00864418 E153/5/1/4.1.1

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA

FILING DETAIL

Case no: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC-TC/SC (18)

Filing party: Nuon Chea Defence Team
Filed to: Supreme Court Chamber

Original language: English

Date of document: 19 November 2012

ឯអស់ដមែORIGINAL/ORIGINAL
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 21-Nov-2012, 14:55
CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

CLASSIFICATION

Classification suggested by the filing party: PUBLIC

Classification of the Supreme Court Chamber: ឯាធារណ:/Public

Classification status:

Review of interim classification:

Records officer name:

Signature:

RESPONSE TO CO-PROSECUTORS' IMMEDIATE APPEAL OF DECISION CONCERNING THE SCOPE OF TRIAL IN CASE 002/01 ANNEX I

Filed by
Nuon Chea Defence Team:

Co-Accused

SON Arun

Michiel PESTMAN Victor KOPPE Andrew IANUZZI Jasper PAUW PRUM Phalla Co-Prosecutors: CHEA Leang Andrew CAYLEY

Co-Lawyers for Civil Parties:

PICH Ang

Elisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

From: Jasper PAUW/UNAKRT

To: Susan Lamb/UNAKRT@UNAKRT

Cc: a.vercken.cetc@gmail.com, antaguisse@yahoo.fr, CHAN.Dararasmey@eccc.gov.kh,

Chea.Leang@eccc.gov.kh, CHIFFERT.Mathilde@eccc.gov.kh, Andrew

CAYLEY/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Andrew Ianuzzi/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Arun

Son/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Dale Lysak/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Diana

Ellis/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Keith RAYNOR/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Marie

CAPOTORTO/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Michael Karnavas/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Michiel

Pestman/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Mosseny So/UNAKRT@UNAKRT,

Phalla Prum/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Sheherazade BOUARFA/UNAKRT@UNAKRT,

Socheata Seng/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Tanya Rene PETTAY/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Tarik

Abdulhak/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Udom Ang/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Vera

MANUELLO/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Victor Koppe/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Vincent De Wilde d'Estmael/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, William

Smith/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, <u>diablenoir@wanadoo.fr</u>, <u>dianaellis100@yahoo.com</u>, <u>FERNAN</u> DEZ.Eleonor@eccc.gov.kh, HYMAN.Maxwell@eccc.gov.kh,

Joshua ROSENSWEIG@ECCC.gov.kh, Natale.KatrinaMarie@eccc.gov.kh, PHANG.Vichet @eccc.gov.kh,PICH.Ang@eccc.gov.kh, Pich.Sambath@eccc.gov.kh, samonnk@yahoo.com, Seng.Bunkheang@eccc.gov.kh, SIMONNEAU-

FORT.Elisabeth@eccc.gov.kh,SULLIVAN.Helen@eccc.gov.kh, VANDELFT.Mariska@eccc.gov.kh, VENG.Huot@eccc.gov.kh, Yet.Chakriya@eccc.gov.kh

Date: 09/04/2012 09:50 AM

Subject: Re: Indications provided by the parties of various categories of individuals

proposed to be heard at trial

Dear Susan,

I have the following comment to make regarding point 7 of the compilation,

'Additional individuals the other parties would seek to call if these proposed extensions were granted.'

During the TMM I made it clear that *if* S-21 is to be included in the expanded scope of the trial, the Nuon Chea defence team would wish to hear numerous witnesses relating to S21, including former prisoners, former staff members, and experts.

It is *prima facie* clear that it is in the direct interest of Nuon Chea to hear witnesses that can assist in challenging the credibility of Duch, both with regard to the alleged direct involvement of Nuon Chea in the affairs of S-21, as well as Duch's credibility more generally. Moreover, it is evident that hearing such witnesses will bear fruit: in case 001 it became clear that both former prisoners as well as former staff members have relevant things to say regarding the credibility of Duch, as well as his tendency to blame either superiors or subordinates for actions that, in fact, he undertook.

In Case 001, both the Trial Chamber and the OCP have acknowledged the problems regarding Duch's credibility. However, for obvious reasons, the findings and testimony in Case 001 cannot be 'imported' into Case 002 directly, if only because the procedural stance of Duch was

one of (limited and self-serving) cooperation. This stance was naturally reflected in the legal positions that Duch's defence team adopted, and the ways in which his lawyers chose to question witnesses. In other words: the Nuon Chea defence team would question each and every witness that was heard in Case 001 with a different approach, a different interest, and a different purpose than the Duch defence team in Case 001, and we would accordingly obtain (most likely) different outcomes by way of trial testimony of these witnesses. Moreover, we would want to hear several witnesses in addition to those that were heard in Case 001.

Of course, Nuon Chea's alleged involvement with S-21 hinges to a major extent on Duch's description of Nuon Chea's role. Prosecuting Nuon Chea for S-21-related offenses, then, while not allowing the Defence the opportunity to present witness testimony that directly challenges Duch's credibility, would violate our client's fair trial rights.

In our initial list of witnesses [E9/10.1] we identified a certain number of witnesses as relevant to S-21 and the credibility of Duch; we have done a cursory review of this list and removed certain individuals that seem less relevant, and will attach the list of witnesses that are *prima facie* relevant when assessing the credibility of Duch. These are the witnesses that we would request to hear, *if* S21 gets to be included in Case 002/001. (*See attachment*) If you so desire, we could analyze this list further to see if we can limit it even more, and we could provide you with the finalized list by the end of this week. Of course, in case the Trial Chamber would not be inclined to include S-21 as part of the new extension, there would be no need for us to do so. Please do inform us accordingly.

As stated during the TMM, if indeed S-21 gets to be included as part of Case 002/001, it is an important and complicated enough topic to deserve serious and extended attention by the Trial Chamber. Merely hearing the (clearly less-than-truthful) former leader of the institution will not do justice to S-21 as a trial topic; if it gets to be discussed, it must be discussed comprehensively and thoroughly, in a fashion similar to the other sub-topics that form part of Case 002/001. Several important witnesses are still alive, and should be easily traceable in order to testify before the Chamber.

We want to stress that this e-mail should not be construed to constitute our full reasoning underlying our request to hear those witnesses (in addition to what I stated during the TMM); if the Trial Chamber indeed intends to include S-21, we would want to provide additional considerations as to why we want to hear (several of our earlier-proposed) witnesses with regard to this topic.

Please do not hesitate to ask for further clarifications on our position if anything remains unclear.

Kind regards,

Jasper

----Susan Lamb/UNAKRT wrote: ----

To: Chea.Leang@eccc.gov.kh, Andrew CAYLEY/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Andrew Ianuzzi/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, antaguisse@yahoo.fr, Arun Son/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, a.vercken.cetc@gmail.com, CHAN.Dararasmey@eccc.gov.kh. Dale Lysak/UNAKRT@UNAKRT,diablenoir@wanadoo.fr, Diana Ellis/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, dianaellis100@yahoo.com, FERNANDEZ.Eleonor@eccc.gov.k h,HYMAN.Maxwell@eccc.gov.kh, Jasper PAUW/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Marie CAPOTORTO/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Michael Karnavas/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Michiel Pestman/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Mosseny So/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Phalla Prum/UNAKRT@UNAKRT,PHANG.Vichet@eccc.gov.kh, PICH.Ang@eccc.gov.kh, Pich.Sambath@eccc.gov.kh. samonnk@vahoo.com.Seng.Bunkheang@eccc.gov.kh. Sheherazade BOUARFA/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, SIMONNEAU-FORT.Elisabeth@eccc.gov.kh, Socheata Seng/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Tanya Rene PETTAY/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Tarik Abdulhak/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Udom Ang/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, VENG. Huot@eccc.gov.kh, Vera MANUELLO/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Victor Koppe/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Vincent De Wilde d'Estmael/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, William Smith/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Keith RAYNOR/UNAKRT@UNAKRT, Yet.Chakriya@eccc.gov.kh, Joshua ROSENSWEIG@ECCC.gov.kh, VANDELFT.Mariska@eccc.gov.kh, SULLIVAN.H

From: Susan Lamb/UNAKRT Date: 08/31/2012 02:52PM

Subject: Indications provided by the parties of various categories of individuals proposed to

elen@eccc.gov.kh, CHIFFERT.Mathilde@eccc.gov.kh, Natale.KatrinaMarie@eccc.gov.kh

be heard at trial

Dear parties,

Following submissions made at the Trial Management Meeting and information sent to me subsequently, I have compiled the requests of all parties to hear (or withdraw) various categories of individuals at trial. I would be grateful if the parties could review this attachment and inform me of any errors as soon as possible.

Best regards, Susan

Susan Lamb

Senior Legal Officer, Trial Chamber, rm 418A Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia/ United Nations Assistance to the Khmer Rouge Trials

UN VSAT: 165 6333

INTL via Cambodia + 855 23 219 814 extn 6333

INTL via Italy ____ +39 0831 060 333 direct dial

CELL +855 (0)12 488 940 lamb@un.org