

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

หอริร์ซุรโละยวยวรูล์อ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

ព្រះពបាណាចត្រកម្ពុ បា បាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ឯភាសាអះវីម ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃ ខ ឆ្នាំ (Date): ^{04-Apr-2017, 14:57} CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

<u>TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

8 September 2015 Trial Day 324

Before the Judges:

NIL Nonn, Presiding Jean-Marc LAVERGNE Claudia FENZ YA Sokhan YOU Ottara Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) THOU Mony (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: EM Hoy Roger PHILLIPS

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL Dale LYSAK SENG Leang

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun

The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

Victor KOPPE LIV Sovanna SON Arun Anta GUISSE KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties: Marie GUIRAUD LOR Chunthy PICH Ang TY Srinna VEN Pov

INDEX

Mr. IT Sen (2-TCW-813)

Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 2
Questioning by Ms. GUISSE	page 44
Questioning by Mr. KONG Sam On	page 57

Mr. SOS Min (2-TCCP-244)

Questioning by The President (NIL Nonn)	page 67
Questioning by Mr. LOR Chunthy	page 68
Questioning by Ms GUIRAUD	page 84
Questioning by Mr. SENG Leang	page 97
Questioning by Mr. LYSAK	page 103

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Judge FENZ	English
The GREFFIER	Khmer
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Ms. GUISSE	French
Mr. IT Seng (2-TCW-813)	Khmer
Mr. KONG Sam Onn	Khmer
Mr. Koppe	English
Judge LAVERGNE	French
Mr. LOR Chunthy	Khmer
Mr. LYSAK	English
The President (NIL Nonn)	Khmer
Mr. PICH Ang	Khmer
Mr. SENG Leang	Khmer
Mr. SOS Min (2-TCCP-244)	Khmer
Ms. TY Srinna	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0902H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.

5 Today the Chamber continues to hear the testimony of the witness

- 6 It Sen, and commencing hearing testimony of civil party
- 7 2-TCCP-244. Mr. Em Hoy, please report the attendance of the
- 8 Parties and other individuals at today's proceedings.
- 9 THE GREFFIER:
- Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all Parties to this case are present. Mr. Nuon Chea is present in the holding cell downstairs; he has waived his right to be present in the courtroom. The waiver has been delivered to the greffier. A witness who is to conclude his testimony today, namely Mr. It Sen, is present in the courtroom. We also have a reserve civil party, namely 2-TCCP-244. Thank you.
- 17 [09.04.20]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:

19 Thank you. The Chamber now decides on the request by Nuon Chea.
20 The Chamber has received a waiver from Nuon Chea dated 8th
21 September 2015, which notes that due to his health, headache,
22 back pain, he cannot sit or concentrate for long, and in order to
23 effectively participate in future hearings, he requests to waive
24 his right to participate in and be present at the 8th September
25 2015 hearing. Having seen the medical report of Nuon Chea by the

2

1	duty doctor for the Accused at the ECCC, dated 8th September
2	2015, who notes that Nuon Chea today has back pain when he sits
3	for long and recommends that the Chamber grant him his request so
4	that he can follow the proceedings remotely from the holding cell
5	downstairs.
б	Based on the above information and pursuant to Rule 81.5 of the
7	ECCC Internal Rules, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea his request to
8	follow today's proceedings remotely from the holding cell
9	downstairs via audio-visual means.
10	The Chamber instructs the AV unit personnel to link the
11	proceedings to the room downstairs so that he can follow the
12	proceedings. This applies to the whole day.
13	The Chamber now hands the floor to the defence teams to put the
14	questions to this witness. First the floor is given to the
15	Co-Counsel for Nuon Chea. You may proceed.
16	[09.06.14]
17	QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
18	Thank you, Mr. President, good morning. Good morning, Your
19	Honours, good morning counsel. Good morning, Mr. Witness, I would
20	like to ask you some questions this morning.
21	Q. First I would like to ask you a question about your family.
22	Before the Khmer Rouge took over power in your village and in
23	your district, did you have family living in Kampong Chhnang,
24	Pursat, Takeo or Kampot?
_	

25 MR. IT SEN:

3

1	A. No, I <> only <lived> in Ampil <village> in Peus <muoy></muoy></village></lived>
2	commune at the time.
3	Q. Did you know at the time anything about Cham communities in
4	those areas before the Khmer Rouge came?
5	A. <i just="" knew=""> that the situation on the ground was normal</i>
б	before the arrival of the Khmer Rouge group.
7	[09.07.55]
8	Q. But my question was before they came did you know anybody from
9	Cham communities in those areas?
10	A. Yes, there were many people living in Ampil village at the
11	time. Every house there <were actually=""> many <houses> in the</houses></were>
12	village.
13	Q. I think something went wrong with the translation, possibly.
14	My question was: did you know anybody living in Kampong Chhnang,
15	Pursat, Takeo or Kampot, any Cham living there?
16	A. I <knew that=""> there were many Chams living in Kampong Chhnang,</knew>
17	Kampot and Battambang but I never went to these provinces.
18	Q. Very well, thank you. Mr. Witness, I'm not entirely clear as
19	to which year you said the Khmer Rouge took over, was it in 1971
20	or 1973?
21	A. It started in 1973. In fact I was still <going to=""> school in</going>
22	1972 but the school was closed down in 1973. <in 1973,="" td="" the<=""></in>
23	situation became intense; thus, both the Khmer and the Cham were
24	not allowed to go to school anymore, and> schools <> were closed
25	down.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

1

2

called Sos Man (phonetic)?

4

3 [09.10.24]A. No, I <didn't> know anyone by the name of Sos Man (phonetic). 4 5 Are you referring to Sos Man (phonetic) or Sos Mat (phonetic)? <I б did not know the person.> 7 Q. I'm referring to someone who I think was the father of a 8 person called Mat Ly. 9 A. I know Mat Ly. He <worked in a unit.> 10 Q. Let me start with the father of Mat Ly, do you know who the 11 father of Mat Ly was? 12 A. Mat Ly <was also known as Tok Man (phonetic) who> worked in <a> unit in the East Zone<. The man was rather known as Tok Man 13 14 (phonetic)>. 15 Q. I will ask my questions maybe a little bit simpler. Do you 16 remember the father of Mat Ly? 17 A. No, I don't. He did not live in Ampil village so he might have 18 lived in another village. 19 Q. Do you know what the role was of Mat Ly in the Khmer Rouge 20 movement? 21 A. <By 1973, > Mat Ly was in charge of the army with Tok <Man 22 (phonetic) > and these two were usually together although I myself 23 did not know his real position. But I usually saw Mat Ly together 24 with Tok <Man (phonetic) accompanied by soldiers>.

Q. From that period and the years before, did you know a man

25 [09.13.03]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

5

1	Q. Do you remember whether Mat Ly, at the time, was encouraging
2	people from Kampong Cham to join the revolution?
3	A. Yes, that is correct. He <encouraged> the people to <join> the</join></encouraged>
4	revolution.
5	Q. And is it correct that Mat Ly was a Cham himself?
6	A. Yes, he was a Cham person.
7	Q. Yesterday Mr. Witness, you spoke about measures taken by the
8	Khmer Rouge as of 1973, you talked about the Cham people not
9	being allowed to have prayers, women's hair were cut, you weren't
10	allowed to speak the Cham language, you were in fact forbidden to
11	practice religion. Do you recall whether Mat Ly was somehow
12	involved in taking these measures?
13	A. <to my="" understanding="">, he did not talk about that. <he talked<="" td=""></he></to>
14	about many things, but I did not hear him talk> about the
15	<restrictions of="" on="" practice="" the=""> religion<; however, he worked</restrictions>
16	together with others>. Personally I did not hear him say anything
17	regarding the <restrictions on=""> the Islamic religion. <actually,< td=""></actually,<></restrictions>
18	it was someone else who held different positions talked about the
19	restrictions. He himself did not mention it.>
20	Q. Who was it then that ordered these measures?

21 [09.15.34]

A. The order came <down> from the upper level to the village chief and to the group chief. <The order actually came down> from the Angkar above <to> the village chief and the group chief <>to <impose restrictions on the practice of> religion.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

б

- 1 Q. Wasn't Mat Ly himself in fact upper level?
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Witness, please hold on and the <International> Deputy
- 4 Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
- 5 MR. LYSAK:
- 6 Thank you, Mr. President. I think Counsel should ask more
- 7 specific questions about his position, we know from his interview
- 8 he was a member of the district committee, some people might
- 9 interpret that as upper level some might not so I think Counsel
- 10 should be more specific in his question.
- 11 [09.16.38]
- 12 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 13 I think we're always speaking, Mr. President, about upper level
- 14 here without ever feeling the need to be specific, however I will
- 15 rephrase my question.
- 16 Q. Do you know the position of Mat Ly, Mr. Witness?
- 17 MR. IT SEN:

A. I do not know his actual position. However he was in charge of the East Zone along with Tok <Man> (phonetic) and these <> two <individuals> were always <accompanied by soldiers>. And he rarely came to Ampil village, he usually <worked in the areas where rice was grown in the districts of> Dambae, Tboung Khmum<, and Stueng. Only once in a while did he come> to stay in Ampil village.

25 Q. Have you heard at a later stage maybe that Mat Ly was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

7

appointed in 1976 as a member of the Standing Committee of the
 National Assembly?

3 A. Yes, I <have>. I <knew> that he was a member of the National 4 Assembly <for having joined the revolution>. <He had struggled.> 5 [09.18.31]

6 Q. Let me move on. Mr. Witness yesterday you spoke about 7 demonstrations in 1973 or 1974 because of the measures that were 8 taken against your religion, is it correct that after these 9 demonstrations you were arrested and detained at Krouch Chhmar 10 security centre?

A. Yes, that is correct. I was in danger at the time. My cow became sick after the <rebellion> so I slaughtered the <cow;> and as a result, I was arrested and <accused of having slaughtered the cow in order to sell the beef. I was then brought to be> detained at a prison in Krouch Chhmar district. I was accused of <having slaughtered> a <healthy> cow<, not a sick cow>.

17 Q. How were you treated at Krouch Chhmar security centre, were

18 you beaten by prison personnel for instance?

A. They did not beat me up or anything but they had to carry out the investigation first <whether or not I had slaughtered a sick cow. And> if that was true, I would be detained for <the rest of my life>. <However,> I saw many inmates were <being mistreated, and I did not dare to watch that. Those prisoners were handcuffed and beaten> during their interrogations at <a> building behind the detention house<. As for me, I> was not yet <beaten as they

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

8

- 1 had to find out the truth first>.
- 2 [09.20.33]

Q. Do you know whether the Krouch Chhmar security centre was part of the district of Krouch Chhmar or whether it was part of the sector to which Krouch Chhmar belonged?

A. It <actually> belonged to the Krouch Chhmar district <office>.
It was a rather large house, it was a storage house and just on
the ground floor there were four levels where prisoners were
detained and both floors were fully crowded with inmates. <I</p>
could hear prisoners groan every day and night on the first
floor, and the floor was full of prisoners. I had no idea of what
offenses those prisoners had committed.>

Q. Do you recall to which sector Krouch Chhmar belonged, what was the number of the sector to which this district that you lived in belonged?

16 A. Krouch Chhmar district extended from Kampong Treas to Roka 17 Khnaor. That was the area under the Krouch Chhmar district and if 18 you <made a> mistake, you would be arrested from these areas and 19 detained at the Krouch Chhmar detention centre.

20 Q. Let me assist you because I don't have that much time, Mr.

21 Witness. Was Krouch Chhmar district part of region, sector rather

22 21?

23 [09.22.46]

24 A. Yes, I think so.

25 Q. Do you know who after the liberation in April 1975, was the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

	9
1	chief of Sector 21?
2	A. No, I don't. I <didn't> know who was the chief at the time.</didn't>
3	Q. Does the name Chhan (phonetic) ring a bell?
4	A. No, I cannot recall that name. I didn't know where he lived or
5	where he worked.
б	Q. Do you know who was in charge in Sector 21 of economics,
7	administration, education and organisation?
8	A. It was comrade Seng who was present there and besides
9	<comrade> Seng I <did> not know who else was in charge of these</did></comrade>
10	sections.
11	[09.24.29]
12	Q. Maybe I will assist you a bit, Mr. Witness. Is it have you
13	ever heard of a name, somebody who was in charge as of these
14	functions in July 1975, someone with the name Ouk Bunchhoeun.
15	A. I do not know Ouk Bunchhoeun. <i did="" he="" know="" not="" td="" was<="" where=""></i>
16	from.>
17	Q. Have you heard of somebody with that name in the present day
18	context, somebody who is a senator, Ouk Bunchhoeun?
19	A. No, Counsel, no I <haven't>.</haven't>
20	Q. Let me go back to the village level, Kob Sath, who was he?
21	A. Kob Sath was a village chief at the time that is, during
22	<whose and="" arrested="" evacuated="" many="" people="" term="" were="">. He was</whose>
23	there as a village chief. He was the one that ordered us to eat
24	pork; we understood that he received such an order from the upper
25	level. <out also="" ate="" fear,="" he="" himself="" of="" pork.="" the=""></out>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia

Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

8 September 2015

10

1 Q. Was Kob Sath a Cham himself?

A. Yes, he was also a Cham person <like myself> but he actually was afraid of the upper level<, and he himself also ate the pork;> and to my knowledge <several days later> he was arrested and killed <by the Khmer Rouge. He had held the position for several months before he was killed.>

Q. Have you ever heard of someone with the name of Meng Hun? [09.27.14]

A. Meng Hun <was from Preaek Krouch, and he was a security 9 10 guard>. However to my understanding, <about several months after 11 he had held the position, > he was arrested and put into a sack 12 and thrown into a truck and drove away<, and killed. At my place, he was being referred to as Meng, I had no idea of his family 13 14 name. Meng, we knew, was a security guard for Peus commune. He 15 was also engaged in the arrests of people, and taking them away 16 to be killed>.

17 Q. Have you ever heard of a man named Leskasen?

18 A. The name <of> Leskasen does not ring a bell; did he live in 19 Ampil village or elsewhere? <I did not know this individual.> 20 Q. That's a good question. Mr. Witness, I wanted to ask you that 21 question. Let me now ask you a general question about the period 22 '74 - '75. Do you know whether at that time a Cham movement 23 existed, a movement, which had as its intention, to create a 24 state within the state, a Cham state, do you know anything about 25 this?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

11

- 1 A. No, I did not hear anything about that or any of such
- 2 rebellious nature.
- 3 [09.29.17]
- 4 Q. FULRO Champa, does that ring a bell, I'm not quite sure its5 translated properly. Yes it is, FULRO Champa?
- 6 A. Nobody said anything about that. We the Cham people were
- 7 afraid of saying anything about this because if we were to say it
- 8 and it was heard, we would be arrested and taken away.
- 9 Q. Did you know at the time anybody who was active in a movement
- 10 to create a Champa state within Cambodia?
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Judge Lavergne, you have the floor first.
- 13 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 14 Yes, Counsel Koppe, for the record, could you please spell out
- 15 the name of the movement you are speaking about. I heard FULRO
- 16 Champa but I'm not sure that I understood precisely what it's
- 17 about.
- 18 MR. KOPPE:
- 19 FULRO Champa.
- 20 [09.30.46]
- 21 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 22 Unless I'm mistaken, I believe the FULRO was something in
- 23 relation with oppressed races, the United Front <for the
- 24 Liberation of> Oppressed Races, is that what you're referring to?
- 25 MR. KOPPE:

12

1	I am happy to guide you where I have it from. E3/387, document
2	E3/387, it's a statement of Ouk Bunchhoeun in English, ERN
3	00350206; French, 00441419; and Khmer, 00379487; this movement, I
4	will quote: "[…] intended to create a state within the state
5	because the Cham Muslims wished to occupy Cambodian territory on
б	the eastern bank of the Mekong river to central Anam to create a
7	state. This was according to their confessions. They had an
8	organisation FULRO Champa under the leadership of Sabuon Leskesan
9	in Phnom Penh."
10	[09.32.12]
11	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
12	I'm sorry, is FULRO an acronym or is it actually a <full> name?</full>
13	<i admit="" am="" i="" lost.="" must="" somewhat=""></i>
14	MR. KOPPE:
15	It is in his statement spelled in capital letters, F-U-L-R-O.
16	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
17	So we may suppose in that case that it is an acronym.
18	BY MR. KOPPE:
19	If we would hear this particular individual as a witness here
20	then I'm sure he'll be able to explain if it was an acronym yes
21	or no. I don't know I presume so. I don't know.
22	Q. So you haven't heard of FULRO Champa, is that that's
23	correct, Mr. Witness?
24	MR. PRESIDENT:
25	Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed <the></the>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

13

- 1 International Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 2 [09.33.24]
- 3 MR. LYSAK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a couple of observations, I think 4 5 Counsel should be clear of the time period he is asking about, in response to your question to Judge Lavergne, this was not some б 7 secret pervert thing going on during the Khmer Rouge, this was an open political organisation but it was in the pre-1975 time 8 9 period so he should be clear about what time period he is asking 10 about. My understanding is that there was FULRO Champa that was 11 part of the -- a political organisation related to the Cham and 12 later on that became part of a broader organisation relating to ethnic minorities called FULRO. 13

14 MR. KOPPE:

15 I think the Prosecution is giving evidence. I'm just reading an 16 excerpt from a statement of someone who was in fact as of July 17 1975, deputy secretary of Sector 21 and he is referring to FULRO, 18 so I think it was an open organisation and possibly the witness 19 would have heard of it.

Q. But I presume, Mr. Witness ,you haven't heard of it. I would like to ask you something about a second Cham movement called Kbal Sa have you ever heard of this?

23 [09.34.50]

24 MR. IT SEN:

25 A. No, I have never heard of it. I have never heard of it. <And I

14

1 am saying this before the Quran.>

2 Q. Let me ask you in general terms. In the period '73, '74, '75, 3 have you ever heard of any "rebellious Cham movement"? A. No, I have only heard <of> the rebellion <on> Kaoh Phal. Kaoh 4 Phal rebellion <took place> when <the> Cham people <fought 5 against> the Khmer Rouge<; and> it was the only incident <> I б 7 <have> heard <of. I did not hear any other incident with such a 8 nature prior to 1975.> 9 Q. Very well. Let's move there. Yesterday you were asked

10 questions about this rebellion in Kaoh Phal, you yourself were 11 not there but you had an in-law who gave you information. Do you 12 know anything about what caused the crackdown of that rebellion, 13 what happened before Cham people were killed?

A. It happened <a> long time ago <that people were restricted to do certain things. As for people on Kaoh Phal, they resisted when they were told not to do daily prayers and> fasting<. The whole> villagers from Kaoh Phal rose up and <resisted> the <restrictions imposed> by <the> Khmer Rouge<; however, people in Saoy-Ampil village were not aware of this. So only villagers of Kaoh Phal rose against the Khmer Rouge.>

21 Q. Have you ever heard a story that 28 Khmer Rouge cadres were 22 chopped to death by local Cham people?

23 [09.37.12]

24 MR. PRESIDENT:

25 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed, <the>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

15

- 1 International Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 2 MR. LYSAK:
- 3 Counsel is leading the witness and he is leading with a
- 4 completely different account then, I think, everyone of us have 5 read about this incident. So if he has ceased upon some 6 miss-account of this, he at least he should be quoting it so we 7 know where this information is coming from, the accounts of this 8 rebellion talk about one person -- soldier being attacked not 28. 9 [09.37.53]
- 10 MR. KOPPE:
- Q. Let me not focus on 28. Have you ever heard -- although I'm quite sure I read 28 -- Mr. Witness, have you ever heard of many Khmer Rouge cadres being chopped to death by Cham?
- 14 JUDGE FENZ:
- 15 Counsel, generally can you prepare, provide for the benefit of 16 the record, the basis for the question. I think that's what the 17 Prosecutor asked.
- 18 MR. KOPPE:

19 The twenty-eight is -- I will get back to you, it is either 20 coming from Keirnan or Ponchaud, and Cham people chopped a sub 21 district cadre to death is coming from Ouk Bunchhoeun's 22 statement. So I will be more general and I will ask the witness 23 whether he knows anything about killing of Khmer Rouge cadres by 24 Cham in Kaoh Phal.

25 MR. IT SEN:

16

1	A. I did not witness the incident myself, but I have heard that
2	soldiers were chopped to death<>. I did not know how many of them
3	were chopped to death <as actually="" ampil<="" i="" in="" staying="" td="" was=""></as>
4	village>. My in-law also told me <> that <soldiers been<="" had="" td=""></soldiers>
5	chopped to death, but he did not mention the number>.
б	[09.39.42]
7	Q. You just said '77, but do you in fact mean 1975?
8	A. Yes, it was in 1975. It was actually before 1975, and in 1975
9	the Cham people were evacuated because of the rebellion.
10	Q. What did you in-law tell you specifically about killing of
11	Khmer Rouge cadres on Kaoh Phal by Cham, how did this happen, who
12	was involved, how many people died?
13	A. I <did> not know for sure about the event. <i just="" knew="" td="" that<=""></i></did>
14	those> Cham people who <were armed="" either="" with=""> swords <or></or></were>
15	knives were <shot dead=""> by <the> Khmer Rouge at that time<, while</the></shot>
16	other people who were not armed were not> shot <> by <the> Khmer</the>
17	Rouge <soldiers. cham="" only="" those=""> people who <were and<="" armed="" td=""></were></soldiers.>
18	stood face to face with the> Khmer Rouge at the time were <>
19	killed. <the and="" chased="" khmer="" killed="" rouge="" soldiers="" td="" them="" them,<=""></the>
20	while the other people stayed put in one place, and they were not
21	mistreated.>
22	Q. Let me move on to how the rebellion was cracked down. Do you
23	know which forces were involved in the crackdown of the Kaoh Phal
24	rebellion, were these district forces or were these sector
25	forces?

[09.41.50]

1

17

_	
2	A. I <did> not know <this either="">. The soldiers <were sent=""> from</were></this></did>
3	the <district>, they were told to be ready to <crack down=""> the</crack></district>
4	rebellion <in> Kaoh Phal. <they deployed="" kaoh<="" surround="" td="" to="" were=""></they></in>
5	Phal.>
б	Q. Have you heard of military forces with heavy artillery coming
7	from the rubber plantations in the south, having different kinds
8	of uniforms, carrying heavy weapons and that they were involved
9	in the cracking down of the Kaoh Phal rebellion.
10	A. I did not <actually> witness the incident<; but,> I heard</actually>
11	<from of="" people="" saoy="" village=""> that <artillery pieces=""> were</artillery></from>
12	<installed area="" front="" in="" located="" of="" sandy="" saoy="" the=""> village.</installed>
13	<again, but="" did="" heard="" i="" incident="" myself,="" not="" td="" that<="" the="" witness=""></again,>
14	artillery pieces were deployed outside Kaoh Phal village.>
15	Q. What do you remember about this artillery?
16	A. I could not recall. <they actually="" did="" fire="" not="" td="" those<=""></they>
17	artillery pieces, they were just deployed there. Only small arms
18	were used to shoot those rebels in> Kaoh Phal<. Artillery pieces
19	were deployed just in case people from other villages would come
20	to help people in Kaoh Phal. Some people from> Saoy village <went< td=""></went<>
21	there, and they were arrested; thus, no other people dared to go
22	there>.
23	Q. But do you remember or maybe your in-law remembered people,
24	soldiers wearing military uniforms and carrying heavy artillery?
25	[09.44.15]

18

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed, <the>
- 3 International Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 4 MR. LYSAK:

5 Thank you, Mr. President. Again, Counsel wants to try to refresh 6 and use a document that talks about these soldiers in uniforms; 7 he should reference the material because I believe he is now 8 mixing up the Kaoh Phal and the Svay Khleang rebellions, two 9 different events. So, he's shaking his head but none of us would 10 have to debate this if you would cite the document when you wish 11 to put evidence to a witness.

12 MR. KOPPE:

I am asking a general question to the witness, Mr. President, whether he remembers or his in-law remembers people wearing military uniforms indicating that these would be regiment or sector forces rather than district forces.

- 17 [09.45.23]
- 18 MR. LYSAK:

Mr. President, Counsel shouldn't be testifying about the significance of military uniforms. Again, if he has information he wishes to present to the Court that's a part of the record, he should cite it. He's leading here, he's testifying himself. BY MR. KOPPE:

Again, I'm not testifying at all. I'm asking whether he knows anything about uniforms of the people of the military shooting

19

- 1 with artillery, that's my question.
- 2 Q. Mr. Witness, do you remember anything about the soldiers being
- 3 involved in the military attack on Kaoh Phal?
- 4 MR. IT SEN:
- A. I <just> saw <them arriving by> boats <in> Kaoh Phal<, and
 those boats were from outside. Those people arriving by boats
 were armed. They had been there on standby between two and three
 days before the incident took place in> Kaoh Phal<>.
 O. Do you have any knowledge of artillery; do you know anything
- 10 about how many millimetres mortars were at the time?
- 11 A. <No,> I do not<>, Mr. <Counsel>. I <had no such knowledge>.
- 12 Q. Have you heard of Battalion 55 of the Sector 21 Regiment?
- 13 [09.47.48]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Mr. Koppe, please repeat your question, there was no proper
- 16 translation of your question. <Some initial parts of your
- 17 question were not translated.>
- 18 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 19 Q. I certainly will, Mr. President. Mr. Witness, have you ever
- 20 heard of Battalion 55 of the Sector 21 Regiment?
- 21 MR. IT SEN:
- 22 A. I'm sorry, Counsel I <do not know>.
- 23 Q. I shall give the name of one of its commanding officers and
- 24 and I will ask you whether you know this name. Hun Sen, the
- 25 present prime minister, do you know him?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

20

	20
1	A. Yes, I have heard of the name Hun Sen. But I did not know at
2	that time where he was stationed<.> I only knew that he also
3	joined the resistance in the jungle.
4	Q. Have you heard whether he as a commanding officer was involved
5	in the crackdown of the rebellion of Kaoh Phal?
б	MR. LYSAK:
7	Again, Mr. President, I have no objection to the question, if
8	it's based on something and not just counsel's theories or
9	wishes. So, if he wants to put this question, please cite the
10	basis for it so we all know, he shouldn't be making things up in
11	the courtroom.
12	MR. KOPPE:
13	The basis is actually someone who worked with the Prosecution for
14	quite a while. But I think I'm entitled to ask that question, Mr.
15	President, whether the witness knows whether commanding officer
16	Hun Sen was involved.
17	(Judges deliberate)
18	[09.51.15]
19	MR. PRESIDENT:
20	Mr. Koppe, please provide the basis or the documents <in case<="" td="" the=""></in>
21	file> that you quoted in particular <> which were admitted to be
22	used <for cross-examination="" the=""> before the Chamber <that led="" td="" you<=""></that></for>
23	to formulate your question>. Otherwise the Chamber will prohibit
24	the witness from answering to your question.
<u> </u>	

25 MR. KOPPE:

21

1	If you insist, Mr. President, of course I will provide you the
2	basis of my question. It is E347.3, English ERN 01086027 which
3	describes indeed the attack on Svay Khleang and Kaoh Phal and the
4	position which is being put forward there is that the attack was
5	done by Battalion 55 led by commanding officer Hun Sen. And I'm
б	trying to establish whether the crackdown was done by the region
7	or rather by the district, so that's what I'm trying to get at.
8	[09.52.40]
9	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
10	Mr. Koppe, can you repeat the <references>, I'm sorry, I <was not<="" td=""></was></references>
11	able> to note it down properly. I heard <e437.3?></e437.3?>
12	MR. KOPPE:
13	That is correct. E347, so not E3/, but E347.3, it is a Human
14	Rights watch report on page 20, English ERN as I said, 01086027;
15	the attack on Svay Khleang and Kaoh Phal is being described as
16	executed by Battalion 55 of Sector 21, so that's where I have
17	information from.
18	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
19	Counsel Koppe, are you may be aware of the decision from the
20	Chamber regarding this document?
21	MR. KOPPE:
22	No.
23	[09.53.57]
24	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
25	Are you gure? There never was a request to put this decument

25 Are you sure? There never was a request to put this document

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

22

- 1 before the Chamber and the Chamber never ruled on that request?
- 2 MR. KOPPE:
- 3 I think the Khieu Samphan team did -- that's why -- I know we
- 4 didn't but the Khieu Samphan team filed a request.
- 5 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 6 Well then Counsel Koppe, <I am speaking under the control of the 7 parties.> <It> seems that <the> Human Rights <Watch> Report, at 8 least that <excerpt>, has not been put on the Case file.
- 9 [09.54.41]
- 10 MR. KOPPE:

Well, again, as in other situations you made me explain where my knowledge is coming from, I was asking questions about which military forces were involved in Kaoh Phal and it seems that it was the forces led by the present prime minister who were involved and the information is coming from Steve Heder which is, I'm sure, the author of this report and who worked for the Prosecution for guite a while.

18 MR. LYSAK:

We heard a impassion speech yesterday about how people need to rely on the investigation. This rebellion was investigated, I have been searching for references to Battalion 55 and if there is a basis for the question, that's fine, but Counsel should move this document into evidence if he think its reliable it's a Human Rights Watch Report, Human Rights watch was obviously not at Kaoh Phal in 1975, so the real issue is, what is the source of this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

23

1 information. And when Counsel tries to present matters in this 2 way and stand up in this courtroom and assert something as a fact 3 when there is nothing in the investigation about it and it comes from a document that's not been put in evidence, these 4 proceedings are distorted so we would have no objection if they 5 move this into evidence but we need to know what the actual б 7 source of this evidence is if this proceeding is going to be 8 meaningful.

- 9 [09.56.27]
- 10 MR. KOPPE:

11 As I said the actual source is someone who is considered an 12 expert for this court, who didn't testify as an expert but as a 13 witness and who is someone who is involved in the investigation 14 for a long time and I have knowledge that in fact Steve is the 15 author of this report and he is making the argument that it was 16 in fact Battalion 55 that was involved.

17 MR. LYSAK:

18 Mr. President, I'm pretty sure Steve Heder was not at Kaoh Phal 19 in 1975, so again the issue is, what is the source of this? I'm 20 looking to see if Steve Heder's name is in this, I'm looking for 21 sources, but the reason I'm having to look now and we have to 22 interrupt this proceeding because Counsel hasn't put this 23 evidence properly before us. So I'm here in a position of having 24 to search this document. So I think it is entirely improper the 25 way Counsel brings these matters before the Court.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

24

- 1 [09.57.30]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Lead Co-Lawyers, I noticed that you are on your feet; do you have 4 anything to address the Chamber?
- 5 MS. MARIE GUIRAUD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I < had taken my seat again. > < I just б 7 wanted to point out> that this document is not part of the case file. <That> is the issue. So the point is not whether we're 8 9 speaking about Hun Sen or not, here today<.> <The issue is that 10 today, once more, we> see <that> the Nuon Chea defence is 11 <deliberately> using a document that is not <on> the case file<.</pre> And> the Khieu Samphan defence tried to have this document 12 13 admitted according to proper procedural rules <that we are all 14 very much aware of, > and this document was dismissed by the 15 Chamber. That's the issue; the issue is not whom we're speaking 16 about<,> but how this document is used by the Defence whereas the 17 Defence knows perfectly well that this document has not been 18 <placed> on to the case file.

- 19 [09.58.39]
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:

21 You may now proceed, Judge Lavergne.

22 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

Yes, for the purposes of the record and for Victor Koppe's information, the <reference of the> memo is E347/1 and the relevant segment is <from> Chapter 2 of the Human Rights Watch

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

25

- 1 report, in particular paragraphs three, four, five, six, seven
- 2 and eight.
- 3 [09.59.22]
- 4 MS. GUISSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. < Good> morning to all of you. I < simply 5 wish to make> a request for clarification <by the Chamber> б 7 because I believe <I understood from> the previous decisions<,> including <in> Case 002/01, that the issue of <the source of a 8 document and the citing of the document arose only when a witness 9 10 on the stand had to be confronted with a specific document, but 11 that if the document was not being shown to the witness, we were 12 free to put any questions as we so wished>. So I don't 13 <understand why suddenly each question put by the Defence has to 14 be based on a specific document. < We are free to ask any 15 question we deem useful in the defence of our clients.> If we do 16 not intend to confront the witness with a particular document, 17 why do we have <to> base all of our questions on a document? This 18 is <a> clarification because <it doesn't seem to be-- Well, all 19 in all,> the Co-Prosecutor's position does not seem to be in 20 e with> the Chamber's jurisprudence regarding this matter. MR. LYSAK: 21 22 If I may respond, the reason is you're leading the witness if you 23 don't have some basis. You can't just stand up in Court and make

- 24 an assertion that Hun Sen was part of an attack without some
- 25 evidence and I'm reading this now, there is no cited evidence

26

	ZU
1	here, there's an unspecified interview by an academic without
2	even identifying the source. So, for Counsel to stand up here and
3	make these assertions without a proper evidentiary record, he is
4	leading the witness. Yesterday both of you stood up and objected
5	when I asked this witness, how many Cham people lived in his own
6	district. Now you want him to an expert on the entire structure
7	of the East Zone.
8	[10.01.20]
9	MR. KOPPE:
10	It is a pathetic and shameful attempt to cut the Defence off to
11	try to find
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	Counsel Koppe, you will have the last say on this matter. And now
14	the floor is given to the lawyer for civil parties.
15	MS. TY SRINNA:
16	Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to make an observation on
17	this matter. In fact we, the civil parties, have put questions to
18	civil parties and witnesses and usually the defence teams would
19	rise and demand the sources that we quote in those questions. <so< td=""></so<>

20 far, the Chamber has also asked us to provide the sources when we

put our questions to witnesses; > and to play a fair game<, the

23 both sides have to refer to the sources that you extracted or

24 that you relate them to your questions to the witness. Thank you.

defence teams shall also comply with the principle.> I believe

25 [10.02.26]

21

22

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

27

2 On the record, Mr. President, what's happening here is an attempt 3 to avoid evidence which might incriminate present government members as the perpetrators of the actual genocides, if there 4 were any, in '75. So I think it is perfectly appropriate to find 5 out what happened in '75, especially in Trea village which is б 7 about to follow. So the Defence is perfectly entitled to find out what happened in '75 and whether Ouk Bunchhoeun and Hun Sen were 8 9 involved in the killings.

- 10 MR. LYSAK:

I am sorry to rise again but I cannot let that be unresponded (sic) to, no one here is trying to do that. You are perfectly entitled to pursue that, you need to do it by proper evidentiary means; you cannot just make up things in the courtroom. So feel free to prove that we have no objection, you need to do it by proper means of evidence, Mr. President, that's our position. Not that he should be precluded from doing this.

- 18 [10.03.28]
- 19 MR. KOPPE:
- 20 As said, it's Steve Heder who is implying this and you know him 21 very well.
- 22 MR. LYSAK:

23 There is nothing about Steve Heder in this document. He's making 24 that up.

25 (Judges deliberate)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

28

- 1 [10.04.39]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Judge Fenz, you have the floor.
- 4 JUDGE FENZ:

5 Well, it cannot come as a great surprise. I mean, this is how 6 criminal proceedings work; if you want to confront the party with 7 something if you put forward an allegation you have to cite the 8 basis for this. If the basis is a document which hasn't been 9 admitted during the proceedings or actually specifically rejected 10 as in this case, find another basis and move on.

- 11 [10.05.14]
- 12 MR. KOPPE:
- And that's exactly what I didn't do because I know this is going to happen in this Court. Trying to hide the truth of what really happened.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Counsel, you have to follow the standing practice and procedures
- 18 in this courtroom so please move on <with your line of
- 19 questioning>.
- 20 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 21 Something I would of course do in any other court, Mr. President,
- 22 but I'll move on.
- 23 Q. Mr. Witness, do you know how many people were killed at Kaoh
- 24 Phal and Svay Khleang?
- 25 [10.06.10]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

1	MR. IT SEN:
2	A. No, I don't know how many people were killed. I knew people
3	were killed but not the number of those killed.
4	Q. Is it correct that hundreds of Cham were massacred by East
5	Zone forces?
6	A. As I said people were killed but I did not know <either as="" to=""></either>
7	how many <> at the time <as> I was hiding in <my> house in Ampil</my></as>
8	village.
9	Q. There was another massacre in '75, wasn't there in Trea
10	village, is that correct?
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	The <international> Deputy Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.</international>
13	[10.07.15]
14	MR. LYSAK:
15	Again, Counsel is leading and again I think he's got his
16	information mixed up. There was another incident in Svay Khleang
17	in '75 and Trea was 1978. If he has some other information he
18	should be specific, he shouldn't stand up and lead the witness
19	with incorrect information.
20	MR. KOPPE:
21	At least read your sources, Mr. Prosecutor, I have it from
22	Kiernan who is quoting Ponchaud, describing a massacre which was
23	executed, in pretty much the same way as described yesterday by
24	this witness, in November '75. I'll be happy to read it for you.
25	"Ponchaud adds that in November '75, Chams in Trea village of

29

30

- Krouch Chhmar also rebelled. Then the Khmer Rouge tore the
 village apart with B40s and smashed the heads of any survivors
 with pick handles. The corpses were thrown aside and left. They
 even stuck heads on pikes and exposed them along the banks of the
 Mekong." Ponchaud page 153.
- 6 So I'm trying to figure out whether this massacre in Trea that
- 7 the witness described yesterday wasn't actually in '78, but
- 8 rather in '75.
- 9 [10.08.54]
- 10 MR. LYSAK:
- Mr. President, I have no objection, again, he should read the 11 12 document first, ask the witness if he is familiar with an event 13 in Trea village in 1975, but he shouldn't stand up and suggest 14 that what he just read is any way similar to what the witness 15 described as happening in 1978 in Trea village, they're 16 completely different. So he shouldn't be characterising and 17 leading. If he wants to present and ask about what happened in 18 Trae village in 1975, go ahead.
- 19 MR. KOPPE:

Q. Fine. Mr. Witness, do you know if there was a massacre of
Cham; Cham being killed, thrown in the river in '75 November?
MR. IT SEN:

A. No, it was not in 1975. <It was in 1975> that <people> were evacuated from villages. <There was no such a massacre in 1975.> [10.10.02]

31

Q. Do you know for the fact that this French person that I was 1 2 referring to, Francois Ponchaud, wrote his book in 1976. So at 3 the time he didn't know what is going to happen later so I think we can be convinced that it was in '75. Have you never heard any 4 stories about the cruelties that I just described? 5 MR. LYSAK: 6 7 Again, Counsel is leading, he is misstating the evidence. This 8 witness has described one event that took place in Trae in 1978. 9 This book describes a completely different event in 1975 and he 10 shouldn't be leading and trying to mischaracterise the evidence when he's asking questions here. This is utter deceit. 11 12 MR. PRESIDENT: In order for us to proceed, the <International> Deputy 13 14 Co-Prosecutor please provide your grounds for your objection so 15 that we can use <them> as the basis for our ruling. For example, 16 whether there was no citation in the questions or whether it was 17 a leading question, for example. And if your objection is not 18 based on any firm ground I think it's just back and forth between 19 two sides. <Please be more specific.> 20 We, as the Bench would like to facilitate the proceedings and 21 issue our ruling based on the grounds that the Parties provide to 22 the Chamber in order to proceed in an expeditious manner. And you 23 all have been in this courtroom and involved in the proceedings 24 for so many years already so please try to avoid a relapse of 25 this lack of grounds.

32

- 1 [10.12.12]
- 2 MR. LYSAK:

3 Thank you, Mr. President. The ground is that Counsel is leading 4 the witness; he's leading and suggesting to him that event in 5 1978, was the same as a different event in 1975. That is a 6 leading question.

7 BY MR. KOPPE:

8 I don't think it was a question. It was a possible theory that 9 this witness might be very afraid of telling what happened in 10 Trae village in '75, and rather shifted to '78. But my question, 11 a very factual question was, whether he knows anything of mass 12 atrocities which are in certain details quite similar as to what 13 he described yesterday? That's my question, does he know of any 14 mass atrocities in November 1975 in Trae village?

- 15 [10.13.08]
- 16 MR. IT SEN:
- 17 A. Counsel, I don't understand your question at all.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Witness, you do not need to answer a question that you don't
- 20 understand.
- 21 Let us take a short break and resume at 10.30 <a.m.>

22 Court officer, please assist the witness at the waiting room for

23 witnesses and civil parties during the break and invite him back

24 into the courtroom at 10.30 <a.m.>

25 The Court is now in recess.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

33

1 (Court recesses from 1013H to 1034H)

2 MR. PRESIDENT:

3 Please be seated. The Court is back in session.

Before I give the floor to the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea to
resume his line of questioning, the Chamber would like to remind
all Parties that yesterday I informed the Co-Prosecutors,

7 together with the Lead Co-Lawyers, in relation to questioning of this witness. I instructed Co-Prosecutors and Lead Co-Lawyers 8 9 that the questions should be simple and precise, and also short. 10 This witness, as I said yesterday, has difficulty in speaking Khmer, because as far as we are concerned, he has a different 11 12 language, different culture and tradition from that of Khmer. So in order that the Chamber can ascertain the truth in this case, 13 the questions should be short and precise. 14

15 [10.35.56]

16 I noticed that Parties in the previous session changed their 17 position when they address the Court. They appear to deliver 18 speeches to the Chamber. So, once again, the Chamber reminds 19 everyone to stick to the form of questions allowed by the 20 Chamber, and we have allowed such practice for over seven years. And in addition to this, the Chamber wishes to inform that a 21 22 Party has to adhere to their professionalism when they address 23 the Chamber. Please avoid any disparaging speech here in this 24 courtroom.

25 Now, the Chamber gives the floor to the defence team for Mr. Nuon

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

34

1 Chea to resume his line of questioning. You may now proceed.

2 MR. KOPPE:

3 Thank you, Mr. President. Before I start, Mr. President, both the 4 Khieu Samphan defence team and we are a bit concerned about the 5 time that we still have left. We notice that I was objected to 6 about nine or 10 times. Yesterday, there was also an additional 7 20 minutes for the Prosecution. So we are enquiring as to how 8 much time both defence teams still have today?

9 MR. PRESIDENT:

10 We are not competing each other here. However, if you made a 11 request to have 20 additional minutes for your time to question 12 this witness, the Chamber may grant you the request. However, 13 questions with no basis, <> irrelevant questions, <or leading questions> will not be allowed in this courtroom. And when one 14 15 Party is objecting to questions put by another Party, please make 16 it clear. Try to avoid delivering any lengthy <observation or even a> speech before the Chamber. So we have to be clear on this 17 18 matter.

- 19 [10.38.55]
- 20 MS. GUISSE:

21 Mr. President, please. I need to react here. Since we will be 22 speaking last, the issue of extra time of course will concern us 23 particularly. So, I would like to inform you <beforehand> that 24 we're going to ask for 20 minutes of extra time so that we can 25 finish our cross-examination. <>

	35
1	MR. PRESIDENT:
2	I told you already that 20 minutes will be granted to you when
3	you put significant or necessary questions to the witness <in< td=""></in<>
4	order to help the Chamber ascertain the truth>.
5	BY MR. KOPPE:
6	Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Witness, let me go back to
7	November 1975. You went from Ampil, your village, to Preaek Achi;
8	is that correct?
9	[10.40.10]
10	MR. IT SEN:
11	A. Yes, that is correct. I went to Preaek Achi.
12	Q. And when you went from Ampil to Preaek Achi, did you follow
13	the river and pass through Trea? Or did you go another route?
14	A. I went <by> boat along the river. I then arrived at Stueng</by>
15	Trang, and after Stueng Trang, we were taken <by a="" boat="" motor=""> to</by>
16	<preaek> Sangkae before we reached Preaek Achi. <i am="" referring<="" td=""></i></preaek>
17	to the journey of my team.>
18	Q. Do you remember roughly how many days or weeks after the
19	rebellion in Kaoh Phal and Svay Khleang you went to Preaek Achi?
20	A. I am not quite sure on this matter. I did not know <as to=""> how</as>
21	<many after="" i="" it="" just="" months="" rebellion.="" recall="" td="" that="" the="" was="" we<=""></many>
22	were evacuated after the incident of rebellion. During that time,
23	we were under strict surveillance at night> and we did not dare
24	to say anything. <if anyone="" at="" he="" heard="" night,="" or<="" talking="" td="" they=""></if>
25	she would disappear. They came to spy on every house in Ampil

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

36

1	village. They stayed underneath our house to listen to us.
2	Anyways, I did not recall how many months it was after the
3	rebellion when we were evacuated.>.
4	[10.42.16]
5	Q. And when you went by boat from Ampil to Preaek Achi, following
б	the Mekong and passing Trea, did you see any dead bodies? Any
7	corpses without heads?
8	A. No, I did not see any corpses at the time.
9	Q. And when you arrived in Preaek Achi, did you hear anything
10	about what had happened just before that in Trea?
11	A. No. I did not know anything else besides the rebellion.
12	Q. So is it correct to say that in those three years that you
13	were in Preaek Achi, you never heard anything about killings in
14	Trea village?
15	A. <while> I was living in Preaek Achi<, I did not hear> of any</while>
16	killings at Trea village. <only after=""> I <had left=""> Preaek Achi</had></only>
17	<did> I hear <of> the incidents of killings.</of></did>
18	[10.44.26]
19	Q. Now, because of time, I will move on to the end of your stay
20	in Preaek Achi. You were told, you said, that peace had arrived,
21	and that you were allowed to go to Ampil; is that correct?
22	A. Yes, that is correct. And after the <arrival> of the Southwest</arrival>
23	Zone, we were told that <the and="" been="" east="" had="" liberated,="" zone=""></the>
24	we could <return> to our village. <i an="" drove="" ox-cart<="" td="" then=""></i></return>
25	transporting all our belongings and returned to Ampil village.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

37

Q. And how many other Cham families went from Preaek Achi to 1 2 Ampil? 3 A. <>Preaek Achi <> was a rather big <commune. There were six villages in Preaek Achi, and the Cham people from> Ampil village 4 <went to live in all the six villages> at that time<; I just knew</pre> 5 that Cham people from every village were returning; however, I б 7 did not know how many of them were leaving from the six villages 8 in Preaek Achi. They were returning to either Ampil village or 9 Saoy village>. 10 Q. Do you know whether any other Cham families who were in Preaek 11 Achi could go back to Trea? Or could go to Trea, rather than 12 Ampil? 13 A. Yes, villagers from Trea village were allowed to go and live in Preaek Achi village, and <they were also told to return to 14 15 Trea village. I noticed that those> people <who had returned> 16 from <Trea village were taken away before we were. Just a few 17 days after they had returned to> Preaek Achi village<, they> were 18 <blindfolded and> taken <away> to be killed<>. 19 [10.46.53]20 Q. I will make my question simpler. Were there families who had 21 been living in Preaek Achi for three years, were sent to either 22 Trea or to Krouch Chhmar, or to other villages? 23 A. I'm sorry, Counsel, I do not get your question. Could you 24 repeat it, please? I cannot get it. 25 Q. You said you had been living with your family in Preaek Achi

1	for three years. Then you were told you were allowed to go back
2	to your home village. Do you know whether other Cham villagers
3	were also allowed to go back to their home villages?
4	A. Yes, we were told as such, that we were we would be allowed
5	to <return to=""> our respective houses. <all cham="" people="" td="" the="" who<=""></all></return>
6	had been evacuated to live in the various villages of Preaek Achi
7	were informed to return to their respective villages>. And I
8	<could> not <tell and="" exactly="" from="" how="" many="" of="" td="" them="" which<="" who,=""></tell></could>
9	village they had lived in Preaek Achi; but those people were
10	returning to their respective villages>. Later on, I learnt that
11	people <who again<="" leave="" respective="" td="" their="" to="" told="" villages="" were=""></who>
12	return to> Preaek Achi were <actually> taken to be killed <in< td=""></in<></actually>
13	Trea village. Having stayed in the village for about ten days, we
14	were told to return to Preaek Achi>.
15	Q. You went with your family to Ampil. Is it correct that you
16	stayed there with your older sister, Afiah?
17	[10.49.00]
18	A. Yes, that is correct. I was living with my older sister <whose< td=""></whose<>
19	name was Fiah>.
20	Q. You also said that in Ampil there were about 20 or 30 or 40
21	Cham families; is that correct?
22	A. Yes, that is correct. <about> that number <of cham<="" td="" the=""></of></about>
23	families lived> in Ampil village.
24	Q. You also stated that you stayed for about a fortnight in Ampil
25	village; correct?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

> 39 A. Yes, it is about right. I stayed there for about a fortnight 1 2 <before> we were transferred <again> to Kampong Thom. 3 Q. Kampong Thom? A. I'm sorry, I <was mistaken>. After <returning from> Preaek 4 5 Achi, <I stayed in my village for about a fortnight, and> I was sent to Trea <village to be> <> killed. I <was mistaken about б 7 the time that I was rounded up. In fact, I was rounded up at a 8 later stage>. 9 Q. Let me go back to Ampil again. You were in Ampil, and you 10 stayed a fortnight, and you had to go because there was not 11 enough food; is that what you said yesterday? 12 A. <Yes, it was true.> I was not given any food ration<. They 13 said if we remained in the village, they would not give us any 14 food ration.> I did not have any meal to eat before I was told to 15 return. 16 Q. So when you moved then from Ampil to Trea, did your oldest 17 sister, Afiah and those 20 or 40 families, stay behind in Ampil? 18 [10.51.51]19 A. Some people stayed behind in Saoy village, and some others 20 stayed behind in Ampil village. Q. How about your older sister, Afiah? Did she stay behind in 21 22 Ampil? 23 A. My older sister <Fiah> had not been evacuated from Ampil, so 24 she stayed in Ampil village, from the time that I was evacuated

25 until the time that I returned to Ampil village.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

40

- 1 Q. You're now saying -- and maybe that's the translation --
- 2 evacuated. I thought you said that you decided yourself to leave
- 3 Ampil because of food?
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please hold on, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed, the
- 6 International Deputy Co-Prosecutor.
- 7 [10.53.17]
- 8 MR. LYSAK:

9 Yes, our objection is that the Counsel is leading the witness and

10 misstating the record. The witness testified that he was

11 instructed, along with others, to go from Ampil to Trea. He never

12 testified that he decided to do this himself.

13 BY MR. KOPPE:

Q. I will rephrase, Mr. President. When you went from Ampil to Trea, did you tell the person who instructed you that the Southwest Zone cadres in Preaek Achi had just before said you were allowed to go back to your home village?

18 A. Yes. The Southwest Zone cadres came to <be in charge of the 19 area>, and we were told that we could return back to our home 20 villages. After <we arrived> in Ampil village, <we stayed there 21 for two weeks; > we were told <in a meeting > that we <had to > go 22 back to live in <Trea village. It did not matter whether we had 23 been relocated from Preaek Achi or elsewhere, all the returnees 24 to Ampil village had> to leave for Trea village. <Comrade Seng 25 was the man who chaired the meeting and made such an

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

41

1 announcement.>

Q. But you were already in your home village, Ampil. So you left Ampil to go to Trea, but Trea wasn't your home village; correct? A. My birth village was Ampil village<, not Trea village>. We were instructed to go to Trea village <in order to be killed> at that time.

7 [10.55.42]

Q. I understand. But just only 14 days before, you were 8 9 instructed to go back to your home village, Ampil. And you stayed 10 with your older sister. So why were you instructed to go to Trea? 11 A. <We were told that there> were <already> too many villagers in 12 Ampil village, and <there> were not <many people in Trea village>. The order <came down> from <the> upper level <to the 13 commune chief and the> village chief to <chase us from the 14 15 village. They were ordered not to issue us food ration if we 16 continued to stay in the village.>.

Q. But do you remember at the time thinking, to say, "Well, I can stay with my older sister. There is enough food with my older sister." Maybe.

A. <They knew who we were; thus, we could not stay. Although we wanted to stay, we could not as they would chase us away. They were very strict, and my sister Fiah could not ask them to let us stay>.

24 [10.57.32]

25 Q. But why go to Trea? Why not go to Krouch Chhmar? Or to Svay

42

1	Khleang? What was the reason to go to have to go to Trea?
2	A. Soldiers <were based=""> in the whole area of Trea village. Trea</were>
3	village was the place where people were put and killed. <the< td=""></the<>
4	village was full of soldiers. There were soldiers in every
5	house>.
б	Q. But when you were instructed to go to Trea, you didn't know
7	that at the time, I presume?
8	A. No, I did not<. And for that reason, we> were <bringing along<="" td=""></bringing>
9	a full load of an ox-cart of our stuff including kitchen> tools,
10	blankets, sleeping mats, and <other> belongings<>.</other>
11	Q. I'm trying to understand something, Mr. Witness. You were
12	instructed to go to Trea. You said then your family was killed
13	because they were Cham. But your sister, who was also Cham, was
14	allowed to stay in Ampil. Can you explain that to me?
15	A. <it already="" an="" for="" issue="" living="" not="" td="" there<="" those="" was="" were="" who=""></it>
16	in the village. I am referring to those who were not evacuated
17	from the village in the very first place>. Because I returned
18	<to the="" village=""> from Preaek Achi <>, I was <ordered leave="" to=""></ordered></to>
19	Ampil village. <anyway,> Base People, who had been already there</anyway,>
20	in Ampil village <in first="" had="" place,="" rights="" the="" very=""> to</in>
21	stay in that Ampil village.
22	Q. But you also said there were about 20 or 40 Cham families in
23	Ampil. Were they also, like your sister, allowed to stay?
24	[11.00.25]

25 A. Yes. It was the same situation for those families <who> had

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

43

1	not been evacuated elsewhere<. They> were allowed to stay in
2	<the> village. <and allowed="" leave="" not="" people="" td="" the<="" those="" to="" were=""></and></the>
3	village.>
4	Q. What happened to those 20 to 40 families? What happened to
5	your older sister when she was in Ampil?
б	A. My elder sister <who behind="" stayed=""> in Ampil <was fine="">.</was></who>
7	However, later on, <after left="" people="" some="" td="" the="" village,="" we="" were<=""></after>
8	sent out of the village to build houses. They were assigned to
9	build houses, and they disappeared. So, about> 20 <more> families</more>
10	<from ampil="" an="" assignment="" away="" disappeared.="" on="" sent="" td="" they="" to<="" were=""></from>
11	build houses, but they just disappeared one after another. They
12	were taken away under the pretext that they were going to build
13	houses in> Trea village. <that also="" i="" reason="" returned<="" td="" the="" was="" why=""></that>
14	to Trea village.>
15	Q. I will finish. I have still many questions. But, Mr. Witness,
16	can you explain why your direct family was killed in Trea because
17	they were Cham, as you've said, but nothing happened to the
18	families, the Cham families, in Ampil? What was the reason? Were
19	you unlucky? Or what what is the reason? Do you know?
20	[11.02.15]
21	A. <i because="" came="" conclusion="" it="" that="" the="" to="" was=""> we were</i>
22	<relocated> from Preaek Achi to the village; <on contrary,="" it<="" td="" the=""></on></relocated>
23	was not an issue at all for those Cham families who had not been
24	evacuated from the village in the very first place. We> were
25	considered the new Cham <people, and="" cham="" new="" not<="" people="" td="" the="" were=""></people,>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

44

1	allowed to stay in the village, but to return where they came
2	from. We had not the same rights like those who were already
3	living in the village>.
4	MR. KOPPE:
5	Mr. President, I am mindful of the time already passed, another
б	10 minutes. For the record, I still have many questions to this
7	witness, but I will give the floor now to the Khieu Samphan team.
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	Thank you, Counsel. And the floor is now given to the defence
10	team for Khieu Samphan.
11	QUESTIONING BY MS. GUISSE:
12	Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Mr. It Sen. My name is
13	Anta Guisse. I am International Co-Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan,
14	and I have a few questions to put to you. The first question is
15	as follows. You referred to the <kaoh> Phal revolt, and in answer</kaoh>
16	to a question put to you by the Co-Prosecutor, you stated that
17	when the <kaoh phal=""> revolt took place, you were forbidden to</kaoh>
18	cross over to get back to the village. So my first question is as
19	follows: who forbade you to cross over to get <back> to Kaoh</back>
20	Phal?
21	[11.04.20]
22	MR. IT SEN:
23	A. It was the soldiers who were guarding in the area. We were
24	<not allowed="" because="" fearing="" go="" td="" that="" there="" they="" to="" we="" were="" would<=""></not>
25	help those in Kaoh Phal>. And if someone insisted on going, the

45

- 1 person would be arrested and tied up.
- 2 Q. You state that it was the soldiers. Do you remember what force 3 those soldiers belonged to?

A. I <did> not know which unit they attached to, but those soldiers could come from <either> the district or the sector. And allow me to remind you that it was the rainy season, and some people had to go and collect grass for the cattle on boat. The soldiers would stop them and arrest them. <Those who attempted to collect grass on Kaoh Phal were arrested and taken to be kept at Roka Khnaor.>

- 11 [11.05.38]
- 12 Q. Can you confirm that Ampil, like Preaek Achi and Trea, were in 13 the East Zone?

14 A. Yes, <those locations belonged> to the East Zone. Later on, 15 when the Southwest group arrived, they accused the East Zone 16 cadres of having <> Vietnamese <heads>.

17 Q. Can you tell me, if you do know, who were the persons in 18 charge of the East Zone at the time of the Kaoh Phal revolt? 19 A. I <did> not know <who they were>. I <just knew that when the 20 rebellion was about to take place, soldiers were sent there>. 21 Q. I will put my question to you again, because there may be a 22 problem of understanding. Do you know who were the leaders of the 23 East Zone at the time of the Kaoh Phal revolt in 1975? 24 A. No, I don't. I don't know who <those leaders were> at the 25 time. <They could have been> Tok Man (phonetic) <and Math Ly

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

46

1 (phonetic)>, but <they> usually based at the <areas where> rice 2 <was grown>. 3 Q. To be very specific, when you were at Ampil before you went to Preaek Achi, do you remember who was the chief <- be it at the 4 district or commune level - in office at the time>? <> 5 A. I do not recall the names of the commune chief or the district б 7 chief. Li and Lah were <chiefs> of <Ampil> village, <and later on 8 were replaced by Sath (phonetic). Li, a Khmer man, was chief of 9 Preaek Achi village, > but <I do not recall the name of > the commune chief of Preaek Achi <>. 10 11 [11.09.02] 12 Q. Who ordered you to leave Ampil and go to Preaek Achi? A. It was the security force, the village chief, and the commune 13 14 chief who gave us that order. And they said that the order came 15 from the upper level, and the order came through the chain of 16 command for us to go. 17 Q. You have referred to security forces. Do you remember the name 18 of any person who was chief of the security force, who <gave> you 19 that order? 20 A. I remember some in Ampil village, namely Meng (phonetic) and 21 Horn (phonetic). Horn (phonetic) is still living today, but he is 22 <as> old <as I am. He was in charge of the youths. He ordered to 23 have people sent out of the village>. And there was another 24 person, named Meng (phonetic), who worked in the security in 25 <Preaek Krouch, a village adjacent to> Ampil village. Later on,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

47

- 1 <> Kob Sath <became the village> chief.
- 2 [11.10.43]
- 3 Q. When you say that Kob Sath became chief <later on>, when
- 4 exactly did he assume his duties?
- A. That happened during the time that people were being taken away and killed. But about 10 days after, he was taken away and killed too. <After villagers were taken away and killed>, those village chiefs, <commune> chiefs, <and the security personnel themselves> were <also> taken away and killed, and replaced by new chiefs.
 Q. So if I understand your testimony correctly, you are situating
- 12 that event in 1978; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes, <it> was the year <of 1978 that villages were taken away 14 and killed>.
- 15 Q. And according to you, in which commune was Kob Sath exactly? 16 Was he in Ampil or in Trea?
- 17 A. Kob Sath was <originally from> Ampil. His parents <were from>18 in Ampil.
- 19 Q. So if I understand correctly, you knew that he was chief
- 20 during the 15 days you spent at Ampil after your return from
- 21 Preaek Achi; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 23 Q. You made mention of a person called Seng on several occasions.
- 24 Can you tell us what his exact position was?
- 25 [11.13.24]

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

48

1	A. I heard people saying that he was the Krouch Chhmar district
2	committee, as he was in charge <> <of> the entire Krouch Chhmar</of>
3	district. <he a="" also="" commander.="" many="" military="" soldiers="" td="" was="" were<=""></he>
4	under his command.>
5	Q. Do you know what was his Zone of origin? And when he was
6	appointed?
7	A. When the Southwest group came from the other side of the river
8	to liberate the area in the East Zone, then the East Zone cadres
9	scattered, and from that point onward, he was <appointed> as the</appointed>
10	district committee <during control="" southwest="" the="">. And that's</during>
11	when the killing started.
12	Q. In E3/5195, your record of interview, you made mention of Kob
13	Sath, and this is what you stated. And the ERN in French is as
14	follows: <00274718>; ERN in Khmer, 00004429; and <ern> in</ern>
15	English, 00242095. "Kob Sath then became village chief, in spite
16	of his Cham race. The situation <under his="" leadership=""> got worse</under>
17	progressively. We were neither authorized to <pray> nor to</pray>
18	<speak> the Cham language." End of quote. Now, did that situation</speak>
19	deteriorate only in 1978?
20	[11.16.26]
21	A. No, the situation intensified in 1978, until 1979 that is,
22	until the day of the liberation by the <samdech> prime minister.</samdech>
23	<only back="" did="" go="" normal.="" situation="" the="" then="" to="" until=""></only>
24	Q. Are you sure that Kob Sath was appointed village chief only in
25	1978?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

49

1	A. It happened in 1978. That <was> when they started <dislocating< th=""></dislocating<></was>
2	and> killing people. And as I said, he didn't work there for
3	long. He only worked for a few months, and then he was taken away
4	and killed.
5	Q. Do you know whether Seng had other duties outside of his
б	functions as district <secretary>, as you pointed out?</secretary>
7	A. No, I don't. However, I saw him riding his motorbike every day
8	at that time, and he issued instructions to soldiers.
9	Q. Still document <e3 5195="">, document 00274718 in French; ERN in</e3>
10	English, 00242095; and <ern in=""> Khmer, <00204430>. And this is</ern>
11	what you state; <you <in="" book="" by="" details="" more="" mr.<="" provide="" td="" the=""></you>
12	Osman who> relates what you said <in paragraph="" sixth="" the="">: "Seng</in>
13	was at the same time military chief of the commune and commune
14	chief." End of quote. Does that refresh your memory?
15	[11.19.22]
16	A. Yes, it does refresh my memory. And what you read out is
17	correct.
18	Q. When you were led to Trea, and you got there, did you know who
19	was in charge of Trea? Who was the village chief?
20	A. I <did> not know who <> the village chief of Trea <was> at the</was></did>
21	time. <when arrived,="" we=""> I saw many soldiers stationed in the</when>
22	village, and I saw comrade Seng going backwards and forwards on
23	his motorbike to Trea village.
24	Q. If I properly understood your testimony, you stated that when
25	you got to Trea village, you were separated <from the=""> women and</from>

50

1	young girls. Did I understand you correctly?
2	A. Yes, that is correct. At the mosque, we were instructed to sit
3	there for a while, and then we were separated into different
4	groups: the <group of=""> men, <the group="" of="" women,=""> the <group of=""></group></the></group>
5	single unmarried <girls>, and <small children="" stayed="" td="" their<="" with=""></small></girls>
б	mothers in> the women's group <>. <we all="" separated.="" were=""></we>
7	[11.21.16]
8	Q. You stated that <afterwards> you <> came out of the mosque,</afterwards>
9	and you were led to <a> traditional house. Do you know whether
10	women remained in the mosque?

A. Men were taken out first, and the women's group were allowed 11 12 to remain -- or were ordered to remain in front of the mosque, 13 although some of them wanted to go with the men but they were prohibited from going. < Women were not allowed to go with the 14 15 men, and from then on, I never saw my sister again.> I did not 16 know where they took those women to. They disappeared. As for men, we were then tied up, <and kept> under <a> house. 17 18 Q. Let us now talk about the time when you <were inside> the 19 traditional house on stilts. You said that there were many houses 20 <in the vicinity>. My question is: how far was the nearest house 21 to the house in which you were held in custody? 22 A. The distance, or the gap, from one house to another was 23 between two metres <and> three metres or four metres. And you 24 could see through the cracks of the wall of people in the 25 adjacent house as rows of houses were built along the riverbank

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

51

1 in Trea village. <We could see each other through windows.>

2 [11.23.30]

Q. If the houses were built in rows, would I be right in saying that you could speak to people who were in houses to the left of your house, or to the right of your house? In which case, you were <only> able to communicate with people <who were two houses away from where you were>?

8 A. Yes, that is correct. We were not allowed to speak to one 9 another, <> among us within one house. We were warned by soldiers 10 not to speak to one another, let alone speaking to others in the 11 adjacent houses. <Those soldiers stayed underneath the house to 12 make sure that we did not speak to one another.>

Q. Mr. It Sen, I'm putting this question to you because I understood from your answer to the Prosecutor that you did say that you knew that there were Cham in all the other houses, because you were able to communicate with them. Should I therefore understand from what you've just said that you were not able to communicate with the people, <except for those> who were <closest> to you?

20 [11.25.19]

A. When we were walked by soldiers from the mosque, we could speak to one another. <After being led from the mosque,> I was asked <by other Cham people> where I was from, and I said we came from Ampil village. <They were the Cham people detained> in the <same> house <who asked me that, but he asked me when we were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

52

	52
1	taken from the mosque. Again, that was the only time we could
2	talk to one another>.
3	Q. So if I understand your answer correctly, it means that from
4	the time when you arrived in the house, you could no longer speak
5	to people in the other houses; is that correct? You were not able
б	to talk to them anymore?
7	A. Yes, that is correct. We could not communicate with one
8	another in the same house, nor to others in the adjacent houses.
9	Q. You also stated that, at the time of your flight, it was dark
10	and raining. And that is what made it possible for you to flee
11	without being noticed by the soldiers. Did I properly understand
12	your testimony?
13	A. Yes, that is correct. At that time, it was dark and it was
14	raining. And that was a chance for me to slip through, and to
15	slip away.
16	[11.27.22]
17	Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, you also
18	explained that since it was dark and raining, when you arrived
19	next to a pile of clothes, you did not see the clothes, but you
20	kind of felt that they were there; is that correct?
21	A. Yes, that is correct. I felt <for> the clothes that is,</for>
22	after I crawled from the house. And actually, I felt and touched
23	my water container amongst the piles of the clothes. So once I
24	touched the water container, I realized that <the> pile of</the>
25	clothes <was actually="" ours="">.</was>

53

Q. I ask for this clarification because in Ysa Osman's <book>, document E3/9334, this is what you stated: <"At midnight"- pardon me,> let me give the ERNs: in French, 00274725; <ERN> in English, 00204443; ERN in Khmer, 00204438. <>

5 [11.29.24]

"At midnight <I noticed"- I imagine it is,> "having noticed that б 7 the Khmer Rouge were resting, <in order to eat and> drink, I came out <of the tuft, crawling towards the river bank. When I got to 8 9 the river bank, I saw a big pile of clothes. I <crawled> across 10 those clothes. I later <got to the edge of the> river., <All of a 11 sudden> I saw a <parcel> of clothes <that belonged> to my family. 12 And it was my wife who had been in charge of <that parcel> when 13 we <split up>. I therefore <concluded> that my wife and child were dead. And I also saw a <jerrycan> next to that. It was also 14 15 mine. I took it, and walked into the river with it." The French 16 translation is very bad. "I <used it like a float to drift down 17 the river>." End of quote.<> My question to you is as follows: is 18 it correct that what, < really> happened was that you caught a 19 glimpse of a pile of clothes, and then you swam to flee. And 20 <><since> you <never saw> your wife and child <again>, <you 21 assumed that they> were dead, <but this> has nothing to do with 22 the <excerpt> I've just read out to you? 23 [11.31.09]

A. I was sure about that. <That is correct. It reflects what Iactually said>

54

1	Q. Let me put the question to you again, because I think there
2	was a misunderstanding. So, <i'll again.="" start=""> I read out to you</i'll>
3	an excerpt in which it is indicated that you recognized the
4	clothes from your family. But here before the Chamber, you said
5	that you had not seen the clothes. So can you tell me which is
б	the true story?
7	A. I touched the clothes and <felt the=""> water container <there as<="" td=""></there></felt>
8	well>. I did not know whose clothes was it<; however,> I
9	recognized that the container <was mine,=""> because there was a</was>
10	string tied to that container. <i came="" conclusion="" td="" that<="" the="" to=""></i>
11	since my water container was there with the clothes, the clothes
12	could be ours as well. That's all I know regarding the piles of
13	clothes> where I crawled to.
14	[11.32.36]
15	MS. GUISSE:
16	Mr. President, I am done with this line of questioning, so it
17	might be a good time to break for lunch.
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Thank you. It is now lunch break. The Chamber will take a break
20	from now until 1.30, to resume our hearing.
21	Court officer, please find a proper room for this witness during
22	the lunch break, and please invite him back into the courtroom
23	before the Chamber, at 1.30.
24	Security personnel are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan back
25	to the holding cell downstairs, and please have him returned into

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

55

- 1 the courtroom before 1.30.
- 2 The Court is now in recess.
- 3 (Court recesses from 1133H to 1332H)
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please be seated.
- 6 The Court is back in session and the floor is now given to the
- 7 defence team for Mr. Khieu Samphan to resume her line of
- 8 questioning. You may now proceed.
- 9 BY MS. GUISSÉ:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. It Sen. I still
- 11 have a few questions to put to you before I give the floor to my
- 12 colleague, Kong Sam Onn.
- 13 Q. During your interview with the investigators of the OCIJ in
- 14 July 2008, is it true that Mr. Ysa Osman was also present?
- 15 MR. IT SEN:
- 16 A. Yes, he was there at my house.
- 17 [13.34.15]
- 18 Q. Is it true also that you had met him before <that> interview?
- 19 A. Yes, that is true. I met him once already before that time.
- 20 Q. And do you remember the date when you met him?
- 21 A. I cannot recall the date. I met him -- I spent one whole day
- 22 from the morning until the evening talking to him.
- 23 Q. And if I tell you the date <of> March 2001, does that refresh
- 24 your memory?
- 25 A. Yes, I agree to what you said.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

56

1	Q. You said that you had spent the entire day with him. Did he
2	contact you again after this meeting in 2001 to cross-check the
3	account that you had given to him?
4	A. I told him what I know<, and what I have experienced.>
5	Q. Between the first meeting and the moment when you saw him with
6	the investigators of the OCIJ, did you see him again?
7	A. No, it was the only one time that I met him; once at Ampil
8	village and <the other=""> one at <ropeak>.</ropeak></the>
9	Q. A last point of clarification now. Regarding the people who
10	<held> responsibilities between '75 and '79 in your district, do</held>
11	you know a surname Ban Siek alias Ho?
12	A. No, I do not know this person.
13	[13.37.30]
14	Q. And my last series of questions now. Do you know a Cham by the
15	name of No Satas?
16	A. No. No Satas, I do not know this person either. Perhaps we are
17	not living in the same village.
18	Q. Do you know a person by the name of Ahmad Sofiyah?
19	A. No, I do not know either, Ahmad Sofiyah.
20	Q. And finally, do you know a <certain> <suf or="" romly=""> Yusuf</suf></certain>
21	Romly alias Ly?
22	A. I do not know the individual you are referring to, <suf> Romly</suf>
23	or Ly.
24	MS. GUISSÉ:
25	Thank you for these answers. And I will now give the floor to my

57

- 1 colleague Kong Sam Onn.
- 2 QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 Q. <Thank you.> Mr. Sen, I have a few questions in relation to 4 the holy text of the Koran. Do you hold any position in relation 5 to your religion?
- 6 MR. IT SEN:

7 A. No, I have no position. But I was tasked with taking care of8 the mosque <within my village>.

9 [13.39.45]

10 Q. Thank you. This morning in relation to your statement --11 rather, my apology. Yesterday, at around 16.03.43, you were asked 12 by Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties in relation to the killing of 13 a woman<. <During the incident,> the woman was tied to a plank 14 <of wood with her face down to the ground, and then they slit her</pre> 15 throat, threw her> body <> into <a> pit. Your answer at that time -- you stated that "I have never heard -- I did not witness the 16 17 incident but I heard people say that she was beaten and thrown 18 into the pit. That is why I said I do not know about this 19 incident." I want you to clarify what you said yesterday. Did you 20 witness this incident or did you hear about the incident from 21 someone else? 22 A. I did not witness -- I did not see the pit, but I was told 23 that there was a pit close to the wall. It was a big pit <next to 24 the wall of the pagoda in Trea village; however, I saw people > 25 taken <towards> the pit <at night>.

58

1	Q. Mr. Witness, I want to interrupt you. I would like you to
2	clarify a point in relation to a woman who was tied to a plank
3	<of wood=""> and her neck was cut. You were asked <of incident="" this=""></of></of>
4	by <the> Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties yesterday, so I need</the>
5	<to for="" seek=""> your clarification <on> whether you witnessed this</on></to>
6	incident or you only heard from someone about the incident.
7	A. I did not witness that incident by myself.
8	[13.42.50]
9	Q. Thank you. Who told you <about incident="" the="">? <from whom=""> did</from></about>
10	you hear this incident<>?
11	A. Those who were <detained> with me <told about="" me="" th="" the<=""></told></detained>
12	incident>. I did not know whether <or not=""> the <> incident</or>
13	<actually at="" place="" took=""> that time.</actually>
14	Q. Do you know that individual's name?
15	A. No, I do not<. All> of them <were> taken away and killed.</were>
16	Q. Thank you. I would like to ask you about the events at Trea
17	village. You made mention that you were arrested and placed in a
18	house near the river. And you also stated that the distance from
19	the house where you were detained to the river bank was about 50
20	metres away. Could you once again tell the size of the house that
21	you were detained and what did that house look like?
22	A. It was a traditional house, a stilt house <with of<="" rows="" th="" three=""></with>
23	pillars>. And it was 11 metres long and 6 metres wide.
24	[13.44.45]
25	Q. Thank you. How about windows, how many windows?

59

1	A. Two windows <on> each side. And there were <two> doors<: one></two></on>
2	in the front and <the one="" other=""> at the back. And doors and</the>
3	windows were locked at <the> time <> I was detained <there>.</there></the>
4	Q. So now, from my understanding, there were <two> windows on</two>
5	each side and there were two doors as well. So now concerning the
б	windows, so there were four windows on two windows on one side
7	and <> two windows on <the other=""> side; is that correct?</the>
8	A. Yes, that is correct.
9	Q. Thank you. A while ago, you stated that there was one door in
10	the front and <the other=""> one at the back. Besides the windows</the>
11	and the doors, were there any <other> windows and doors on <any></any></other>
12	side of the <house>?</house>
13	A. No. My apology; there was only one door in the front; no back
14	door. <there one="" only="" was=""> door <> in the front<, and two windows</there>
15	on each side of the house.>
16	Q. Thank you. You have stated that doors and windows were locked
17	completely <once brought="" in="" were="" you="">. What about the walls, what</once>
18	was the wall made of?
19	A. The wall they were made out of <planks of="" wood=""> and there</planks>
20	were cracks in between the planks. And there were cracks and
21	holes that <one> could see what happened inside the house.</one>
22	[13.47.16]
23	Q. Thank you. Could you tell the Court the size of the cracks <or <math="">\</or>
24	holes> that you could <see> through<>?</see>
25	The second should be added between my have firstering and T are 1.3 mere

25 A. It was about the size between my two fingers and I could see

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

60

1	the <killings place="" that="" took=""> outside through the cracks.</killings>
2	Q. You showed to all of us that the size of the cracks were the
3	of the size between my two fingers. Could you give an estimate
4	<as to=""> how large <> the cracks <were>?</were></as>
5	A. <the> microphone <is activated="" not="" yet="">.</is></the>
б	Q. <> Could you specify it in centimetres? <or compare<="" could="" td="" you=""></or>
7	the size of the cracks or holes to the size of any of your
8	fingers>?
9	<a. about="" big.="" holes="" the="" this="" were=""></a.>
10	<q. comparison<="" could="" for="" in="" record,="" size="" specify="" td="" the="" their="" you=""></q.>
11	with your fingers? Were they as big as your fingers, little
12	finger or thumb?>
13	A. I could not get your question. What do you want to know from
14	me <regarding between="" cracks="" holes="" of="" or="" planks="" the="" wood="">?</regarding>
15	<could question?="" repeat="" you="" your=""></could>
16	[13.48.55]
17	Q. You raised your <fingers in="" order="" show="" to=""> to us the size of</fingers>
18	the cracks. <can be="" more="" specific?="" you=""> So now could you tell the</can>
19	Court whether the cracks were the size of which finger on your
20	hands?
21	A. <the any="" as="" big="" cracks="" fingers.<="" holes="" not="" of="" or="" our="" td="" were=""></the>
22	The> size <was as="" big=""> as the the space between my two</was>
23	fingers.
24	Q. Now, if compare to your thumb, was the were the cracks of
25	your thumb size? <was bigger="" it="" or="" smaller?=""></was>

61

1	A. The cracks were <actually> smaller compared to <half any<="" of="" th=""></half></actually>
2	of> my fingers or thumbs.
3	Q. Thank you. You stated that the distance from the house to the
4	river bank was about 50 metres, were there any trees in front of
5	the house?
6	A. There was a large tree <next bank="" river="" the="" to="">. And there</next>
7	were <several> bamboo <bushes> as well there were bamboo trees</bushes></several>
8	<in front="" of=""> the house. <in a="" fact,="" in<="" pier="" td="" there="" was=""></in></in>
9	front of the house where people came to take a boat to cross to
10	the other side of the river>.
11	Q. What about vegetables <or fruit="" trees?="" were=""> there any</or>
12	vegetables or fruit trees?
13	A. No. There were only bamboo <bushes> along the river bank and</bushes>
14	close to the house.
15	Q. And how large were the bamboo <bushes>?</bushes>
16	A. The bamboo <bushes> were rather large; it's about some of</bushes>
17	them were about three <or four=""> metres long. <there many<="" td="" were=""></there></or>
18	bamboo bushes along the river bank.>
19	[13.51.42]
20	Q. Thank you. Could you tell the Court where were you sitting in
21	the house? You made mention yesterday that you were standing in
22	the rear that made you be able to untie the rope and made an
23	escape <without alerting="" anyone="" else="" house="" in="" the="">. Did you sit</without>
24	or stand in one particular place, or were you able to move around
25	in the house?

62

A. It was about 7.30 or 8 p.m. that night when the military or 1 2 soldiers came into the house. I was at the back behind others. 3 The soldiers were tightening the ropes <as some of the ropes went loose. I then went to relieve myself by the wall. When I 4 5 returned, I saw that they had already checked on the ropes of half of the detainees. I pretended to look at what they were б 7 doing, but I was actually trying to loosen the rope.> I was 8 <then> able to untie the rope and <went to the back. I> slid open 9 the planks so that I could flee. So those who were in front of me 10 could not see what I was doing at that time. 11 Q. Mr. Witness, during the day of -- during the <day of your 12 arrest>, where <exactly in the house> were you sitting? 13 A. We were sitting close to one another <leaning against the 14 wall> in the house, and our hands were tied to a rope. 15 [13.53.41]16 Q. You stated <earlier on> that there were many of you inside the 17 house. Does it mean you were sitting <against the wall> in the 18 front -- inside the house in the front, near the door or at the 19 back? < Or were you sitting against the wall on any side of the 20 house?> So where <exactly> were you <sitting> at that time? 21 A. I was sitting on <the left> side <of the wall> -- rather, <and 22 it was towards> the <other half> back of the house<, while others were sitting on the left wall, but towards the other half front 23 24 of the house. So> I was sitting <against> the wall on the left 25 side <of the house>.

63

1	Q. Thank you. <and when="" you=""> stated that you could see <> boats</and>
2	the boat <taking downstream,="" people=""> where <exactly> were you</exactly></taking>
3	at that time<>?
4	A. I was inside that house<, I was detained with others>.
5	Q. <i but="" house,="" in="" know="" the="" were="" you=""> I want to know the</i>
б	position where you were sitting in the house. So could you tell
7	the Court about the position, the exact position where you were
8	sitting in the house?
9	A. I was sitting close to the wall and I told others to look
10	through the cracks, and we could see the boat <and being<="" people="" th=""></and>
11	taken into the river> through the cracks of the walls. All of us
12	inside the house could see the boat.
13	Q. Did you see the boat clearly? You have just told the Court
14	that there were <four> bamboo <bushes> close to the house.</bushes></four>
15	A. The bamboo trees did not block <the from="" house="" th="" the="" the<="" to="" view=""></the>
16	river. The view between the pier and the house was clear. The
17	pier area was between 7 metres and 10 metres big, and one could
18	see clearly from the house to the pier, and vice versa.> And the
19	bamboo <bushes> were <actually next=""> to the <house. th="" there="" was<=""></house.></actually></bushes>
20	only a tree stump in the> west of the house.
21	[13.56.40]
22	Q. <i clarification="" get="" like="" point<="" regarding="" th="" the="" to="" would="" your=""></i>
23	you> stated that you could see the boat towing people the whole
24	day, entire day, and that <> you were sitting at the <half> back</half>
25	of the house. So <where did="" in="" order="" position="" th="" to<="" you="" yourself=""></where>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

б4

watch the incident>? 1 2 A. I was sitting close to the wall. I could see the whole 3 incident when the people were < taken off the house, with blindfold and> tied -- their hands were tied<, and they walked 4 to> the boat. Those people <were then ripped of their clothes, 5 and all of them> -- 30 of them -- were <attached to one another б 7 through> a rope. I <witnessed the incident being unfolded before 8 my very eyes. I am saying this before the Quran.> 9 Q. Thank you. Now I want to know about the time when you were 10 able to flee the house. And you stated that it was raining while 11 you were fleeing. Could you tell the Court <in which month> it 12 was <in 1978> when you fled the house<>? A. I cannot recall the month exactly. It was raining during -- on 13 14 that <night>. I cannot tell you the exact month when I <managed> 15 to flee. 16 [13.58.32]17 Q. What about the river, the water in the river -- the <level> of 18 the water in the river <?> You stated that you had a water 19 container and you filled the water in that container. So can you 20 tell <the Chamber> about the <level> of the water during the 21 time? 22 A. <Regarding the water level>, I could see <from the house> the 23 boat <on the river>. And the water was <high, but> not <very> 24 high in that season.

25 Q. During that time, was <the water rising or receding>?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

65

- 1 A. During that time, the water was receding.
- 2 Q. What about the water flow, did the water in the river flow
- 3 very fast?
- 4 A. Yes, the water <>in front of Trea <village had strong5 current>.
- 6 Q. So how long did you -- how many kilometres or metres did you 7 swim during that time when you went into the river?
- 8 A. I cannot remember how many kilometres that I had to swim.
- 9 However, <> I left <> around 12.30 midnight<, and> I arrived <in
- 10 Kampong Treas> at around 4 o'clock in the morning. So I cannot
- 11 estimate how many kilometres that I had swum.
- 12 [14.01.04]
- 13 Q. Did you actually swim across the river or did you swim along 14 the river<>?
- A. I was actually swimming in the middle of the river. There was an island in the middle of the river <in front of Kampong Treas> village<, and I rested on the island.>
- 18 Q. What was the distance from the middle of the river to the 19 embankment?
- 20 A. I can only provide you <with> an estimate. It was pretty far,
- 21 it could be <between three and> four <> kilometres long. However,
- 22 this is a very rough estimate.
- Q. I'd like to put my last question to you in relation to the
 event that took place at Kaoh Phal. This morning at around 09.47,
 you testified about the preparation by soldiers for the attack at

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

66

1	Kaoh Phal, and later on, a fight broke out. Could you tell the
2	Court how long or how many days <those> soldiers prepared</those>
3	themselves in the advancement of the fight?
4	[14.02.43]
5	A. I do not know for sure as for how long. My elder sibling told
6	me that soldiers many soldiers arrived at Kaoh Phal by boat.
7	However, I did not know how many days they had spent.
8	Q. Can you confirm whether you learnt of the event at Kaoh Phal
9	via your elder brother?
10	A. Yes. In fact, I learned <of> it <from> my elder in-law. Since</from></of>
11	at that time, I was living in Ampil and my elder in-law fled to
12	my <house ampil="" in=""> village<, and told me about the event.></house>
13	Q. So am I correct to say that you never witnessed what took
14	place in Kaoh Phal?
15	A. Yes, that is correct. I did not witness it personally.
16	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
17	Thank you, Mr. Witness. And Mr. President, I am done. Thank you.
18	[14.03.54]
19	MR. PRESIDENT:
20	Thank you. The hearing of the testimony of Mr. It Sen is now
21	concluded. And Mr. It Sen, the Chamber is grateful of your
22	testimony as a witness for the last two days. Your testimony may
23	contribute to ascertaining the truth in this case. You may now be
24	excused and return to <your or="" residence=""> wherever you wish to go</your>
25	to, and the Chamber wishes you all the best.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

67

1	Court officer, please in collaboration with WESU, make necessary
2	transportation arrangement for the witness to return to <his< td=""></his<>
3	residence or any destination where he wishes to go> and usher the
4	civil party, namely, 2-TCCP-244 into the courtroom.
5	(Civil party 2-TCCP-244 enters courtroom)
6	[14.06.35]
7	QUESTIONING BY MR. PRESIDENT:
8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Civil Party. What is your name?
9	MR. SOS MIN:
10	My name is Sos Ponyamin.
11	Q. Thank you, Mr. Sos Ponyamin. And when were you born?
12	A. I was born in 1954.
13	Q. Thank you. And where were you born?
14	A. I was born in Village 5, Svay Khleang commune, Krouch Chhmar
15	district, Kampong Cham province.
16	[14.07.30]
17	Q. <what> is your current address?</what>
18	A. I still live in the same native village. However, now it is in
19	Tboung Khmum province, rather than Kampong Cham.
20	Q. <thank you.=""> And what is your current occupation?</thank>
21	A. I am a rice farmer.
22	Q. What are the names of your father and mother?
23	A. My father is Sos Man and my mother is Ya Fatima (phonetic).
24	Q. Thank you. And what is your wife's name? And how many children
25	do you have together?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

68

- 1 A. My wife's name is Hak Fatima (phonetic) and we have seven
- 2 children.
- 3 [14.08.50]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:

5 Thank you. And Mr. Sos Ponyamin, at the conclusion of your 6 testimony as a civil party, you will be given an opportunity to 7 make an impact statement of suffering that were inflicted upon 8 you during the Democratic Kampuchea regime, if you wish to do so. 9 <The Chamber will grant you such an opportunity towards the very 10 end of your testimony.> And that's pursuant to Rule 91bis of the 11 ECCC Internal Rule.

12 The Chamber will hand the floor first to the Lead <Co-Lawyers> 13 for civil parties to put <questions> to this witness, Sos 14 Ponyamin <before the other Parties>. And the combined time for 15 both the Co-Prosecutors and the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties 16 are two sessions. You may proceed.

17 MR. PICH ANG:

18 Thank you, Mr. President. And good afternoon, Your Honours. I'd 19 like to assign Lor Chunthy, a civil party lawyer to put questions 20 to the civil party and then my colleague, Marie Guiraud, will 21 continue putting <further> questions<>.

22 [14.10.10]

23 MR. PRESIDENT:

24 Yes, you may proceed.

25 QUESTIONING BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY:

69

1	Good afternoon, Your Honours. Good afternoon, everyone in and
2	around the courtroom. My name is Lor Chunthy. I am a lawyer for
3	civil parties, and I actually <come> from the Legal Aid of</come>
4	Cambodia. And good afternoon, Mr. Ponyamin. I'd like to put some
5	questions to you in relation to the experience you went through
б	during the Democratic Kampuchea regime <between> 17 April 1975</between>
7	<and> 6 January 1979.</and>
8	Q. My first question is the following. Where were you after <>
9	1975? And were you ordered to leave your home <village>?</village>
10	MR. SOS MIN:
11	A. Allow me to respond to your question, Counsel. In fact, before
12	1975, I was still living in Svay Khleang village.
13	[14.12.02]
14	Q. And after 1975, were you still living in Svay Khleang village?
15	A. As I said, before 1975, I was living in Svay Khleang that
16	is, in my home village. And after 1975, I was evacuated to <svay< td=""></svay<>
17	Kambet village, Seda commune,> Dambae district in Kampong Cham
18	province.
19	Q. And before that, that is while you were still living in your
20	home village, what did the Khmer Rouge do to you and to other
21	
	Cham people there?
22	
22 23	Cham people there?
	Cham people there? A. While I was living in Svay Khlaeng village and I had lived

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

70

- 1 liberate the country; they respected our religion, and that
- 2 happened before 1975. However, everything changed after April
- 3 1975 -- that is, after the liberation of Phnom Penh.
- 4 [14.14.10]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Defence Counsel <Kong Sam Onn>, you may proceed.
- 7 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

Mr. President, thank you. I'd like to put a -- I'd like to submit 8 9 a question to you, to instruct the civil party in order to use a 10 proper language, not to use any inappropriate language. For example, the word "vea" in Khmer refers to an animal or to an 11 12 object and not a human being. And here, before this Court, we have an Accused who is charged to be a leader of the Democratic 13 Kampuchea regime. For that reason, it seems to be a <> word of 14 15 insult in nature when the civil party used the word "vea" toward 16 the regime. <Thank you.>

17 MR. LOR CHUNTHY:

18 I'd like to respond, Mr. President. The way Mr. Ponyamin says it 19 is very dialect. It's a kind of slang used by him.

- 20 [14.15.44]
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:

And Counsel, if you know the way your client speaks or says, then please make your question short and instruct him to respond precisely to the point, otherwise it will be a waste of time. And the Chamber instructs you to be objective-oriented.>

71

1 And Mr. Civil Party -- Mr. Ponyamin, please adhere to proper 2 language. Here you are present in the court of law and you should not use any inappropriate words that might have an impact or 3 might affect other individuals. Please, show your respect in this 4 courtroom. Thank you. 5 BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY: б 7 Thank you. And Mr. Civil Party, the Chamber has just instructed 8 you to please use appropriate language with respect. Q. Before the interruption, you spoke about what happened in your 9

10 village. Can you please tell the Court what happened during those
11 first few months in your village?

- 12 [14.17.17]
- 13 MR. SOS MIN:

A. In 1975, the regime started to impose <restrictions> on our religion, <forced consumption of> pork, and <daily prayers and fasting, and many more things that were harmful to the Cham identities>. The restriction was imposed also on the food ration compounded by hard manual labour. And that made us very difficult to survive.

Q. You just spoke about the restrictions imposed by the Khmer Rouge. <Did they also impose restrictions on the communication in the Cham> language <as well in addition> to your religious practice?

A. Allow me to clarify it. The restriction was for us problematicsince we were not allowed to speak the Cham language. And the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

72

1	restrictions applied almost to every aspects of life: on food, on
2	clothing, and women Cham women were forced to cut their hair
3	short. So the way of our living was extremely difficult.
4	[14.19.39]
5	Q. So you said they imposed restrictions on your community. And
6	now in terms of food, were you forced to eat food that you should
7	not?
8	A. We were forced to eat the food that we could not eat. And if
9	we did not eat, we would be accused of not giving up to our
10	religious practice. And that would be subject to be monitored. If
11	we opposed any of the principles they imposed, then we would be
12	accused of being an enemy of Angkar.
13	Q. Were there clear instructions as <to> how you should act or</to>
14	should perform?
15	A. Please be more specific in your question.
16	Q. Thank you. What I mean is that, were there meetings held in
17	your village, for instance, where instructions were told, where
18	restrictions were informed, for instance?
19	A. They had their principles and instructions. And whenever they
20	wanted to impose those instructions, we would be called to <>
21	meetings so that those instructions would be relayed, and
22	subsequently imposed. Usually, the cooperative chief or the
23	commune chief would present such impositions.
24	[14.22.30]

25 Q. Please direct your response to the Bench when you answer my

73

1 questions. So when such meetings were held where instructions or 2 restrictions were announced, what would happen to anyone who 3 violated those regulations or restrictions? A. Allow me to inform the Chamber that as I just said, if anyone 4 violated <any of the principles>, the person would be accused of 5 being enemy. For that reason, people were tied up and arrested б 7 almost every night. I can say that out of the 30 days in a month, <there were at least> 20 days where people were arrested and 8 9 tied. <Between four and ten people were arrested every night.> So 10 it is my understanding that those people who were arrested were 11 accused of violating their regulations or restrictions. And 12 usually, they came to arrest those people at night-time and put 13 them on a horse cart and took them away. Q. Mr. Civil Party, please try to avoid and try to refrain from 14 15 using the word "vea". You should refer to a particular person, 16 for example, a commune chief or village chief. Thank you. 17 So you said people were arrested. And who made those arrests? And 18 where were those arrested sent to? <How were they treated?> 19 A. I am sorry, Mr. President. I try my best not to use the word 20 "vea", but it's very difficult for me to make a change suddenly 21 as I have used the word throughout my life. I know he is being --22 he is under protection by law, <and I am asked to correct myself 23 for just using the inappropriate term. But> we also have to 24 remember that he killed <> people during the Democratic Kampuchea 25 regime at his own discretion. < It is his luck. But it was a very

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

25

[14.27.05]

74

1 bad luck for the Cambodian people.>

2 [14.25.21]

3 Q. Mr. Civil Party, please respond to my question.

A. <My apologies>, Mr. President. I <have> never been in a 4 courtroom before, so please <do not> mind my words. 5 And Mr. Counsel, please repeat your question. I am lost. б 7 Q. You just stated that people were arrested, and my question to 8 you was the following: Who actually arrested those people and where were they sent to? <And what was done to those people?> 9 10 A. <Your Honours,> I <just knew that> those soldiers -- who those 11 soldiers <came to> arrested people. I < witnessed either commune 12 militia or> district <security personnel came to arrest people, 13 but> I <had no impression> that they were <taking those people 14 away and> killed. < They said they were taking those people to be 15 detained in the district security centre. I had direct knowledge 16 of this; however, I can not solemnly claim that those people were 17 taken to be killed. Anyway, if those people had not been killed, 18 they would have returned.> I never saw them again. And if they 19 were to survive, they would be <over> 100 years old by now. <I 20 have never seen them return.> So it is common sense that they 21 died. <I'd rather leave it to the Chamber to analyse this 22 matter.> But legally speaking, I cannot say that they were taken 23 away and killed because I did not witness it. < This is something 24 I want to clarify.>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

75

Q. Can you please tell the Court what kinds of people were 1 2 arrested or what mistakes did they make that led to <their 3 arrest>? A. I cannot tell the Chamber what mistakes those people made. And 4 5 importantly, we could not say whether <or not anyone had committed any wrongdoing>. If they wanted to arrest someone, they б 7 would do so. <To me,> those people <committed no wrongdoing>. 8 Some of them never even knew Phnom Penh or they could not even 9 count <from> one to 10, but instead they were accused of being a 10 military colonel. < And for this reason, it was hard for me to say 11 whether or not they had committed any wrongdoing. It made no 12 sense to me. Again, once they decided to arrest someone, they would do so at their own discretion.> 13 14 Q. <Thank you.> So you just stated those people were arrested and sent to be detained at a district, and which district <were> you 15 16 referring to? 17 A. They were sent to the <security> centre of Krouch Chhmar 18 district. 19 Q. <Than you.> So that's what happened in your village -- that 20 is, people were arrested. And can you tell the Court whether 21 later on <people stood together against them>? 22 [14.29.32]23 A. <Your Honours, at> that stage, in fact, we gathered around and 24 there were about seven of us. Due to the extremely difficult 25 living condition and we were thinking that if we did not revolt

25

76

1 and <if> we did not do anything, then our days would come, that's 2 when we would be taken away and killed. For that reason, we 3 gathered up amongst the seven of us and we organized a revolt -that is the plan. We knew that we would be killed anyway because 4 we did not have any weapons to attack them, and that we would be 5 shot dead. < We thought that being shot dead was a better option б 7 compared to being taken away and killed. As a result, we came together and planned> a revolt <against them>. 8 9 Q. Within your group, who actually initiated the gathering of the 10 forces <for> the revolt? A. I was - <Loeb Vanmat> (phonetic)<, Sleh> Tam (phonetic)<, and 11 12 I> were spearheading the gathering of the group. <The three of us> gathered up to seven people, including us. And before our 13 plan broke out I learnt that that night, 80 people <in the 14 15 village> would be arrested. As we knew that they -- throughout 16 the day we knew those people were being asked whether they knew 17 about the Holy Koran. And at night time, there was a plan for 18 their arrest. 19 [14.32.02]20 Q. And how did you come to know about the plan for the arrest of the 80 people? Who were those 80 people? To me, it's unclear from 21 22 what you just said. 23 A. Allow me to expand it a little bit because this event took 24 place many, many years ago. And I try to piece together the

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript. The corrections are based on the audio recordings in the source language and may differ from verbatim interpretation in the relay and target languages.

information. That day was the last day of the Ramadan period, the

77

Raja day. We were not allowed to celebrate anything, any of our 1 2 holy day. But it was rather strange, that day -- that is, on the 3 Raja day, we were allowed to celebrate our holy day. <We were allowed to slaughter cows. During the ceremony, there were 4 5 leaders in prayers.> And those people did not know at all that they were being monitored. <Actually, they were trying to б 7 identify prominent Cham leaders in the village. Since we were not 8 aware of their plan, > we celebrated the Raja day that day. And at 9 night time, <I learned from> my <cousin Sleh Tam (phonetic) who> worked for the <village> youth<...> <He had attended> a meeting 10 11 <>, and <he> said that there was a plan to arrest the 80 12 infiltrated enemies <in the village> that night. And that's how I learnt about the arrest. 13 Q. <Thank you.> You stated that they allowed all of you to 14 15 celebrate the Raja or Ramadan on that day. <At a later stage, did 16 you plan how you would revolt? Were there any concrete plans on 17 how to stage the revolt? And at what scale did you plan to 18 revolt? Did you think of the consequences of the revolt if it failed?> 19 20 [14.34.25]A. From my understanding, <I believed> that I would not achieve 21 22 what I wanted. But in my mind, if I did not do it or revolt<, as 23 mentioned earlier,> I would be killed <anyway. One of the main reason of the revolt was> to collect the <lists> of <the names of 24

25 the> 80 people to be arrested and burn <them down>. So <without

78

1	the lists, it would> be <hard be="" for="" identify="" them="" to="" was="" who=""></hard>
2	arrested. <eventually, arrest="" managed="" only="" people.<="" td="" they="" to="" two=""></eventually,>
3	Hak> Mat (phonetic) <whose name=""> was <on> the list <was also="" td="" with<=""></was></on></whose>
4	my group. They did not manage to arrest him in time. So during
5	the revolt, I headed for their office which was located next to
б	my house. I collected all the lists of names of people to be
7	arrested, and burned them down. My cousin Hak Mat was on the list
8	of people to be arrested as well. However, only a man whose
9	surname was> Tes (phonetic) and <sos him=""> (phonetic) had been</sos>
10	arrested by them at that time. And because of the arrest, the
11	revolt took place<; and they decided to withdraw>.
12	Q. Thank you. Regarding the incident, when did it happen, what
13	year was it, what month was it? <was any="" there=""> fighting <> at</was>
14	that time?
15	A. It happened in the night of 10 October 1975.
16	Q. Where did the <revolt break="" out="">? And how did it happen?</revolt>
17	[14.37.09]
18	A. The revolt took place in the night of 10 October 1975 during
19	which I was guarding <over> my <uncles> Ya Sleh (phonetic), Ya</uncles></over>
20	Ysa (phonetic), and Ya <mat> (phonetic) <for had="" i="" known=""> that</for></mat>
21	<on that=""> night <> my three uncles would be arrested. <the other<="" td=""></the></on>
22	day, the three men were summoned to a meeting and> Ya Sleh
23	(phonetic) and Ya Ysa (phonetic), and Ya <mat> (phonetic) <who></who></mat>
24	were siblings <were beeing="" for="" in="" reproach="" the=""> Lon Nol <army. in<="" td=""></army.></were>
25	fact,> My uncles <> did not know even Phnom Penh during that

79

1 time<; but they were accused as such>. I <decided to go and> 2 quard <over> my uncles <> that night. <Since nothing was 3 happening by 9:00 p.m., I then> invited my seven <other> friends and discussed with them that if we <were to remain> silent, we 4 would die the day after because they had the name list <of people 5 to be arrested; thus, we had to stage the revolt that night>. And б 7 <> my seven friends <then asked me> how to start the revolt. <> I told my seven friends to guard <over> my uncles, and I <went> to 8 9 collect the drum <alone. I then asked three other men to follow me, while the three others stayed behind to guard over my 10 uncles>. Since the mosque closed down, <> the drum was left with 11 12 Siek (phonetic), the man who looked after the mosque.> I went to collect the drum from Siek (phonetic) around 9.00 or 10.00 p.m.. 13 We finally got the drum. In the meantime, the commune militiamen 14 15 were also coming to collect the drum for they knew that if the 16 Cham people played drums, they would actually send a signal to 17 one another. We then ran into the commune militia along the way. 18 They pointed their flashlight into my face, and I noticed that they were carrying two rifles. I then decided to attack the men 19 20 with my knife, and it was the only option suitable for the 21 situation as they had two rifles and I had only one knife. I 22 tried to chop them, and since it was dark, they managed to slip 23 away>. My <apologies, Your Honours>, I am now still using the word "vea" 24

25 because I am not used to use the proper word. <It was not my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

80

- 1 intention to use the word.>
- 2 [14.40.07]

3 Q. Thank you. When you all encountered the militiamen, there was fighting and the revolt started at that time; is that correct? 4 A. At that time, I screamed to the one who was in charge of 5 <carrying the drum> to beat the drum. <After the drum was</pre> б 7 beaten, > everyone <from throughout the village > came <out > to help and the <revolt> started <> that night<, and it went on 8 9 until the evening of the following day, before the Khmer Rouge 10 soldiers surrounded us. In fact, there were some exchanges of gun 11 fires already on that night>.

Q. <What time did> the Khmer Rouge soldier come to surround all of you? <The revolt broke out at around 10 p.m. so when> was it -- what time was it <when> the Khmer Rouge came to surround all of you?

A. Regarding the Khmer Rouge soldiers, I did not know at that time where they were from. I <didn't> know either what districts <or what level> they were from. There <were exchanges> of <big and small arms until 5:00 p.m. on the following day. Bullets flew everywhere in the village. Again, I did not know> at that time whether the soldiers <came from> the <sector> or <> any districts or <the Centre. At that time, I just minded my own life>.

23 [14.42.20]

Q. <Were there any casualty after these armed clashes>?A. During the clashes, I noticed that many people -- many of us

1

81

2 in bushes <nearby>. 3 Q. Thank you. After the crackdown<>, what <else was done> to <the> villagers<, in general>? 4 A. After we were surrounded, <all of us including the adults, the 5 elderly, the children, men and women> were ordered to leave our б 7 <village. At a bridge so-called Preaek Cham, men> and women were 8 separated from each other. <As for men, we> were placed in 9 hospitals<,> schools<, and tobacco-drying buildings, and we were 10 tortured>. Hospitals during that time were quiet <as there were 11 no doctors, but only buildings>. As for women, they were placed 12 in a pagoda <so-called Khchork (phonetic) > under <banyan trees. 13 That was what happened>. Q. After men> were placed in various areas <like hospitals, 14 15 schools and tobacco-drying buildings>, were <they> interrogated 16 <and/or> tortured? 17 [14.44.50]18 A. Torture did happen in those places. We were put in those 19 places for further selection. Forty <or fifty> of us were put in 20 <each room in> school <building>. We were interrogated <about all</pre> 21 sorts of things> and <were stabbed with a> bayonet <on> our 22 necks<. We were interrogated twice per day. A soldier was 23 interrogating us, while the other one was pointing his bayonet on

died; however, I cannot tell you how many of us died. Some died

- 24 our neck. They asked us who did what, and who initiated the
- 25 revolt. We were asked all sorts of things that> I could not

82

1	recall all of them. <a> few days <after interrogation,<="" td="" the=""></after>
2	between 3 and 10 people from each room each and every day> were
3	taken away. For instance, <out of="" the=""> 50 Cham <men initially<="" td=""></men></out>
4	brought to each room, only five of them eventually came out alive
5	with me>.
6	Q. You stated that those people had been taken away, <did td="" you<=""></did>
7	know> where <they> were <> taken to?</they>
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	Please hold on, Mr. Witness, you have to observe the microphone
10	before you speak.
11	MR. SOS MIN:
12	A. I <can> tell you where they were <taken> to<, but I did not</taken></can>
13	know> the purpose of <their taking=""> during the time<; during the</their>
14	daytime, I saw them being> taken <to back="" tall<="" td="" the="" where=""></to>
15	Kokir trees were. They were also bringing along a hoe with them,
16	and they would return within several hours. I did not see any of
17	these things during the night>. I did not know what <was actually<="" td=""></was>
18	happening>.
19	[14.47.20]
20	BY MR. LOR CHUNTHY:
21	Q. <how food="" ration="" the="" was=""> given to those who were locked in</how>
22	<those places="">?</those>
23	MR. SOS MIN:
24	A. <each of="" us="" was=""> given a ladle of <gruel> per meal<, and it</gruel></each>
25	was equivalent to half a small bowl, but there were no rice

83

1 grains in it.> And the <gruel> was <kept> in a <plastic> 2 container <designed for storing gasoline, and> when the <gruel> 3 became less, they took the water from the river and filled in the container <just in order to have the smell of gruel>. I would 4 like to inform the Chamber that <during those 29 days, > I <did 5 not manage to taste any small bit of salt at all. We had only б 7 that thin gruel during the period. I> myself <also had to eat> grass. <When> I <asked them> to <go and> relieve myself, <along 8 9 the way, when those soldiers were not watching, > I would <grab 10 all sorts of> leaves <and> grass <to eat. Sometimes, I managed to grab some banana leaves and guava leaves, but I dared not eat in 11 12 front of them for fear that they would beat me. I hid> those leaves in my waistband. I <waited until nightfall before I could 13 14 eat> those leaves <in order to supplement to> the gruel 15 <provided>. 16 Q. <Were> your close <associates> who <helped you plan> the 17 revolt<> also arrested by the Khmer Rouge at that time? 18 A. <Sles Tam> (phonetic)<,> my close associate was arrested<, and 19 taken away> on the second day<. He had stayed there for only two 20 days before he was taken away. Loek Van Mat> (phonetic) survived 21 the period. He <just> passed away two or three years ago. 22 [14.49.36] 23 MR. PRESIDENT: Thank you, Civil Party Lawyer. It is now time for break. The 24

25 Chamber will take a short break from now until 3 o'clock.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

84

- 1 Court officer, please find a proper room for this civil party
- 2 during the break time and please invite him back into the witness
- 3 stand in the courtroom at 3 o'clock.
- 4 The Court is now in recess.
- 5 (Court recesses from 1450H to 1503H)
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Please be seated.
- 8 The Court is now back in session, and again, the floor is given
- 9 to the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties <for their line of
- 10 questioning to this civil party>. And for this afternoon we will
- 11 grant <the floor to> the Lead Co-Lawyers and the Co-Prosecutors
- 12 <> until 4.00 -- <4:10 p.m>.
- 13 You may proceed.
- 14 [15.03.53]
- 15 QUESTIONING BY MS. GUIRAUD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon to everyone. Good afternoon, Mr. Civil Party. I will have a few follow-up questions for you. And I would like us to start by revisiting a period prior to 1975. And I will put questions to you on your village and on the arrival of the Khmer Rouge in your village. My first question is as follows: Q. <Was> Village 5 <in. Svay Khleang (phonetic) where you were</p>

- 23 born <and resided>, a Cham village or a <mixed> village?
- 24 MR. SOS MIN:
- 25 A. <I can say that the majority of the people in that> village

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

85

- 1 <were the> Cham <ethnic group. Although it was adjacent to Khmer
- 2 villages, the whole villagers of> Village 5 <were the Cham
- 3 people>.
- 4 [15.05.03]
- 5 Q. Thank you. Do you recall the period and the date on which the6 Khmer Rouge arrived in Village 5?
- 7 A. No, I <don't>.
- Q. Can you tell us whether that was long before 1975, since you said it was an important date? And can you give us a rough idea? Otherwise, <I will> refresh your memory. Was it in 1972 or in 1973?
- 12 A. Please rephrase your question since I don't understand it.
- 13 Q. On what date did the Khmer Rouge arrive in your village? Was
- 14 that in 1972 or in 1973? Do you recall that?
- 15 A. I recall that it was in <late> 1973.
- 16 [15.06.50]
- 17 Q. Thank you. <> Were the village chiefs <or was your village

18 chief> - replaced when the Khmer Rouge arrived?

19 A. Please repeat your question; I don't get it.

20 Q. I will try to speak slowly. When the Khmer Rouge arrived in

21 late 1973 in your village, was the village chief changed?

22 A. The village chief title was used only during the Khmer Rouge

23 regime and under the Lon Nol administration such <a> title <did

24 not exist>.

25 Q. During what period was the first village chief appointed by

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

	86
1	the Khmer Rouge?
2	A. It was from the day that they entered our Village 5<, they
3	started setting up> their <own> administrative structure in the</own>
4	village.
5	Q. Do you recall who was appointed village chief?
6	A. I recall that Kao was <made a=""> village chief at the time. And</made>
7	later on Kao was taken away and killed.
8	[15.09.16]
9	Q. Was that person a Cham?
10	A. Kao was a Cham person.
11	Q. Do you know how many families were in Village 5 at the time?
12	A. Before 1975, there were 1,242 Cham families living in the
13	village.
14	<english-french interpreter:<="" th=""></english-french>
15	The interpreter did not grasp the exact figure given by the Civil
16	Party.>
17	Q. I will put the question to you again because the interpreters
18	did not translate the figure.
19	Mr. Civil Party, do you <recall> the number of families that</recall>
20	lived in Village 5, <in commune="" khleang="" svay="">?</in>
21	A. Before 1975, there were 1,242 families living in Village 5.
22	Q. How did you come by that information?
23	A. I learned of that information when I was asked to assist the
24	people who were preparing the statistics <>, and I saw the
25	figure<>.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

87

1 [15.11.42]2 Q. Do you recall on what date that census was carried out? 3 A. It occurred in 1974. Q. So if I understand you correctly, that census was conducted 4 when the Khmer Rouge were already in the village? Did I 5 understand correctly? б 7 A. Yes, that is correct. 8 Q. Thank you. A while ago in answer to a question put to you by my colleague, you stated that the 17 of April marked a turning 9 10 point in the manner in which the Khmer Rouge treated the Cham in 11 Village 5. I will put a <few> questions to you regarding what 12 happened before the 17 of April 1975 in order for us to <better 13 grasp> how the Khmer Rouge treated people in your village. Can you tell the Chamber whether specific measures were taken against 14 15 the Cham in Village 5 before April 1975? 16 A. In fact, I stated about this already during my testimony and 17 it's better for me not to repeat it. I actually told <Lawyer> Lor 18 Chunthy about the living condition of the Cham people <in Svay 19 Khleang village> prior to 1975. [15.14.11]20 21 Q. Was there a mosque in your village? <Was> there one or several 22 mosques in your village <at the time>? 23 A. Before 1975, there were two mosques in our Svay Khleang 24 <village> since there were many Cham people, so two mosques were 25 built to accommodate the congregations.

88

1	Q. Were those mosques closed subsequently?
2	A. After the liberation the Khmer Rouge dismantled the mosques.
3	Q. Thank you. I will now put a few very short follow-up questions
4	to you regarding the October 1975 revolt. You told my colleague,
5	Lor Chunthy, that there were seven of you at the head of that
б	movement. Can you tell us the names of those persons? And can you
7	also tell us whether those persons played <a> different role in
8	the revolt?
9	A. The other four individuals did not have any role; they were
10	very civilians and they were young. They <they were=""> about 17</they>
11	<or> 18 years old. <they any="" did="" have="" important="" not="" role.=""></they></or>
12	[15.16.30]
13	Q. So, do I take it then that there was a group of three people
14	of which you were a member and another group of four persons? If
15	I properly understood your testimony?
16	A. No, that is not correct. I already testified that the three of
17	us were the initiators. However, in total there were seven of us
18	in that group. So there was actually one group and not two
19	separate groups.
20	Q. Thank you, Mr. Civil Party. And I crave your indulgence <if <math="" i=""></if>
21	appear to be> putting repetitive questions to you, but
22	unfortunately we have not received all the information in the
23	interpretation we received. That is why I am asking you all these
24	questions <seeking clarification="">; <because> I simply did not</because></seeking>
25	understand all <the information="">.</the>

89

1	I would like to ask you now <to about="" talk=""> what happened to you</to>
2	after your arrest, <bearing been<="" have="" in="" mind="" td="" the="" time="" we=""></bearing>
3	allotted>. You told my colleague, Lor Chunthy, that you were
4	detained in a school with other persons who had participated in
5	that <uprising>. For how many days were you held in that school?</uprising>
б	A. <other> Cham people <and from="" i=""> Svay Khleang <village> were</village></and></other>
7	detained for a period of 29 days.
8	[15.18.30]
9	Q. You told my colleague, Lor Chunthy, about interrogations you
10	were subjected to at the school. Do you remember the questions
11	that were put to you and the manner in which such interrogations
12	were carried out?
13	A. I can recall part of it that is, the way they treated me.
14	However, as for the questions, I cannot recall them. It happened
15	almost 40 years ago. I am aging so I cannot recall them. <they< td=""></they<>
16	actually asked us lots of questions.>
17	Q. Do you recall the number of persons who questioned you at the
18	time?
19	A. No, I <do not="">.</do>
20	Q. Can you explain to the Chamber where you went after the period
21	of your detention?
22	A. After I survived detention I was allowed to reunite with my
23	family. However all the residents of <svay khleang=""> village <who< td=""></who<></svay>
24	had been detained> were not allowed to enter the village again;
25	we were evacuated <by boats=""> to live elsewhere, including <stueng< td=""></stueng<></by>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

90

- 1 Trang, Baray, > Dambae, <and many more that I cannot recall, > but
- 2 not to return to our native village.
- 3 [15.20.35]
- 4 Q. How were you evacuated to Dambae?

5 A. We were put on a boat and we had to row the boat <ourselves>.
6 And for example, out of the 50 boats we had to row in a straight
7 line. If <any> boat <was going> left or right<,> that boat would

- 8 be shot at <from the back>.
- 9 Q. For how long did that trip on the boat last?
- 10 A. The boat trip <lasted> throughout the whole night<, and

11 another half day>. In fact, we left at 6 o'clock in the evening

- 12 and we arrived at the destination at 12.00 noon the next day.
- 13 Q. You are talking of 50 boats. Do you recall approximately how 14 many people were on each of the boats?
- 15 A. From my estimate, the boat length was between seven <and> 10
- 16 metres. So it could accommodate 20 <or> 30 people. And for <a> $\ensuremath{$
- 17 larger boat, it could accommodate up to 50 people.

18 Q. To the best of your recollection, the people on-board those

19 <canoes> -- to repeat what my colleague is whispering to me --

- 20 <the people who were on these canoes, > were they Cham or <were
- 21 there also Khmers as well?>
- 22 A. All people on the boats were Cham people. There were no Khmer.
- 23 [15.23.40]
- Q. Can you describe to us the trip, the conditions of that trip and what was the weather like? Was it a very difficult crossing?

91

1 What do you remember about it?

A. During the boat trip, it was raining all night unfortunately. And, some young children and the new-born, <several> of them died 4<on the boats>. We were not allowed to rest at all during the5boat trip. We were so exhausted and starving but we had to row6the boat. And actually, when we <went> ashore, we had to walk all7day before we could reach the village of <our> destination <where</td>8we were to settle in>.

9 Q. A while ago, you said that you had to row and if you stopped 10 rowing you were shot at. Were you monitored or accompanied during 11 that <canoe> crossing?

A. We did not travel <voluntarily> just by our group <the Cham people, but we were forced to go on that trip>. We were being watched over <all along the way,> and there were soldiers on about 10 boats who actually escorted us while we were on the boat trip and we had to comply with their instructions. They escorted us <all the way through> until we reached the village.

18 [15.26.00]

19 Q. A <moment> ago, you talked about children and new-borns <>who 20 died because of the bad weather. Is that something you witnessed 21 with your own eyes, for instance, in your own canoe?

A. What I say is true. And of course, what I said was what I saw on my boat. I cannot tell you about what happened on other boats, but I could only speak about what happened on the boat that I was on<. And for this reason, I came to a conclusion that three of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

92

1	them died.>
2	Q. It is very clear, Mr. Civil Party.
3	With which members of your family <did embark="" on="" that="" you=""> trip?</did>
4	A. Of course, there were other families traveling on that boat
5	because the boat has to be <filled> with people before it could</filled>
6	depart. <thus, details="" did="" grasp="" i="" not="" of="" on="" people="" td="" the="" the<="" those=""></thus,>
7	same boat as mine.>
8	Q. How about you yourself? Which members of your family crossed
9	with you and arrived in Dambae?
10	A. My relatives who were on the boat included my mother; and Man
11	Sen, that is my elder sibling; and Man <sos> Man <hoksos></hoksos></sos>
12	(phonetic), that is my elder sister; myself; and my younger
13	sister Man Om <nas> (phonetic); and another younger sibling Man</nas>
14	<sokas> (phonetic); and <kha ticheas=""> (phonetic), my</kha></sokas>
15	<grandmother>.</grandmother>
16	[15.28.38]
17	Q. Thank you. When you arrived at your destination after walking
18	as you said a while ago, can you explain to the Chamber what
19	happened to you? For instance, where were you housed? I will
20	start with that. Where were you housed when you arrived at
21	Dambae?
22	A. When we arrived in Dambae, we were allowed to stay at Svay
23	Kambet Svay <kambet commune="" seda="" village,=""> in Dambae</kambet>
24	<pre><district>, and we were instructed to live to mix with the</district></pre>
25	Khmer people. <that i="" in.="" settled="" was="" where=""></that>

93

1	Q. Did you have any kind of special status back then <did> the</did>
2	Khmer Rouge tell you anything about your special status?
3	A. I did not have any role; I was a just simply a son of a
4	peasant family and the Khmer Rouge did not pay any attention to
5	me, as I was a young youth at the time. And I did not <hold any<="" td=""></hold>
6	role that the Khmer Rouge had to attend to or> involve in any
7	work for the Khmer Rouge.
8	[15.30.30]
9	Q. Back then, did you ever hear the expressions "New People" and
10	"Base People"?
11	A. Of course, I heard <about> it and I lived <through it="">. We,</through></about>
12	the Cham people, were considered the New People and the people
13	who were living there were considered the Base People or the Old
14	People. <those and="" cham="" people=""> the evacuees <> from Phnom Penh</those>
15	<> were considered <the> New People. So we<, the Cham people></the>
16	were in the same status as those <evacuees> from Phnom Penh and</evacuees>
17	<both> did not have any <rights>.</rights></both>
18	Q. Do you remember for how long you remained in Dambae?
19	A. I remember that I lived there for more than two years. And, in
20	fact, I involved in three evacuations to Suong and to <kampong< td=""></kampong<>
21	Thom> so I cannot give you any precision on the movements in the
22	areas that I was evacuated to.
23	Q. Thank you. When you were in Dambae, <was for="" it="" possible="" you=""></was>
24	to practice your religion?

25 A. No, it was forbidden. Everything to deal with the religion was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

94

- 1 forbidden. We were not even allowed to speak the Cham language.
- 2 <Thus, the> young children did not <manage to> understand <> the
- 3 <Cham> language.
- 4 [15.33.05]
- 5 Q. Can you describe to the Chamber the living and working and 6 eating conditions when you were in Dambae?
- 7 A. Under the Khmer Rouge, the food condition was the same across
- 8 the country, to my understanding. And the food that we had was
- 9 <only rice> gruel. No cooked rice was given.
- 10 As for the manual labour, we had to do it day and night.
- 11 Q. When you were in Dambae, did you ever witness any executions?
- 12 A. No, I did not. However, I saw dead bodies when I walked
- 13 <through the forest>. But I did not witness the execution myself.
- 14 [15.34.30]
- 15 Q. Did you ever witness any arrests back then?
- 16 A. Are you asking me when I was at Dambae or at Village 5 or you
- 17 mean throughout the Khmer Rouge regime?
- 18 Q. When you were in Dambae. The two years you spent in Dambae.
- 19 A. Yes, I witnessed the arrests.
- 20 Q. Can you describe what you saw or what you remember regarding 21 these arrests?
- A. <The> Cham people were <not> arrested<, only the> Khmer people were arrested during that time<; and one of them was a village chief. The> Khmer Rouge from the <Central Zone group> came to my village<, and accused>the Eastern Zone cadres <> of having <the>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

95

1	Khmer body, <and the=""> Vietnamese head. So, at that time I saw</and>
2	<with a="" cadres,="" east="" eyes="" in="" my="" own="" particular,="" th="" the="" village<="" zone=""></with>
3	chief along with his wife being arrested, loaded in a truck, and
4	driven away>.
5	Q. Thank you. Did you lose any members of your family? Did anyone
б	in your family die when you were in Dambae?
7	A. I lost one younger <sister> because of her illness. She</sister>
8	contracted malaria <while living=""> in the jungle. She died from</while>
9	malaria. In relation to my relatives, I lost seven I lost <>
10	seven families. <no families="" from="" one="" survived.="" those=""></no>
11	[15.37.14]
12	Q. I am going to put to you a last question, Mr. Civil Party, in
13	order to give time to the Co-Prosecutors to put questions to you
14	as well. You said to us earlier, spontaneously <so>, that after</so>
15	Dambae you were transferred to Suong. And, if I am not mistaken,
16	then you were transferred to Kampong Thom. So when did you go
17	back to your home village? And can you describe what you saw when
18	you returned to your <native> village?</native>
19	A. After I had returned from Kampong Thom, at the time I spent
20	one month on foot travelling from Kampong Thom and also I
21	<brought a="" along=""> cart. <we along<="" encountered="" hardship="" lots="" of="" th=""></we></brought>
22	the way.> I did not recall when I left Kampong Thom but I could
23	say that it was after 1979 liberation. If I <had returned=""> from</had>
24	Kampong Thom during the Khmer Rouge time I would have been
25	killed. <some make="" managed="" return="" td="" the="" their="" to="" village,="" while<=""></some>

96

some others could not make it on time. The> Khmer people had 1 2 lived already in <our houses in the> village <> when I arrived. 3 <The Khmer Rouge had put the Khmer people to live in those houses. However, those> Khmer people were kind and they <welcomed 4 us. They> allowed all of us to go and live in our <respective> 5 houses. <Those> Khmer people <were crying and hugging us as they> б 7 felt sympathy on <the> Cham people at that time. <They actually did not want to stay there in the village. It was the Khmer Rouge 8 9 who forced them to live in those houses in the village that 10 belonged to the Cham people. > Upon my arrival in my home 11 <village, the> Khmer people left our houses and we were given 12 back our houses. Some of <the> Khmer people even gave <us some> rice <and other food supplies>. 13 [15.39.35] 14 Q. Did you know back then how many Cham families were left in 15 16 Village 5 <in> Svay Khleang? 17 MR. PRESIDENT: 18 Mr. Civil Party, please observe the microphone before you speak. 19 MR. SOS MIN: 20 A. Later on I learnt very well that only 170 families remained in Svay Khleang village. Among <the original figure of> 1,242 21 22 families, only <175> families <remained> in that Svay Khleang 23 village<;> and <if those new> families <who> came to live in 24 <those empty houses in> Svay Khleang <were to be included, there 25 could be a total of 195> families <in the village by then>.

\sim	-
ч	

- 1 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. Civil Party, for your patience and for having
- 3 answered my questions. I am through with my questions, Mr.
- 4 President.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you. I now hand over the floor to the Co-Prosecutor team.
- 7 You may now proceed.
- 8 [15.41.15]
- 9 QUESTIONING BY MR. SENG LEANG:

10 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours. Good afternoon everyone 11 in and around the courtroom. Good afternoon Mr. Witness. I am 12 Seng Leang, I am <a> National Deputy Co-Prosecutor. I think we 13 still have only 30 minutes more which I have to share with my 14 esteemed colleague from the International side so I will put very 15 short questions to you, I have only a few.

Q. First I would like to ask you about <what you told Lawyer Lor Chunthy regarding> the <arrest> of <the> Cham people after the revolt in Svay Khleang <village. Can you tell the Chamber how> many people <in total> were <apprehended by the Khmer Rouge> at

- 20 the time after the revolt?
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Please hold on, Mr. Civil Party; you may now proceed, Counsel
- 23 Kong Sam Onn.
- 24 [15.42.30]
- 25 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

98

1	Thank you, Mr. President. I have an observation in relation to
2	geography <being asked="" by="" co-prosecutor="" deputy="" national="" the="">. I</being>
3	heard <the> civil party < mentioned the> Svay Khleang village and</the>
4	<he> also made mention <of> Village 5. It is confusing to me. So</of></he>
5	I would like <to clarification="" for="" on="" seek=""> whether Svay Khleang</to>
б	was a commune or <a> village. <thank you.=""></thank>
7	BY MR. SENG LEANG:
8	Mr. President, I would like to respond to the observation. I
9	heard civil party's mention of Village 5 <in khleang<="" svay="" td=""></in>
10	commune. In fact,> Village 5 is the current name referred to by
11	the villagers. <the 1979.="" actually="" after="" existed="" name=""> In the</the>
12	past, Village 5 was known as Svay Khleang village.
13	Q. Is it correct, Mr. Witness, what I said?
14	MR. PRESIDENT:
15	You can move on, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
16	[15.43.47]
17	BY MR. SENG LEANG:
18	Q. Mr. Civil Party, how many of you were detained by <the> Khmer</the>
19	Rouge at the time?
20	MR. SOS MIN:
21	A. To better understand what I said, at the time I did not know
22	<as to=""> how many people were detained by <the> Khmer Rouge.</the></as>
23	However,> I can say <> that <after crackdown,="" the=""> Khmer</after>
24	Rouge <gathered all="" and="" in="" location.<="" one="" placed="" td="" the="" us="" villagers=""></gathered>
25	Everyone was apprehended. Only later on were we separated, and

99

1	transferred to various locations. And after the screening and
2	taking of a specific number of us, the remaining people were
3	further sent away to other villages, communes and districts as I
4	mentioned earlier on>.
5	Q. You stated that prior to 1975 there were <1,242> Cham
б	<families> living in <village 5="" or=""> Svay Khleang village<>. You</village></families>
7	<also place="" stated="" that="" the="" where=""> Cham people <including< td=""></including<></also>
8	yourself> were detained <was also="" place="" td="" the="" they="" were<="" where=""></was>
9	screened>. So <can as="" chamber="" how="" many="" people<="" td="" tell="" the="" to="" you=""></can>
10	actually came through the period of the screening>?
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	Please hold, Mr. Civil Party on; you have the floor now, Mr.
13	Koppe.
14	[15.45.55]
15	MR. KOPPE:
16	Thank you, Mr. President. In this particular circumstance I have
17	an objection against the use of the word "Khmer Rouge". It's not
18	very helpful when we speak about arrests, etc., at this time, to
19	only speak about Khmer Rouge, it could be the district forces, it
20	could be Sector 21 forces, East Zone forces, so I think
21	Prosecution should be very specific now and not only speak about
22	the Khmer Rouge.
23	MR. SENG LEANG:
24	I do not really understand why the Defence counsel objects to the
25	use of this> word <> because before 1975 that area was under

100

1	<the> control of Khmer Rouge troops already and the troops which</the>
2	were sent to crack down the revolt belonged to the Khmer Rouge,
3	so why am I not allowed to use the term<>?
4	MR. PRESIDENT:
5	We have a clear guideline that there should be no two objections
б	from there should be no second objection from the Party, from
7	another Party, so please be clear on this matter. <i cannot<="" th=""></i>
8	afford to allow my courtroom to fall into a state of anarchy.>
9	(Judges deliberate)
10	[15.48.55]
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	The Chamber does not prohibit completely the word "Khmer Rouge"
13	to be used before the Chamber. <the engaged="" have="" in="" parties="" th="" the<=""></the>
14	proceeding for many years now; thus, the Parties shall be able to
15	know this well.> However, I instruct the <national> Deputy</national>
16	Co-Prosecutor to use specific term when you want to refer to any
17	specific force because Khmer Rouge is a generic term and if you
18	use the term liberated soldier or front, it has its meaning. So
19	please use specific term. There are terms combatants, militiamen
20	<or> the district <soldiers>, so please make sure you refer to</soldiers></or>
21	specific force when you want to refer to.
22	BY MR. SENG LEANG:
23	Thank you, Mr. President.
24	Q. <mr. can="" chamber="" civil="" party,="" tell="" th="" the="" those<="" whether="" you=""></mr.>
25	people who> detained all of you, were <soldiers combatants="" or=""> or</soldiers>

E1/343.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

101

- 1 militiamen?
- 2 [15.50.15]
- 3 MR. SOS MIN:

A. I cannot tell you whether soldiers were from <the militia 4 unit> or provincial level. <So> when <it is said that "the> Khmer 5 Rouge <soldiers" is a general term, I could not understand it as б 7 I had no idea whether they were soldiers from a sector or a zone. 8 I was just an ordinary farmer. There were no distinguishing marks 9 to tell that they were from a sector or a zone.> I could not 10 recognise their origin. So it is very difficult for me to specify for you which district or level those soldiers <belonged> to. 11 12 MR. PRESIDENT:

13 Mr. Witness, you can use the term, the general term because you are an ordinary citizen <according to your level of education and 14 15 habit as an ordinary citizen, > but the problem here is the 16 Parties <in this proceeding>. I am referring <to> and instructing 17 the Parties before all of us <to be more specific regarding the usage of certain terms> because all Parties have been here for 18 19 many years and they may have been aware of the specific terms to 20 be used. <And we do this in order to make everyone's job less 21 complicated and confusing.>

22 [15.51.50]

23 BY MR. SENG LEANG:

24 Thank you, Mr. President.

25 Q. Mr. Civil Party, let me rephrase my question. After you had

102

- been detained following that revolt, after all of you were screened, so how many of you <came through that period> at the time?
- 4 MR. SOS MIN:

A. I could not have the full grasp of the information. I cannot 5 tell you how many families <came through> after <the screening б 7 period>. I can <only> tell you that <after the screening, those people> were further <transferred> to Kampong Thom <including to 8 9 Santuk district> and other places. Later on <after the arrival of 10 the Central Zone> cadres<, people were returning to their home 11 village by ox-carts; and during which group of people were taken 12 away and killed. Lots of people disappeared> one after another <at that time. Likewise, I had no idea as to how many people 13 there were when they were transferred to various locations as I 14 15 mentioned. Anyways, when they returned home after the arrival of 16 the Central Zone cadres, I did not know either as to how many 17 people were taken away or killed by the Central Zone cadres; 18 however, those people have gone missing to these days.> As I told 19 you, after 1979, only 170 families remained in my village and 25 20 other families came <from other places> to <seek refuge> in the 21 village<, and they have lived in the village to these days. They 22 were from places like Angkor Ban, Kaoh Soutin, and other places>. 23 [15.53.38]

Q. <Since I am running out> of time<,> I will ask you another question. It should be my last question. <While> living in Svay

103

1	<kambet>, did you ever attend <any> wedding <ceremony cham<="" of="" th="" the=""></ceremony></any></kambet>
2	people> held by <the> Khmer Rouge<>?</the>
3	A. I <lived> Svay Kambet for a period of two <years. from="" my<="" th=""></years.></lived>
4	observation, no> marriage took place in that village.
5	Q. When did you get married?
б	A. I got married in late 1978 <during pol="" pot="" th="" the="" the<="" time,="" while=""></during>
7	liberation took place in> 1979<; however,> I did not get married
8	at Svay Kambet village<.> In fact<, I was transferred to another
9	place so-called Roka Khmuoch in Suong, and I> got married
10	<there>.</there>
11	MR. SENG LEANG:
12	I am done with my questioning, Mr. President; I would like to
13	hand over the floor to my esteemed international colleague.
14	[15.55.25]
15	QUESTIONING BY MR. LYSAK:
16	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Civil Party. I want to ask you a few
17	questions about the religious leaders, the Cham leaders in Svay
18	Khleang and what happened to them. First of all, are you
19	presently the Hakim of Svay Khleang?
20	MR. SOS MIN:
21	A. I understand your question. Are you referring to the previous
22	period or the current time?
23	Q. I was asking whether you are today the Hakim of your village.
24	A. Currently, I am <currently the="" village=""> Hakim and also <the <math="">\</the></currently>
25	Imam> of the district.

104

1	Q. And did your village have Hakim before the Khmer Rouge arrived
2	and could you tell us what happened to the Hakims after the Khmer
3	Rouge came to the area?
4	A. In every regime, there are Hakims <or> religious leaders</or>
5	within <muslim> community. <a all="" charge="" hakim="" in="" of="" td="" the<="" was=""></muslim>
б	people in the village.> Before the Khmer Rouge time there were
7	also Hakims, however I could say that Hakims <during the=""> Pol Pot</during>
8	time <were> arrested and they were the first <targets arrest<="" of="" td=""></targets></were>
9	by> the Khmer Rouge.
10	[15.57.47]
11	Q. Do you remember when it was that the Hakims were arrested by
12	the Khmer Rouge?
13	A. Hakims and <other muslim=""> leaders <including muslim="" tuons,=""></including></other>
14	teachers in Islamic religion were <all> arrested in 1974.</all>
15	Q. Thank you. The next question I want to follow up on is: do you
16	know what happened to the Korans in Svay Khleang village after
17	you were no longer allowed to practice your religion?
18	A. <we> were prohibited from worship and prayers. <and as="" for<="" td=""></and></we>
19	the> Korans<, they were> collected and placed in their office.
20	<people allowed="" not="" quran.="" read="" the="" to="" were=""> All <the> Korans <in< td=""></in<></the></people>
21	any size were confiscated>.
22	Q. You talked about in your interviews, findings some of the
23	Korans that had been collected on the first night of the
24	rebellion in Svay Khleang. Can you tell the Court where it was
25	that you found these Korans?

105

1	A. I could not get your question, Mr. Co-Prosecutor; could you
2	specify it for me so that I can give the response.
3	Q. In your interview, you talked about on the night, the first
4	night of the rebellion in Svay Khleang, you discussed finding
5	some Korans, could you tell the Court where it was that you found
б	these Korans on the night of the rebellion?
7	A. Korans that I found were <at and<="" collected="" place="" td="" the="" they="" were=""></at>
8	stored> at <the house="" of="" the=""> village chief<>.</the>
9	[16.01.15]
10	Q. You've been asked questions about the rebellion in your
11	village, we heard from the witness before you about another
12	rebellion that took place in Kaoh Phal. Do you know when the Kaoh
13	Phal rebellion took place; was it before the rebellion in Svay
14	Khleang or after?
15	A. My apology; I could not get your question, Mr. Co-Prosecutor,
16	I did not hear the full interpretation.
17	Q. My question was about the rebellion at Kaoh Phal and whether
18	that took place before or after the rebellion in Svay Khleang.
19	A. <the rebellion=""> in Svay Khleang <occurred a="" after<="" fortnight="" td=""></occurred></the>
20	the> Kaoh Phal rebellion.
21	[16.02.53]
22	Q. I just to want to make sure that I understood, did you say 15
23	days before or 15 days after the Svay Khleang rebellion?
24	A. <i at="" rebellion="" saying="" that="" the="" was=""> Kaoh Phal <occurred> 15</occurred></i>
25	days <before the=""> rebellion at Svay Khleang. <so khleang<="" svay="" td="" the=""></so></before>

106

1 rebellion occurred 15 days after the rebellion on Kaoh Phal.> 2 Q. Thank you for clarifying that. When you were -- the people 3 were organising to rebel in Svay Khleang, were you aware of what had happened at Kaoh Phal 15 days earlier? 4 A. I have nothing to do with Kaoh Phal rebellion. I did not know 5 about rebellion at Kaoh Phal. < It was strict regarding our б 7 movement during the regime. People were not even allowed to cross 8 from one village to another. So what happened at > Kaoh Phal <was 9 the> business <of people of Kaoh Phal; and what happened at Svay Khleang was the business of people of Svay Khleang. The two 10 incidents were not related>. 11 12 Q. Thank you. You mentioned that although you organised to fight 13 and resist, that you didn't have weapons. Can you tell us when 14 there was fighting between the Cham and the Khmer Rouge, what 15 weapons did the Cham have and what weapons did the Khmer Rouge 16 have? 17 A. Back then <when the> fighting <broke out, the> Khmer Rouge 18 soldiers <> were armed with different <kinds of weapons, while 19 the> Cham people <>had only two rifles: one AK <rifle> and one 20 Carbine <assault rifle>. And in addition to the two rifles, we had swords and knives. 21 22 [16.05.50]23 Q. Do you remember how many swords the Cham people in Svay 24 Khleang had?

25 A. I do not recall the <number> clearly <as at> the time there

107

- 1 were many of us.
- Q. And you talked about the weapons of the Khmer Rouge, were there boats -- did the Khmer Rouge have boats that were firing on your village?
- 5 A. They had <sufficient gears and equipment including vessels>; 6 they had various types of weapons. <At that time, they> did not 7 <need to> use boats to fire <on> us <> because we were on the 8 same -- we were on land.
- 9 Q. And did they have fire artillery shells into your village? 10 A. They did not use only the light weapons; they had heavy weapons as well. The sounds of gun fire deafened our ears. 11 12 <However,> I could not tell you <as to how many shells fired or> whether there were different types of weapons used, I cannot draw 13 a conclusion about the weapons < and the number of shells fired. 14 15 It was a huge event. We could hear gunshots all over the place.> 16 [16.08.14]
- Q. I want to ask you now about couple of follow up questions about the period when you were moved to Dambae district. Can you tell us when you arrived in Dambae, where it was that you were asked to live and to sleep?
 A. I told my response to the lawyer already and now you have

22 <>follow up <questions>. I will clarify it for you. I was
23 <transferred> to <> Svay Kambet village, Seda commune, Dambae
24 district<, Kampong Cham province>. I cannot recall when I arrived
25 in that village. We were <so> depressed at the time <that> we did

108

1	not focus on the <date> when we arrived in the village. We left</date>
2	our place <from pagoda="" the=""> at night and we arrived in Svay</from>
3	Kambet village the following day at mid-day.
4	Q. My apologies, I was asking you something more specific. Let me
5	approach it this way. Do you have an older brother named Man Sen?
6	A. My elder brother's name is Man Sen.
7	Q. And was he with you when you were sent to Dambae?
8	A. He was with me and we shared the same village and our houses
9	were close to each other.
10	[16.10.37]
11	Q. I want to read to you and get your reaction on something your
12	brother told the Court when he was interviewed. This is document
13	E3/5205: Khmer, ERN 0021850; English, 00275163 to 64; French,
14	00293922; again it is E3/5205. This is what your brother said
15	about Svay Kambet village. "The villagers in those villages were
16	all ethnic Khmer. Some of us lived in rice storage huts, some of
17	us slept underneath their houses. They did not permit us to
18	observe our religion or traditions. There was no medicine to
19	treat malaria." End of quote. What I wanted to ask you about, Mr.
20	Witness, is: Is it correct that some of the Cham people were
21	required to sleep underneath the houses of Khmer families?
22	A. That is correct from my understanding.
23	Q. And the group of Cham people that
24	[16.12.28]
25	MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

109

1	Mr. Co-Prosecutor, do you still have many questions to put to
2	this civil party?
3	MR. LYSAK:
4	I may have 10 minutes or 15 minutes if I would be allowed to
5	continue tomorrow. I can continue now, I don't want to disrupt
6	the bus schedule but I can continue now but I have about 10 more
7	minutes or 15 minutes.
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	Thank you. So we will adjourn now and so you will be allowed to
10	use 10 minutes or 15 minutes additionally tomorrow <morning>.</morning>
11	It is time now for the adjournment, the Chamber will resume
12	tomorrow <from 9:00="" a.m.=""> Wednesday, 9 September 2015. The</from>
13	Chamber will continue to hear the testimony of Sos Ponyamin, and
14	then we will start to hear 2-TCW-832. <all be<="" parties,="" please="" td=""></all>
15	informed and come to attend the hearing tomorrow as scheduled.>
16	Thank you very much, Mr. Sos Ponyamin. The hearing of your
17	testimony as a civil party has not come to an end yet. You are
18	therefore invited to be here once again tomorrow at 9 a.m.
19	Court officer, in collaboration with WESU, please send Mr. Sos
20	Ponyamin to his desired destination or the place where he is
21	staying at the moment.
22	Security personnel are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan and
23	Nuon Chea back to the detention facility and please have them
24	returned tomorrow <before> 9 a.m.</before>
25	The Court is now adjourned.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 324 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 8 September 2015

110

1	(Court	adjourns	at	1614H)		
2						
3						
4						
5						
б						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						