

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ສອັຮູຮູ້ຊຸໂລະຍານາຮູດ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

ព្រះពបាណាទក្រភម្ភ បា បាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាភ្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ថៃ ខែ ឆាំ (Date):

MS/CFO:.

ຽຊອາທະລັສ

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

13-Apr-2017, 11:03

Sann Rada

<u>TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

19 August 2015 Trial Day 314

Before the Judges: NIL

NIL Nonn, Presiding Claudia FENZ Jean-Marc LAVERGNE THOU Mony YA Sokhan Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) YOU Ottara (Absent)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: EM Hoy Matthew MCCARTHY

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL Travis FARR SENG Leang SONG Chorvoin

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun

The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused: Vict

Victor KOPPE LIV Sovanna SON Arun KONG Sam Onn Arthur VERCKEN

Lawyers for the Civil Parties: Marie GUIRAUD PICH Ang TY Srinna VEN Pov

INDEX

Mr. CHHUM Seng (2-TCW-828)

Questioning by Mr. KOPPE resumes	page 3
Questioning by Mr. VERCKEN	page 18

Mr. TAK Boy (2-TCW-908)

Questioning by The President (NIL Nonn)	page 35
Questioning by Mr. VEN Pov	page 40
Questioning by Mr. PICH Ang	page 68
Questioning by Mr. FARR	page 72
Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 98

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Mr. CHHUM Seng (2-TCW-828)	Khmer
Mr. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL	French
Mr. DUCH Phary	Khmer
Mr. FARR	English
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Mr. KONG Sam Onn	Khmer
Mr. KOPPE	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
The President (NIL Nonn)	Khmer
Mr. PICH Ang	Khmer
Mr. TAK Boy (2-TCW-908)	Khmer
Mr. VERCKEN	French

1

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 (Court opens at 0858H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated. The Court is now in session. Today, the Chamber 4 5 will <conclude> the testimony of witness Chhum Seng, and then it will start to hear 2-TCW-908. For today's proceeding and б 7 tomorrow's proceeding<, the 20th August 2015, the Chamber 8 requests all Parties to speak slowly, in order to allow the 9 proceeding to go smoothly> because there is a shortage of 10 interpreters during the proceedings<>. Mr. Em Hoy, please report 11 the attendance of the Parties and individuals to today's

- 12 proceedings.
- 13 [09.00.42]
- 14 THE GREFFIER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Everyone is now present at today's 15 16 proceeding. As for Mr. Nuon Chea, he is present in the holding 17 cell downstairs. He has waived his right to be present in the courtroom. The waiver has been delivered to the greffier. The 18 witness who is to testify today is Mr. Chhum Seng. <Mr. Chhum 19 20 Seng and his duty counsel are> now before us. And we also have a reserve witness today -- that is, 2-TCW-908. To his best 21 knowledge, he has no relationship by blood or by law to any of 22 23 the two Accused, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to any of the civil parties admitted in this case. This witness will take an 24 oath before the Iron-Club statue this morning. Thank you, Mr. 25

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

2

- 1 President.
- 2 [09.01.47]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:

Thank you. The Chamber now decides on the request by Nuon Chea. 4 The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon Chea dated 19 of 5 August 2015, which states that due to his health, headache, back б 7 pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long, and in order to effectively participate in future hearings, he requests to waive 8 9 his right to participate in and be present at the 19 of August 10 2015 hearing. Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor for the Accused at the ECCC, dated 19 of August 2015, 11 12 who notes that Nuon Chea has a chronic back pain when he sits for 13 long and recommends that the Chamber grant him his request and 14 allow him to follow the proceeding remotely from the holding cell 15 downstairs. Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 16 81.5 of the ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea 17 leave to follow today's proceeding remotely from the holding cell 18 downstairs via audio visual means.

AV technician is instructed to link the proceedings to the room downstairs so that he can follow the proceedings. That applies for the whole day.

Now, the Chamber gives the floor to the defence teams for the Accused to put question to the witness. First, the floor is given to the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea.

25 [09.03.42]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

3

1 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE RESUMES:

2 Thank you, Mr. President, and good morning. Good morning, Your 3 Honours. Good morning, Counsel, and good morning, Mr. Witness. I have a few more questions that I would like to put to you this 4 5 morning. First, I have some questions about the structure of the mobile units. Now, you yourself have stated to DC-Cam that there б 7 were three battalions under Ta Val. Can you tell us who were the 8 respective commanders of those three big units or battalions; do 9 you recall?

10 MR. CHHUM SENG:

A. Within battalions, the three battalions -- three battalions <made> up a regiment. In relation to regiment, I have no idea <as to> who the chiefs were. I only knew that at that place, the <three> battalions <were under the command of> Ta Val <>.

15 [09.05.32]

Q. It's my understanding, Mr. Witness, that Ta Val was the person who was in charge overall. But I'm now particularly interested in the names of the commanders of the three big battalions or big units or big cells.

A. Three battalions made up a regiment, as I told you already.
First, workers were divided into <working> groups, and one group
consisted of ten members. Actually, <workers were even divided</p>
into squads>, and in <each squad>, there were three members.
Three <squads> made up one <group consisting of 10 members</p>
including a chief. And three groups made up a> platoon, and three

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

4

1 platoons <made up a> company. And three companies <made up> a
2 battalion. And three battalions <made up a> regiment. This is the
3 <organizational> structure <on the worksite. However, I was only</p>
4 aware of the existence of battalions, not any> regiment there
5 <on> the worksite.

6 [09.07.30]

7 Q. Let me see if I can assist you a bit in finding out who the 8 commanders were of those three big battalions. Is it correct that 9 the commander of the first big battalion or big cell was someone 10 with the name Ta Vit? The second was commanded by someone who was 11 called Ta Phan and the third battalion was commanded by the 12 person whose name I showed you yesterday and of whom you said that you know him very well, so I shall not name his name here. 13 14 But is that correct; the three battalion commanders were Ta Vit, 15 Ta Phan, and the person whose name I showed you yesterday? 16 MR. PRESIDENT:

17 You may now proceed, <the> International Deputy Co-Prosecutor.

18 [09.08.48]

19 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTAMAEL:

Thank you. Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good morning to all Parties. I <object> to this question because no source <is cited and also> because the witness has already said several times <that> Battalion 1 -- or in any case at one given point -- was led by Ta Vorn and Ta <Khauv. Also,> it might be useful to know which period the counsel was speaking

5

about <-- when he mentions Ta Vit, Ta Phan and a third 1 2 individual, since> there was a succession of battalion leaders, 3 in particular owing to the arrest of the previous <ones>. So all this is not very clear <and it> might <mislead> the witness<, the 4 5 more so as he has already provided some names. We should perhaps> start from the base, <> Battalion 1. <He said several times in б 7 his statements and before this Chamber> that it was Ta Vorn and 8 Ta <Khauv>. But we should however specify <during> which period 9 <they were leaders back then. But, in any case, > the question as 10 it is put right now <is seemingly unlikely to elicit a clear and relevant answer for this Chamber>. 11

12 MR. KOPPE:

13 I'm referring obviously to the period that Ta Val was still in 14 charge. Secondly, I'm not making up these names. I have them from 15 a DC-Cam statement of one of the three big battalion commanders 16 who actually happens to be the person that this witness knows 17 quite well and whose testimony I showed him yesterday. And he is 18 saying the first big cell as he calls it was led by Ta Vit and 19 the second big cell was led by Ta Phan and the third big cell was 20 led by me.

- 21 [09.10.49]
- 22 JUDGE FENZ:
- 23 Just for the benefit of the transcript, can you repeat the
- 24 source.
- 25 BY MR. KOPPE:

б

1	Yes, of course. E3/9076, English ERN, 00731161; and Khmer,
2	00728855 and 56; and again, no French ERN. So I'm basing myself
3	in reply to the objection on evidence on the case file.
4	Q. So Mr. Witness again, if I am allowed to continue, Mr.
5	President, is it correct that the big battalions were led
б	respectively by commanders Ta Vit, Ta Phan, and that one person
7	that we discussed yesterday morning yesterday afternoon?
8	[09.11.54]
9	MR. CHHUM SENG:
10	A. Regarding <the all="" and="" name="" of="" other="" the="" vit,=""> names in the</the>
11	document, actually I know all of them. However, I did not know
12	their <positions> at that time. I only knew that they were <among< td=""></among<></positions>
13	the cadres of the sector's mobile units. Again, I did not know
14	their actual roles and functions>. I knew only <> Ta Khauv and Ta
15	<vorn as<="" battalion.="" commanders="" did="" i="" know="" my="" not="" of="" td="" the="" were="" who=""></vorn>
16	to who the commanders of other battalions were>.
17	Q. The person whose name I showed you yesterday, who had been
18	talking about Ta Val issuing scarves and cigarettes to people,
19	that person, you said that you knew him, that you knew him quite
20	well what was his function during the Twonsons Thme Dom worksite

20 well. What was his function during the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite
21 work?

A. I would like to tell you for the third time. The two names in the document, I knew these people very well. However, as I said, I did not know about their <roles and functions, and> whether they were chief of battalions<,> squads<,> platoons or companies.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

7

I only knew that they were all cadres. I had no idea about their
 positions at that time. I knew only what happened in my company
 or battalions.

4 [09.14.00]

Q. Let me formulate it differently. The three people that I just 5 referred to, were they higher in rank or lower in rank than you? б 7 Remember yesterday, we spoke about the chain of command in the 8 military structure. So my question is, were Ta Vit, Ta Phan and 9 this third commander higher in rank than you were? 10 A. <I would like to inform you> the fourth time <that> I only 11 knew that they were cadres. I was not aware of their position. <I 12 did not know whether they were chiefs of battalion, company, 13 platoon or squad. I just knew people within> my unit <>. But I have no idea <of who was who within> other units. 14 Q. Very well. Mr. Witness, in your first answer to my question, 15

16 you spoke about the structure, that three battalions would make 17 up one regiment. The chief of the regiment, was that someone with

18 the name Ta San?

19 [09.15.32]

20 A. I do not know this individual. I knew only Ta Val who had 21 overall supervision. I had no idea <of who was in charge of> the 22 regiment. <I did not even hear his name.>

23 Q. Is it correct, Mr. Witness, or do you know anything about

24 this, that there were also three battalions or big cells

25 consisting of women working at the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

8

1	A. That is true. The <names among="" mentioned="" people="" th="" the="" were="" who<=""></names>
2	worked> at Trapeang Thma Dam worksite. <however>, I did not know</however>
3	<san. i="" knew=""> Sreh, Vit, <and but="" others,="" some=""> I did not know</and></san.>
4	their <positions>. I knew only they were <among cadres="" of<="" th="" the=""></among></positions>
5	the> mobile units. <again, did="" i="" know="" not="" th="" they="" were<="" whether=""></again,>
б	company or platoon chiefs.>
7	Q. I think I know the answer to the question that I'm about to
8	ask you but I'm asking it anyway. Did you do you know or did
9	you know the names of the three female commanders who were
10	leading those three battalions or cells of these women? Do you
11	know these names?
12	A. I knew (phonetic).
13	<actually,> I made a request <to get="" her="" in="" married="" order="" th="" to="" to<=""></to></actually,>
14	my wife> at that time. <i just="" knew="" of="" one="" them.=""></i>
15	[09.17.48]
16	Q. Have you ever heard of the names of possibly the three female
17	commanders of these battalions, one woman called Mao, another
18	woman called Mit, and a third woman called Kin?
19	A. I have never heard of the names not mentioning about their
20	physical appearance.
21	Q. Let me now move to Ta Val again and more particularly to his
22	wife. Do you know who Ta Val's wife was?
23	A. When Ta Val <was built="" chup="" having="" his="" house="" in="" th="" the<="" vary=""></was>
24	district of> Preah Netr Preah, I saw his wife<, but> I did not
25	know her name <or> where she was <from. i="" just=""> knew at that time</from.></or>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

1

9

was exactly from>.
[09.19.23]
Q. Realizing that you don't know her name, but if I'm telling you

that <she was the wife of> Ta Val, but I did not <know where she

5 her name was comrade Kin, does that maybe somehow remind you of 6 her name?

7 A. <In fact,> I did not <even> know <> Ta Val's background. And if I happen to see him today, perhaps, I may not <be able to> 8 recognize him and his wife <as it has already been over 30 years 9 10 now since we last met. I would like to reiterate that I do not know the name of Ta Val's wife.> His wife <> never <came to work 11 12 at> the worksite<. She just stayed at home.> I only saw her from time to time when I visited his house. I did not know her name as 13 well as her birth village. 14

15 [09.20.26]

16 Q. Have you heard that Kin is indeed still alive today?

17 A. I don't even know the name <so it is impossible for me to>

18 know whether she is alive now today<.> I have no idea <of her

19 current whereabouts>.

20 Q. Fair enough, Mr. Witness. Do you recall Ta Val's secretary --21 a female secretary to Ta Val?

A. In fact, I knew only Ta Val. Besides him, I had no idea <of>
his assistants or secretaries. I <did> not <even> know <that he
happened to have> any secretaries or assistants.

25 Q. Yesterday, you said you were close to Ta Val and that you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

10

1	spoke to him often. Did you ever see in his surrounding, a woman,
2	a New Person, who apparently was very skilful in her tasks or you
3	never saw such a woman?
4	A. I <am lying="" not="" to="" you="">. I was <closest> to Ta Val compared to</closest></am>
5	others. <> Ta Val <always asked="" errands<="" me="" run="" th="" to="" various=""></always>
б	including bringing sugar from cooperatives, and many other
7	things. However, I never went> into his resident or home.
8	Q. Have you ever heard of someone who at the time was referred to
9	as Brother Yoeuk?
10	A. I do not know this individual.
11	Short pause
12	[09.23.35]
13	MR. KOPPE:
14	I'm hesitating because, Mr. President, I'm thinking it might be
15	worthwhile to show the witness a photo of the person who was
16	probably Brother Yoeuk, it's the person who testified before this
17	witness. Maybe he doesn't know the name but he knows the face,
18	and we have a face.
19	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
20	Counsel Koppe, can you tell us where this photograph comes from?
21	That you're suddenly speaking about<. Is it in the case file? Is>
22	it a new document? What is it exactly? How can we make sure that
23	this is Brother Yoeuk's photograph?
24	[09.24.25]
25	MR. KOPPE:

11

1	Well, we don't know for a 100 per cent sure. There was a Yoeuk
2	Yoeuk testified there was a person with a name Yoeuk who
3	testified before him in this courtroom. I have photos of the
4	person that I whose name I showed him yesterday. And I have a
5	photo of a person from the DC-Cam statement as well. And I am
б	looking backward and there might be on the case file a photo of
7	Yoeuk, the person who testified in this courtroom last week.
8	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
9	So in order to be clear about this, <this is=""> a photograph <></this>
10	that <appears of="" on="" record="" the=""> interview of a witness who <came< th=""></came<></appears>
11	here and who testified before DC-Cam>? So this is <the></the>
12	photograph that's attached to his DC-Cam interview?
13	[09.25.31]
14	MR. KOPPE:
15	Yes, that is correct. There is on the case file, it's a recent
16	disclosure from the Prosecution. It's Document E353.1, which is a
17	DC-Cam summary of all the people who have been questioned on the
18	dam. And of all people whose summaries whose story summaries
19	were made, there are photos on the case file. So the person who I
20	think could be Brother Yoeuk probably has a photo as well. And
21	I'm looking so the photos were taken of all the people who
22	gave statements to DC-Cam.
23	
	JUDGE FENZ:
24	JUDGE FENZ: Sorry, what exactly does it mean, "could be Brother Yoeuk"? Could

25 you be a bit more specific on what makes you connect this photo

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

12

- 1 with the person you think it is.
- 2 [09.26.37]
- 3 MR. KOPPE:

In the statement that -- the DC-Cam statement that I've been 4 referring to, E3/9076, this person speaks about a high-ranking 5 person close to Ta Val named Brother Yoeuk. We had a witness here б 7 in the courtroom whose name is Yoeuk as well. I think, 90 per 8 cent sure, that this is the same person. And yes, we do have a 9 photo. So this is the same person that was in the courtroom last week. It's document E353.1, English ERN 00729900. And with your 10 permission, with your leave, Mr. President, I would like to show 11 12 this photo to the witness and ask him whether he recognizes this 13 person.

(Judges deliberate)

15 [09.27.57]

14

- 16 JUDGE FENZ:
- 17 Counsel, can you ensure that we all see the picture, that they
- 18 have it on the screen through your--
- 19 MR. KOPPE:
- 20 Yes, by all means. Yes, we will do that right now.
- 21 (Short pause)
- 22 [09.28.44]
- 23 MR. KOPPE:
- 24 And to be specific on Brother Yoeuk, I was referring, as I said,
- 25 to E3/9076, English ERN, 00731178; and Khmer, 007288--

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

	13
1	MR. PRESIDENT:
2	<counsel koppe,="" please=""> repeat the reference once again. The</counsel>
3	interpreter <was> not able to catch <up with=""> you <>.</up></was>
4	MR. KOPPE:
5	Yes, I'll be slower. I apologize. E3/9076, English ERN, 00731178;
6	Khmer, 00728880. And the particular witness says, "Brother Yoeuk
7	was with Ta Val who ran everything overall like Ta San, but he
8	was in charge of the economy that supplied us with rice". And
9	being in charge of the economy makes me think that Yoeuk is in
10	fact the Youek the person on the photo that I'm about to show.
11	Yes, I think we can put the photo on the screen now, if
12	everything goes well.
13	[09.30.20]
14	JUDGE FENZ:
15	They've already had it on the screen. But yes.
16	BY MR. KOPPE:
17	Mr. Witness, do you recognize this person?
18	MR. CHHUM SENG:
19	A. Yes, I recognize him. He <was> called <ta> Yoeuk.</ta></was>
20	Q. And do you know what Yoeuk's function was at the dam?
21	A. I <usually> saw him transporting rice and distributing it to</usually>
22	the mobile units.
23	Q. Do you know whether Yoeuk had an adopted brother called Nuon
24	Narin?

25 A. I only recognize Yoeuk himself. He was in a mobile unit. I do

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

14

1 not know his <wife or> relatives.

2 Q. Very well, Mr. Witness. I'll move on to my next question. 3 Yesterday, you will remember we spoke about the execution of 11 people at Bridge Number 1 close to the dam. I asked you specific 4 questions about this, and you said it was Ta Val who was behind 5 the order of the execution. The person that I -- of whose б 7 statement I've been referring to this morning and the person that 8 you know very well said that he, while at the dam site, never saw 9 the Khmer Rouge arrest or kill people. It's a difficult question, 10 I realize. But do you have an explanation as to why the commander 11 of one of the three big cells had no knowledge of executions or 12 arrests and you did? [09.33.27]13

14 MR. PRESIDENT:

15 Witness, <hold on>. The <International Deputy Co-Prosecutor, you</p>
16 may have> the floor.

17 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTAMAEL:

18 First of all, we have this method which is quite questionable, 19 <that is, > using information without quoting sources. <I know he> 20 talked about this yesterday, <but the> ERNs are <there for a 21 reason>. Secondly, <this question is the very same question he> 22 asked yesterday. < In other words, the> question <is> asked with a 23 view to having the witness speculate <on whether a> person didn't 24 know something, another person didn't know something. <He was not 25 in the position of the other potential witnesses.> This witness

15

	15
1	is here to testify to what he saw and witnessed and not what
2	<others explanation="" have="" is="" might="" no="" not="" required.="" seen="" there=""></others>
3	This witness is not an expert, <he here="" is="" not="" to=""> speculate and</he>
4	<draw about="" conclusions="" have="" may="" not="" others="" seen.="" the="" what=""> The</draw>
5	question <is completely="" inappropriate="">. Mr. President, I would</is>
6	suggest that counsel move to another line of questioning.
7	[09.34.36]
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	The objection is upheld. The witness should not <respond such<="" td="" to=""></respond>
10	a> question <>. Counsel, proceed to another line of questioning.
11	BY MR. KOPPE:
12	I will try it differently, Mr. President.
13	Q. You talked yesterday about executions that you said happened
14	under the instruction of Ta Val. Do you know anybody else who has
15	been working at the dam, who, like yourself, has knowledge about
16	these executions?
17	[09.35.32]
18	MR. CHHUM SENG:
19	A. I <cannot a="" as="" conclusion="" draw="" for<="" had="" knowledge.="" such="" td="" to="" who=""></cannot>
20	instance,> I, myself, when I was aware of something I would say
21	so. <and if=""> I was not aware of <something, claim="" i="" not="" td="" to<="" would=""></something,></and>
22	know it>. A while ago, you showed me <a and="" i<="" photograph,="" since,="" td="">
23	knew the person, I told you that I> recognized the person <in></in>
24	that photograph. So I am not in a position to tell you who was

25 <or wasn't> aware of what happened. <Anyway, I saw such an event</pre>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

16

1 only once>.

Q. But when you were flattening the earth to cover up the corpses as you testified, was there someone present, someone who saw what you did?

A. As a matter of fact, the <people who were taken to be killed 5 had worked in my unit. If a lot was assigned to a unit, members б 7 from that unit had to flatten the earth in that lot. The beating 8 actually took place right next to where my unit was based and 9 where they were buried. Although, I did not witness the actual 10 killing, I saw those people being arrested and being taken to the 11 ember. Those people wore no shirt. Only a few of them wore pants, 12 while most of them wore shorts. When I went to flatten the earth, 13 I saw those bodies without shirt. Thus, I was thinking that they 14 must have been the eleven people who were arrested and executed 15 the previous night next to my unit. Again, > I did not witness the 16 execution but I <just noticed that those men> were not wearing 17 any shirts<, and none of those bodies was clothed with a shirt; 18 thus, I drew that conclusion>.

19 [09.37.55]

20 Q. For the record, Mr. President, I was referring to E3/9076 21 earlier, ERN 00731169; and Khmer, 00728867. And in this 22 statement, this high-ranking battalion commander says that he 23 never saw the Khmer Rouge arrest or kill people at the dam 24 worksite. Having said that, I will move on to my last few 25 questions. Mr. Witness, have you heard of the Anlong Sar

17

1	hospital?
2	A. As a matter of fact, <it not="" was=""> Anlong Sor (phonetic)<, but</it>
3	Anlong Sar> which is <currently> in the district of Preah Netr</currently>
4	Preah<, next to Stueng Rumduol or Rumduol River in Phnum Lieb
5	commune>. It was not Anlong Sor (phonetic) but Anlong Sar. There
б	was a hospital there, but I never went to that hospital. <i knew<="" td=""></i>
7	that there was a hospital there.>
8	Q. Did this hospital belong to the mobile unit the mobile
9	units, plural, of which you were a member?
10	A. Yes, that hospital was meant to receive members of mobile
11	units. If there were many sick people, they <would be=""> taken to</would>
12	that hospital. If there weren't many sick persons, they <would< td=""></would<>
13	be> taken to <> Trapeang Thma <pagoda>. So there were many</pagoda>
14	patients. When there were many patients, they <would be=""> taken to</would>
15	that hospital in <> Anlong Sar.
16	[09.40.30]
17	Q. Did you yourself ever send someone who was sick and couldn't
18	recover at the site itself to Anlong Sar hospital?
19	A. In my unit, there were no persons who were so seriously ill
20	that they had to be transported to that hospital. Very often,
21	they <just> didn't feel well<, and only some of them who were</just>
22	sick and> couldn't walk. And the medical personnel would take
23	them to the hospital <> at Trapeang Thma <pagoda>.</pagoda>
24	Q. Have you ever heard whether this hospital had in stock, not
25	only traditional medicine but also medicine called Paracetamol

18

- 1 Number 300 and Vitamin B complex, in other words, non-traditional
- 2 medicine?
- 3 A. I do not know. I neither saw nor was aware of that hospital. I
- 4 never went to that hospital. I have no idea whatsoever.
- 5 [09.42.20]
- 6 MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Thank you very much, Mr. Witness. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 The Chamber now gives the floor to the defence for Khieu Samphan
- 10 so that they may question the witness.
- 11 QUESTIONING BY MR. VERCKEN:

12 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning to the Chamber and all 13 Parties here present. We'll be very brief<, in view of the very 14 complete questioning of the witness by the Nuon Chea defence.> 15 Q. Mr. Witness, I'd like to start by putting a question to you 16 regarding your status as a former soldier of the Lon Nol 17 <Republic. What> I would like to know <is whether this is> 18 something you <managed to keep> secret throughout the Khmer Rouge 19 regime<, the> fact that <for two years> you had <previously> been 20 a member of the army of the Khmer Republic <>? [09.43.42]21 22 MR. CHHUM SENG: 23 A. I was evacuated from Phnum Lieb village to <Kambaor> village.

- 24 It was not possible for me to conceal my identity because
- 25 <militia members> were looking for me to execute me. However, one

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

19

militiaman <who> knew my father <> came <to tell> my father that 1 2 <Seng would be> kept. And he asked what he meant by keeping <me>. 3 And he said he meant that <I would be> taken away <and> executed in a village. <He then asked my father to approach the village 4 chief to ask if I could be spared.> So my father <approached the 5 б village chief of Bet Trang in Phnum Lieb by the name of Ta Cheng 7 (phonetic), -- who is deceased now -- but he said that he could help anyone who had committed various offenses, but not a soldier 8 9 of the puppet.> And my father said he couldn't help me<, so he 10 suggested that I should slip away. By that time, I was staying at 11 Kambaor village. I then fled from the village to> join the mobile unit headed by Ta Val <in> 1975. 12

13 [09.44.55]

Q. Indeed, that is the thrust of my question because not only do 14 15 we have your father adopting this approach, you referred to that 16 -- what he did vis à vis the militiamen <-- but also the fact 17 that it was> your <home> village <> and the place where you 18 served as bodyguard of a commander of the Khmer Republic<, and 19 the dam that we are speaking about today -- all these places are> 20 very close. < One is within a circumference of some tens of > 21 kilometres <>. So my question to you is as follows. You joined a 22 unit, you even became the head of that unit and you had absolute 23 power over the people who were under your <orders>, since you 24 said yesterday that you had the powers to kill people. And yet 25 nobody, since this <place> where you were stationed was very

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

20

1 close to your place of origin and the place where you had worked 2 as a soldier in the Lon Nol army <,knew> your biography? How do 3 you explain <that>?

A. From <Tro Louk> village<,> Kambaor village, I went to Phnum 4 Lieb <village. I did not physically enter the village. Instead, I 5 went to work in a mobile unit with Siek as> a unit chief. A few б 7 days later, <I was removed from the unit, and was recruited in 8 another unit, and assigned to work at Prey Moan. And after Prey 9 Moan, I was subsequently assigned to work at Kambaor Sreh 10 (phonetic). For all these times, > I had always hidden my 11 biography. And there, there weren't many Base People -- that is, 12 in Phnum Lieb <village. Most of them were> people who came from 13 afar <so they> did not know my biography. And when I was asked 14 what I was doing, I told them for an answer that I had simply 15 been a village <self-defence member> and I had never been a 16 soldier under the Lon Nol regime. If they had known that I had 17 worked as a soldier in the Lon Nol regime, I wouldn't be alive 18 today.

19 [09.47.49]

Q. Regarding the people who were under your orders in that unit at the dam worksite, were all these people New People? A. The unit chiefs were Base People. And I myself was a unit chief and <my deputies were Sou (phonetic) and Hauv> (phonetic). And I did not know where they came from -- the two assistants -because <on a daily basis I was trying to conceal my biography,</p>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

21

nothing else. First, my main focus was on> respecting or complying with discipline <of the Angkar, and secondly, I was trying to conceal my biography>. And those who <had been> soldiers at Phnum Lieb were all massacred and only a few people were left. Only a few people survived.

6 [09.48.56]

7 Q. I know that your father died during the regime and you indeed said so, due to the shortage of water and the illness he suffered 8 9 from even before the regime started. <But what> I would 10 specifically like to know is that your father did not die because 11 he <, for example, > revealed to the militia that you were a 12 former soldier of the Khmer Republic<. Since> when he went to ask the militiamen to assist him, I believe he revealed your 13 situation. And yet, it is not because of that revelation that he 14 15 died; is that correct?

16 A. Horn (phonetic) <from Phnum Lieb village> was a militiaman. 17 <He already passed away.> He told my father that, and that is why 18 I fled. My father did not die under the Lon Nol regime but under 19 the Khmer Rouge regime. He died between 1975 and 1976, when I was 20 a member of the mobile unit. <By that time, he> was sent to Bat 21 Trang <village> and my father died of hunger. He died of 22 deprivation of food. <My father was an old man, and he could not 23 work. He could not perform work when he> was assigned to guard a 24 farm<. He could not do the job as well when he was assigned to 25 raise chickens because he was too old to do that. Only people who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

22

1 could work received food. Since my father could not work,

- 2 according to my mother, at> a point in time,<> he had only three 3 spoons of rice<. As a result, his body started swelling, and> he 4 died of hunger. And he suffered from a disease, his body was 5 swollen and he died of ill health.
- 6 [09.51.15]

Q. When you were interviewed on the 18th of June 2011 by DC-Cam -- is <E3/9010>, you made a statement regarding <the> power of life and death you had over your subordinates. I will read it out to you again. Because the contents of that statement prompt me to raise questions regarding what you did or did not do during that period.

13 [09.51.58]

And the <extract I want to read is at> French ERN, 01123589; in 14 English, <00728623>; and in Khmer, 00730784. Let me read the 15 16 question that was put to you by Dara. <And I quote:> "With the 17 disappearance of certain members, Ta Val the chief of the 18 battalion, did he ask questions as to the reasons for the 19 disappearance of <members>?" Let me repeat; I must admit I went 20 too fast. <Question:> "With the disappearance of certain members, Ta Val the battalion chief, did he ask questions as to the 21 22 reasons for the disappearance of the members?" And your answer 23 was as follows: "No, he did not at all put any questions to me. 24 Nevertheless, if the intolerant unit chief <, for example, > hated 25 anyone, that person would be executed. After the execution, we

23

1 simply informed the battalion chief the next <morning that 2 'Brother, I dismantled this person. She argued, opposing the 3 orders and she was not working. This person said that transporting earth is not her mother's job and that there wasn't> 4 enough food.' < In fact, this person hadn't said> anything. And in 5 uttering such words, he was free to kill people." End of quote. б 7 <> I note that <when reading this extract,> you were speaking in 8 the first person. You gave an example of how <it was> possible <> to have someone executed by accusing the victim of criticizing 9 10 the disciplinary system and the food rations<, and> you used the 11 word 'we'. <So,> let me put the question to you again, Witness<, 12 although I know you have answered it already>. You never 13 exercised the right to kill other people which was <in your power 14 and apparently, > something that was very easy for you to do, 15 since all you had to do was to accuse the victim of criticizing 16 the regime, the orders, the disciplinary scheme, and so on and so 17 forth? 18 MR. DUCH PHARY: 19 Mr. President, the question asked by counsel for Khieu Samphan 20 consists in finding out whether the witness exercised his right 21 to kill people. And such a question would lead the witness to 22 incriminate himself. So may I request your leave to consult with 23 my client? 24 <MR. PRESIDENT>

25 <Your request is granted.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

24

- 1 (Short pause)
- 2 [09.56.06]
- 3 MR. CHHUM SENG:

A. This is a very specific question. It's a very particular 4 question and it is very fair. Since Ta Val was the person issuing 5 orders directly during that period, it was Ta Val who issued б 7 orders for people to be executed. He did so himself, whereas, in my unit, no such incident occurred. I am not aware of what 8 9 happened in other units. Those who were under my orders did not 10 cause any concerns for me because I <kept reminding them that> 11 society <> did not need intellectuals and they <passed> for 12 ignorant people. All they did was work and carry earth to save 13 our lives. <I was only trying to convey the message from Ta Val when> I said that the unit leaders had the powers of life and 14 death over the members. That applied only to other units. That 15 16 was not the case in my unit. And so I am therefore not aware of 17 what happened in other units.

- 18 [09.57.50]
- 19 BY MR. VERCKEN:

In that case, Witness, as far as you are concerned, even though you may not have exercised that right, you had such rights<, that is what you stated yesterday before this Chamber,> even though you say you didn't exercise it, because the people who worked under your orders obeyed you. But you did enjoy such rights, and I mean the right to kill people, <or> didn't you?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

25

1 MR. CHHUM SENG:

A. <In that regard, let> me repeat <over and over> what I've <> stated. <It was on the order of> Ta Val <that unit leaders had to> monitor <their unit members>. So <those respective> unit <leaders> had the right to kill <their unit members> and that order was from Ta Val.

7 Q. Very well, I indeed understand your description. In <the 8 excerpt of> your DC-Cam statement which I read out to you <>, you 9 describe a situation in which Ta Val does not ask any questions 10 regarding members of the unit who disappear in so far as he is 11 told that the people executed criticized orders and did not work, 12 and that sufficed; is that correct? Isn't that what you say in 13 that interview, specifically in the extract I read out to you <? 14 That> in so far as explanations were given to the hierarchy that 15 the victim of the execution had not obeyed orders <and did not 16 work>, it sufficed and no further questions were put to you; 17 isn't that correct?

18 [10.00.12]

A. Ta Val would give orders but he never monitored if they were implemented and <how many members in those respective units had disappeared, and> to see if -- he simply gave the order to eliminate <any traitors to the Revolution, the "Yuon"> enemies and the CIA agents and people opposing the party. I did not know what happened in other units and I'm only speaking about my unit. And in my <battalion or> unit, this kind of incident never

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

26

1 occurred <although such a right was authorized by Ta Val>. So
2 that's all I can tell you.

3 Q. Please correct me if I'm mistaken but I believe that you <explained> before the Chamber that <you observed that> people 4 5 who had responsibilities at the dam site were people without education. And <I know that although> Victor Koppe questioned you б 7 at length about the people who had the same level of 8 responsibility as you did<, you were not very precise>. But, can 9 you <at least> tell us <whether> when you were telling us about 10 <uneducated> leaders, <> this also included unit <chiefs> that 11 you might have met during meetings or at other occasions? Did 12 this also included people who were at the same level as you, other unit <chiefs>? 13

14 [10.02.21]

15 A. I am speaking for myself. I was not really well educated. I 16 could read and write a little bit. I was in schooling until Grade 17 9 in the old system. During the Democratic Kampuchea, they did 18 not want the literate people, they wanted to recruit only 19 illiterate people so that these people could <easily> be 20 instructed to kill people even their parents. < They could kill 21 their own parents under the pretext that they were only killing 22 enemies.> And as I said, illiterate people were recruited to 23 work. I considered myself to be an illiterate person, however, I 24 would not implement the blanket orders. <For> example, if we 25 <pinch>ourselves, we <would> understand the feeling. <So if we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

27

pinch another person, he or she would get hurt as well.> And <> if we committed a killing against others<, sooner or later, we would have been killed as well. That's my personal understanding.> I am speaking for myself <that I considered myself an illiterate>. And as for others, I do not know about their level of education.

7 Q. <> I would like to revisit -- and this would be the last point 8 I will question you about, <I believe> -- and I'm thinking about this <instance in which> you were <packing the soil over bodies 9 10 buried> at the foot of Bridge Number 1 <>. And you said that 11 generally speaking, when you were working at the dam site, it was 12 to <level the soil>. So can you tell us what <levelling the soil> 13 meant exactly? Did that mean that people would bring dirt to you, and then you would have some kind of tool that would allow you to 14 15 <level> it <>, maybe something like a steamroller <or similar?</pre> 16 Can you tell us> how <> your work <was> carried out in practical 17 terms?

18 [10.05.01]

19 A. It depended on units. Members of my unit carried the dirt from 20 the bottom of the dam and dumped it on the crest of the dam. And 21 because the level of the soil at that time was not in the same 22 condition, I was using the spade of hoes to flatten the earth. 23 There were no steamrollers. And the chief of units had the 24 responsibility to flatten the earth <on the crest of the dam> 25 after their members dumped the earth on certain areas.

28

Q. What I'd like to understand is, that <day -- that> morning you 1 2 spoke about -- that is to say, the morning after the <hot embers> test, why did you intervene? I don't understand why you ended up 3 <levelling> or flattening the <soil at the location> where bodies 4 had been, according to you, buried. What were you doing there? 5 Why were you there? What was happening? Can you give us a bit б 7 more detail about this? Were you told <"Go and> bury bodies or 8 were you there by chance? <> I'd like to understand <>.

9 [10.07.12]

10 A. At that time, we had to dig the soil in the canal and dump the 11 earth on to make an embankment. And it was at night time at that 12 time. I was on the crest of the dam. <From where my unit was 13 based, > I could see the light from a candle and I was wondering. I went to the scene <with a hoe> and I could notice there were 12 14 15 people, they had no shirts but only shorts <while some had 16 trousers>. Phorn -- at that time, I could see Phorn who was 17 <leading those men to> step on the ember<. The first 11 men 18 avoided the ember, and only the last man stepped on the ember, 19 and> was shouting, it was hot. So <only that man was spared> and 20 the other 11 <men with no shirts> were taken away and killed. <I 21 was then thinking to myself that something was not going right>. 22 And a little bit after that time, it was a break time. And we 23 went to relax and sleep. The day after in the morning at perhaps 24 <4.00 or> 5 a.m., <we> went to carry the dirt and to dump the 25 embankment. When I was there <on the crest of the dam flattening

the earth> on the preparatory line or area that it was the place 1 2 where the 11 people had been killed last night. <I saw the bodies 3 and concluded that these bodies must be the men I saw the 4 previous night. They must be the 11 men I saw the previous night, and they> had no shirts, I could recall, at that time. As I told 5 the Court already, I did not see the actual or physical killing, б 7 whether they were shot or beaten to death. <I only saw dead 8 bodies.> I could say that they were the same people because they 9 had no shirts but only trousers or shorts. <So it was my conclusion that the dead bodies must have been the 11 men without 10 shirts I saw the previous night.> 11 12 [10.09.37]13 Q. Witness, <> had they been shot <dead? Did you hear any 14 gunshots> from where you were <?> 15 A. The bullets were not very often used to kill people at that 16 time. Bullets were only used to kill those who <betrayed the 17 Revolution and> fled the area to Thailand or bullets were used at 18 the front line or border. From my observation, they preferred to 19 use the back of the hoes or bamboo sticks. 20 MR. PRESIDENT: 21 Thank you, Counsel. It is now convenient time for a short break. 22 The Chamber will take a short break from now until <10.30 a.m.> 23 Court officers, please find a proper room for the witness and the 24 duty counsel to rest during the break and please invite them back 25 into the courtroom at <10.30 a.m.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

30

- 1 The Court is now in recess.
- 2 (Court recesses from 1011H to 1030H)
- 3 [10.31.00]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:

5 Please be seated. <The Court is now back in session.> The Chamber

6 now gives the floor to Khieu Samphan's Defence to continue

- 7 examining the witness. Please proceed.
- 8 BY MR. VERCKEN:

9 Q. If it is possible, I would like you to situate the event of 10 the hot embers test and the burial of the 11 bodies <during> the 11 time when you worked on the dam worksite<. Did> that event occur 12 at the very beginning of the time you were on the dam site<? Was 13 that towards the end>? I would like you to situate that event 14 within <the> time frame <during which you worked and exercised 15 your duties at this worksite>.

16 [10.32.08]

17 MR. CHHUM SENG:

A. Let me repeat that you have put this question to me four times and I still <can answer> your question. At that time, the mobile unit carried earth at night, and I was on the crest of the dam. And there was <> fire on the perimeter <near> where we were working. I saw the flames and I went towards the fire<, and I saw them.>
Q. Let me interrupt you, <and please excuse me>, because you

25 haven't understood my question. Listen carefully. We are talking

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

31

1 about <the> episode in which you said you witnessed a test being 2 administered<, to which> 12 persons were subjected <> in the 3 evening. And that <was just> the day <after> you buried the bodies. Did that <tragic> episode you referred to occur at the 4 5 beginning of your assignment on the dam worksite, <at> the beginning of your presence at that location, or towards the end? б 7 Where would you situate that episode within that time frame? <Can 8 you do so?> You had just arrived on the dam worksite, <and you 9 were going to leave the place shortly thereafter. Can> you situate that event within that time frame? <> 10 [10.34.05] 11 12 A. That was in 1978. Mobile units were transporting earth in 13 order to use it for building the dam <between> the first bridge 14 <and> Pongro village. Q. <Furthermore>, Witness, <earlier, when you discussed the 15 16 events that took place> in the evening immediately after the test 17 of the hot embers, <> you said <and I quote: "They were 18 executed."> How did you know at that time, that evening, that 19 they were <indeed> executed? Did you hear <> screams? You said 20 you didn't hear any gunshots. Perhaps you heard screams. Why did 21 you leave after the test<? Or were the people led away>? Can you 22 tell us what you did personally, at that time, after you 23 witnessed the hot embers test? And why did you <do that>? Thank 24 you. 25 [10.35.40]

32

1	A. When I saw soldiers tying them up and leading them to the
2	northern direction, I don't know in what specific <destination>,</destination>
3	they were bare-bodied. The next day, when I went to level the
4	earth, I saw the <bodies my="" on="" shirts="" spot="" td="" the="" unit<="" where="" without=""></bodies>
5	was working>. I did not witness the execution per se. All I saw
6	were the bodies. The next day, they were bare-bodied, and I
7	inferred from that that it was the men I had seen the day before.
8	Q. When you arrived at that location, Bridge Number 1, <the next<="" td=""></the>
9	morning,> the bodies had not yet been buried <>? Were they still
10	on the ground?
11	A. That is correct. They were placed on the crest of the <dam>.</dam>
12	Our task was to pour earth on the <dam>. And on that morning, my</dam>
13	unit had to raise the <dam> at that location where the bodies</dam>
14	lay.
15	Q. Was that the only time when you <saw> bodies under such</saw>
16	circumstances, <when> you had to bury the bodies?</when>
17	[10.37.43]
18	A. Yes, I witnessed that event only once.
19	Q. And how did you know that it was in 1978? What makes you <>
20	remember that date, <> that particular detail?
21	A. <that> was in 1978. I do not recall whether it was at the</that>
22	beginning of the <year> or not, because we had to raise the <dam< td=""></dam<></year>
23	between> the first bridge <and> Pongro village, and <it td="" would<=""></it></and>
24	continue from Pongro village to Trapeang Suong (phonetic). That
25	was the project; however, the> first stage of the work had not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

33

been completed. We <needed more forces> to continue raising the cdam>, and so on and so forth.

3 Q. Very well. I have one last question regarding your assignment to guard a commander of the Lon Nol army, which is what you did 4 <for> two years before the regime. First of all, can you 5 б 7 during those two years in the Lon Nol army consist of? A. In 1972, I was not successful in school. My parents wanted me 8 9 to go to school, so I <ran away from Phnum Lieb village to> 10 voluntarily <> join the <Lon Nol> army <at> the Training Centre 11 Number 4<, currently located> in Chamkar Kor <of Banteay Meanchey 12 province>, and it was under the supervision of Tan Chen Meng 13 (phonetic), and subsequently <> Uk Sam Oeun<, who was a major>. 14 At the time, we took courses in military strategy and we were 15 told that we would be sent to <Lam Seung (phonetic) in> Vietnam. 16 And since <Uk> Sam Oeun found that I was very small, he withdrew 17 me from the unit in order to assign me to work as his immediate 18 bodyguard.

19 [10.40.41]

Q. During that period, did you accompany that officer <on his trips> to different theatres of operations? Did your task consist in accompanying him <wherever he went>?

A. I was a member of the team of bodyguards. He went to the In Tam <> school <in Malai>, and we subsequently went to Sam Nong In (phonetic) in Thailand, and <to Poipet on the south of> Kilometre

34

1	Number 6 <>. We were stationed there. We were also stationed at
2	the <in> Tam <> school <in for="" malai=""> two months there.</in></in>
3	Subsequently, we went to <nok (phonetic)="" heurn="" in="" kauk<="" pagoda="" th=""></nok>
4	Nimit-Khai Don (phonetic), and afterwards to Naung Sang Naung
5	Eang> (phonetic) on the border between Cambodia and Thailand. But
б	he was not always present. He only went there for short periods
7	of time. And thereafter, we returned to the country together,
8	leaving behind his subordinates to manage affairs at that
9	location.
10	[10.42.07]
11	Q. Does that mean that during the two years when you were a
12	soldier, you were rarely present on operational theatres <and th="" war<=""></and>
13	sites? Is that> what I <should> understand from <what just<="" th="" you've=""></what></should>
14	described>. You talked of training and the fact that you
15	accompanied your boss during brief periods to the battle front.
16	Can I therefore <infer> that you were rarely present on the</infer>
17	battle <sites>.</sites>
18	A. To tell you the truth, I only attended courses in military
19	strategy. I never went to the battle front or theatres of
20	operation. We went everywhere, escorting and protecting our boss
21	<on a2="" an="" jeep="" to=""> Siem Reap <and other="" places="">.</and></on>
22	MR. VERCKEN:
23	I have no further questions, Mr. President. Thank you. I believe
24	my colleague <kong onn="" sam=""> doesn't have any <> either.</kong>
25	[10.43.49]

35

1	MR. PRESIDENT:
2	<thank counsel.="" you,="">The examination of witness Chhum Seng is</thank>
3	coming to an end, and the Chamber thanks you for testifying
4	before this Chamber as a witness for two days. Your testimony
5	contributes in bringing the truth to light, and you are now free
б	to leave the courtroom and to go back home. The Chamber wishes
7	you a safe journey back home.
8	Court officer, please take the necessary measures in cooperation
9	with the Witness Support Section, to enable the witness to go
10	back home if he so wishes. The Chamber thanks Mr. Duch Phary
11	<,duty counsel. The examination of witness Chhum Seng comes to an
12	end; therefore, you may now be excused.>
13	The Chamber will now hear witness <2-TCW-908>. So may the court
14	officer please lead the witness into the courtroom.
15	(Short pause)
16	[10.48.10]
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	Mr. Em Hoy, please go and find out why the witness is not being
19	ushered into the courtroom.
20	(Witness enters courtroom)
21	[10.49.50]
22	QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:
23	Q. Good morning, Witness. What is your name?
24	MR. TAK BOY:
<u> </u>	

25 A. My name is Tak Boy.

36

1	Q. Thank you, Mr. Tak Boy. What is your date of birth?
2	A. I was born on the 10th of October 1954.
3	Q. Thank you. What is your place of birth?
4	A. I was born in the village Trapeang Thma Tboung, <> Paoy Char
5	<commune>, district of <phnum srok="">, Battambang province.</phnum></commune>
б	Q. Thank you. And what is your current place of residence?
7	A. I live in Trapeang Thma Tboung village<, Paoy Char commune,
8	Phnum Srok district, Banteay Meanchey province>.
9	Q. Thank you. And what is your profession?
10	A. I am a <rice> farmer.</rice>
11	[10.51.20]
12	Q. Thank you. What are your parents' names?
13	A. My father's name is Tak Bun. He is deceased. He died under the
14	Khmer Rouge regime. And my mother, Buth Koeun, died under the Lon
15	Nol regime.
16	Q. And what is your wife's name? And how many children do you
17	have?
18	A. My wife's name is Chhoeun Chantha. We have six children. There
19	are only five who are alive. One died following a road accident.
20	Q. Thank you, Mr. Tak Boy. According to the report of the Court
21	officer this morning, you asserted that to your knowledge, no
22	member of your family, descendant or spouse, is related either be
23	it by marriage or by blood as a civil party within the framework
24	of this trial; is that correct?
25	[10.52.48]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

37

- A. I have no kinship ties with these people you have just
 referred to or named.
- Q. Before coming before this Court, did you make a solemn
 declaration or take an oath, before the Iron Statue?
 A. Before coming into this courtroom, I took an oath before the
 Iron Statue.

7 Q. Thank you. Witness, do you wish to waive your rights as a witness? You have the right not to answer any questions that 8 incriminate you. As a witness, you are to answer all questions 9 10 asked by the Parties and the Judges, except questions that are self-incriminating. As a witness, you must tell only the truth of 11 12 what you heard, or saw, or observed, in relation to all events 13 that you remember in answer to questions put to you by the Judges 14 and the Parties. Do you understand your rights and obligations? 15 A. Please repeat what you have stated, because I have difficulty 16 following what you are saying.

17 [10.54.47]

18 Q. You have the right to -- you have the right not to answer any 19 questions that are likely to incriminate you. That is to say, if 20 you have committed any crimes and questions are put to you 21 concerning all that, you have the right to answer or not to 22 answer such questions. And if you consider that answering such 23 questions would expose you to risk -- to the risk of 24 self-incrimination, you shouldn't respond. But you are under a 25 duty to answer all questions put to you by the Judges and the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

38

1	Parties, except for questions that would lead you to incriminate
2	yourself. You are also under a duty to tell only the truth,
3	depending on what you heard, saw, or observed, and which you
4	remember, in light of the questions put to you by the Judges or
5	the Parties. Do you understand that?
б	[10.56.06]
7	A. Yes. I will answer questions put to me in accordance with what
8	I saw, heard or observed.
9	Q. Yes. So, you are under a duty to tell the Chamber only what
10	you saw, heard or observed, in line with your experiences. Have
11	you testified before the Office of Co-Investigating Judges? <or< th=""></or<>
12	have you given any interview to investigators from the OCIJ?> If
13	yes, how many times <,when> and where?
14	A. In 2007, a team came to <interview> me at my home. In 2008, I</interview>
15	received a letter inviting me to visit Tuol Sleng <prison,></prison,>
16	Choeung Ek <killing and="" field,=""> the Iron Statue <here>. I was</here></killing>
17	<interviewed 2012="" again="" and="" i="" in="" led="" team="" the=""> to the Spean</interviewed>
18	Sraeng Dam before <we> went to Trapeang Thma <reservoir>. In</reservoir></we>
19	2015, Nimol <> came to my home.
20	Q. At a point in time, the record of your interview was
21	established, right?
22	A. Yes. I was questioned, and a record of the interview was drawn
23	up.
24	Q. When was that done? And where?
25	A. I was interviewed in my home.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

39

1	Q. Before coming before this Chamber, did you read the record of
2	the interview that was conducted in your home in order to refresh
3	your memory?
4	A. Yes. I read the record of interview very rapidly and I do not
5	remember all its contents, Mr. President.
б	Q. Do the contents of the record of interview you read correspond
7	to the questions that were put to you and the answers you gave in
8	your home?
9	A. Yes, I read the answers, and I remember my previous
10	statements. And these written records do correspond to what I
11	said previously.
12	[10.59.54]
13	MR. PRESIDENT:
14	Thank you, Witness. Rest assured you are safe here. You are
15	called to testify, to contribute to the ascertainment of the
16	truth, so please focus on the questions that will be put to you.
17	If you do not understand the questions, of course you can ask for
18	the question to be repeated, so that you may provide answers<.
19	Pursuant to> Rule 91 bis of the Internal Rules, the Chamber will
20	now give the floor to the <civil party=""> Co-Lead Lawyers so that</civil>
21	they may put questions to you first. The Chamber grants two
22	sessions to the <civil and="" co-lead="" lawyers="" party="" th="" the<=""></civil>
23	Co-Prosecutors,> to examine the witness. <you have="" may="" th="" the<=""></you>
24	<pre>floor.></pre>
25	[11.01.19]

MR. PICH ANG:

1

40

T	MR. PICH ANG:
2	<thank you,=""> Mr. President, <and and<="" good="" honours,="" morning,="" td="" your=""></and></thank>
3	everyone in and around the courtroom,> with regard to this
4	witness, who was proposed by the Lead Co-Lawyers, we have
5	arranged ourselves with the <ocp,> and we <,the Lead Co-Lawyers,</ocp,>
б	would put questions to> the witness first. And I would like to
7	request your leave to give the floor to Counsel Ven Pov, so that
8	he may examine the witness.
9	MR. PRESIDENT:
10	Please proceed.
11	[11.02.05]
12	QUESTIONING BY MR. VEN POV:
13	Q. Mr. President, Your Honours, dear colleagues. Good morning,
14	Witness. My name is Ven Pov. I am a civil party lawyer, and I
15	would like to put questions to you regarding your experiences
16	under the Khmer Rouge regime. I would like to know where you were
17	living before 17 April 1975 and what you were doing then?
18	A. Before 1975, I was living in Trapeang Thma village, Paoy Char
19	commune, Phnum Srok district, Battambang province. After I
20	completed my education, I was recruited into Lon Nol army.
21	Q. When did you become a Lon Nol soldier, and where was your
22	station?
23	A. I became a soldier in 1972 and I was stationed at Phnum Srok
24	district.
0.5	

25 [11.03.42]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

4	1	I

- 1 Q. What was your position and rank at that time?
- 2 A. I was a <private>, a rank-and-file soldier, at that time. I
- 3 did not hold any position.
- 4 Q. <Thank you. After> 17 of April 1975, where did you live and 5 what was your occupation at that time?
- 6 A. <After the 17th of April 1975,> I was living in Trapeang Thma
- 7 Tboung village, Paoy Char commune, Phnum Srok district,
- 8 Battambang province. I was a member in a mobile unit in a
- 9 village.
- 10 Q. You were a member of a mobile unit in a village. And where did
- 11 you go afterwards, after becoming a member in the mobile unit?
- 12 A. I was a youth in the village. I was assigned to build an
- embankment of the field in the village <and the commune> at that time.
- 15 Q. <Do> you know Trapeang Thma <reservoir>?
- 16 A. Yes, I <do>. Trapeang Thma <reservoir is> situated in my
- 17 village. <But at that time-->
- 18 [11.05.41]
- 19 Q. I will have some more questions for you, Mr. Witness. Where 20 was Trapeang Thma Dam worksite? In which village, <sector, and> 21 zone at that time? Were you told of it?
- 22 A. Trapeang Thma reservoir was situated in Trapeang Thma village,
- 23 Sector 5, Northwest Zone.
- Q. Before the construction started, was there any meeting? Did people, or you <> attend a meeting before the construction

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

	42
1	started?
2	A. I do not know about that, because I was perhaps I was not
3	yet at the place.
4	Q. Had you ever been assigned to work at Trapeang Thma Dam
5	worksite? If so, when was it?
б	A. We were <removed and="" from="" the="" village,=""> sent to <build th="" the<=""></build></removed>
7	dam> in 1977<>.
8	Q. After all of you were sent, were all members in your group
9	sent to that place or was it only you, sent to that place?
10	A. There was a selection at that time. Male youths and female
11	youths, unmarried youths, were selected, and they were put in
12	groups and placed in <the sector's=""> mobile unit.</the>
13	[11.08.03]
14	Q. Did you all go voluntarily or were you forced to go? Did you
15	have any right to refuse the assignment?
16	A. Back then, we were required. We were forced to work at that
17	place. No one could refuse the assignment. Otherwise, we would
18	disappear. We had to go anywhere they wanted us to go.
19	Q. Who assigned you to work at that place? Do you recall that
20	individual's name?
21	A. It was the chief of the cooperative who selected male and
22	female youths to be part of mobile units. His name was Chhin
23	(phonetic), deceased.
24	Q. Thank you. Could you expand a little bit further upon your
25	arrival at the worksite. You already told the Court that you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

43

- 1 arrived at the worksite in 1977. Was it in early 1977, mid- or
- 2 late 1977?
- 3 [11.09.29]

A. <To> my recollection, it was in <February> 2077 (sic) that I
was selected to be part of a mobile unit. It was in a dry season
at that time.

- 7 Q. Did you mean that it was in February 1977? Were houses built
- 8 for you? Were shelters built for all of you?

9 A. Regarding shelter and houses, we had to be on our own. We had
10 to go <and cut> down trees and collect planks <of wood> or the
11 tree trunks to build houses and shelter for ourselves.

12 Q. You stated that you went to <cut> down trees in order that you 13 get the trunks, or the sticks, to build houses. Was it away from 14 your worksite? How far was it?

15 A. It was about one kilometre away from our worksite, that we

16 could reach the forest. Perhaps, <the closest> was about 500

17 metres away from the worksite.

Q. Regarding the distribution of materials, such as <blankets>, mosquito nets, etc., did any of this material -- were any of these materials given to you <and members of the mobile unit>? Or did you have to bring this material from the <village> where you were <>?

23 [11.11.39]

A. <Shortly after> we had been there, <> we were given <a pairof> black trousers, and a shirt.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

25

44

1	Q. Thank you. What about mosquito nets and blankets? Were you
2	given these materials?
3	A. <no> mosquito nets <or> blankets <were distributed="" to="" us="">.</were></or></no>
4	Q. What about hammocks and sleeping mats? So, how did you sleep
5	without those materials? Did you sleep directly on the ground <or< td=""></or<>
6	on the floor>?
7	A. Some people chopped split the bamboo <>, and <use td="" them="" to<=""></use>
8	make the floor and they slept on the floor made out of bamboo
9	planks>. And <there but="" had="" mats,="" no="" rice<="" sleeping="" some="" td="" were=""></there>
10	sacks>, and then they tied <the make="" sacks="" to="" together=""> hammocks,</the>
11	and sleep in <the hammocks="">.</the>
12	[11.13.08]
13	Q. What about toilets <or> latrines? Were latrines built for all</or>
14	of you by Angkar <or chief="" unit="" your="">?</or>
15	A. Back then, there were no proper toilets <or> latrines. Members</or>
16	within units had to dig <half a="" square=""> metre <> deep pits, and</half>
17	<they put=""> two sticks <> on the pit, and we would squat <down></down></they>
18	and relieve ourselves. And we used <panels of="" thatch=""> to make</panels>
19	walls, so that no one could see <our buttocks=""> when we were</our>
20	relieving ourselves<; however, our heads were exposed. Some
21	others would just relieve themselves anywhere in the nearby
22	fields and bushes. Thank you.>
23	Q. Thank you. To your observation, were there many members from
24	mobile units in the sleeping quarters or at the worksite? Could

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

you <estimate as to> how many of them were there working<, in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

45

1 hundreds or in thousands>?

A. I do not know. There were many of them. Some of them were from
Thma Puok, Mongkol Borei, Sisophon, Preah Netr Preah, Phnum Srok,
and others were from <the sector's> mobile units <>. I could not
tell you how many of them <were> at the worksite.

Q. Thank you. Regarding working conditions, where were the areas (that> your units were working? Was it at the edge of the dam worksite itself? Or was it in the middle of the dam worksite?
A. Members from my unit were stationed <right on the corner to>
the north <of> Bridge Number 1 <>. We were <> working <neither</p>
towards the edge nor> in the middle of the dam worksite. We were
stationed to the north of Bridge Number 1.

13 [11.15.41]

Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court what <> your position <was> at the worksite? Were you an ordinary member within your mobile unit <or were you holding any position>?

17 A. I was chief of a platoon.

18 Q. What was your function? And how many <workers were under your 19 command>?

A. I had one deputy and one member -- two of them were below me.
Sut both> of them passed away already. <They were soldiers.>
Q. I would like you to tell the Court how many members within a
platoon? You mentioned that <> you had two people below you. But
I would like to know <the number of members within> platoon. How
many of you were there in that platoon?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

46

1 [11.17.04]

A. There were 30 members in my platoon. Beside me and my two subordinates, there were other members within that platoon. And some of them were from different <villages, not just one village> and some others were from Phnom Penh. These <> people had been evacuated from Phnom Penh.

7 Q. <So there was a mixture of Base People and New People or> 17 8 April People from Phnom Penh <with your platoon.> Could you tell 9 the Court whether there were <any> differences <regarding> work 10 <conditions> between the 17 April People and <the Base People>? 11 A. Regarding this matter, I could tell you that<, in fact,> they 12 were all <the 17 April People, and the difference was that> one 13 group of people had been living in the city, and <the other one had been living in the villages>. 14

Q. <Thank you.> What about <the> work quota? Were <the> work quotas <the same or> different between the 17 April People and Base People?

18 A. <There was no difference>. Everyone <including the unit and 19 group leaders> had to meet the <daily work quota of> three cubic 20 metres of dirt<. All the unit members> had the same work quota. 21 Q. Thank you. As a platoon chief, was there any plan for you to 22 hold frequent meetings among your members, within the platoon? 23 [11.19.15]

A. There were no frequent meetings. We <> would convene meetingsonce in a while to advise them not to be <malingering or> lazy.

47

1	Q. What about biographies? When there was a meeting, was there
2	any instruction <> to ask your members to make any biography?
3	A. <i anything="" as="" asked="" biography="" i="" myself="" never="" regarding="" their=""></i>
4	was a former soldier, and I was afraid at that time. I was afraid
5	<because happened="" if="" known="" they="" to=""> my background<, I> would</because>
б	<have attention="" disappeared.="" i="" keeping="" no="" of<="" on="" paid="" so,="" th="" track=""></have>
7	the> biographies <of members="" my="" platoon="">. I did not know the</of>
8	biographies of my members at that time.
9	[11.20.15]
10	Q. In short, you <were conceal="" to="" trying=""> your biography<,</were>
11	weren't you>?
12	A. Yes, I tried to <conceal> my biography, but still some</conceal>
13	information was leaked out. After unit chiefs were transferred <>
14	elsewhere and the new chiefs came in to replace, I was <trying td="" to<=""></trying>
15	conceal the fact> that I <had been=""> a former soldier. And later</had>
16	on, <after a<="" arrival="" became="" cadres,="" i="" of="" southwest="" td="" the=""></after>
17	village self-defence member, and later, I became an ordinary
18	villager>.
19	Q. <thank you.=""> Could you tell the Court why <> you <were so=""></were></thank>
20	afraid that you had to <conceal> your biography <to fact<="" hide="" th="" the=""></to></conceal>
21	that you had been> a former soldier? <what could="" happened="" have="" if<="" td=""></what>
22	they had known that someone was a former Lon Nol soldier>?
23	A. Back then, if it was found out that one was a former
24	soldier<,> gendarmerie<,> customs officer<, or a spy,> this
25	individual would be killed. <they a="" keep="" not="" person.="" such="" would=""></they>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

48

Q. Thank you. You made mention <of> the work distribution or work
 quota already. And <everyone had to finish the work quota of>
 three-cubic metres of soil per day. <What would happen when
 someone or a member> in your platoon could not complete the work
 quota?
 A. <Work> quota <was> set for <all> my members. At the beginning,
 we were able to accomplish two cubic metres of soil per day. And

8 if we were able to accomplish that work quota, the work quota 9 would be increased up to three cubic metres of soil per day. 10 <Each of us> had to try to work. We had to do our best to work. 11 Q. I would like to backtrack a little bit. <Earlier, you mentioned that> If one was found that he or she was a former 12 13 soldier<, a> gendarmerie<, a> customs official, <or a spy,> he or 14 she would be taken away and killed. So, how did you know such 15 instruction<? Were you informed by your superior? Or was it a 16 general policy of the Angkar? How did you learn of such

17 information?>

18 [11.23.10]

19 A. The upper echelon did not lay out such instruction. However, I 20 observed that from time to time people disappeared, and most of 21 them were former soldiers or civil servants <from my village>. 22 That is why I was trying my best to hide my biography. <For this 23 reason, I dared not stay in my village. I left my native village, 24 and moved elsewhere.>

25 Q. Thank you. What about working hours? <What time> did the work

1

49

2 daily basis? 3 A. We started working from 7 a.m. until 11 a.m. And in the 4 afternoon, from 2 <p.m> to 5 p.m. 5 [11.24.13]

start <and finish>? And <how much time of rest did you have> on a

6 Q. Were you aware that there was a night shift besides the two 7 shifts you mentioned?

A. Yes. We had to work at night as well as in the daytime. At the beginning, we were required to work only during the daytime, but when time passed by, <> people who <had night blindness> were required to work during the daytime. As for others, who had no <night> blindness <>, they were required to work at night.
Q. Thank you<. Did you hear of> 'special case unit' while you were working at that Trapeang Thma worksite?

15 A. I have no idea. I have never heard of the special case unit,

16 because perhaps I was working in different part of the worksite.

17 Q. In relation to mistakes or wrongdoings, did you observe anyone

18 committed moral offences?

19 A. I have heard of moral offences. However, I have no idea what 20 moral offences were. Yes, <workers were required to attend> a 21 meeting <during the> Khmer New Year.

22 Q. What <was the> meeting <held during> Khmer New Year <about>?

23 What was discussed in that meeting?

24 [11.26.19]

25 A. I cannot recall it. <It has been a long time now. During> the

50

1	meeting, <we and="" in="" listened="" rows="" sat="" the="" to=""> radio <> broadcast</we>
2	<>. And we would clap our hands when we saw leaders clap their
3	hands. <i content="" do="" meeting.="" not="" of="" recall="" the=""></i>
4	Q. Thank you. I think it is now almost time for lunch break. I
5	have one last question for you before I stop. Were there any
б	guards <or militiamen=""> monitoring <while were="" workers="" working="">?</while></or>
7	A. There were no soldiers <or> militiamen monitoring all of us at</or>
8	my worksite. But at night time, there were military people
9	walking around<, but> I did not know <what doing.="" th="" they="" they<="" were=""></what>
10	were doing their tasks, and we were doing ours>.
11	MR. VEN POV:
12	Thank you, Mr. President. I think it is now appropriate time for
13	lunch break. I would like to stop here first.
14	[11.27.35]
15	MR. PRESIDENT:
16	Thank you. Judge Lavergne, you have the floor.
17	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
18	<yes, thank=""> you, Mr. President, for giving me the floor. I think</yes,>
19	it's the right moment now to provide some clarification with
20	regard to this witness, because Mr. Tak Boy is testifying today
21	as a witness. But I <would like="" out="" point="" to=""> that in Case 004,</would>
22	Mr. Tak Boy is a civil party, and that the documents regarding
23	his civil party application are <on of="" record="" the=""> Case 002</on>
24	<since> they were <disclosed.> to the Parties. And they're under</disclosed.></since>
25	the following <references>: E319/25.3.48 and E319/25.3.48/1. So,</references>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

51

- 1 thank you, Mr. President.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:

3 <Is> it in Case <002 or Case> 004, regarding his status as a
4 civil party? Judge Lavergne, <you mentioned Case 002. The Chamber</p>
5 invited this witness> to testify <in Case 002> as a witness<, not</p>
6 a civil party.>

- 7 [11.29.02]
- 8 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

Apparently, there's a problem in the interpretation. Can you hear 9 10 me? Yes? Well, I was saying that Mr. Tak Boy in Case 002 is not a 11 civil party, so therefore he is testifying as a witness in a 12 perfectly normal way. But for purposes of transparency, I would 13 like to inform <the parties> that in Case 004, which is of course a different case, Mr. Tak Boy is a civil party. And I'm also 14 15 informing you that the civil party applications in Case 004 have 16 been <disclosed> in Case 002, and I have just provided you with 17 the <references> of the civil party applications <as they appear> 18 in Case 002. So, therefore they are accessible and <can be 19 consulted, and> they are part of Case 002.

20 MR. KOPPE:

21 If I may briefly respond, Mr. President. I was already wondering 22 why he was being questioned first by the civil party, since he 23 has a TCW number and is a witness. But I'm not quite sure how it 24 works, that somebody who has been -- who is a civil party in Case 25 004, by simply forwarding his applications to our case, he

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

52

- 1 becomes a civil party in our case. Oh, he isn't?
- 2 [11.30.59]
- 3 JUDGE FENZ:

4 I think there's a misunderstanding. Perhaps it's easier if I do 5 it in English, which is our common language. What Judge Lavergne 6 said is he's a witness here. He's heard as a witness. That's his 7 status in 002/02. For transparency's sake, he mentioned that this 8 person has applied and been accepted as a civil party in Case 9 004. So, he has two different statuses in two proceedings. 10 MR. KOPPE:

But why in this particular case, do the civil party lead lawyers start and not just, as always, the Prosecution?

13 MR. PRESIDENT:

Let me clarify. Based on <the Trial Chamber's> instruction and 14 15 Rule 91bis of the ECCC, the witness will be first examined by the 16 Party who requests the presence of him or her. <It is clear that 17 in Case 002,> this witness was requested by <the> Civil Party 18 <Co-Lead Lawyers> to come here <and testify> as a witness, that 19 is why I, as the President of the Chamber, have the right to 20 accommodate the arrangement when it fits. Now it is time for lunch break. The Chamber will take the break 21

from now until 1.30 p.m. Court officer, please find a proper room for this witness during the lunch break and please invite him back into the witness stand before the Chamber at 1.30 in the

25 afternoon.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

53

- 1 Security personnel are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan back
- 2 into the holding cell downstairs and please have him returned
- 3 into the courtroom before 1.30 p.m.
- 4 The Court is now in recess.
- 5 (Court recess from 1133H to 1329H)
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 8 The Chamber now gives the floor to <the Civil Party Co-Lead
- 9 Lawyers> to question the witness. <Please hold on, Lawyer.> Mr.
- 10 Vercken, please proceed.
- 11 [13.29.58]
- 12 MR. VERCKEN:

13 <Thank you, Mr. President. I have> a remark, a question or 14 <recommendation>; I don't know exactly how to call it. That was 15 following the remark made by Honourable Judge Lavergne who told 16 the Parties that there was a <disclosed> civil party application of <this> witness in Case 004. And I would wonder whether the 17 18 logical aftermath of that <observation> shouldn't be that the 19 Chamber should admit this document on the case file, and <it is 20 true that> the prosecutor, <through> Mr. Lysak, <announced> that 21 he would do so. <But this witness was rescheduled for earlier 22 than expected and perhaps that was the reason it> was not done --23 I don't know <. In any case, > it is a <prior> statement by the 24 witness. I personally must admit that I have not had time to 25 prepare for this witness because <he> has been called <before the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

54

1	Chamber> in an impromptu manner and I've not had enough time to
2	prepare to question him<, and much less, have I had the time to>
3	read that civil party application with as much attention as
4	possible. <but, any="" assume="" case,="" i="" in=""> it is logical that your</but,>
5	Chamber should admit this document into evidence. That is the
б	remark <or recommendation=""> that I would like to make <>.</or>
7	MR. PRESIDENT:
8	<you co-prosecutor.="" deputy="" floor,="" have="" international="" may="" the=""></you>
9	[13.31.50]
10	MR. FARR:
11	Your Honour, I didn't get any English translation. However, just
12	so the record is clear, I just want the record to be clear that
13	the document is available to all the Parties, it has been
14	disclosed. I don't actually know whether it's been admitted yet
15	but we certainly have no objection to it being admitted.
16	[13.32.22]
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	I am not sure about that. You disclosed the document and you
19	didn't object to the admission of the document into evidence. So
20	disclosing the document is for purposes of transparency and it
21	allows for the consideration and the debate of the document <for< td=""></for<>
22	Case 002>, and it takes a decision by the Chamber for Parties to
23	be clearly informed. And that is why from the outset I would like
24	to draw your attention to that problem. Mr. Vercken, the document
25	referred to by Judge Lavergne was given an E3 number, E319/125.

55

So that document is on record and I do not know at which level of 1 2 admissibility that document is. We have already issued precise 3 instructions regarding the disclosure of documents <filed by OCP> and that is in keeping with international case law. We have 4 indeed clearly pointed out that this is for the purposes of 5 disclosure of documents. And in order for documents to be б 7 considered <as evidence> and debated upon, the Parties have to 8 file a request, a formal request to that effect, and that request should be accepted by the Chamber. So debates regarding such 9 10 documents are necessary, adversarial debates are necessary. <You 11 may have the floor, the International Co-Lead Lawyer.>

- 12 [13.34.50]
- 13 MR. VERCKEN:

I totally agree with you, Mr. President. And that is why I raised 14 15 that point recalling that at this point in time in spite of the 16 announcement made by Mr. Lysak, when he tendered into evidence<, 17 I believe> about 50 civil party applications about a few weeks 18 ago. At that time, he did announce that those documents did not 19 contain any really important materials except for the civil party 20 application of this witness <which, as> Mr. Lysak said at the 21 time<, was going to be the subject of an application for 22 admission> into evidence. Today, the witness is appearing and 23 <there has> not been any request for the admission of the civil 24 party application into evidence in spite of the announcement made 25 by Mr. Lysak. And I observe that neither the civil parties nor

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

56

the Co-Prosecutors have mentioned that document on their list of 1 2 documents prior to the appearance of this witness. <You, perhaps, 3 I see> the civil party <signalling>, but I have not seen any document. <Whichever the case, no request exists. I don't feel --4 5 well, I have not had the time to do so, which is why I am not б making this request. But> I note that nobody is making such an 7 application. And I note that Judge Lavergne reminds us that that document exists <,that it is a prior> statement <made> by the 8 9 witness<. So I wonder if perhaps that> has not been translated 10 <correctly, and hence, is the reason that you have not fully 11 understood me, Mr. President>. Your Honours, should your Chamber 12 not spontaneously have this document <> admitted and received 13 into evidence <?>

14 [13.36.40]

15 MS. GUIRAUD:

16 Thank you, Mr. President. < If I may> make some remarks<, the> 17 documents were disclosed and we took <note> of that, same as the 18 two defence teams. It appears that the practice is as follows. 19 Documents are disclosed and placed at the disposal of Parties in 20 an electronic folder, and all Parties have access to that 21 <folder. Everybody is> able to use <these documents>. We, for our 22 part, yesterday, <actually uploaded these documents to the 23 interface to notify> the Parties that <we> would use <these 24 documents>. At a subsequent stage, <we will have to decide 25 whether these documents will be the subject to a> formal

57

1 application <> under <> Rule 87.4. <But, it> appears that <since 2 the beginning of> this process of disclosure, the prosecutors 3 disclose <> documents, the Parties are able to use <those documents during hearings, and> if need be all the Parties are 4 free to file <Rule> 87.4 applications. <But> I do remember <many 5 instances in which> records of interviews <> filed by the б 7 prosecutors <were then used by the defence during hearings,> whereas the defence <had not filed explicit requests under> Rule 8 9 87.4<. So, > we have <only followed what we believed to be the 10 current practice before the Chamber. We> notified the <other> 11 Parties that we intend to use <these documents> <>, but we did 12 not have the time to file Rule 87.4 applications. In that regard, 13 I hope I have answered the question asked by my learned friend 14 <>.

15 [13.38.37]

16 MR. VERCKEN:

17 <Not really. Not really because first of all, you have made a 18 thinly veiled accusation claiming> the defence <is> using 19 documents that were not validated before. That is false and I 20 object to that. <I don't believe we have ever done that. And in 21 my opinion, a> Rule 87.4 application <must be made> before <> we 22 should be able to use <a> document <during hearings>.

23 [13.38.57]

24 MS. GUIRAUD:

25 <If I may respond --> I didn't make a veiled accusation, I am

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

58

1	only referring to a practice that has been put in place and that
2	has been used <and believe,="" i="" respected,=""> by all the Parties: the</and>
3	defence, the prosecutors and the civil parties <in same<="" td="" the=""></in>
4	way>. So this is <absolutely> not a <practice, disclosure="" or=""></practice,></absolutely>
5	veiled accusation <>. All I meant to do was to present my
6	position to the Chamber regarding the current practice and <the></the>
7	practice <respected by=""> all the Parties to this Trial <since td="" the<=""></since></respected>
8	very beginning>.
9	(Judges deliberate)
10	[13.46.38]
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	The Chamber gives the floor to Judge Lavergne to provide
13	clarifications to Counsel Vercken.
14	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
15	Thank you, Mr. President. The Chamber is well aware that the
16	document <or documents=""> in question regarding Mr. Tak Boy's civil</or>
17	party application has been made available to all the Parties. The
18	Chamber <is aware=""> that the Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers intend to</is>
19	use that document to examine Mr. Tak Boy<. So> to the extent that
20	the Chamber understands that none of the Parties intends to
21	object to the use of this new document, unless I am mistaken and
22	if so, let me know immediately. <although> I do not think there</although>
23	is any objection <>. And so the Chamber considers that the
24	document is admissible and it is tendered into evidence and it
25	can therefore be used as a new document in that regard. It is not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

59

necessary to make a Rule 87.4 motion in respect of that document 1 2 and the <admission> decision is thus taken <here during> these proceedings. So the practice <we have followed for some time> is 3 that, when Parties intend to use a new document, the other 4 Parties are informed. And <when> the document is used during 5 hearings and there's no objection from the Parties<,> the б 7 document is therefore understood as admitted into evidence. <I hope this has clarified the situation. Let> me point out 8 9 nevertheless that the disclosure of a very large number of civil 10 party applications raises a number of difficulties, and the Chamber is considering that matter and will inform the Parties 11 12 <>. [13.48.46]13 14 MR. PRESIDENT: 15 Thank you, Honourable Judge <Lavergne>. I now give the floor to 16 the Lead Co-Lawyers of the civil parties. 17 BY MR. VEN POV: 18 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. Witness. I will 19 proceed with my examination. Q. I would like us to talk about the issue of food rations at 20 21 Trapeang Thma <Dam worksite>. What food rations did you receive 22 at that worksite? 23 MR. TAK BOY: A. I wouldn't know how food was distributed. But there was 24 25 sufficient food for everyone, but people had a hard time eating

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

60

1 or didn't eat well or enough because everyone <did not get enough 2 sleep>. 3 [13.49.56]Q. What food items were doled out to the people, the workers, was 4 5 it rice gruel or normal rice? <Did the people eat collectively? Were there cooks who prepared the food?> б 7 A. There were cooks preparing the food and they distributed it to 8 the workers. Q. Can you be more specific, was it light gruel or sometimes you 9 10 were given ordinary rice while you were working at the Trapeang 11 Thma Dam worksite? 12 A. We ate ordinary <steamed> rice and we had enough to eat in 13 order to build the dyke. 14 Q. As regards sanitation or hygiene, was the food well protected 15 and covered before it was given out to the workers? <Were there 16 many flies at the eating place ?> 17 A. The food was not protected <or covered>; it was exposed and 18 therefore covered by flies. 19 Q. Thank you. Did you hear any members of your group complaining 20 about the shortage of food or the fact that they did not eat 21 enough <or the food was not good>? 22 A. No, no one <in my platoon> complained. However, we faced the 23 problem that everyone was sleepy, we weren't sleeping enough so 24 we didn't eat enough either. < We had enough to eat, but we could 25 not eat as we had to work at night and did not have enough

61

- 1 sleep.>
- 2 [13.52.27]

3 Q. Thank you. So you said that people did not eat well because 4 they did not have enough sleep. Did you note that any members of 5 your unit fell sick out of physical exhaustion?

6 A. Regarding what I was able to observe, some people had 7 diarrhoea, it was hot and people drank dirty water, and so they 8 fell sick and were sent to hospitals. I do not know exactly where 9 they were sent, they used to say they were sent to hospitals, but 10 I do not know where exactly.

11 Q. <Thank you.> When members of the mobile unit were <> ill <or
12 had diarrhoea>, were there any healthcare workers permanently on
13 the site who distributed medicines to the sick?

A. There were healthcare workers on duty, but what they had was mostly traditional <medicine> made <from> tree roots. <Herbal roots were pounded and turned into tablets.> So whenever someone had diarrhoea or fever, he or she was given those medicines made with tree roots. I do not <really> know how those medicines were made. They were tablets of a brownish colour <and they looked

20 like rabbit pellets>.

21 [13.54.32]

Q. <Thank you.> When you talk of healthcare workers, do you know whether those persons had received appropriate adequate <medical> training?

25 A. The healthcare workers assigned to work in those units had not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

62

1	undergone any studies. But they were referred to as healthcare
2	workers. And the sick had to go to receive medicines in
3	hospitals. <however, collect="" do="" i="" know="" not="" th="" the<="" they="" to="" went="" where=""></however,>
4	medicines.> Those medicines were referred to us rabbit pellets
5	and they were used to treat diarrhoea.
6	Q. Still as regards illnesses, did you observe or see any people
7	die of illness, <or> exhaustion <>?</or>
8	A. Those who were taken ill were sent somewhere, I don't know
9	whether they died, I don't know whether they were treated. <it< td=""></it<>
10	could be the case that after recovery, they returned> to their
11	respective cooperatives. <usually, after="" away,<="" sent="" td="" they="" were=""></usually,>
12	they would just disappeared. I do not know whether they were
13	being treated, they died, or they went back to their respective
14	cooperatives.>
15	Q. Thank you. I would like to put a few questions to you
16	regarding occupational accidents. Did you know or see any members
17	of the mobile units who were injured during the work they were
18	doing in the course of their work?
19	[13.56.30]
20	A. Never.
21	Q. Thank you. Regarding work on the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite,
22	did such work require the use of <machineries> or such work</machineries>
23	entailed only manual labour without <machineries>?</machineries>
24	A. There was no <machinery>. All the work was done manually since</machinery>
25	all we had to do was to dig the earth and to carry it ourselves.

63

1	Q. Thank you. I would like us to talk about another subject. In
2	your unit, did you hear anyone talk about arrests or
3	disappearances on the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite or did you
4	witness such arrest with your own eyes?
5	[13.57.54]
6	A. <i from="" heard=""> battalion chiefs <> that <the big="" chief,=""> Ta</the></i>
7	Val <had been=""> arrested, but I was not an eye witness to that. It</had>
8	was one of my superiors who told me that Ta Val had been
9	arrested.
10	Q. In fact, my question did not have to do with the arrest of Ta
11	Val. All I wanted to know was whether workers in your unit were
12	arrested or whether you heard the arrest of the members of their
13	unit.
14	A. In my unit no one was arrested.
15	Q. Did you see with your own eyes or did you hear anyone say that
16	anyone who was somehow related to Vietnam or had links with
17	Vietnam was arrested and led away?
18	A. I was not a yet full-fledged member of the sector unit but in
19	the villages if there were any ethnic Chinese or Vietnamese, they
20	would be arrested and led away by the soldiers. That is all I was
21	able to find out.
22	Q. You said that Ta Val had been arrested. Could you tell the
23	Chamber what his duties were, what his position was?
24	[13.59.36]
25	A. He was the chief of <the sector's=""> mobile unit <>. I did not</the>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

64

1	know his exact position <on either="" or="" sector="" th="" the="" zone's<=""></on>
2	organizational structure> but he was in charge of the mobile
3	units in the sector.
4	Q. You said that he had been arrested, who arrested him?
5	A. No, I don't know who arrested him. But the battalion chiefs
6	told me that he had been arrested.
7	Q. Now regarding the execution sites and the pits, did anyone
8	point to you places where executions occurred?
9	A. Can you please be more specific <regarding bodies="" dead="" those="">,</regarding>
10	which pits?
11	[14.01.00]
12	Q. I was speaking about the pits where the members of the mobile
13	units who had been taken away were buried. Did you know that back
14	then that there were pits that were close to Trapeang Thma?
15	A. Yes. On the dam itself by the dam itself, there were pits
16	<on and="" at="" base="" crest="" dam,="" in="" of="" th="" the="" the<=""></on>
17	reservoir itself. They dug those pits with hoes. Those people
18	were killed and buried during the night time;> and we don't know
19	why exactly. <those disappeared.="" just="" people=""> And when we carried</those>
20	dirt in order to build the dyke, we came across bodies <roughly< th=""></roughly<>
21	buried on the crest of the dam; and only then could I draw a
22	conclusion that those bodies must have been killed the previous
23	night>.
24	Q. Were there many bodies <that came<="" members="" or="" th="" unit="" you="" your=""></that>
25	across while carrying dirt>?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

65

A. <There were countless bodies.> There were bodies at the bottom of the dam and also on the crest of the dam and also in the <copen> fields. And the bodies were covered with soil and dirt.
While people were ploughing, they would find <skulls> in the field. <Thus,> I do not know how many bodies were there at the worksite.
[14.02.33]

8 Q. Concerning forced marriage, were you aware of any marriage at 9 that time? Did you attend the marriage ceremony while you were 10 there?

11 A. The marriage was not actually forced. The couple agreed to 12 marry one another after which they were required to hold each 13 other's hand and make a resolution. <This is what happened at my 14 place; however, I do not know what actually happened in other 15 places.>

16 Q. You said the marriage was not forced, did the marriage

17 arranged by the unit chief or did the couple voluntarily agree to 18 marry at that time?

A. Normally, the couples selected their own <destined> or future spouses. For example, a man <would fall in love with> a woman at that time. After that, <he would approach his unit chief to make a proposal to the girl's unit chief. Only after an agreement was reached would> the marriage <> be held for them. And as for marriage, usually there were many couples, 50 <or 60> couples at a time.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

66

1	Q. You stated that there were <50-60> couples in one marriage.
2	Did you personally attend the marriage ceremony at that time? <or< td=""></or<>
3	did you hear of this from others?>
4	A. I was invited to be a guest at that time in the marriage
5	ceremony where the couples were required to hold each other's
6	hand and make a resolution.
7	Q. Did you observe that parents from both sides, I mean the bride
8	and groom, attended the wedding? <or only="" respective="" td="" their="" unit<=""></or>
9	members attended the ceremony?>
10	A. No, only the candidates that is, the couples, were at the
11	venue. Their parents were not there.
12	Q. Concerning religion, when people died, were rituals allowed to
13	be held?
14	[14.05.00]
15	A. <> Buddhism <was allowed="" be="" no="" not="" practiced.="" ritual="" td="" to="" was<=""></was>
16	held when someone died. Neither> achar <nor monks=""> would <> be</nor>
17	invited to <preach or="" sermon="" the=""> attend the funeral <> at that</preach>
18	time. <when carry="" did="" died,="" his<="" only="" someone="" td="" the="" thing="" to="" was="" we=""></when>
19	or her body away to be buried.>
20	Q. What about pagodas, were there any monks in pagodas? <or td="" what<=""></or>
21	did they use pagodas for?>
22	A. No monks in the pagoda. Pagoda was turned to be a workshop to
23	produce traditional medicine.
24	Q. Thank you. This is my last question, Mr. Witness. I would like
25	to ask you when you were working at the Trapeang Thma Dam

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

67

1	worksite, did you ever participate in <any> ceremony <to th="" welcome<=""></to></any>
2	the visit of any delegation or senior leaders> of the Democratic
3	Kampuchea <to the="" worksite="">?</to>
4	A. I was once I once attended the meeting <to a<="" th="" welcome=""></to>
5	Chinese delegate by the name of> Chen <yonggui visiting="" was="" who=""></yonggui>
б	Trapeang Thma worksite.
7	Q. At that time, did leaders from the <sector, or="" th="" the="" the<="" zone,=""></sector,>
8	Centre from Phnom Penh> or from other places attend the meeting
9	where the Chinese delegation was there?
10	[14.06.38]
11	A. I do not know about that <as a="" i="" low-ranking="" only="" person="" was="">.</as>
12	There were around 20 vehicles coming to the site. And people in
13	the vehicles were wearing black trousers, <and> white shirts.</and>
14	<they groomed="" very="" well="" were="">. And I was told that <a chinese<="" th=""></they>
15	delegate by the name of> Chen <yonggui> was visiting the site and</yonggui>
16	<on night,="" that=""> a film about the work in China work conducted</on>
17	in China, was projected for all of us.
18	MR. VEN POV:
19	<thank mr.="" witness.="" you,=""> Mr. President, <i have="" more<="" no="" th=""></i></thank>
20	questions to put to the witness, but the National Co-Lead Lawyer
21	has a few questions to put to the witness. Thank you, Mr.
22	President>.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	<thank you.=""> You may now proceed.</thank>
25	[14.07.24]

19 August 2015

Trial Chamber - Trial Day 314

68

QUESTIONING BY MR. PICH ANG: 1

2 Q. Mr. President -- good afternoon, Mr. President, <I have only a 3 few questions for the witness.> Good afternoon, Mr. Witness.<My 4 name is Pich Ang. I am a Civil Party Co-Lead Lawyer.> I will have 5 a few questions in relation to working hours. You provided your answers to lawyer Ven Pov that you started work from 7 a.m. to 11 б 7 a.m. in the morning. And you also mentioned about the afternoon 8 shift. I would like you to make a clarification regarding members 9 of your mobile unit and members of other mobile units. Did 10 members of other units start their work at the same time as your members did? 11

12 MR. TAK BOY:

13 A. When we were working at the dam worksite -- that is, digging 14 the earth to build the dam, we <worked> from 7 a.m. until 11 a.m. 15 in the morning. This shift <was> only <for> the people who had 16 night blindness. <My apologies for the errors I made this 17 morning. As for the afternoon, we worked from 1 p.m. through 5 18 p.m. These two shifts were designed only for those who had night 19 blindness.> And there was night shift which consisted of people 20 who did not have night blindness.

[14.08.54]21

22 Q. Did anyone <in your unit or other units> go to work before 7

23 a.m. in the morning?

24 A. No we had to be on time. When it was time to work, we had to 25 be there and work.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

69

Q. Are you referring to members of your own unit or you talking 1 2 about other people from different units? A. I am talking about members of my unit. We lived and worked in 3 different places, and I do not know about them but we had the 4 same work to do, to my recollection. 5 Q. I have one more topic to cover. You stated that bodies were б 7 buried on the dam itself and also at the base of the dam. Did you 8 witness the bodies in those pits? And were there many bodies? A. I did not witness the corpses. While I was carrying dirt by 9 10 using the earth carrying baskets, the soil was soft and I could 11 understand that there were bodies covered with the dirt below the 12 soil. And <we saw> many pits <at the base of the dam and in the 13 reservoir> when we went to relieve ourselves. <I cannot give you 14 an estimate, but there were many.> 15 Q. You stated the soil was soft while you were walking on it, 16 what did you mean by that? 17 [14.11.09]18 A. By that, I can say that normally the earth that we dumped on 19 one particular place was solid but some places were soft while we 20 were stepping on, <and we knew that there was no water 21 underneath> and we could understand that there <were bodies> 22 below it. < Those bodies were actually not deeply buried.> 23 Q. The soft soil you said -- you mentioned, the soil was it soft 24 because of the rain? Or the soil -- what did you mean by saying, 25 the soil was soft while stepping on it?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

70

1	A. <the dry="" even="" in="" it="" not<="" season,="" soft="" soil="" th="" the="" though="" was=""></the>
2	in the> rainy season at that time. <and the=""> earth that we dug</and>
3	was really hard and solid. And how could it was soft when we
4	dumped it on the specific places. <thus, been<="" have="" must="" td="" there=""></thus,>
5	dead bodies below it that made it soft when we were stepping on
6	the soil.>
7	Q. You made mention of pits a while ago. Could you describe the
8	pits, how large <> and how deep <were they="">?</were>
9	A. They were not big <and and="" bodies="" corpses<="" deep="" or="" pits,="" td="" the=""></and>
10	were not buried> deep in the earth. <thus,> when the <bodies or<="" td=""></bodies></thus,>
11	corpses swelled, the crest of the pits cracked, and the stench
12	spread around. One could easily feel that those were the pits
13	where bodies were buried>.
14	[14.13.18]
15	Q. You made mention <of> the soft soil while working and you</of>
16	stepped on the soft soil. And you said there were corpses under
17	it. <did across="" an="" come="" incident="" often="" such="" you="">?</did>
18	A. I witnessed one <incident> at one time that I was working and</incident>
19	carrying earth and I <was ground.="" however,="" i<="" on="" soft="" stepping="" td="" the=""></was>
20	came across pits that were cracking open in the fields>.
21	Q. You stated that <> there <was from="" soft="" soil="" stench="" td="" that<="" the=""></was>
22	was cracking open>; so what smell was it?
23	A. The smell <was area="" but="" from="" it="" not="" soft="" soil="" the="" was=""> in the</was>
24	open <fields and<="" been="" bodies="" buried="" deeply="" enough.="" had="" not="" td="" where=""></fields>
25	only when those pits cracked open did one smell the stench.

71

However, there was no stench coming out from the base of the dam as we were> dumping the earth at the base of the dam. <I was referring to the stench that one could smell coming from the open fields. When> we went to relieve ourselves <in the fields>, we could smell the <stench>.

6 Q. You stated <about the open fields;> did you witness there were 7 many bodies buried in the open <fields? Did you see many pits 8 when you went to the open fields?>

9 [14.15.03]

A. Many corpses were buried at the <reservoir itself. Only when we got close to those pits could we smell the stench. We would smell the stench when we went southwards into the fields between 200 and 300 metres away from> the base of the dam <where we worked. We experienced the stench when we went to relieve ourselves in the fields, and usually, we told each other about the pits, and everyone in the unit was surprised>.

Q. <Thank you.> This is my last question, Mr. Witness. You made mention <of> the open <fields,> that you <came across pits where you believed people were buried>. Was the open field within <or out of the perimeter of the reservoir>?

A. <There were open fields both inside and outside the reservoir; particularly, open fields located to the south of the reservoir. There were also open fields around the reservoir. So there were open fields inside and outside the perimeter of the reservoir>. MR. PICH ANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

72

- 1 Thank you, Mr. President. We would like to cede the floor for the
- 2 International Co-Prosecutor.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Thank you. The Chamber now gives the floor to the Co-Prosecutor
- 5 to put questions to this witness. You may now proceed.
- 6 [14.16.53]
- 7 QUESTIONING BY MR. FARR:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 Q. Mr. Witness, to start with, are you able to give us any
- 10 estimate of the time that you were at the Trapeang Thma Dam
- 11 worksite, perhaps the month and year that your arrived and the
- 12 month and year you departed, if you are able?
- 13 MR. TAK BOY:

A. I cannot recall it well because it happened <a> long time ago.
Q. Now you mentioned being in a mobile unit before going to the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite. The mobile unit you were in at the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite, was that associated with a district, a sub-district, a sector, what kind of mobile unit was it?
[14.18.00]

A. Before I became part of a mobile unit, I was <working> in a
cooperative in a village <under a> sangkat <or a district>.
Q. I think you mentioned previously that your work -- your
deployment to the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite was involuntary. Can
you tell us a bit more the story about how you were selected for

25 the unit and how you were deployed to the worksite?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

73

A. The work was not voluntary. Male and female youth were
 separated from parents and <selected to> go to work in mobile
 units.

Q. I want to read you something from your statement to DC-Cam and 4 5 ask you if you can expand on it for us a little bit. And for reference, this is document number D366 -- actually, now it has б 7 an E3 number. It is E3/7968. The English page number is, 00726128; Khmer, 0057749 to 50; and French, 00743261. So you were 8 asked if you joined on a voluntary basis, and you said, "No, I 9 10 was selected, they required us to go." And then a bit later, "They would point us out who would go. They distributed the new 11 12 recruits and collected to their respectively targets. And we had 13 to follow them with mixed feelings whether we were going to die or survive. We were full of fears when they first came to collect 14 15 us. Only upon arrival at the worksite were we equipped with hoes 16 and spades and knew we would survive."

17 So my question is, why did you have doubts about your potential 18 survival at the time that you were being collected and sent to 19 the worksite? What made you doubt that you would survive or at 20 least question whether you would survive?

21 [14.20.58]

A. We were selected and sent to the worksite. After we arrived at the place, we were divided into <working groups and> units and I was part of a platoon. At that time, <> earth-carrying baskets and <hoes were distributed to us, and then we went straight> to

74

1	build the dam.
2	Q. In a quote that I just read, it seems that you expressed that
3	you were feeling some fear at the time you were placed in this
4	mobile unit and deployed to the dam worksite. Do you remember
5	that?
6	A. I was <> full of fear at the time because I had <been a<="" td=""></been>
7	soldier. I was constantly afraid that if they> found out <who i<="" td=""></who>
8	was>, I would be taken away and killed. This was my fear.
9	Q. When you were answering questions from the lawyers for the
10	civil parties, you mentioned the person named Ta Val; can you
11	tell us what his position was at the worksite as you understand
12	it?
13	[14.22.45]
14	A. Ta Val had overall supervision over Trapeang Thma Dam
15	worksite.
16	Q. Okay, thank you. You told us that you were a platoon
17	commander, and I want to ask you a few questions about the
18	hierarchy in your mobile unit. Can you tell us what level of
19	organisation was immediately above a platoon?
20	A. Below the platoon, there were <> groups and within the groups
21	there were members.
22	Q. Okay, so that's below the platoon. Can you tell us now above
23	the platoon, what was the next level above the platoon?
24	A. Above the platoon, it was <a> company and above a company, <it< td=""></it<>
25	was a> battalion. And <above battalion,="" it="" the="" was=""> Ta Val <who></who></above>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

75

had overall supervision over <the dam worksite>. 1 2 [14.24.14]Q. Okay so starting -- well first of all, do you remember the 3 4 name of your company commander, your immediate superior? 5 A. Above <a> platoon, it was <a> company, and chief of <the> б company was Pech Mam. He passed away, perhaps, ten years ago. And 7 above <the> company, <it was the> battalion <under the command of 8 Comrade> Bo (phonetic)<. Comrade> Bo (phonetic) had been 9 arrested. He <is> deceased. 10 Q. Okay so would you provide information to Pech Mam your company commander? And if so, what kinds of information would you provide 11 to him? 12 A. Pich Mam<, the company chief, was> in charge of three 13 14 platoons. And Pech Mam was deceased. O. So as a platoon commander, would you speak to him on a daily 15 16 basis and tell him information such as number of workers, amount 17 of work completed or did that not happen? 18 A. When we <finished> work at 5 p.m. we had to <> report to <the 19 company chief on the output of our unit>. And we would do the 20 calculation of the work that <our platoon had> completed by the 21 end of the day and <> report <it to the company> chief <>. 22 [14.26.34]23 Q. And would the chief of company give you any instructions?

A. No, no <many> instructions. But we were advised to be

25 <hard-working>, and I was instructed that there should be no one

76

1	from my unit that avoided the work, otherwise they would
2	disappear.
3	Q. And who told you that if someone from your unit avoided work,
4	they would disappear, who said that to you?
5	A. It was <comrade> Bo (phonetic) <who battalion="" chief="" the="" was="">.</who></comrade>
6	Q. Do you remember where you were or who you were with, when he
7	told you this?
8	A. No <one else="" present.="" was=""> I went to see him and report <to< td=""></to<></one>
9	him> verbally <on> the <output> that my <platoon had=""></platoon></output></on>
10	accomplished. <anyway, and="" battalion="" both="" chief="" company<="" td="" the=""></anyway,>
11	chiefs were there together.>
12	[14.28.13]
13	Q. So the terms that were used, that you've mentioned: platoon,
14	company, and battalion are military terms. Do you know why those
15	terms were selected for the hierarchical levels of the Sector 5
16	mobile unit?
17	A. I have no idea <as same="" structure<="" td="" the="" they="" to="" using="" were="" why=""></as>
18	as that of> the military. <it a="" but="" hierarchical="" structure,="" was=""></it>
19	I do not know <any about="" detail="" it="">.</any>
20	Q. Did you ever hear the terms "hard offensive" or "hot
21	battlefield" to describe the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite?
22	A. This was frequently mentioned <that> Trapeang Thma Dam</that>
23	worksite <was a="" battlefield="" dynamic="" hot="" td="" was<="" where="" workforce=""></was>
24	working. The sector's mobile unit was considered the dynamic
25	workforce or the first force>.

77

1	Q. And what did you understand it to mean when it was referred to
2	as a hot battlefield?
3	[14.29.50]
4	A. To my understanding, the <term and="" harsh="" it="" motivated<="" td="" used="" was=""></term>
5	us> to work very hard. <we and="" best,="" could="" do="" had="" not<="" our="" td="" to="" we=""></we>
б	be lazy. If someone was not working hard enough, he> would be
7	accused of <having a="" different="" political=""> tendency. So I could</having>
8	say it was <one a="" battlefields.="" harsh<="" hottest="" it="" of="" td="" the="" was=""></one>
9	<pre>battlefield.></pre>
10	Q. Did you ever hear anyone compare the sacrifices made by
11	workers at your worksite with the sacrifices made by Khmer Rouge
12	soldiers during the war against the Lon Nol regime?
13	A. No, I did not <>.
14	Q. Okay, thank you. We mentioned Ta Val
15	MR. PRESIDENT:
16	<hold counsel="" floor.="" have="" koppe,="" may="" mr.="" on,="" the="" witness.="" you=""></hold>
17	[14.31.06]
18	MR. KOPPE:
19	Just an observation and a request to the Prosecution. Where does
20	the Prosecution have this information from?
21	BY MR. FARR:
22	So, this is E3/771, it's a "Revolutionary Flag" magazine from
23	sorry, it's a "Revolutionary Youth" magazine of July and August
24	1977. And there's a two and a half page description of the
25	Trapeang Thma Dam worksite, very specific regarding dimensions,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

78

1	but it discusses the conditions that the workers worked in, and
2	said that they were working all day and all night in the hot sun.
3	And it says, "like the sacrifices made by our brothers during the
4	war, they didn't complain." I don't have the precise ERN page
5	numbers now; I can provide those after the break.
6	Q. Mr. Witness, we mentioned someone named Ta Val a few minutes
7	ago, could you tell us what kind of person he was to your
8	knowledge?
9	[14.32.28]
10	MR. TAK BOY:
11	A. Physically, he was <> of average height but <when he="" spoke=""></when>
12	his looks were very frightening. He was cruel.
13	Q. Can you tell us what you mean when you say that he was cruel?
14	A. He spoke in a loud voice <when he="" supervising="" was="" workers.=""> I</when>
15	would stand <> next <to> him and <watch him="" talking=""> with a lot</watch></to>
16	of gestures and <speaking> at the top of his voice. <at a="" certain<="" td=""></at></speaking>
17	point in time, I saw him talking to workers who were building the
18	first bridge. They> did not do what was pleasing to him, <so he<="" td=""></so>
19	took a spade and showed them how they should be performing their
20	work. To me, such an act showed his cruelty and aggressiveness.
21	He did not just give polite instructions>.
22	Q. Did you ever hear him referred to as the chief executioner or
23	something similar to that?
24	A. As a matter of fact, he was <cruel and=""> wicked because <it td="" was<=""></it></cruel>
25	on his order that those workers were killed and buried> at that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

1

79

2	the worksite was superior to him.> And all the people who died
3	there were under his responsibility and he was the one issuing
4	instructions to <soldiers> working there <to carry="" out<="" th=""></to></soldiers>
5	executions>.
б	Q. Did you know of him having an aide or assistant who was in
7	charge of punishing people who did not work as much as they were
8	supposed to?
9	A. <his comrade="" deputy="" was=""> Yun, who was called Ta Yun. So in his</his>
10	absence<, Ta Yun was in charge of the> place.
11	Q. And do you know anything about this person Ta Yun being
12	involved in punishing workers who were considered lazy or who
13	didn't complete their tasks?
14	[14.35.51]
15	A. I haven't quite understood your question, can you please
16	repeat it
17	Q. Sure. And perhaps to save time, I'll read you something from a
18	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
ΤO	statement gave to DC-Cam. This is the same document as
19	
	statement gave to DC-Cam. This is the same document as
19	statement gave to DC-Cam. This is the same document as previously. The English page number is 00726113; Khmer is,
19 20	statement gave to DC-Cam. This is the same document as previously. The English page number is 00726113; Khmer is, 0057732; and French is, 00743242. So you were asked about Ta Val
19 20 21	statement gave to DC-Cam. This is the same document as previously. The English page number is 00726113; Khmer is, 0057732; and French is, 00743242. So you were asked about Ta Val and you said he was referred to as the direct chief executioner.
19 20 21 22	statement gave to DC-Cam. This is the same document as previously. The English page number is 00726113; Khmer is, 0057732; and French is, 00743242. So you were asked about Ta Val and you said he was referred to as the direct chief executioner. But then you continued and you said, "He was the chief of all,

worksite. <He was actually a chief executioner. No one else at

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

80

1	and the person in charge did it carelessly, he or she would be
2	pulled out like a cabbage and taken to be killed, that easy." So
3	can you tell us first of all, who the person was you were
4	speaking of and second of all, what you meant when you said that
5	a person would be pulled out like a cabbage?
6	[14.37.42]
7	A. If a given worker was lazy, he was immediately uprooted. That
8	was not the case with my unit. <the person="" td="" uprooted="" was="" who="" would<=""></the>
9	be taken to the above, and I did not know exactly where the
10	person was taken.> What I meant to say was that Ta Val was a
11	chief executioner <because> he was the person in charge of that</because>
12	worksite. So if subordinates committed crimes, Ta Val had to
13	assume responsibility for such crimes. < If he had not issued such
14	an order, nobody would have dared to carry out any execution.>
15	For instance, if he ordered anyone to kill someone, he had to
16	assume responsibility for such acts. <he an="" executioner<="" not="" td="" was=""></he>
17	himself, but he was the superior of those executioners.>
18	MR. FARR:
19	Mr. President, I note the time, I don't know whether this is the
20	correct time for the break or not.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Thank you. The time is right for us to take a break. The
23	proceedings will resume at 3 p.m.
24	Court officer please see to the necessary arrangements for the
25	witness, lead him back to the courtroom <at> 3 p.m.</at>

0	1
0	

- 1 (Court recesses from 1439H to 1459H)
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:

3 Please be seated. <The Court is now in session.> The floor is now 4 given to Co-Prosecutors to resume their lines of questioning. You 5 may now proceed.

- 6 BY MR. FARR:
- Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Sir, before the break, you told us about someone who was, I guess you should call him Ta Val's deputy who would be in charge when he was absent, can you tell us how often Ta Val was absent from the worksite? MR. TAK BOY: A. I do not know whether he was absent very frequently. Sometimes
- 13 <the chief> of battalion said, Ta Val was not present so I knew 14 about this through <the chief> of battalion.
- 15 Q. Do you know where he was or where he went when he was not
- 16 present?
- 17 [15.01.10]

18 A. He disappeared. Perhaps he may have been arrested by Southwest19 Zone cadres. This is my assumption.

Q. In the period before he was arrested and disappeared, do you
know who his superior was, do you know who he reported to?
A. I do not know the superiors above him. Perhaps the report was
submitted to the sector. I do not know who were above him.
Q. Did you personally ever report your work results directly to

25 Ta Val?

82

A. No, I never reported directly to Ta Val. I was very low in the high-ranking -- in the structure. I never reported to Ta Val. <I only reported on the work results to either the company chief or the battalion chief.>

5 Q. Okay, thank you. I want to ask you now about a celebration that you describe in your DC-Cam interview that fell -- that seem б 7 to be a combination of a Khmer New Year celebration and a Liberation Day celebration so around the 15th, 16th, 17th of 8 April. Can you tell us about that celebration? 9 10 A. <The celebration of the> Khmer New Year and <that of> the 11 anniversary of 17 of April <were> quite close. The date was quite 12 close to each other. There was a meeting. The meeting was 13 <actually> held in Phnom Penh and <we were listening to the> meeting <from afar> in my area<, but I do not remember> what was 14 described during the meeting. The Khmer New Year fell on the 15 <14th, 15th, and 16th of April, and so the> meeting <> was held 16 17 <on the 17th of April> for just one morning on that day <to</pre> 18 celebrate the two occasions>.

19 [15.04.28]

20 Q. And do you remember whether there were any musical

21 performances, any songs as a part of that celebration?

22 A. No. After the meeting, we went back to our worksites -- our

23 respective worksites.

Q. I'd like to ask you about something that you said in your
DC-Cam statement. This is English, 00726120; Khmer, 00057440; and

83

1	French, 00743250 to 51. So you were asked about this celebration
2	and you told us, much of what you just told us now, that it was a
3	merger of the 17th April anniversary and the Khmer New Year. And
4	then the interviewer said and you said, "They held a meeting
5	during these three days." The interviewer asked, "Right here?"
6	And your answer was, "Right. They held it at the reservoir with
7	musicians and dancers. However, they danced with their uniform
8	and weapon."
9	[15.06.09]
10	Mr. President, I'm not sure if there is a technical problem, I
11	don't hear myself anymore. Okay it was just a battery issue
12	apparently.
13	Sir, I'll read your answer to you again. You said, "Right, they
14	held it at the reservoir with musicians and dancers. However,
15	they danced with their uniform and weapon. The contents of the
16	songs they sang was all about arresting the Vietnamese enemy and
17	the Lon Nol enemy."
18	Sorry, I'm having bad luck.
19	Sir, do you remember that answer from your DC-Cam statement? And
20	if so, can you explain to us something about those songs?
21	[15.07.33]
22	A. The theatrical performance, I may have confused. The
23	theatrical performance happened during the marriage ceremony. The
24	dancers, performers were in black clothes and I observed <they></they>
25	were dancing with their weapons on their shoulders. <i do="" not<="" th=""></i>

84

1	remember all the details anymore>. Perhaps the <dancers> at that</dancers>
2	time were <performing had="" smashed="" the="" their="" they="" way=""> enemies.</performing>
3	And that was the performance, it's not the real scene of fighting
4	at that time. And <those as="" had="" military<="" performed="" soldiers="" td="" who=""></those>
5	uniform, while those who performed as the Khmer Rouge soldiers
б	were in black, and they were> firing weapon at each other<;
7	however, there were dummy bullets only.> And after that <, all
8	the Lon Nol soldiers were killed, and> they shouted the victory
9	of 17th April <>.
10	Q. Okay, thank you for that. Maybe I'll just ask this. Do you
11	remember at any point hearing songs about arresting the
12	Vietnamese enemies and arresting the Lon Nol enemies?
13	A. It was during the time back when I was in a cooperative,
14	Vietnamese ethnic, Chinese ethnic were arrested. <and td="" there="" were<=""></and>
15	no such arrests by the time I was working with the mobile unit.>
16	Q. But focusing precisely on songs, do you remember songs about
17	that subject, about the arrest of the Vietnamese?
18	[15.09.45]
19	A. I cannot recall the content of the song. It was long time ago,
20	I cannot recall content of the song by the musicians and dancers.
21	Q. Okay. So then going back to what you just mentioned, the
22	arrest of Vietnamese in the commune, can you tell us a bit more
23	about that and in particular if you have some way of estimating
24	the number of Vietnamese who were arrested?
25	A. I cannot say how many Chinese and Vietnamese ethnics were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

85

1	arrested. The whole families were arrested. First, they arrested
2	husbands after which, it was the time the wives and children.
3	They were taken away <under being<="" pretext="" td="" that="" the="" they="" were=""></under>
4	relocated> elsewhere and I did not know <where taken.<="" td="" they="" were=""></where>
5	They just disappeared. So I concluded that they could have been
б	taken away and killed>.
7	Q. Can you tell us what means of transportation was used to take
8	them away?
9	A. It was military trucks, the colour < they were the American
10	military trucks in> the green colour.
11	Q. And are you able to estimate the time period when this
12	happened, month and year?
13	[15.11.44]
14	A. The transportation of wives and children of <those men=""></those>
15	happened perhaps at 10.00 or 11.00 in the morning. The vehicles
16	
16	were heading southwards. I did not know where the vehicles were
17	were heading southwards. I did not know where the vehicles were heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the
17	heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the
17 18	heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the field, building the embankment, growing <cassavas>. I heard</cassavas>
17 18 19	heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the field, building the embankment, growing <cassavas>. I heard people say the wives and children and also husbands had to be</cassavas>
17 18 19 20	heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the field, building the embankment, growing <cassavas>. I heard people say the wives and children and also husbands had to be transported to live elsewhere, and I do not know whether they</cassavas>
17 18 19 20 21	heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the field, building the embankment, growing <cassavas>. I heard people say the wives and children and also husbands had to be transported to live elsewhere, and I do not know whether they were taken away to be killed.</cassavas>
17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>heading to, I mean the destination. I was there working in the field, building the embankment, growing <cassavas>. I heard people say the wives and children and also husbands had to be transported to live elsewhere, and I do not know whether they were taken away to be killed. Q. And is it correct that this happened in the period before you</cassavas></pre>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

86

1 It> was in <either early '76 or mid-76>.

2 Q. The translation says that it was in 2076 but I assume that you 3 are referring to 1976; is that correct?

4 [15.13.40]

A. Yes, it was in 1976, I confused, <since it is now in 2015,> I
may have said wrongly. It was in <either> mid-1976 or <early>
1976.

Q. Okay, thank you. So, I want to direct your attention back now to your time at the dam worksite and I want to ask you about a location called Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic), if I'm pronouncing that correctly. Can you tell us what that place was and what it was used for during your time at the worksite?

13 A. Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic) was the <paddy> field and the

14 agriculture was done at that place in Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic). < It

15 was located just beyond the reservoir>. Corpses of members from

16 mobile units were buried in that Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic). All

17 corpses were not buried in one major or big pit. Those bodies

18 were buried this and there <individually>.

19 Q. So how far was Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic) from the place where you 20 normally worked on a daily basis, how many meters or whatever 21 away was it?

A. Regarding Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic), it was close to the base of
Trapeang Thma Dam worksite. The reservoir on one side and close
to it was Veal Ta Kuy (phonetic).

25 [15.15.49]

87

1	Q. And you've mentioned that corpses of mobile unit workers were
2	buried there. How do you know that, how do you know that corpses
3	were buried there, did you see it, did someone tell you, did you
4	learn about it in some other way?
5	A. I was going to relieve myself at the time<, I saw the cracking
б	pits> and I smelt the odour <coming and="" cracking="" from="" pits="" so<="" th="" the=""></coming>
7	I concluded that people had been buried there>.
8	Q. And so did this happen on only one occasion that you went to
9	relieve yourself and smelt the smell or did this happen on a
10	regular basis?
11	[15.16.49]
12	A. It happened only once. I <then never=""> went to relieve myself</then>
13	<> there <again>. And after that time, I <> went <to relieve<="" th=""></to></again>
14	myself at the place where my platoon dug the soil>. And as I
15	mentioned during that time, there were only improvised latrine,
16	the pit that we dug deep perhaps one meter deep with two sticks
17	on top and we could squat and relieve ourselves. <from i<="" on,="" th="" then=""></from>
18	never went there again. I just relieved myself at a new place
19	where we dug our own pit. I was afraid to go there again since I
20	myself could also end up in the field if they happened to know
21	what I had done in the previous regime.>
22	Q. Did you ever personally witness anyone being killed at Veal Ta
23	Kuy (phonetic) or elsewhere?
24	A. No, I <did not="">.</did>
25	Q. Okay,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

88

1	thank you. Sir, I'd like to ask you a little bit about arrests
2	in your unit. Are you aware of any workers ever being arrested or
3	disappearing for any reason?
4	A. No. No one disappeared from my unit, but some of them fled
5	<from> the unit and went back to their homes in <their< th=""></their<></from>
б	respective> cooperatives.
7	Q. And what about outside your platoon, are you aware of any
8	workers outside your platoon being arrested?
9	A. <i did="" happened="" know="" not="" others="" to="" what="">. I minded only what</i>
10	happened within my unit. We <slept> in different <quarters; so=""> I</quarters;></slept>
11	do not know <> whether there were arrests in <other> units.</other>
12	[15.19.05]
13	Q. I'd like to read to you another passage from your interview
14	with the DC-Cam, the documentation centre and the cite for this
15	is English, 00726119; Khmer, 00057739; and French, 00743249. And
16	you were being asked generally about arrests and disappearances.
17	The question to you was, "Had people been notified before they
18	were taken?" And this was your answer: "Even people who had
19	worked next to each other did not know. Only after they had been
20	taken, did we know it. For example, you were told that you've
21	being transferred to work in another squad, and you respond let's
22	do it in the morning, they would not agree. They would order you
23	to go right away at that night. They asked for the person's
24	clothes, and immediately after the person had left the hall, he
25	or she was arrested." So in that answer there are number of

1

89

1	details. It's based on a fuse of a transfer to a new unit, they
2	ask for the person's clothes, they talk about leaving the hall;
3	does any of that refresh your memory about something that you may
4	have seen or heard about at the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite?
5	[15.20.56]
6	A. Regarding the disappearances, members were removed from one
7	particular unit and placed in another unit. For example, <comrade< td=""></comrade<>
8	Bo (phonetic), my battalion chief, > was removed and reassigned to
9	live in another unit. <however, after="" he="" his="" just<="" td="" transfer,=""></however,>
10	disappeared completely.> And from my assumption, perhaps he may
11	have died because I have never seen him <again>.</again>
12	Q. Did you ever see him at any time after he was supposedly
13	transferred to this new unit?
14	A. No, I have never seen him <again> after that time. <he just<="" td=""></he></again>
15	disappeared completely.> I do not know where he went. <and< td=""></and<>
16	immediately after that,> the Southwest Zone cadres came to
17	replace the previous cadres, and I could assume that he
18	disappeared.
19	Q. And either of those other details from this DC-Cam statement,
20	does that apply to what happened to Mam, did he leave the hall,
21	was he asked to leave his clothes behind?
22	A. <he behind.="" clothes="" did="" he="" his="" in="" leave="" not="" put="" td="" the<="" things=""></he>
23	bag, and left. He was bringing along the bag as if he was moving
24	to a new location. He was not required to take off his clothes.
25	He was requested to leave comfortably. But I do not know what

details. It's based on a ruse of a transfer to a new unit, they

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

90

- 1 happened to him after he had left>.
- 2 [15.22.59]
- 3 Q. And did he go by himself or was he taken away by some people
- 4 for this new assignment?

A. He went by himself at that time. He told me that he <had been 5 removed, and relocated elsewhere> and he left
 himself with б 7 his backpack. When he was leaving, I was also going out to work; 8 so I do not know what happened to him, but he just> disappeared. 9 Q. Okay. I want to ask you just one more thing about your DC-Cam 10 interview and this follows immediately after the portion I just 11 read to you. You were asked were the ones who came to take people 12 were civilians or soldiers and you said, "Generally speaking, 13 they had black uniform and carried rifles. This was the uniform of their soldiers." Does that refresh your memory about people 14 15 with black uniforms and rifles ever taking people away? 16 A. I have never seen these people.

17 [15.24.26]

18 MR. FARR:

19 Thank you, Mr. Witness. Mr. President, no further questions.

20 MR. PRESIDENT:

21 Thank you <>. The floor is now given to the defence teams for the 22 Accused. First begin by the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea, you 23 may now proceed.

24 QUESITONING BY MR. KOPPE:

25 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr.

91

1	Witness. I would like to ask you first a question about the
2	period before 1975. You said that you joined the Lon Nol military
3	in 1972 and that you, at one point in time, became a corporal;
4	did I understand that correctly?
5	MR. TAK BOY:
б	A. Before 1975 in 1972, I may say, I was <> not a corporal but
7	 private.
8	Q. In the English translation, I heard maybe I heard it
9	wrongly sergeant or did you say something else.
10	[15.26.26]
11	A. I was a private at that time. I did not hold any rank of
12	corporal.
13	Q. And what were your duties as a private in the Lon Nol army,
14	what was it that you did, were you involved in active combat
15	against the Khmer Rouge?
16	A. I have told <the court=""> already, I was a private. I</the>
17	participated in the battlefield two or three times at the
18	district <of phnum="" srok="">.</of>
19	Q. Sorry, I didn't hear the answer but now I've been briefed by
20	my colleague. Thank you. So did I understand correctly that you
21	were involved in active combat, that you were actually fighting
22	Khmer Rouge soldiers, you were firing arms, etc.?
23	A. Yes, I was in active combat.
24	[15.28.27]
25	Q. Did you, during that active combat, kill Khmer Rouge soldiers?

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim

interpretation in the relay and target languages.

19 August 2015

92

1	A. I did not know at that time whether the bullet hit the
2	opposing party and I do not know whether the bullets that I shot
3	hit the target. <we a="" distance<="" each="" firing="" from="" other="" td="" were=""></we>
4	between 200 and 300 metres.>
5	Q. Did I understand you correctly that you were stationed in
6	Phnum Srok district? And if yes, was that during your whole time
7	as a Lon Nol soldier?
8	A. Yes, that is true.
9	Q. When you were a soldier between '72 and 1975, have you ever
10	witnessed captured Khmer Rouge soldiers being decapitated
11	their heads being decapitated?
12	A. No, I have never seen such incident.
13	Q. In your biography that you were obliged to make after 17 April
14	1975, did you indicate that you had held a small position within
15	the Lon Nol army?
16	A. I filled in the biography that I was a former Lon Nol soldier,
17	but I <lied army="" i="" in="" not="" that="" the="" them="" to="" was=""> for a long period</lied>
18	of time. <as 1972="" a="" actually="" and<="" between="" i="" mentioned,="" soldier="" td="" was=""></as>
19	after 17 April, but I was lying to them.> And <at> the beginning,</at>
20	I was a guard and then I became a private. After 17 of April
21	1975, I was <trying conceal="" to=""> my biography <by i<="" saying="" td="" that=""></by></trying>
22	was a soldier only> for a short period of time <>.
23	[15.31.47]
24	Q. Let me read to you what you have stated to DC-Cam, Mr.
25	Witness, and then I will ask your reaction; that is on English

ERN, 00726111; French, 00743240; and Khmer, 00057730. You stated 1 2 as follows: "At that time, I wrote that I also had joined the army but I held a small position. Under his supervision a chief 3 asked me. I told him that I was a soldier for three months. A new 4 5 chief came and he started screening my background again. I wrote him that I was a soldier for one month prior to peace. When б 7 another new chief came I wrote that I had been in a village 8 self-defence unit." Now does this refresh your memory? Is this 9 what you wrote in your various biographies after 17 April 1975? 10 A. Yes, I wrote <that. As> I told you, I was a soldier for 11 <three> months. And thereafter the first chief was replaced by 12 another, <I wrote that I had been part of a commune self-defence 13 unit. And after the chief disappeared, I became an ordinary 14 villager. I agree to what you said>. 15 [15.34.03]Q. But if I understood correctly, earlier this afternoon you said 16 17 that, "if it was found out, Lon Nol soldiers would be killed." 18 But you yourself, although having minimised it, but you yourself 19 had written in biographies that you were, that you had been in 20 fact a Lon Nol soldier. So can you explain that to me? Why was it 21 that you apparently weren't killed because you were a Lon Nol 22 soldier? 23 A. <Yes, > I was not executed because I was under the 24 administration of the people from the Northwest Zone and since I

25 was a soldier only <for> a short period<. And I told them that my

94

situation was like the oxen in the yoke, like or not, I had to 1 2 move forwards when I was beaten; and that> I did not know <what 3 was going on>. And after the people of the Southwest Zone arrived I became an ordinary citizen <in order to conceal my background>. 4 5 Q. I'm not quite sure if I understand correctly. You did say that you had been in the Lon Nol army, albeit for a brief period. б 7 Apparently that was known. And apparently you were unharmed despite that knowledge. Is that how I have to understand it? 8 9 [15.36.14]10 A. As I said initially, during that period, I was under the 11 supervision of people of the Northwest Zone<; particularly, they 12 were the people from my area, village or commune who were in 13 charge. As I said if> I hadn't concealed my biography, some <accidents> or something unfortunate would have happened to me. 14 15 Following the arrival of the people of the Southwest Zone I 16 concealed my identity and my biography. 17 Q. Very well, Mr. Witness. I will now move on to another subject, 18 that is Ta Val. You testified earlier that he was a cruel and 19 frightening man. You are not the only witness who uses such 20 qualifications. Do you remember being relieved that he was arrested sometime in June '77? 21 22 [15.37.52]23 A. No, I was not relieved. Because after <Ta Val> was arrested, 24 people from the Southwest Zone came to power. So I was still in

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

an atmosphere or climate of fear. I was afraid of being killed

95

1	because I didn't know when my turn would come. So all I did was
2	to leave no stone unturned to work, and I worked with devotion
3	because I had been a soldier of the Lon Nol army <backed by="" td="" the<=""></backed>
4	US> in the past. <i id="" military="" my="" remember="" still="" td="" the<="" to=""></i>
5	present.>
б	Q. In your statement to DC-Cam, Mr. Witness, English, 00726114;
7	French, 00743244; and Khmer, 00057734; you stated as follows:
8	"Those members of companies with close link to Ta Val and his
9	direct subordinates disappeared. However, those company chiefs
10	who were not close Ta Val survived." Can you expand a bit on this
11	answer? Why was it that people who were close to Ta Val were
12	arrested and the other ones were left unharmed?
13	A. In my prior statement, I <said> that when Ta Val was arrested</said>
14	those close to him were also arrested. And those who were not
15	those who were close to Ta Val fled, some of them fled to avoid
16	being arrested.
17	Q. But were you yourself close to Ta Val?
18	A. No. I was very far removed from Ta Val; I was not close to him
19	at all.
20	[15.41.06]
21	Q. So then would it then be fair to say for me that considering
22	your own answer, you yourself didn't fear arrest because you
23	weren't close to Ta Val?
24	A. I was not close to Ta Val. Those who were close to Ta Val were
25	arrested, that is those that associated with him, those in his

96

1	entourage, who ate with him, whereas I wasn't that close to him
2	so I didn't have to be afraid of arrest. As a matter of fact, I
3	was rather afraid of my past as a former Lon Nol soldier.
4	Q. I understand, Mr. Witness. How did you come to observe that
5	those close to Ta Val were arrested? Did you see that with your
б	own eyes or did you hear that? How did you acquire the knowledge
7	that people close to Ta Val were arrested?
8	A. <those> subordinates <who> went back and forth <to report="" ta<="" th=""></to></who></those>
9	Val were also arrested> when Ta Val was arrested<. Some of them
10	managed to flee>. They left their <respective mobile="" units=""> and</respective>
11	just vanished. <this assumption.="" is="" my="" only=""></this>
12	Q. And do you remember who these people were?
13	[15.43.40]
14	A. <since it=""> happened a long time ago<, I no longer recall it>.</since>
15	The <clique comrade="" of=""> Sreh also fled, I do not know whether</clique>
16	they are still alive or not. So it is difficult for me to give
17	you any precise details on that subject.
18	Q. Did these close links to Ta Val or his direct subordinates
19	flee to Kaun Khlaeng Mountain?
20	A. I am not sure of that. I do not know where they fled to. Those
21	subordinates following Ta Val's arrest fled. They were his
22	right-hand men and I am unable to tell you exactly where they
23	fled to.
24	Q. Now have you heard at a time or maybe later what the reason
25	was that Ta Val and his close or his direct subordinates were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

97 arrested? Why was it that they were arrested? A. To tell you the truth, I learnt about it from the intermediate of the battalion chief. When Ta Val was arrested<, some people who were close to him> fled. So I heard about it from the battalion chief. Q. Was Ta Val arrested or were his subordinates arrested because they had committed a crime or they had done something wrong? Do you know? A. I have no idea of that. Ta Val's close <associates> were arrested. Q. There is a unit leader in the mobile unit who has testified to investigators and has said that Ta Val was ultimately killed because Ta Val himself was also a killer. Is that something that you have heard? [15.47.39]A. I do not know why he was arrested. All I know is that he was arrested. He was indeed <a chief> executioner because he issued orders to his immediate subordinates. So I am unable to tell you the reasons why he was arrested <, how he was arrested or how he was killed>. Q. Just for completeness' sake, Mr. President, I was referring to a statement of a witness in E3/7805, English ERN, 00277815; Khmer, 00267743; and French, 00315174. MR. FARR:

25 And Your Honour, I just -- in light of Counsel's comment, I would

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

25

98

1 just make one comment for the record as well, that same statement 2 at English ERN, 00277817; Khmer, 00267746; French, 00315177; says 3 that Ta Yun who came from the Southwest arrested and killed many people. And Ta Yun was Ta Val's replacement. For the completeness 4 of the record, Ta Val the killer, was replaced by someone this 5 witness also describes as a killer. б 7 [15.49.34] QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE: 8 9 I think the Prosecution is now arguing. I was referring 10 specifically to Ta Val, and I was speaking about Ta Val. Whether 11 his replacement was involved in something else is, I don't think, 12 at this stage relevant. It says here clearly he was killed during 13 the Khmer Rouge era because he was also a killer. Q. Anyway, Mr. Witness, have you ever heard of Ta Val and his 14 15 subordinates being involved in starting an armed rebellion 16 against the Khmer Rouge? MR. TAK BOY: 17 18 A. I am not aware of that. I do not know whether there was a 19 rebellion. All I know is that Ta Val was arrested and that 20 subsequently <Ta> Yun came to take over from him to control and 21 supervise the work on the Trapeang Thma Dam worksite. 22 [15.51.04]23 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. Now earlier this afternoon you were 24 testifying as to targeting of people of Chinese ethnicity. You

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

also referred to this in your DC-Cam statement. But can you be a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

99

1	little more specific? How do you know that people who had a
2	Chinese background were arrested? And where did this happen and
3	when? How did you find that out?
4	A. People who <had been="" evacuated="" from="" penh="" phnom=""> were relocated</had>
5	to that region, there was a search for people of Chinese and
б	Vietnamese origin. And I said a while ago that only the husbands
7	were arrested and they were sent to work somewhere else, and
8	ultimately they also led away women and children. <so all="" of="" td="" them<=""></so>
9	were eventually taken away by trucks>. That's it, I cannot tell
10	you any more than that.
11	[15.52.47]
12	Q. Let's leave the Vietnamese descent of people who were arrested
13	aside. Let's just focus on what you said about people with a
14	Chinese background. In your DC-Cam statement, 00726113, in
15	English; and in French, 00743242; and Khmer, 00057732; you said
16	and I quote you as follows: "A person would not be spared of his
17	or her life when they knew that he or she had Chinese or
18	Vietnamese blood. When she was young my wife had a fair
19	complexion and she was alleged a Sino-Khmer. She was not a
20	Sino-Khmer, but her father was from Takeo, or maybe he had
21	Chinese lineage." Can you expand on this targeting of people with
22	Chinese lineage? Where did you hear this? What did you
23	experience? Can you give me any explanation?
24	A. That was in 1978. I was <a and="" get<="" i="" requested="" td="" to="" youth="">

25 married>. At the time, the term "proposed" was used or rather

100

asking for a person's hand in marriage. < The term is equivalent 1 2 to the current term of "getting engaged". My battalion chief, Ta 3 Nin (phonetic) who> was a soldier <from> the Southwest Zone, and 4 when Ta Nin (phonetic) was withdrawn, he was in charge of the 5 fisheries section where my wife was working. And he said -- I asked for my wife's hand in marriage. My wife was very fair in б 7 complexion and it was said that she was of Chinese origin. <I 8 then told Ta Nin that> she was not really of Chinese origin<, but she was from the same village as mine. I told him that if he 9 10 wanted to> investigate further <he> would find that that is the case and <he would> be able to ascertain her real <Khmer> 11 12 origins. That is why I said that people of Chinese origin were 13 targeted.

14 [15.56.04]

Q. If I understand it correctly, you are using an example of what 15 16 might have happened in an encounter with your wife. However, in 17 your statement you said, at the same page that I just mentioned, 18 "truckloads of alleged Chinese were taken to be smashed". What 19 made you say that? What is the source of your knowledge that 20 "truckloads of alleged Chinese were taken to be smashed"? 21 A. I <said> so because once they were put on board the trucks and 22 led away, those persons never returned. And so I inferred from 23 that they had been led away to be executed or imprisoned. That 24 was an assumption on my part.

25 MR. PRESIDENT:

101

1	I have informed you of your duty, your obligation to tell the
2	truth and nothing but the truth. You are supposed to answer <from< td=""></from<>
3	what you have observed, seen, heard, and experienced> in respect
4	of facts stated in the questions. You do not have the right to
5	make assumptions. We do not need your assumptions <as are<="" td="" they=""></as>
б	not helpful to ascertain the truth>. So let me point this out to
7	you. If you do not know the answer to a question, just say that
8	you do not know the answer and limit yourself only to what you
9	were aware of.
10	[15.58.06]
11	BY MR. KOPPE:
12	Thank you, Mr. President. Maybe one last question on this
13	subject.
14	Q. Mr. Witness, I am asking you these questions because I don't
15	think I have read anywhere or heard anywhere that ethnic Chinese,
16	truckloads full of them were taken to be smashed. Is this
17	something that you might have made up?
18	MR. TAK BOY:
19	A. No, that is not a figment of my imagination. I prefer to say,
20	as the President has pointed out a while ago, all I can say is
21	that I saw these people put on board trucks and led somewhere
22	else, and I can't tell you any more than that. <this is="" td="" the<=""></this>
23	shortest and most comprehensible I can tell you.>
24	Q. Then maybe my very last question. How did you know that these
25	people in the trucks were Chinese?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 314 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 19 August 2015

102

- 1 [15.59.19]
- 2 MR. FARR:

Your Honour, just for the clarity of the record, it's a bit unnatural. The sentence in the statement is that they were Chinese and Vietnamese. Repeatedly asking the witness only about one half of the statement -- sentence, missing a word in the middle, I think is likely to cause confusion.

8 MR. KOPPE:

9 That's not correct, Your Honour, because he is talking about

10 Chinese people all the time, he is talking about his wife, he is

11 talking about truckloads of Chinese. A little further down--

- 12 [15.]
- 13 MR. FARR:

Your Honour, the statement is "truckloads of alleged Chinese and Vietnamese persons were taken to be smashed". The word Vietnamese occurs immediately after Chinese. That's just not accurate.

17 BY MR. KOPPE:

18 Yes, but I will be asking about the Vietnamese. Now I am

19 interested in the Chinese part of that apparent truckload. I

20 think I can make that distinction.

21 Q. So, Mr. Witness, the people that you saw -- you said you saw

22 on that truck, who according to you were Chinese, how did you

23 know that these people were Chinese?

24 MR. TAK BOY:

25 A. I learnt of this from my neighbours who told me that people of

103

1	Chinese origin had to be grouped together. <the be<="" could="" search="" th=""></the>
2	conducted by the> village militia<, the cooperative chief, or the
3	village chief regarding the round-up of the people with Chinese
4	origin. I did not know how and what criteria they used in this
5	regard. I just saw> women and children <> prepare their
б	<belongings and=""> bags to board these trucks, and I don't know</belongings>
7	where they went <exactly>.</exactly>
8	[16.01.21]
9	MR. PRESIDENT:
10	Thank you, Mr. Koppe. Thank you, Mr. Witness. It is now time for
11	the adjournment. The Chamber will adjourn the hearing from now on
12	and it will resume tomorrow the 20th of August 2015, at 9 a.m.
13	And it will continue to hear witness, Tak Boy, and then we will
14	start to hear 2-TCW-841. Please be informed.
15	Thank you, Mr. Tak Boy. The hearing of your testimony as a
16	witness has not come to a conclusion yet. You are therefore
17	invited to be here once again tomorrow at 9 a.m. You may now be
18	excused.
19	Court officers with WESU unit, please send Tak Boy back to the
20	place where he is staying at the moment and please invite him
21	back into the witness stand tomorrow at 9 a.m.
22	Security personnel are instructed to bring the two Accused, Nuon
23	Chea and Khieu Samphan back to the ECCC detention facility and
24	have them returned back into the courtroom before 9 a.m. The
25	Court is now adjourned.

104

1	(Court	adjourns	at	1602H)			
2							
3							
4							
5							
б							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							