្រុ	ವಾಉುಎಚಿಟಜಿಪಿವು
โดยการาชาสตยุกรสิชาสตสาชยายเกีย Conformed Centifies Conformed	នសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ om of Cambodia on Religion King
ig is m ismiuring (Cortiffied Date/Date de certification):	ឯកសាខេន្ទល DOCUMENT RECEIVED/DOCUMENT REÇU
Extraordinary Chambers in the File Officer/L'agent charge Courts of Cambodia CANN RAPA	ig is gi (Date of receipt/Date de reception):
स्था व्यक्त स्था व्यक्त स्था व्यक्त स्था स्था व्यक्त स्था स्था स्था स्था स्था स्था स्था स्था	រម៉ាង (Time/Heure):
Office of the Co-Investigating Judges Bureau des Co-juges d'instruction	du dossier

Written Record_of Interview of Charged

Person

សេខស៊ើមស្វ/Investigation

រាវខ/No: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC-OCIJ

On the twenty-fifth of June, two thousand and eight, at 9:10 a.m.

We, You Bunleng আ ជុំនៃឡេង and Marcel Lemonde, Co-Investigating Judges of the Extraordinary Chambers,

With Mr Ham Hel ហម ហ៊ែល and Mr Ly Chantola លី ច័ន្ទតុលា as Greffiers,

Noting the Law on the establishment of the Extraordinary Chambers, dated 27 October 2004,

Noting Rule 58 of the Internal Rules of the Extraordinary Chambers,

With Ouch Channora អ៊ូច ចាន់ណូវ៉ា and Tanheang Davann តាន់ហ៊ាង ដារ័ណ្ណ as sworn interpreters of the Extraordinary Chambers

Interviewed the Charged Person identified below:

Kaing Guek-Eav កាំង ហ្គេកអ៊ាវ alias Duch ងុច, male, born on 17 November 1942.

Charged with Crimes Against Humanity and Grave Breaches of the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, offences defined and punishable under Articles 5, 6, 29 (New) and 39 (New) of the Law on the establishment of the Extraordinary Chambers, dated 27 October 2004.

The original of this record is written in the Khmer language.

The Co-Prosecutors of the Extraordinary Chambers, Mr. Robert Petit and Mrs. Chea Leang ជា លាង were duly notified of this interview by Notification Letter dated 26 May 2008:

- Mrs. Chea Leang ជា លាង is represented by Mr. PICH Sambath ពេជ្រសម្បត្តិ, Co-Prosecutor Assistant,
- Mr. Robert Petit is represented by Mr. Alex Bates, Senior Assistant Co-Prosecutor.

Mr. Kar Savuth ការ សាត្រ and Mr. Francois Roux, Co-Lawyers for the Charged Person, who were duly informed of this interview by Summons dated 26 May 2008, and were able to examine the case file from that date, are both present.

Interview

Questions-Answers:

Question by the Co-Investigating Judges: Two Civil Parties have mentioned the name of Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំរនៃ in relation with S-21 ស២១:

- Bou Meng ប៉ុម៉ែង (D2-1, ERN 00146766): "One day as I was painting, we saw Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំវន់ន. (...) When I saw him, he was alone."
- Chum Manh ជុំម៉ាញ alias Mey មុី (D2-4, ERN 00146780): "I know that Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំផន visited the place where I made the stove because my co-worker had told me and on his arrival that this man is Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំផន."1

In light of the declarations of the Civil Parties, do you maintain that you have met Khieu Samphan 12] 16348 just once, in January 1979?

Answer by the Charged Person: I can but repeat that my superior was first Son Sen MISTAIS, then Nuon Chea RIM. Khieu Samphan telfairs was an important man within the Regime but he was not my superior and I maintain that I saw him just once, on 6 January 1979. Son Sen MISTAIS came to S-21 MIDD four times. More precisely, he came to the entrance of the detention buildings once (the gate of the current Museum); he chaired a meeting once in April 1977 (in the building identified as E on the map I gave you on 22 November 2007); and he came to my house twice. Nuon

¹ Traduction non officielle.

Chea នួនជា never came to S-21ស់២១, although on two occasions he observed the transfer of detainees from a hidden vantage point. The first time was the transfer of Suos Neou ស្វស់នៅ alias Chhouk ល្បាក from K7 ក-៧ to S-21 ស់២១, from a place close to the Royal Palace as I have already explained. The second time was for the wives of Vorn Vet 1817 and Cheng Ân ប៉េងអន. I do not know why Bou Meng ប៉ូម៉េង and Chum Mey ជុំម៉ី said that. In any case, as far as I know, Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំរន never visited me in S-21 ស់២១.

<u>Ouestion by the Co-Investigating Judges</u>: During the meeting in January 1979, did Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំរាន comment on the evacuation of S-21 ស២១ or provide elements which may have led you to conclude that Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំរាន was aware of the existence of S-21 ស២១?

Answer by the Charged Person: I repeat what I said on 23 August 2007 concerning this meeting. Nuon Chea RBM told Comrade Toeung 19 that I had to go to the Lycée Bouddhiste. When I arrived, I was surprised to find Khieu Samphan 18 16 the there. I hesitated to enter but Lin fig pushed me inside. Khieu Samphan 18 16 the did not speak to me in particular but in general, to the three or four persons present (none of whom I knew except for Roeung 15 the, Chairman of the State Warehouse: indeed, following the 1978 purges, there were many new faces). He only spoke about the military situation, telling us that the situation was under control and that there was no reason to worry.

Question by the Co-Investigating Judges: Did you tell Khieu Samphan 18] 16118 that, a few days before, Nuon Chea & 111 had ordered you to kill the remaining prisoners?

Answer by the Charged Person: Personally, I said absolutely nothing at this meeting. I listened to Khieu Samphan 19 16168, took leave respectfully and left. You have asked me how I could reconcile what Khieu Samphan 19 16168 had just said with Nuon Chea's 1811 instructions requesting me to send all the prisoners to Cheung Ek. Actually, when Nuon Chea 1811 gave me that order, I wondered whether there was going to be another mass arrest and I also thought that maybe this was the end my life, but I did not really think about the situation at the front. I believed what Khieu Samphan 19 16168 said.

Question by the Co-Investigating Judges: Yesterday you declared that Khieu Samphan 18] 1618 was too important to be appointed as the head of Office 870. Yet, you declared previously

that Office 870 used to contact and inspect S-21 file (D 13, EN version, ERN 00147571) and that the definition of the Policy for the whole country was decided in Office 870 (D31, EN versions, ERN 00154911). (D31, EN versions, ERN 00154911). Is this not contradictory? More generally, could you clarify your declarations on 4 December 2007 concerning the leadership bodies of Democratic Kampuchea, namely Office 870 and the Committee of the Working Group in charge of Office 870?

Answer by the Charged Person: I must first indicate that I have a better knowledge of the situation today compared to last August or last December. Indeed, as I went through the Case File, I understood better the organisation of the Regime. In particular, I realised that what I called the "Committee of the Working Group in charge of Office 870" at my previous interviews was in reality identified as "S71 fif-fig". I have prepared two charts summing up my understanding of the organization, on the basis of my former knowledge, then on the basis on my current knowledge. I would like to comment briefly on these two charts.

But first, I would like to try to clarify what I said yesterday regarding Khieu Samphan 18]16118 and Office 870. Indeed, the sentence noted yesterday ("I was told that he had been appointed Head of Office 870 after the arrest of Soeu Vasy this alias Doeun tells, but this hardly seems plausible to me since he was more important than that and I cannot believe that he could have been "downgraded" in such a way " does not correspond exactly to what I meant. Reading it, one might think that before Soeu Vasy's ស៊ៅក៏ស៊ី arrest, Khieu Samphan ម៉ៅសំរែន was his subordinate whereas it is exactly the opposite. It must be clear that Khieu Samphan 12 16118 was a much more important character than Soeu Vasy ស៊ៀវាស៊ី. I believe that the confusion originates in the writings of David Chandler in his book "Voices from S-21 HDD" (p.64 of the English version: "the importance of Doeun's talk role in Office 870 is confirmed by the fact that he was replaced by Khieu Samphan เข้าได้เลื , so-called head of Democratic Kampuchea"). Chandler added that Khieu Samphan មៀវសំជន was "certainly the major beneficiary [of Soeu Vasy's ស្ស៊ី|វ៉ាស៊ី downfall]." Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំរាន uses this today as an argument to diminish his importance but it is completely wrong. He was president of the State Presidium since 1976, and remained in that position after Soeu Vasy's ស៊ៀវ៉ាស៊ី arrest in 1977. I think that from this arrest onwards, he effectively became the Head of Office 870, but this was not a promotion, just an additional task, similar to the way Nuon Chea SECTI took Son Sen's សុខសេខ position at S-21 ស២១ when Son Sen សុខសេខ had to leave. Khieu Samphan ខៀវចំរាំន had very important functions: in particular, he was in charge of relations with Norodom Sihanouk នរោត្តមសីហនុ and Penn Nouth ប៉ែននុត, the former GRUNK Prime Minister. The latter was considered an important character: in 1978, it was announced on the radio that he had given his property to Democratic Kampuchea after having repatriated his assets from abroad. Khieu Samphan ខៀវសំរ៉េន visited rural zones with Sihanouk ស៊ីហនុ and Penn Nouth ប៉ែងនុក on numerous occasions.

រារាខ/No. D90

He also had units under his command: the Chak Angrè Tififf and Psra Toch Lifif Electrical Power plants, the Water Works, the State Warehouses (which was the reason why Roeung Lith was present at the meeting on 6 January 1979). To sum up, I would like to be clear on the importance of Khieu Samphan Lififs.

Back to Office 870, what I can say is that it was the headquarters of the Party leading bodies. The charts I am giving you today do not describe the organisation of Office 870, but rather the working structure of the security centres and their links to the authorities. Amongst the seven members of the Standing Committee, three were responsible for security: Pol Pot TATITA, Nuon Chea BATI and Son Sen ABITIS. Khieu Samphan PITATA was not responsible for this sort of work, and Pâng TA, the head of S71, was not under his authority: he reported directly to Pol Pot TATITA. This does not mean that Khieu Samphan PITATA did not know what was going on in this area since, in reality, everybody knew that there were disappearances and massacres. Khieu Samphan PITATA was undoubtedly all the more aware, since Pol Pot TATITA liked him and considered him as his pupil, and gave him privileged information. Thus, in my opinion, if Khieu Samphan PITATA was invited to attend the meeting during which the arrest of Chou Chet TATITA was decided, it was not to participate in the decision but to be informed of the purge process.

Office S71 សព១ played an important role: it was generally responsible for the transfer of prisoners to S-21ស២១; those who were arrested in rural zones had to go through K7 ក-៧ (Centre messengers Office) before being sent to S-21 ស២១. It also had the secret prison of Botum Pagoda under its authority, the existence of which I leant from Pâng ប៉ង់ (I learnt in particular that Mrs Kuok Suy Chhing ក្រស៊ុយលើង alias Năn ណាន់, Pol Pot's ប៉ុណាត personal doctor, had been arrested at the 17 April hospital and conducted to the secret prison before being transferred to S-21 ស២១). I note that I did not mention K7 ក-៧ on my chart since I used the lists you gave me, upon which it did not appear. I also note that Boeung Trabèk ប៊ីឯត្រវ័យក, successively headed by Uk Savân អ៊ីកសាខែ and Luon São លខ្លាស់, is referred to as K17 ក-១៧ (see document ERN 00022969).

The security centres in the Zones, Sectors and Districts reported to Nuon Chea gam. Each Zone Chairman had the autonomy to decide on arrests, as specified on 30 March 1976. Thus, Kè Pork inn (Secretary of the Central Zone) decided to arrest my brother-in-law Kao Ly Thong Huot minimal alias Thoeun in without the Standing Committee knowing (the Standing Committee was only informed by my letter). You have asked me whether there was any hierarchy between the District, Sector and Zone security centres. In fact, the hierarchy was organised on the basis of the

Party network. Each District Office was answerable to the District Secretary. In the Sectors, the Security centres were answerable to the Sector Secretary. Likewise at the Zone level. The central authority was kept informed by the Party network, as the political line had to be implemented at all levels.

<u>Statement by the Co-Investigating Judges</u>: The two charts provided by the Charged Person were attached to this Written Record of Interview.

The Interview was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

The Interview resumed at 2:43 p.m. on the same day.

<u>Statement by the Co-Investigating Judges</u>: Here is a list of 13 written questions that we are attaching to the present Written Record, as well as the documents which are referred to in these questions, so that you may give us your written answers in order to save time.

Answer by the Charged Person: I will do it.

Question by the Co-Investigating Judges: How many detainees did you receive at S-21 新聞 from Office 870 (and its sub-units such as S-71 知刊)? What were the names of the S-71 和刊 and Office 870 cadre and their family members who were detained and/or interrogated and/or tortured and killed at S-21 和刊?

Answer by the Charged Person: On the lists I was given, I have noted between 15 and 20 names of cadre arrested from Offices K4 ñ-៤ to K18 ñ-១៤. In general, there was only one person per office, but for K17 ñ-១៧ and another unit, the number of which I forgot, there were two. I remember the names of those arrested from K17: Uk Savân អ៊ីកសារ៉ឺន and Luon São ល្អនសៅ. I also remember Min Mine មិនមិន alias Prum ក្រំ, and Phum ភុំ, from K10 ñ-១០ (and not Boeung Trabèk ប៊ីដីត្រីរំប័រាំ as I noted in my first chart). The head of S71 សព១, Pâng ប៉ង់, was also arrested, as well as the head of Office 870, Soeu Vasy ស៊ៅវ៉ាស៊ី alias Doeun មឿន.

Question by the Co-Investigating Judges: Who decided on the arrest of these cadres and according to what criteria?

Answer by the Charged Person: As these units were under Pol Pot's thin direct authority, I believe that only he could decide to arrest them. As for the criteria, cadre could be arrested either because of their activities within the unit, or because they were implicated in the confessions.

For example, in the case of Soeu Vasy thin alias Doeun the B, there were rumours that he did not want to stay in Office 870 any more. Pâng the told me that he had behaved badly and, in particular, he shared an anecdote according to which Doeun the B had not given Pol Pot the all the watches that had been confiscated from people that were arrested, claiming that some of them had been stolen, which upset Pol Pot then Finally, Koy Thuon had been implicated him in his confession.

Question by the Co-Prosecutors: Does the Charged Person remember Phork Chhay's រោគរាយ confession, summarised in document ERN 00184379, according to which Doeun ឡើន replaced Koy Thuon ក្រីឃុំនិ as Minister of Commerce in July 1976?

Answer by the Charged Person: I do not remember the confession, but I agree with the content of the story. Indeed, despite what one might think from reading my preceding statement, Doeun [2] 8 was not arrested while he was still head of Office 870, but when he was Minister of Commerce. Once implicated in Koy Thuon's Thuon's confession, he became a suspect and his behaviour since 1970 was re-examined. This led to his arrest.

Concerning Pâng tià, I have already explained how Son Sen MSIMB reproached me for letting his name appear in a confession, before asking me for the contrary.

I do not remember the grounds for arresting the other persons mentioned above. I simply remember that when Min Mine **诺克克** arrived at S-21 和四9, he was searched by Hor ① who found a Rolex watch on him. He said he had taken it from an intellectual, Hing Un 贝克克 Min Mine **诺克克** was the head of K10 n-90, a re-education camp for intellectuals. I believe this is evidence of the cruelty of Min Mine **诺克克**, who used his position to abuse the people under his surveillance.

Concerning intellectuals under the Democratic Kampuchea regime, one must understand they were targets: after 17 April, they were sought out, arrested and "smashed". Yet, some of them, in particular those who had worked for the FUNK and the GRUNK were sent to re-education camps (the various "K ñ"s).

☑ We hereby inform the Co-prosecutors, the Charged Person and his Co-lawyers that the next interview shall take place on 15 July 2008 at 9:00 am.

☑ The original of the audio-visual recording was sealed before the Charged Person and his Lawyers and was signed by us, the Greffiers, the Charged Person, and his Lawyers.

☑ One copy of the original audio-visual recording was provided to the Charged Person.

At 5:58 p.m., we asked the Greffiers to read out this Written Record of Interview of Charged Person as recorded.

☑ After the Written Record was read out to the Charged Person, the Charged Person stated that he had no objections and agreed to sign it.

/signatures/

Charged Person /signature/
Lawyers for Charged Person /signatures/
Co-Prosecutors /signatures/
Interpreters /signatures/
Greffiers /signatures/

Co-Investigating Judges