pre><did a="" actually="" even="" it="" not="" notice="" that="" was=""> security centre.</did></pre>
18	Southwest Zone cadres were sent to be in charge of that centre.
19	[15.34.23]
20	MS. GUISSE:
21	<i finished="" have="" my="" questions="" with="">, Mr. President.<my></my></i>
22	colleague, Kong Sam Onn<,> will <take over="">.</take>
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	You have the floor now, Counsel Kong Sam Onn.
25	QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:

110

- 1 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. Chy. I want to ask 2 you concerning your position. You stated that you were in charge 3 of 500 workers. You stated that you were a unit chief. Could you 4 tell the Court whether you were <a> unit chief at the commune 5 level or district level?
- 6 [15.35.13]
- 7 MR. OM CHY:
- 8 A. <It was a commune-level position that I held> after I left my
- 9 village <to work there with other workers>. So I was the unit
- 10 chief at the commune level.
- 11 Q. Thank you. Who did you make the report to?
- A. Regarding the report, I submitted the report <on the progress at my section> to Dong <who was a deputy to> the commune chief<, and was based at the worksite>.
- 15 Q. Thank you. Who were your direct subordinates -- I mean who
- 16 were your subordinates namely the group chiefs or subgroup
- 17 chiefs?
- 18 A. I have told the Court so far. Concerning the structure below 19 me, I was the unit chief in charge of groups and subgroups <from 20 various villages>. And I had to assign the work to my members 21 based on the <order> of the commune chief.
- 22 Q. Thank you. Could you tell the Court how many mobile units
- 23 <actually came> from your <commune>?
- 24 A. <At that time, workers from> 10 villages <in the commune came
- 25 to work at the worksite>.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

111

1	[15.37.52]
2	Q. <thank you.=""> Within each <village, further="" were="" workers=""></village,></thank>
3	divided into subgroups <or formed="" group="" into="" just="" one="" they="" were="">?</or>
4	A. <workers each="" for="" from="" into="" some<="" split="" subgroups.="" td="" village="" were=""></workers>
5	small villages,> there were 10 members <per and="" big<="" for="" subgroup.="" td=""></per>
б	villages,> there were five subgroups <of 10="" each="" members=""> within</of>
7	one group.
8	Q. Thank you. You were in charge of group chiefs from various
9	villages. So what did you <exactly> do while you were in charge</exactly>
10	of them?
11	A. <in each="" group,=""> I always <reminded chief="" the=""> to advise <his></his></reminded></in>
12	members not to wander around <due fact="" that="" the="" to=""> if the upper</due>
13	echelon <had a="" case,="" learnt="" of="" such=""> we would <have accused<="" been="" td=""></have></had>
14	of not being able to keep workers under control>.
15	Q. Thank you. You stated that the group chiefs <had made<="" td=""></had>
16	requests> to you to impose corporal punishment against their
17	members and you refused the requests. I would like to know
18	whether <> any group chief <had imposed=""> corporal punishment <on></on></had>
19	their members without seeking your permission.
20	[15.40.32]
21	A. From my observation, no such things happened <in any="" group.<="" td=""></in>
22	They did not dare to do that>.
23	Q. Thank you. In relation to the reduction of <the daily=""> food</the>
24	rations <>, did this happen within your mobile unit?
25	A. I do not get your question, could you clarify it?

112

1	Q. Thank you. I would like to rephrase my question. In relation
2	to the reduction of daily food ration because a youth <worker> or</worker>
3	a member within a mobile unit did not comply with the
4	discipline<.> I would like to know whether <any case="" deduction<="" of="" td=""></any>
5	of food ration occurred within group or mobile>.
б	A. From my observation, food ration was not reduced. Workers had
7	to <go and=""> get the food or meals from the kitchen. <it td="" was<=""></it></go>
8	likely the case that> the kitchen <staff and="" had="" prepared="" put="" td="" the<=""></staff>
9	food on a separate tray for each group. I> never heard <any case<="" td=""></any>
10	in which any particular unit chief had deducted food ration of
11	his members. No such a case occurred>.
12	[15.42.19]
13	Q. Thank you. Concerning <an> answer you <gave> earlier, you</gave></an>
14	stated that before you were assigned to work on <the canal<="" td=""></the>
15	construction site to build a canal that was connected to> the 1st
16	January Dam<,> Dong, <who a="" was=""> commune chief at one time <</who>
17	but> you just said a while ago that Dong was a deputy chief of
18	the commune < told you that those people, including the
19	security people, belonged to a totally new circle of people. So>
20	what do you mean by that?
21	A. <> I <mentioned> that <> because <if come="" had="" we=""> from the</if></mentioned>
22	same village, we <would each<="" had="" have="" more="" td="" towards="" understanding=""></would>
23	other; and for that reason,> we could <have shared=""> jokes <> and></have>
24	sometimes <> we could <have matters.<="" on="" serious="" td="" together="" worked=""></have>
25	Upon the arrival of the Southwest group, those former cadres were

113

demoted and removed from their posisitons. Since they no longer enjoyed the authority they once had, although a few remained in their positions but were still under the Southwest group's command, they became frightened as they had been traumatized by the 1977 events. For this reason, we kept reminding each other with that regard>.

Q. Thank you. Could you tell the Court <the> differences in <terms of> working method <between> the new cadres <and the former cadres>?

10 [15.44.41]

11 A. I have no idea in relation to this matter. <Initially>, we 12 worked <with people and led them to work in the very place where we lived>. Later on <in> 1977, when the Southwest Zone cadres 13 14 <went to work in a place, in principle, old cadres> were removed 15 <from their positions> and their <authority stripped from them;</pre> 16 thus, the former cadres became reluctant. It was obvious that 17 those new cadres were seemingly harsh.> So we were afraid of 18 them.

19 Q. Thank you. Could you <explain to> the Court <the reasons of 20 the fear that arose between> the new cadres <> from the Southwest 21 Zone <and the former cadres>?

A. I do not really understand <this> as well. They came to replace the <former> cadres and they were <seemingly harsh> as I stated. <I had no idea of their policy. We were just afraid of them. Our hard work was bartered for our daily survival. By that

114

1 time, there was no more trust among us>.

Q. Thank you. You mentioned <of> your marriage and also marriages of other youth <workers> within the mobile unit. I would like to know whether you had ever held <any> marriage ceremony for those youth <workers across the mobile units or> within <your own> mobile unit.

A. Marriages happened during that time. For example, <a youth worker by the name of A fell in love with another youth worker by the name of B, and> they came to make the request to me and <then> I made a report to the <village chief through whom their biographies were made before their proposal was finally submitted to the commune chief. I myself had no authority to make their biography. That was the decision I made with that regard>.

14 [15.47.40]

15 Q. What do you mean by saying <"that was the decision I made with 16 that regard">?

17 A. I <meant that I just gave them guidelines to follow. I didn't 18 guarantee that their marriage would take place as I had no 19 authority to approve anyone's marriage. Usually, a request had to 20 be sent back to me by the concerned village chief in order to 21 have that specific member removed from the canal worksite in 22 order to get married. I had no authority to approve anyone's 23 marriage. As mentioned over and over, I only had the authority to 24 tell them to contact their respective village chiefs>. 25 Q. Thank you. <Regarding these marriages, you> also stated that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

115

	115
1	<village approve="" authority="" chief="" had="" the="" to=""> the request made by</village>
2	<a concerned="" i="" know="" like="" td="" there="" to="" was<="" whether="" worker.="" would="" youth="">
3	any case in which, by order of either a commune chief or a
4	village chief, you were required to send workers to> get married?
5	[15.49.07]
6	MR. PRESIDENT:
7	Please wait, Mr. Witness. Please observe the microphone before
8	you give your answer.
9	MR. OM CHY:
10	A. After the <village and="" chief="" the=""> commune chief <had matched<="" td=""></had></village>
11	their biographies and the date of the marriage ceremony was
12	finalised, I would attend the wedding ceremonies>.
13	BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
14	I <was referring="" to=""> the marriage <that was=""> proposed by <either></either></that></was>
15	the commune chief or the <village chief="">, not the marriage <that< td=""></that<></village>
16	was> proposed <by concerned="" the=""> couples <themselves. according<="" td=""></themselves.></by>
17	to the responses you have given earlier, when a youth worker
18	submitted a marriage request to you, you would later on inform
19	the village chief or the commune chief.> So I would like to know
20	<whether there=""> was <> any case <in a="" which=""> commune chief <or a<="" td=""></or></in></whether>
21	village chief issued an order to you where you were asked to>
22	send some particular <youth get="" married="" members="" td="" the<="" to="" without=""></youth>
23	consent of those respective members.>
24	MR. OM CHY:

25 A. I really do not get your question, Counsel. Could you repeat

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

116

1 it?

2 Q. Let me try one more time. I wanted to know whether <any> 3 commune chief <or village chief> had ordered you to send any youth <members to get married without the consent of those 4 5 respective members>. Do you understand my question now? A. No, it did not happen at my place. Usually, the <concerned б 7 youth members had already fallen in love with each other before they came with the request. Not a single male member was forced 8 9 to get married to any girl. No such a case occurred in my place>. 10 [15.51.33]

Q. You stated already about the arrest <and execution> of five families <by order of a> village chief<>. Do you know the name of the village chief who <issued the order>?

A. <By the time those> people were selected, Heng and Leak were 14 15 <chiefs of the cooperative>. They <> selected the five families. 16 I did not witness the incident because I was working <on a farm>. 17 When I returned, I did not see the five families and I was told 18 that Angkar had purged the five families. <Regarding the names, 19 as I stated in the document that those people used to live next> 20 my house, Ta Chheng, Yeay Au, Ta Kin, Yeay Man, they were living 21 close to my house. We sometimes had chitchat with each other 22 <when we returned home from work. On one occasion,> when I <returned> from work, <and did not see them,> I asked <people> 23 24 about the five families and I was told that they had been purged. 25 <Of course, I had no authority to question that.>

117

1	[15.53.06]
2	Q. Thank you. Could you clarify <how about="" learnt="" td="" their<="" you=""></how>
3	disappearance>? You stated that you returned to your house and
4	you learnt that the five families had disappeared. <besides td="" what<=""></besides>
5	you have mentioned, what else did you learn regarding the
6	disappearance of the five families>?
7	A. I don't know <what besides="" else="" have="" i="" respond="" to="" what="" you=""></what>
8	told you already. <i angkar="" had="" sent="" that="" the="" told="" was=""> five</i>
9	families <> to live in a new village. <i dare="" did="" not="" question<="" td=""></i>
10	anymore, as you may know what could have happened to me if I did
11	that during the regime.>
12	Q. I <would according="" confirm="" have="" just<="" like="" td="" that="" to="" what="" you=""></would>
13	testified, the> Angkar had transferred them to live in a new
14	village<; however,> you assumed that the village chief had taken
15	the five families away and killed. Is this your answer?
16	MR. SENG LEANG:
17	Mr. President, to my understanding, witness did not make an
18	assumption <but the=""> witness said that he <heard about=""> the</heard></but>
19	arrest or the disappearance <of families="" those=""> from <> his</of>
20	<neighbours>.</neighbours>
21	BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
22	Thank you. Mr. Witness, could you give your response?
23	[15.54.48]
24	MR. PRESIDENT:
25	Please observe microphone, Mr. Witness before you give your

Page 118

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 307 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 30/07/2015

1	1	8
_	-	U.

- 1 response.
- 2 MR. OM CHY:

A. It is true what the Co-Prosecutor said. <"Disappearance" or "living in another village" means "being taken away and killed". They must have been killed that's why they disappeared. It is just my conclusion. Although I did not witness the execution, I knew that they had disappeared>.

- 8 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. Witness. Mr. President, that concludes my line of 10 questioning.
- 11 [15.55.31]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:

13 Thank you. Before the Chamber adjourns the hearing today, the 14 Chamber wishes to remind and inform the Parties <and the public> 15 once again that the Chamber will not hold hearings next week to 16 hear witnesses <and Civil Parties> in relation to Trapeang Thma 17 <Dam> worksite. The Chamber gives the time next week for Parties 18 to review the new documents disclosed by the International 19 Co-Prosecutor.

And <> I, President of the Trial Chamber, has personal business next week, I will be away<; thus,> I am not available <to preside over the hearing scheduled on the second week of August, that is 10 --13 August 2015. During my absence,> Judge Ya Sokhan<, a senior National> Judge within the Trial Chamber, will take my place in accordance with the Internal Rule <79.5, and a reserve

119

1 judge will be sitting on the Bench as well>.

The hearing today is now adjourned and the Chamber will resume its hearing <> on Monday<, 10th August, 2015> at 9:00 a.m. The Chamber will continue to hear witnesses in relation to Trapeang Thma <Dam> worksite starting from 2-TCW-845 and after which the Chamber will follow the list of witnesses <and Civil Parties> as informed <to the Parties by the senior legal officer of> the Chamber in the past.

9 Thank you, Mr. Om Chy. The hearing of your testimony is now 10 concluded. Thank you for your valuable time before the Chamber as 11 a witness. Your testimony will contribute to the truth within 12 this case. You may now be excused. I wish you good luck. 13 Court officer, please work with WESU unit to send Mr. Om Chy back to his preferred destination. Security personnel are instructed 14 15 to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan and Nuon Chea back to the detention 16 facility of the ECCC and have them return on 10 August 2015,

17 <before> 9 a.m.

18 The Court is now adjourned.

19 (Court adjourns at 1558H)

20

21

- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25