

# **អ**ស្ថិនខ្ញុំសម្រះនិសាមញ្ញក្អួនតុលាការកម្ពុស

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

## **Request for Correction**

ឯអសារយើម ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 24-Jul-2014, 10:16 Sann Rada

Case: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

To Document No(s):	ERN(s):	Request Date:	Correction Type:
E1/178.1	00899682-00899786	22-07-2014	Change to Original Change to Translation Reclassification

- 1- Transcription errors: Transcript corrected to reflect audio recording (verbatim)
- 2- Interpretation errors: Transcript amended to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

### Details:

See attached track changes for details.

The following footnote has been added to this transcript:

"Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript."

Filed by: Charles Zama, ITU

Approved by Greffier (for originals): M. RIPA/TC

Approved by ITU (for translations):

Signature:

Signature:

Signature:

01000988 E1/178.1/Corr-5

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 165 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 9/04/2013

### INDEX

MR. FRANÇOIS PONCHAUD (TCW-536)	
Questioning by the President	page 2
Questioning by Judge Lavergne	page 4 <mark>8<u>50</u></mark>

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Court opens at 0909H)
3	MR. PRESIDENT:
4	Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
5	During today's sessions and through the end of this week, the
6	Chamber is scheduled to hear TCW-536.
7	Ms. Se Kolvuthy is now instructed to report on the current status
8	of the parties to the proceedings today.
9	[09.10.42]
10	THE GREFFIER:
11	Good morning, Mr. President, and Your Honours. All the parties to
12	the proceedings are present, except Mr. Nuon Chea, who is present
13	in his holding cell due to his health concerns.
14	<pre>&lt;<u>WitnessThe witness&gt;</u> who is to testify today, TCW-536, is present</pre>
15	in the waiting $\operatorname{room}_{\leq_{7}}$ awaits and is awaiting a> call from the
16	Chamber. <a href="According to the witness">According to the witness</a> , the The> witness <a href="Maintenancements">According to the witness</a> , the The>
17	that he> is not in a relationship with the co-accused<-persons>,
18	Mr. Khieu Samphan, and Nuon Chea or any <pre><pre><pre>party - orof the&gt;</pre> civil</pre></pre>
19	parties to the proceedings. The witness will take the oath before
20	the Chamber in a moment and the witness has no duty counsel.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Thank you.
23	The Chamber has received a medical <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
24	certificate dated 9 April 2013 from the> physicians who advised

the Chamber that Mr. Nuon Chea be allowed to observe the

1	proceedings from his holding cell due to his health reason. $\leq As > 1$
2	Mr. Nuon Chea is in need of medical <assistance, as="" by<="" recommended="" th=""></assistance,>
3	the experts who testified recentlyphysician in his assistants and
4	the Chamber is seized to of such a recommendation and such
5	recommendation is relevant to the experts' testimonies during the
6	trial proceedings>.
7	[09.12.46]
8	And according to <theeccc> Internal Rule &lt;81.5&gt;, <due mr.="" nuon<="" th="" to=""></due></theeccc>
9	Chea's health concerns, he is fit to stand trial and for - or the
10	Chamber is of the view that Nuon Chea is unfit to participate in
11	the hearings in the courtroom but may, > in the interest of
12	justice, <a href="Mr. Nuon Chea is now allowed to"> bserve the proceedings</a>
13	from his holding cell through <video-linkvideo audio="" link="">.</video-linkvideo>
14	AV booth officials are now instructed to ensure that the audio-
15	visual link is now fed to his holding cell so that he can observe
16	the proceedings from there.
17	Court officer is now directed to bring in the next witness.
18	(Short pause)
19	[09.14.30]
20	MR. PRESIDENT:
21	Very good morning, Mr. Witness.
22	MR. PONCHAUD:
23	Good morning, Mr. President and good morning to all Cambodian
24	people.
25	QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:

- 1 Q. Mr. Witness, can you please tell the Chamber your full name?
- 2 MR. PONCHAUD:
- 3 A. My name is François Ponchaud—<, or "hot bridge" in French>.
- 4 Q. Mr. François Ponchaud, when were you born?
- 5 A. I was born <inon 8> February 1939, <in Sallanches, in the
- 6 foothills of the Alpsin the area of the Alp^ (phonetic)
- 7 Mountain>.
- 8 Q. Thank you, Mr. François Ponchaud. And where do you live now?
- 9 A. I live on Street Number 57 -- rather house number 57, Street
- 10 101, Boeng Trabek.
- 11 [09.16.43]
- 12 Q. What do you do for a living?
- 13 A. I am a <Catholic> priest.
- Q. What are your parents' names?
- 15 A. My father is Léon Ponchaud. He was born in in  $\langle \frac{1989}{1899} \rangle$ ; he
- 16 died 20 years ago. And my mother is Édith Jaccoux and she died 15
- 17 years ago.
- 18 Q. Thank you, Mr. François Ponchaud.
- 19 —As a witness before this Chamber, you are supposed to take
- 20 < thean > oath according to < your > religion, do you agree?
- 21 A. I would like to declare solemnly that I now will tell the
- Q. Thank you.
- 24 Mr. Ponchaud, according to the report by the Greffier of the Trial
- 25 Chamber, and to the best of your knowledge you are not in a

1	relationship with any individuals or civil parties who have been
2	admitted as the civil parties before the Chamber, and you are not
3	in any relationship with the two co-accused; is it true?
4	A. Yes, it is.
5	[09.18.46]
6	Q. According to the same report by the $\frac{\mathbf{g}\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}$ reffier, you are not in
7	any relationship with any of the parties to the proceedings; is
8	that true?
9	A. <i am="" any="" chea,="" either="" in="" khieu<="" not="" nuon="" or="" relationship="" th="" with=""></i>
10	Samphan, although I met one of them, Mr. Khieu Samphan, eight
11	years ago I met Nuon Chea but I am not in any relationship with
12	Khieu Samphan, although I know him. I met Mr. Khieu Samphan, eight
13	years ago>.
14	Q. Before we proceed to <pre>put some questions forquestion&gt;</pre> you, the
15	Chamber wishes to inform you of your rights <and duties=""> as a</and>
16	witness.
17	[09.19.46]
18	Mr. François Ponchaud, as <thea> witness <during th="" these<=""></during></thea>
19	<pre>proceedings&gt; before the Trial Chamber, you can choose not to</pre>
20	respond to any <question answer="" if="" incriminate<="" may="" tend="" th="" the="" to=""></question>
21	youquestions that are - that in your response you feel that they
22	will be self-incriminating>. And as <thea> witness, you are to</thea>
23	respond to all questions put $\leq \frac{\text{for}_{\text{to}}}{\text{you}}$ you by the Judges of the
24	Trial Chamber $_{ au}$ or $\underline{< ext{the}>}$ parties to the proceedings and you are to
25	speak the truth, the whole truth, <and> nothing but the truth.</and>

<a href="#"><And this truth must be relevant to the experiences you have had</a>

2	relevant to the events, and also Your testimony must be based on
3	what you saw, heard, experienced or noted and your answers must
4	<u>be&gt;</u> relevant to the questions put to you <u>&lt;-by-the Judges and the</u>
5	parties to the proceedings>.
6	The next question is: Mr. François Ponchaud, have you ever <given< td=""></given<>
7	any interviews to any of been interviewed by> the Co-Investigating
8	Judges of the ECCC <duringin> the last few years?</duringin>
9	A. <a href="Marcel Lemonde called me to speak with me for the whole">Mr. Marcel Lemonde called me to speak with me for the whole</a>
10	day. It was four years ago and I already reported to the Human
11	Right Committee or UN Human Right Committee in Geneva on the 15 <sup>th</sup>
12	of September 1998 about the Khmer Rouge regime or Democratic
13	Kampuchea Mr. Marcel Lemonde interviewed me for a full day four
14	years ago. I also reported to the UN Human Rights Commission in
15	Geneva on the 15th of September 1978 about Democratic Kampuchea>.
16	[09.21.55]
17	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ponchaud. We <have already="" note="" noted=""> that you</have>
18	are speaking in Khmer, but what is your nationality, please?
19	A. <u><i am="" at="" french,="" however,="" i="" originally.="" preah="" to<="" u="" vihear="" work=""></i></u>
20	develop the province. At Preah Vihear, they said that I was a
21	French individual who - or, rather, a Khmer who was born French,
22	who helped to claim Preah Vihear for Cambodia I am French,
23	originally. However, I have worked at Preah Vihear in the
24	development of the province. At Preah Vihear, they say that I was
25	a Khmer reborn as a French because my Uncle determined the

1	Cambodia-Thai border. Everyone said that I am a Khmer reborn as a
2	French by an error of fate>.
3	MR. PRESIDENT:
4	<pre>&lt;<u>Mr. Ponchaud, it is really impressive indeed that you speak Khmer</u></pre>
5	during the trial proceedings. Nonetheless, it would also be great
6	if you can also speak French, but the Chamber would not really
7	discriminate against the way you choose your language to speak. If
8	you choose to speak Khmer, then you will be supposed to speak
9	Khmer all throughout the whole proceedings for the convenience of
10	interpreting purposes. But if you choose to speak French, then you
11	may do so and just speak one language so that it is easy for us to
12	understand. Indeed, it's for the purpose of justice here before
13	this Chamber The Chamber is pleased to be able to hear your
14	testimony in Khmer. The choice is yours. If you choose to speak
15	Khmer, you must speak Khmer throughout your testimony for ease of
16	interpretation. If you use both languages, interpretation will be
17	complicated and difficult to follow. That is the practice before
18	this Chamber, and it is intended to ensure that your testimony is
19	valid, useful and contributes to the ascertainment of the truth>.
20	[09.23.50]
21	MR. PONCHAUD:
22	(Microphone not activated)
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Could you please hold on, Mr. Ponchaud-? Please speak when you see
25	the red light <is> on your mic, otherwise <we hear<="" not="" th="" will=""></we></is>

you<del>your message cannot be conveyed</del>>.

2	MR. PONCHAUD:
3	<pre><!-- Cambodia, we are now trying - we are prosecuting the Accused</pre--></pre>
4	who have committed the crimes and as it is in Cambodia, we should
5	speak Khmer. I have been now I have a Khmer citizenship, and I
6	can speak Khmer without any problemWe are in Cambodia and we are
7	trying accused Cambodians for crimes committed in Cambodia so I
8	think it is appropriate that I speak Khmer. I am not a Cambodian
9	citizen. I applied for citizenship two years ago, but Samdech
10	Techo has not approved it yet. We should speak Khmer>.
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	Indeed, your Khmer is very fluent and clear. The Chamber would not
13	object to your choice of language and you can, indeed, speak Khmer
14	during these proceedings. But as I already made it clear, if you
15	choose to speak Khmer, please speak Khmer <in td="" the="" whole<=""></in>
16	<pre>proceedingthroughout&gt; so that the interpreters &lt;= could can&gt; follow</pre>
17	you<-very smoothly and very well>.
18	Next the Chamber would like to inform the parties to the
19	proceedings that during the testimony of Mr. François Ponchaud,
20	the Chamber <would a="" fewwill="" first<="" like="" proceed="" putting="" td="" to="" with=""></would>
21	<pre>put&gt; questions to the witness before handing over the floor to the</pre>
22	prosecutors <pre>     then the; and     Lead Co-Lawyers for the civil </pre>
23	parties; and finally, the defence counsels for Mr. Nuon Chea and
24	Khieu Samphan.
25	[09.25.47]

BY MR. PRESIDENT:

2	Q. Mr. François Ponchaud, can you tell the Chamber, please, how
3	long have you been working and living in Cambodia?
4	MR. PONCHAUD:
5	A. $\leq$ I came to Cambodia on the 4 <sup>th</sup> of November 1965 during the
6	Sihanouk regime and also I lived through Lon Nol's regime. And for
7	another piece of information, I offered the key to the U.S.
8	embassy to a person on the 7 <sup>th</sup> of May and I was taken to the
9	border area by the 7 <sup>th</sup> of May 1975. So altogether, I had been in
10	Cambodia for 47 years and a half I arrived in Cambodia on the 4th
11	of November 1965. I lived for five years during the peaceful
12	Sihanouk regime and I lived here during the war years under the
13	Lon Nol regime. I also lived three weeks in Democratic Kampuchea.
14	One minor detail: I handed the keys of the French embassy to
15	Comrade Nhiem, the head of the northern sector of Phnom Penh, on
16	the 7th of May 1975, after which I was driven to the border on the
17	7th of May 1975. So, altogether, I have been in Cambodia for 47
18	and a half years>.
19	Q. Thank you.
20	Can you tell the Chamber also, <what comingwhy<="" of="" purpose="" th="" was="" your=""></what>
21	<u>you came</u> > to Cambodia in 1965 $_{\tau}$ and where <u><you have="" in<="" u="" worked=""></you></u>
22	Cambodia <del>did you work</del> >?
23	A. < <del>I arrived in Cambodia as a member of an association, the</del>
24	Christian Association who or which had to travel countries in
25	Asia. This committee came to Asia in 1959 and there was a small

1	Christian community and they would like to have young people to
2	engage in this mission and I was selected I arrived in Cambodia as
3	a member of an association, the Société des Missions étrangères de
4	Paris, a Roman Catholic missionary organization which came to Asia
5	in 1659. The head of a small Catholic community was looking for
6	young people to serve in this mission, and I was selected and
7	sent to Cambodia when I was 26 years old>.
8	[09.28.07]
9	And for the first three years, I studied Khmer-<, and also the as
10	well as Khmer> customs and traditions— and Buddhism. And I
11	lived with Khmer people so that I could <a href="easily"><a href="easily"></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
12	Buddhism <a href="everywell">every well</a> and I studied how Buddhism could help
13	Christians <adopt a="" approach.="" buddhismen<="" came="" from="" i="" learn="" new="" th="" to=""></adopt>
14	how we could also make use of the way we understand Buddhism and
15	the way we understand Christianity>.
16	Q. Thank you very much. You said you came to Cambodia in 1965 and
17	<pre><had> lived <here pre="" the="" to<="" untilall="" way=""> the 7th of May 1975 when</here></had></pre>
18	you left, <andwhen> you were deported by the <li>liberation</li></andwhen>
19	<pre>forcesliberated soldiers&gt;.</pre>
20	<pre>&lt;- TheMy&gt; next question is: During this period&lt;- of time&gt;, from 1965</pre>
21	to 1975, did you ever leave Cambodia <pre><pre>on any occasion? For</pre></pre>
22	example, did you ever leave Cambodia> for France or <for a<="" th=""></for>
23	<pre>foreignanother&gt; country during this time?</pre>
24	[09.29.29]
25	A. I left Cambodia on the 7 <sup>th</sup> of May 1975. I was < <del>so</del> very> worried

at that time because I <had to travelwas to be sent> to France.

2	The French government <pre><pre>offeredmade&gt;</pre> two airplanes <available>_to</available></pre>
3	evacuate the French <a href="mailto:sto"><a href="mailto:nationals"><a href="mailto:sto">nationals</a> to France<a href="mailto:sto">immigrants</a>&gt;. At <a href="mailto:sto"><a href="mailto:sto">that<a href="mailto:sto">sto<a href="mailto:sto">st</a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
4	<u>the&gt;</u> time, my association
5	I was assigned, but I was asked≥ to travel to another country≤-
6	not France>. <i and<="" asked="" be="" given="" i="" no.="" rest="" said="" td="" time="" to=""></i>
7	reflect on the extraordinary events that had occurred.>
8	<pre> <u>I would like to tell the world what happened in Cambodia, but I</u> </pre>
9	was then sent to France. I was in France in July 1975 where So,
10	back in France, in July 1975,> I started writing about what
11	happened <in <the="" about="" cambodia,="" when=""> Khmer Rouge soldiers</in>
12	entered Phnom Penh. $\leq$ And my writing was also published on the 15 <sup>th</sup>
13	of October. It was about the revolution, the miserableness of the
14	revolutionOn the 15th of October 1975, I published an article in
15	the "La Croix" newspaper, entitled "Une Révolution née avec tant
16	de peines et de souffrances">.
17	Q. Mr. Ponchaud, could you please wait and listen to my question
18	precisely and just respond directly to the question being asked?
19	Indeed, you will be $\leq \frac{\text{asked a lot of questions}}{\text{questioned}}$ by the
20	parties to the proceedings. As <the> President of the Trial</the>
21	Chamber, I would like to <ask concerning="" questions="" simple="" td="" you="" your<=""></ask>
22	lifeonly proceed with very simple or common questions concerning
23	your living≥ in Cambodia, in particular, in Phnom Penh. We
24	<pre><askedask> you these questions concerning the <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></askedask></pre>
25	prior to 1975pre-1975 period> to establish the <facts and="" td="" to<=""></facts>

1	understand fact and to learn from you based on your experience
2	living in this country<-during this time>. <and the="">Khmer Rouge</and>
3	soldiers came to Phnom Penh in 1975, on the $17^{\rm th}$ of April. We will
4	ask you <other and="" chronology="" concerning="" of<="" on="" questions="" th="" that="" the=""></other>
5	events until you were deported from Cambodia and arrived in
6	Thailand, crossing the border at Poipeta few more questions
7	concerning this chronological order of the events until the moment
8	when you were deported from Cambodia, and then you landed in
9	Thailand through Poipet checkpoint . These are the line of
10	questions $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ that will> be asked. $\frac{1}{2}$ dwe believe that if you
11	respond more than what we ask, then you would  de answering
12	questions that the parties might be asking then answer the
13	questions that the party might be asking already>.
14	[09.32.42]
15	Again, you said you came to Cambodia in 1965, but then you left on
16	the $7^{\rm th}$ of May 1975. My question to you again, between 1965 and
17	1975, did you ever leave Cambodia on any occasion, for example, on
18	a field mission or a trip to France?
19	A. I left Cambodia for <pre><ene months="" monthsix=""></ene></pre> in 1972 <for< th=""></for<>
20	holidays>.
21	Q. Thank you.
22	We would like to know also what <a href="https://www.was&gt;">was&gt;</a> like <a href="https://www.also.com/was&gt; like &lt;a href=" https:="" was="" www.also.com="">"&gt;during</a>
23	the time> when you were <here> from 1970 to 1975. Can you please</here>
24	describe to the Chamber the real situation in the country, because
25	you had been living in Cambodia for a long period of time,

1	although you had left temporarily on one occasion in 1972, you
2	said you left Cambodia for about six months before you returned.
3	So <pre><you all="" already="" cambodia="" during="" had="" in="" pre="" remained="" the="" this<="" time=""></you></pre>
4	<pre>period of time, so&gt; we would like to know what happened <during< pre=""></during<></pre>
5	that time>.
6	[09.34.39]
7	A. From 1965 to 1970, < I had been in Cambodia for about five years
8	already, I lived in Cambodia> and I was impressed by the
9	development <of country="" the="">. <i did="" great="" interest="" not="" pay="" th="" the<="" to=""></i></of>
10	poverty or injustice into society. I knew that there was some
11	injustice, people talked about this, but I did not have great
12	interest in that because I was rather young I knew there was
13	poverty and injustice. People talked about it, but I was not
14	particularly interested in that because I was too young>. I heard
15	about Prince Norodom Sihanouk cursing Hu Nim and Hou Youn < ., and
16	<pre>in that&gt; I also heard about the riots, rebellions in Samlaut <in< pre=""></in<></pre>
17	1977>. <and at="" that="" the="" time="" timeat="">, Samdech Sihanouk <would< th=""></would<></and>
18	<pre>likewanted&gt; to arrest Mr. Khieu Samphan, Hu Nim, and Hou Youn. I</pre>
19	also read <chinese articles="" embassy="" news="" saying="" th="" that="" the="" three<=""></chinese>
20	were dead and that Samdech Sihanouk had dumped their bodies into
21	acid. All of that was before 1970 new articles that about the
22	death of these people, so I learned about this before 1970>. But
23	later on, I learned that the three <pre><pre>epelehad&gt;</pre> escaped <to kampot<="" th=""></to></pre>
24	and that they had not been killed, contrary to what was claimed by
25	the press; they were not killed as what claimed by the news>.

1	In Samlaut $_{7}$ I don't remember the exact month, but it was in
2	1967 $_{7}$ - the farmers revolted against the <sihanouk's< th=""></sihanouk's<>
3	<pre>peopleSihanouk regime&gt; because their land <was grabbed="" pave="" pre="" to="" way<=""></was></pre>
4	<pre>for thehad been confiscated to establish a&gt; sugar factory. <riots< pre=""></riots<></pre>
5	ensued. At the time, the Khmer Rouge soldiers did not exist yet. I
6	heard about the Khmer Rouge in 1968. I also heard about people who
7	had been killed by Khmer Rouge soldiersPeople started these riots
8	and there was not or the Khmer Rouge soldiers did not exist
9	<del>yet</del> ≥.
10	[09.36.52]
11	<pre> <u><i 1968="" about="" also="" and="" heard="" heard<="" i="" in="" khmer="" rouge="" soldiers="" the="" u=""> </i></u></pre>
12	about the killing of the people in who were killed by the Khmer
13	Rouge soldiers. Indeed, I heard that Khmer Rouge killed these
14	peopleI studied Khmer in Battambang in 1968. According to what was
15	being said in 1968, the Khmer Rouge had killed people>.
16	Then I went to Kroch Chhmar <, where Samdech Techo lived and
17	further to the south infurther south of Kroch Chhmar at> Kratie
18	province. At night I would hear dogs barking; and I asked people
19	why dogs barked, and they said that $\frac{\langle \text{that} \rangle}{}$ was normal. Actually,
20	it was not normal<. What was happening was that the Khmer Rouge
21	came to the villages at night passing by my home to spread their
22	ideology among the people because the Khmer Rouge had to come to
23	the villages during night time to propagandize their course>.
24	That's all I remember <about 1970,="" and="" period="" th="" that="" this<="" through=""></about>
25	event remained the same until 1970>.

1	<pre><and admire<="" comes="" i="" it="" khieu="" like="" pre="" samphan,="" to="" when="" would=""></and></pre>
2	himConcerning Khieu Samphan, I admired him at the time>. His
3	Excellency Khieu Samphan was Mr. Clean, and King Norodom Sihanouk
4	<pre><pre>promoted him to be the officer in charge ofhad put him at the</pre></pre>
5	<pre>head of&gt; the Ministry of Commerce. He did not <a href="mailto:&lt;a href=" mailto:receive_take"=""><a href="mailto:receive_take"><a href="mailto:receive_take=" mailto:receive_ta<="" mailto:receive_take="mailto:receive_take=" td=""></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></pre>
6	bribes. He was offered a Mercedes Benz as a <bri>deal on</bri>
7	meat purchases, but he refused form of bribe but he did not take
8	it> sSo he was a very admirable person<. What happened next was
9	a different story. However, at the time, Mr. Khieu Samphan was an
10	idol - someone we admired. The youth admired him, and we learned
11	that he has been a nice person, good person all along>.
12	[09.38.48]
13	I was young at <that the=""> time, but I learned that <kou roun,-=""></kou></that>
14	Samdech Sihanouk's police <chief, be<="" caused="" khieu="" mr.="" samphan="" td="" to=""></chief,>
15	undressed in front of the National undressed Mr. Khieu Samphan in
16	<pre>front of the &gt; Assembly, and Mr. Khieu Samphan <was brave="" pre="" to<=""></was></pre>
17	protested against the $\frac{PP}{P}$ rince. $\frac{AA}{P}$ and he wrote about this in the
18	"Observateur". <in admired="" courage="" for="" him="" his="" i="" regard,="" td="" this="" to<=""></in>
19	challenge Samdech Sihanouk peacefully. We were worried that he
20	would be arrested And indeed, we were worried that he would be
21	arrested>.
22	Q. Thank you very much.
23	Can you also describe to the Chamber <a href="what happened in Phnom Penh">what happened in Phnom Penh</a>
24	in 1975, before the city was captured by the liberation armythe
25	events or what happened in Phnom Penh during the time when the

2	your impression concerning the people and the general situation
3	briefly, please. Can you briefly describe what the general
4	situation was, and in particular concerning the inhabitants of the
5	city?>
6	A. In a few words, I may say in 1970, when King Norodom Sihanouk
7	was toppled<-down>, I was in Kampong Cham. We heard that people
8	from Kratie and Snuol had <a href="travelled-across the Mekong River to">travelled across the Mekong River to</a>
9	protest against Lon Nol, the government, and parliamentariansto
10	travel all the way from these locations to revolt against the
11	government>.
12	[09.40.31]
13	<pre><at bombs="" destroy<="" dropped="" in="" lon="" nol="" pre="" skun="" soldiers="" that="" time,="" to=""></at></pre>
14	all the demonstrators. Demonstrators came to the Chrouy Changva
15	area. Mr the Frenchmen fired guns of opened fire at these
16	demonstrators. It was in the March or the 30 <sup>th</sup> of March that
17	this event happened. It was at about 6 o'clock when Lon Nol
18	soldiers had to open fire on the unarmed demonstrators, 60 of whom
19	were killed in this incident.
20	The Khmer Rouge were cruel, but I believe that they were cruel
21	because they had reason to do that as they were not pleased with
22	the way they were treated by the Lon Nol soldiers. And at that
23	time, the Vietnamese troops were invading the border area of
24	Cambodia. And I, myself, was also arrested at Han Chey Mountain in
25	Kampong Cham, but I had to bribe them for my release. I had to pay

them about 44,000 dongs for my releaseAt Skun, Lon Nol soldiers

2	dropped bombs in order to destroy the demonstrators. When some of
3	them reached the Chrouy Changva bridge area, Mr. Sosthène
4	Fernandez ordered that fire be opened on the demonstrators using
5	heavy guns, including 167 and 107 mm cannons. It was on 30 April,
6	pardon me the 30th of March. I was in Kampong Cham at the time. It
7	was at about 6 o'clock when Lon Nol soldiers opened fire on the
8	demonstrators, at least 60 of whom were killed.
9	Note: We should understand the feelings of the Khmer Rouge. The
10	Khmer Rouge were cruel. They had reason to oppose Lon Nol because
11	his soldiers were very bad. At the time, Vietnamese troops,
12	Communist Vietnam, North Vietnam, were invading the border area of
13	Cambodia. All young people were arrested and enlisted in the
14	Cambodian Army for National Liberation. I, myself, was also
15	arrested at Ang Chey Mountain north of Kampong Cham, but I had to
16	pay a bribe of 40,000 for my release. At the time, that was very
17	little money. Then the war started. It lasted from May 1970 to
18	<u>1975&gt;</u> .
19	[09.42.21]
20	I think it is also important to recall the event, that on the 1st
21	of May 1970, American soldiers and the South Vietnamese troops
22	invaded Cambodia. They came deep inside to Cambodia, 40 kilometres
23	deep into the country. Now, I live in the area where it was once
24	occupied by these troops. It was in Ou Reang Ov district. These
25	Vietnamese troops came all the way to S'ang Village, the village

1	which was the hometown of Deputy Prime Minister Sar Kheng <v>.</v>
2	The American and the Vietnamese troops were very brutal. They
3	killed civilians and raped them. The only way the people could be
4	safe was to join or to reach the Khmer Rouge soldiers. I could
5	also refer to witnesses who say that the Khmer Rouge soldiers were
6	very nice and good people. They helped us cultivate rice and also
7	they were engaged in this assistance all along. It happened during
8	the time when Cambodia was bombarded by the Americans.
9	I am talking about this because I have my own version about the
10	Khmer Rouge. At the beginning, Khmer Rouge provided some form of
11	hope for the people of Cambodia. Even I, myself, in my book,
12	"Camboge, année zéro", I also wrote that I would pray that the
13	Khmer Rouge soldiers came because people lost all hope during the
14	Lon Nol regime. Cambodian people had to suffer greatly and in
15	despair. And by 1973, we already knew what the Khmer Rouge had
16	been doing. They were helping us in the fields It should also be
17	noted that in May and June 1970, American soldiers and the South
18	Vietnamese troops invaded Cambodia. They came deep inside
19	Cambodia, 40 kilometres deep into the country. I currently live in
20	the area which was once occupied by these troops in Ou Reang Ov
21	district, Chak commune. I currently run development projects in
22	the province. The troops of the contemptible Ky - Nguyen Cao Ky -
23	came all the way to Sai Yang Village, the hometown of Deputy Prime
24	Minister Sar Kheng.
25	The American and Vietnamese troops were very brutal. They killed

1	civilians, beat and raped them. The only way the people could be
2	safe was to join the Khmer Rouge soldiers so that they could be
3	protected. I could also refer to witnesses, if Your Honours would
4	like to summon them, who say that the Khmer Rouge soldiers were
5	very nice and good people. The Khmer Rouge helped us cultivate
6	rice and they bought everything. They became cruel from 1973, when
7	Cambodia was bombarded by the Americans.
8	I am talking about this because I think we should view the Khmer
9	Rouge differently. At the beginning, the Khmer Rouge represented
10	some form of hope for the people of Cambodia. Even I, myself, in
11	my book, "Cambodia Year Zero", I also wrote that at the time I
12	would pray for the Khmer Rouge soldiers to come, because people
13	had lost all hope. Cambodian people were suffering a lot and were
14	in despair. Beginning in 1973, we knew what the Khmer Rouge were
15	doing in the paddy fields. We knew that after a village was
16	captured, houses were torched and the village chief and
17	influential people were executed>.
18	[09.44.59]
19	<pre><and 1973="" also="" evacuated="" i="" in="" learned="" people="" pre="" that="" was<="" we="" were="" when=""></and></pre>
20	in Kampong Cham. I learned that this happened in Bos Khnor and
21	Damnak Chang'aeur locations, but the information about the bad
22	deeds of Khmer Rouge intensified. We did not know why this
23	happened. Perhaps it was a kind of tactic in war. And we were
24	still convinced that Khmer Rouge were not bad people. When they
25	won the war, we expected that they would lessen their cruelty, but

that was not our expectation. But it was better than what the

2	people had been treated by the Lon Nol soldiers, though.
3	On the 17 <sup>th</sup> of April 1975, the whole population was evacuated from
4	the city. It was after the victory won over by the Khmer Rouge.
5	And on the 20 <sup>th</sup> and - of January 1973, there was a signature
6	signed by the - Mr. Kissinger. And Mr. Kissinger should also be
7	brought to stand trial for his acts during that time. The
8	Americans dropped bombs all across Cambodia, and I was the
9	witness, I bore witness to these events We also learned that in
10	1970, people were evacuated into the forest. I was in Kampong
11	Cham. I learned that this happened in Bos Khnor, where I had a
12	friend and Damnak Chang'aeur. People in these locations told the
13	same story, so we knew about the bad deeds of the Khmer Rouge. We
14	did not know why. We thought the Khmer Rouge were perhaps cruel as
15	part of their war tactics. And we were still convinced that when
16	the Khmer Rouge won the war, they would lessen their cruelty, but
17	we were mistaken. Our political analysis was not right.
18	But there was no hope under the Lon Nol regime, though. What
19	happened during the Lon Nol regime provides a very good
20	explanation for the evacuation of the entire population by the
21	victorious Khmer Rouge on the 17th of April 1975.
22	And on the 20th or 21st of January 1973, the contemptible
23	Kissinger and the contemptible Nixon signed a document - and they
24	also should be prosecuted for their actions at the time. They
25	dropped bombs all across Cambodia. I personally witnessed this>.

[09.47.04]

2	I was in <a house="" house<="" kandal="" market="" near="" of="" or="" tallest="" th="" the=""></a>
3	<pre>near&gt; Kandal Market. At night, I could see <the burning="" pre="" red<="" sky=""></the></pre>
4	that the bombs were dropped in the horizon . It was like the
5	skyline was burning. <i bombs.="" earth="" heard="" shake.="" the="" would=""> The</i>
6	<pre><barbaric> American soldiers mistreated Cambodian people   <br <="" th=""/></barbaric></pre>
7	<pre>dropping bombs on Cambodia&gt; without any reason whatsoever. &lt; They</pre>
8	killed Cambodian people through bombings They dropped bombs in
9	Cambodia in order to withdraw from Vietnam>.
10	Some researchers said that about 100,000 Cambodian people died.
11	<that an="" appropriate="" be<="" exaggeration.="" figure="" is="" me,="" th="" the="" to="" would=""></that>
12	about 40,000 people killed by the bombs 40, 000 people is a lot
13	already To me, about 400,000 people could have been killed by the
14	<pre>bombs&gt;. People were shivering; <vietnamese, and="" cambodians,="" pre="" the<=""></vietnamese,></pre>
15	Khmer Rouge soldiers were terrified and traumatized by these
16	carpet bombings. We all knew that the situation was extremely
17	difficult, and people ran away from the paddy fields to seek
18	refuge in Phnom Penh. There were about 3 million people. They were
19	afraid of being mistreated by the Khmer Rouge and they were afraid
20	of the Americans, who kept bombing them they were terrified and
21	traumatized by these carpet bombings. We all know that everyone
22	was having a very difficult time during the time of the bombings,
23	and people in the paddy fields had to run to the cities to take
24	refuge. They were afraid of the Americans who kept bombing on
25	them≥.

[09.49.05]

2	So, So, So, the by April 1975, people already came to the
3	city and then we were informed or asked to leave the city because
4	they said that Americans would be bombing us again in April 1975 -
5	on that "glorious day", as the Khmers referred to it - many people
6	had come to the city, and the comrades told us to leave the city
7	immediately because Americans were going to bomb again>. As I told
8	you, we had been traumatized by <these bombings,="" people<="" so="" td="" when=""></these>
9	heard that they had to leave the city to escape from the bombings,
10	they were convinced, and that is how they left the citythe
11	bombings, so by way of hearing that we had to leave the city,
12	otherwise we would be bombed again, people were convinced and we
13	had to leave the city>.
14	<pre> <u><i did="" i="" khmer="" leave<="" not="" rouge="" talked="" that="" the="" to="" u="" want=""> </i></u></pre>
15	Cambodia, I would like to live in Cambodia until I die, but the
16	Khmer Rouge told me that I could be on my own and I - if I did not
17	want to leave Cambodia, then I would have to be responsible for my
18	own safetyWe have to have an appreciation of the circumstances at
19	the time. There is no black and white. We have to understand
20	history. I told the Khmer Rouge that I was prepared to stay
21	because I did not want to leave Cambodia, that I wanted to remain
22	here until my death, but the Khmer Rouge told me that I would have
23	to be responsible for my own safety. At the time, I did not
24	understand. It meant that I would soon be killed. I was not used
25	to the way the Khmer Rouge spoke. They spoke differently from us>.

1	Q. Mr. Ponchaud, you already testified <-about-> the events you
2	bore witness to<. You testified about the bombings, and that you
3	lived near Kandal market, in a tall building, for example, the
4	bombings, and that you lived in Phsar Kandal location, on a tall
5	<del>building</del> >.
6	[09.50.42]
7	Can you please be more precise? <what distance="" from="" th="" the="" was="" your<=""></what>
8	residence to the place where the bombs were falling How close were
9	you to the bomb sites that you could really see them from your
10	apartment or the place where you stayed >?
11	A. <- could see the skyline which was so bright the skyline was
12	illuminated already by these fires, the fireball from the bombs,
13	so the I'm not sure I could be more specific, but I could see the
14	horizon glowing. The falling bombs were shooting out fireballs.
15	$\underline{\text{The}}$ sounds of the bombs could be heard easily. So $\underline{<} \mathbf{I}$ could see
16	that it would not be very far from I thought the bombs were not
17	falling far away from> Phnom Penh, that's why the bombs could be
18	heard when they were dropped. <the believed="" earth="" i="" shook,="" so="" td="" that<=""></the>
19	the bombs were not falling very far from the city. However, I am
20	not a technician so I cannot give you a clearer answerAnd also,
21	the ground were - was shaking at some point, so I believed that
22	the bombs were dropped not very far from the vicinity of the
23	<del>city</del> ≥.
24	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ponchaud.
25	You talked about the <influx april<="" before="" city="" into="" of="" people="" td="" the=""></influx>

1	1975. You said that you estimated that there were perhaps 3
2	million people in the city in April 1975. Where did all these
3	people come fromincrement of population flowing into the city
4	before the 17 <sup>th</sup> of April 1975, and you even emphasized that
5	according to your estimation, the number of people could have
6	reached 3 million by the time in 1975 - April 1975. Can you also
7	tell the Chamber, where were these people from >?
8	[09.52.36]
9	A. (Microphone not activated)
10	MR. PRESIDENT:
11	Q. Mr. Ponchaud, could you please hold on? Wait a moment until you
12	see the red light on your mic, otherwise you <eouldwould> not be</eouldwould>
13	heard.
14	MR. PONCHAUD:
15	A. <mr. (phonetic)="" 1="" 2<="" about="" in="" said="" stalin="" statistic="" th="" that="" the="" to=""></mr.>
16	million people could have come to the city, but there was no other
17	substantiated report to support this. But I worked with the
18	organization to help the refugees, and I could see that people
19	kept coming to the city every day As Stalin said, 1 million, 2
20	million, those are just statistics. At the time, we did not have
21	accurate figures. We, Catholics, helped hundreds of thousands of
22	refugees who were arriving every day>. I can't <say exactly="" how<="" th=""></say>
23	many people were arriving, but I estimate that there were 2,000,
24	three million, 3,000 people in Phnom Penh at the time. Many people
25	slept on the street and in pagodasexactly say how many people

could have come to the city, but I can estimate that there could

2	have been 2 to 3 million people in Phnom Penh at that time because
3	people could be seen staying at different pagodas and street
4	<del>corners</del> >.
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
6	Q. What $\leq$ was your impression concerning did you think about> the
7	way people lived <at back="" life="" the="" their="" then="" time="">? Did they have</at>
8	enough food to eat Did they have jobs? or did Did they live a
9	decent life?
10	[09.53.52]
11	A. Life was miserable < . because they couldn't survive such
12	situation. They It was hard to survive. People> could not make a
13	living in <such and<="" chaos.a="" chaotic="" my="" organization,="" situation.="" td=""></such>
14	Caritas organization assisted the refugees Caritas, our
15	organization, assisted refugees,> and we also helped them grow
16	<pre><some> vegetables on the outskirts of the city <of penh="" phnom="">.</of></some></pre>
17	People did not have enough to eat. <first, but<="" could="" help="" td="" them="" we=""></first,>
18	the assistance was very minimal. We could help them until January
19	1975, and we also saw that ships were seen transporting rice to
20	Phnom PenhWhen the Khmer Rouge attacked, they wanted to protect
21	their territory. But most of them did not have anything to eat. At
22	the beginning, we could help them, but the assistance was very
23	minimal. We could only help them until January 1975. American
24	ships brought rice from Vietnam to Phnom Penh>.
25	On the 1 <sup>st</sup> of January 1975, <u>Styat</u> midnight, <u>we were bombed or</u>

1	fired at by all - from all directions, and all weapons in Phnom
2	Penh started firing simultaneously.> I learned at that time that
3	Phnom Penh would soon be captured by the Khmer Rouge. Two <or< td=""></or<>
4	three> days later, <the> Khmer Rouge soldiers crossed the Mekong</the>
5	River, <so could="" food="" longer="" more="" no="" would=""> be shipped from</so>
6	Vietnam<. American planes air lifted rice and food from Bangkok.
7	In early March 75, the Khmer Rouge attacked Pochentong Airport,
8	and the Americans had to air drop food and rice by parachute.
9	These parachutes often fell in areas controlled by the Khmer
10	Rougeas we saw before. The American planes brought rice and food
11	from Bangkok.
12	As early as March 1975, the Khmer Rouge attacked Pochentong
13	Airport and the Americans had to drop food from the air through
14	the parachutes. And these parachutes end up landing on the area
15	conquered by the Khmer Rouge on several occasions>.
16	[09.55.58]
17	<pre><on 17<sup="" the="">th of April 1975, it was the day when Khmer Rouge all</on></pre>
18	came to the city. We were very terrified because we knew already
19	that the Khmer Rouge did something very bad in the rice fields but
20	we had no choice So, from 1973 to the 17th of April 1975, life was
21	miserable. When the Khmer Rouge arrived in the city, we were
22	terrified because we know about the atrocities that the Khmer
23	Rouge had committed in the rice fields. But we had no other hope>
24	Q. Thank you very much, Mr. Ponchaud.
25	Did you also have an opportunity to visit <some> hospitals <before< td=""></before<></some>

1	17  April  1975? If so, what was your impression concerning the
2	medical care <available and="" at="" hospitals,="" how="" patients<="" th="" these="" were=""></available>
3	and the wounded treated, and what was your impression concerning
4	the distribution of drugsservice and how patients were treated>?
5	A. <in at="" did="" different<="" had="" hospitals,="" i="" lon="" nol="" not="" th="" time,="" work=""></in>
6	assignments and we worked in different direction that I had no
7	opportunity to go to the hospitals. I was an interpreter and
8	translator. Although I knew the situation was very bad, I had to
9	remain at home performing my job Under Lon Nol, I did not visit any
10	hospitals because we all had different tasks. I was working as a
11	translator. I stayed at home, working with a lot of difficulty I
12	did not understand the situation in the hospitals. I only heard
13	about it from others>.
14	[09.57.31]
15	<pre> <u><i from="" helped="" ill="" location<="" people="" seriously="" some="" treang="" u="" were="" who=""> </i></u></pre>
16	and we collected them and have them kept in one centre so that
17	this kind of disease they had could not be spread out to other
18	people. So this is the only incident when I can tell you about
19	people who were sick, but I did not pay great attention to work at
20	hospitals We helped some lepers who were from Treang. We set them
21	up at Tuek L'ak in order to prevent the disease from spreading
22	across Cambodia. We created a leprosy hospital at Tuek L'ak and
23	Ruessei Keo. I didn't know any other hospitals because it was none
24	of my business>.
25	Q. Thank you. <we -="" during<="" events="" from="" like="" proceed="" th="" to="" would=""></we>

1	April 1975Let's turn now to what happened as from 17 April 1975>.
2	Now, the <pre><eveningnight></eveningnight></pre> before the 17 <sup>th</sup> of April, where were you
3	and what <were do="" doingdid="" you="">?</were>
4	A. (Microphone not activated.
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
6	<-Please wait for the microphone to be turned on.>
7	MR. PONCHAUD:
8	A. As I

building>.

2	[09.59.58]
3	<pre> <u><but and="" building="" could="" i="" in="" khmer="" rouge="" see="" soldiers<="" the="" u="" was=""> </but></u></pre>
4	marching into the city. They burned down some houses. So on the
5	16 <sup>th</sup> of April, I thought that it was about time already that the
6	Khmer Rouge came to the city. Mr. François Perez <v>, the head of</v>
7	the Red Cross, created a kind of campsite for people who would
8	like to take refuge at Wat - rather, at Santakir (phonetic) Phnom,
9	or Phnom Hotel - Le Phnom Hotel. And they wrote that place was the
10	international site for refugees, and it's a free soldiers zone I
11	talked about this because every day we would climb this very tall
12	building and we could see Khmer Rouge soldiers approaching . They
13	torched houses and anything along their path. So we bet that the
14	Khmer Rouge would enter the city within two or three days. On the
15	16th of April, I thought that it was about time the Khmer Rouge
16	came to the city. Mr. François Perez, the head of the Red Cross
17	and ICRC-International Committee of the Red Cross set up some sort
18	of shelter, at Wat Phnom, or Phnom Hotel - Le Phnom Hotel, which
19	is now Le Royal Hotel. In bold letters, it was written: "This is
20	an international shelter. Please come, this is a demilitarised
21	zone">.
22	So, I <-and others who spoke Khmer were was-> asked <-by François
23	<pre>Perez-&gt; to help translate into Khmer and from Khmer into French,</pre>
24	and we received <ministers, and="" especially="" khmers,="" senior<="" td=""></ministers,>
25	government officials who wanted to take refuge theresenior people

1	or officials who would like to take refuge at that place > . <and i<="" th=""></and>
2	had to help check them before they could be allowed to get into
3	the place. I had to help remove or unarm them before they could be
4	allowed into the vicinity All night, I confiscated weapons from
5	people's suitcases before letting them in. These people were
6	carrying large dollar amounts. I have regretted a lot for having
7	taken away weapons from the small Rhade army which had created
8	FULRO - the United Front for the Liberation of Oppressed Races.
9	The Khmer Leu wanted to gain their independence. Some of them were
10	fighting against the Vietnamese and the Khmers. The head of FULRO
11	was Y Bham. He and Colonel Y Bun Suor took refuge there, and I had
12	to disarm them. I had to disarm them. I also had to take away his
13	machetes, but I was very sad because these people used these
14	machetes to survive-
15	<del>[10.01.52]</del>
16	I also met a group of people who created FULRO, the group that was
17	created in a form of the Front for liberating the suppressed
18	groups of people. So, this FULRO group had to fight against the
19	Vietnamese and also the Khmer. And Y Bham was the head of this
20	FULRO group and he also took refuge at the place. And I had to
21	take away his weapon and knives, but I felt so bad after all that
22	I had to remove these items because they needed knives for food,
23	cutting foods>.
24	<pre><and at="" could="" fighting;="" gunfire="" hear="" i="" night,="" pre="" surrounding="" the<=""></and></pre>
25	vicinity of Phnom Penh. Khmer Rouge opened fire and every now and

1	then I - when I was at the Phnom Penh Commune Office, I could hear
2	this, and I had to travel from this place to Phsar Thmei, but by
3	the time I came back I saw seven people died because a bomb was
4	dropped a moment ago, and these seven people lie dead near my
5	house. And from 1973 onwards, the situation in Phnom Penh was so
6	miserable, was so difficult. There was no food and Khmer Rouge
7	continued fighting and open fire, and I believe that in 1972, this
8	fierce fighting happened once already The whole night, I could hear
9	rockets being fired from the other side of the river, from
10	Areyksat. Every five minutes, the Khmer Rouge opened fire.
11	I have not yet told you that from 1972 to 1975, the Khmer Rouge
12	fired a lot of rockets at Phnom Penh. For example, to go and eat
13	at the present City Hall of Phnom Penh, I had to leave the central
14	market. When I came back, I saw seven dead bodies in my house. The
15	rockets had been fired a short while before. The seven people were
16	lying dead close to the wall of my house.
17	From 1973 onwards, the situation in Phnom Penh was absolutely
18	miserable and very difficult. There was no food, and the Khmer
19	Rouge continued firing rockets at the city every day.
20	For example, in 1972, there were two ferocious battles in a pagoda
21	near Tuol Kork. I do not recall the name of the pagoda, but it was
22	not the Chumpu Voan Pagoda where Samdech Techo has had a small
23	<pre>pagoda built&gt;.</pre>
24	[10.04.22]
25	The Khmer Rouge dropped bombs <pre>, killing and killed&gt;</pre> about 200

1	people at Tuol Svay Preah<-ledeled-location>. In 1973, Khmer Rouge <again< th=""></again<>
2	fired rockets and reduced the whole neighbourhood to ashesdropped
3	bombs again and killed all the people in that "sangkat" >. All
4	houses <a href="mailto:were-on-went-up-in">were-on-went-up-in</a> > fire <a href="mailto:and-people">. and people</a> People had no food
5	and <they> were terrified they were and&gt; traumatized by this</they>
6	<pre><warlack and="" by="" day="" every="" fighting="" food="" khmer="" of="" rouge="" the="">.</warlack></pre>
7	Q. <a href="Mow">New</a> , you talked already about the 17 <sup>th</sup> of April 1975, so we
8	would like to have a few questions concerning the event after 17
9	of April 1975. Nonetheless, we are convinced that the parties to
10	the proceeding may be asking you some questions concerning this.
11	At this moment the Chamber would like to know more from you about
12	the events that happened exactly on the 17th of April 1975, in
13	particular, what happened in Phnom Penh when you saw - in 1975 on
14	the 17th of April. Please, describe to the Chamber only what
15	happened during that particular day You have already testified
16	about 17 April 1975. We would like now to ask you a few questions
17	about the post-April 17 period, although we suppose the parties
18	will ask you supplementary questions on that if they need
19	clarification. The Chamber would now like to ask you questions on
20	the events of 17 April 1975. In particular, we would like to know
21	what happened in Phnom Penh, what you saw on 17 April 1975. Please
22	describe what you saw and only what happened on that day>.
23	[10.06.04]
24	A. $\leq$ On the night of the $16^{th}$ of April, I was still at the Le Phnom
25	Hotel to disarm people, the government officials who would like to

take refuge at the international refugee camp or site. And later

2	on I was at the municipality and I could see that hundreds of
3	people were coming from all directions into the city, and they
4	said that the Khmer Rouge were coming, and we received them. We
5	placed them in a building, a big building, and we believed that
6	everyone could be safe in that area, bombs could never reach us.
7	And then there was a Sedan, a white Sedan, parked before the
8	French embassy and we believed that the French officials would be
9	negotiating with the Khmer Rouge so that we could be safe. We
10	hoped good things could happen.
11	But later on we learned - or we saw, tanks rolling to the cadre
12	school and shells were fired from that tank and a man had to walk
13	all the way to the tank to negotiate with the soldiers; and as the
14	result, half of the soldiers surrendered when the remaining - or
15	the rest continued fighting and this person disappeared and the
16	tank disappeared. And I had the impression that it was strange
17	that there were some youths who were wearing black clothes,
18	holding a flag, and journalists could identify them as Khmer Rouge
19	soldiers. Actually, they were not Khmer Rouge soldiers. They were
20	Lon Nol's brother and relatives On the night of the 16th and the
21	morning of the 17th of April, I was still at the Le Phnom hotel
22	disarming government officials and all those who were seeking to
23	take refuge at that international shelter. The next morning, I was
24	at the present City Hall and I could see hundreds, thousands of
25	people arriving from the north, as well as the Khmer Rouge. We

1	welcomed them and set them up in the cathedral, a concrete
2	building, where we believed they would be protected from rocket
3	fire. At about 6.30, the city was very calm. There were cars,
4	including a white 404 sedan, parked in front of the French
5	embassy. We thought that if the embassy was negotiating with the
6	Khmer Rouge, we might be out of danger, because we thought that we
7	were going to die. Then some people got into the cars. There were
8	tanks in front of Calmette and the Lycée Descartes, the current
9	University of Business. One of the tanks shelled Calmette. Khmer
10	Rouge trucks stopped. A few Khmer Rouge went right up to the tanks
11	to negotiate. Following the negotiations, half of them surrendered
12	and laid down their weapons. The other half continued fighting in
13	Phnom Penh. The white 404 sedan drove past my house and went to an
14	unknown location. Later, I thought it was a bit strange to see
15	these young men dressed in black and who were waving a red and
16	blue flag similar to the scout flag. All the reporters identified
17	them as Khmer Rouge, but in fact they were not Khmer Rouge. They
18	were the troops of Lon Non, Lon Nol's brother, who were trying to
19	take advantage of the situation and take full credit for the
20	capture of Phnom Penh before the Khmer Rouge>.
21	[10.09.15]
22	<u>These people could have taken the advantage of the opportunity to the interest of the opportunity to the opportunity t</u>
23	claim the victory of Phnom Penh and they were joined by some
24	people in cars to congratulate them, and also they were
25	congratulated by the people in Phnom Penh. And all the journalists

1	still were convinced that this group of people were the Khmer
2	Rouge, and Le Monde also captured this event, and they even said
3	that Khmer Rouge liberated Phnom Penh. I think the journalists
4	were misled by this event and I was also surprised when I was at
5	the church and I did not know why the fighting had been very
6	fierce and people exchanged hostility but now they hacked one
7	another. It is not like what I expected.
8	Among these young people who wore black clothes I could see that
9	they were not the people that we could smile at. At 10 o'clock,
10	they captured the whole city. So, by then, I can see that Phnom
11	Penh was occupied by all these soldiers, and we could also see
12	other people who had to surrender or were disarmed. At the
13	beginning, we saw only young people searching others for weapons,
14	but then we learned that they were the Khmer Rouge soldiers and we
15	learned also that the Lon Nol soldiers had to surrender, and the
16	representative of the Lon Nol soldiers made it clear that the Lon
17	Nol soldiers now were defeated. And they surrendered and they did
18	not do any harm to the Khmer Rouge soldiers anymore, and Samdech
19	Huot Tat^, also told the people at that time that it is time. Now
20	we had to join hands in rebuilding the country and we begged the
21	victors not to engage in any hostility further These people were
22	trying to take advantage of the opportunity to claim the victory
23	for capturing Phnom Penh before the Khmer Rouge. The man in the
24	404 and the young people waving a flag similar to a scout flag
25	were Lon Non's people. Then some vehicles arrived, joined later by

1	people in cars, who came to congratulate them. The people were
2	also congratulating them. All the reporters thought they were
3	Khmer Rouge. Patrice de Beer of "Le Monde" wrote a cheerful
4	article about this, saying that the Khmer Rouge had liberated
5	Phnom Penh. In fact, the reporters were mistaken. In fact, I was
6	very surprised when I was at the church with my friend; I said I
7	did not know why, after such fierce fighting, people could hug one
8	another like that. It was strange.
9	Then we saw a few tired small-sized fighters dressed in black, and
10	one of them was carrying a writing instrument. I told my friend
11	that one could not smile at them. These were young Khmer Rouge
12	fighters who had entered the city by way of Boeng Kak, behind the
13	City Hall, where there were no houses. By 10 o'clock, they had
14	taken control of the entire city. As the French saying goes, a
15	leaden shroud had fallen over Phnom Penh. We knew we had been
16	caught in a fish net. These young people searched people at all
17	intersection. Soldiers took off their uniforms and threw away
18	their weapons in the middle of the street. We realized that the
19	Khmer Rouge soldiers were not very nice. They searched vehicles.
20	I forgot to tell you that Mey Sichan, head of Lon Nol's army,
21	wanted to surrender and had ordered his troops to stop fighting
22	the Khmer Rouge because they had lost the war. Samdech Huot Tat,
23	supreme patriarch of the Mohanikay order, said: "The war is over.
24	We have to rebuild the country together. We are among brothers.
25	The victors must stop fighting">.

[10.12.42]

2	<pre><so 10="" as="" by="" captured<="" fully="" i="" o'clock,="" penh="" phnom="" pre="" told="" was="" you,=""></so></pre>
3	and it was complete silent. There was no more gunfire. I did not
4	believe that the Khmer Rouge stopped killing people, but I did not
5	hear any more gunshots and at 11 o'clock I saw the unspeakable
6	events. I saw the sick people, I saw the cripples who were
7	crawling like worms right in front of my house and people were
8	moving out of the city, and one of the handicapped asked to stay
9	in our house and I said, sorry, you have to move on otherwise you
10	will be killed if you stayed here That was a little before 10
11	o'clock. And at about 10 o'clock, it was as if a fish net had been
12	cast over the entire city. I no longer heard any sound of gunfire.
13	I am not saying that the Khmer Rouge did not kill anyone. But I
14	did not hear any sound of gunfire for a whole day. At 11 o'clock,
15	I witnessed an unforgettable event. I saw hundreds of sick people,
16	wounded people leave the city passing in front of my house.
17	Disabled people were crawling on the street like worms. One of the
18	disabled people ask me if he could stay at my place, and I told
19	him no, that he must move on because if he stayed he would die in
20	any event>.
21	<pre> <u>So we did not receive any patients and it was shameful for me not</u> </pre>
22	to do that. But we had no choice, and a lot of injured people had
23	to be - were asked to move to the paddy fields, and I heard people
24	say if the injured people did not want to leave then they would be
25	killed by bombs by the soldiers We could not help any of the

patients. It was a shame not to be able to take in the wounded and

2	I still feel ashamed to this day. Thus, hundreds of wounded people
3	were sent to the rice fields. I heard people say that if the
4	injured did not want to leave the city, the soldiers would kill
5	them with bombs>.
6	<pre><at 12="" about="" cham<="" expelled="" heard="" i="" khmer="" o'clock,="" pre="" rouge="" the=""></at></pre>
7	people. I was happy. I was happy because the Cham people was -
8	were allowed to leave the city so that they could return to their
9	hometown. At around 2.00, I saw that Khmer Rouge soldier in black
10	clothes and they forced us to leave immediately for fear of
11	bombing. I do not recall whether or not they made that
12	announcement through loudspeaker or not. But as I told you
13	earlier, the civilians were very frightened of the air bombardment
14	by the American soldiers so they had to leave, and then they had
15	to leave by themselves. I told them not to leave, but they said
16	they were fearful of American bombardment so they decided to leave
17	and I could not stop them. I said, well, you could leave
18	then Around noon, I heard that the Khmer Rouge had expelled the
19	Cham from Phnom Penh. I was happy because if the Cham were allowed
20	to leave the city, they could return to their hometown. That was
21	very good. Then, at about 2 p.m., I saw Khmer Rouge soldiers
22	dressed in black. They told us to leave immediately, out of fear
23	of American bombing. I do not recall whether the announcement was
24	made by loudspeaker or using a helicopter. But as I told you
25	earlier, I believe civilians were very afraid of the aerial

1	bombardment by the American army, and therefore they had to leave.
2	They left by themselves, freely. I told them that I was prepared
3	to die. They told me that I would bear personal responsibility>.
4	[10.15.57]
5	<pre><at 2="" about="" p.m.,=""> I saw people walking along the street. They</at></pre>
6	were marching out of the city<, to the north. I saw my colleagues
7	walk past my house at about 3 p.m. People were moving slowly
8	because there were too many people. In three or four hours, they
9	only travelled 2 or 3 kilometres. At around 6 p.m., I did not see
10	any people in Phnom Penh. In any case, where I was, I saw no one.
11	The Khmer Rouge did not fire on anyone. I read a book which talked
12	about fire being opened on civilians somewhere around Wat Phnom.
13	However, I did not witness nor hear it myself. The Khmer Rouge
14	were not as depicted in the movie "The Killing Fields" by Roland
15	Joffé. The movie served his interest because he was able to arouse
16	world opinion concerning the Khmer Rouge. However, it did not
17	depict the Khmer Rouge as they actually were. It depicted them as
18	brutal, violent. In fact, they were not. In fact, the Khmer Rouge
19	chilled our blood just by the fierceness of their look. They
20	walked in slow motion. I saw people march along the street but the
21	movement were very slow. They could actually travel on foot around
22	four, three to four kilometres per hour and then at around 6
23	o'clock, I did not see any people in Phnom Penh. At least in my
24	place I did not see any civilians. I read a book which cited the
25	shooting incident of the civilians somewhere around Wat Phnom, but

1	1 did not witness that by myself. Actually, the Khmer Rouge
2	soldier was not like the picture depicted in the "Killing Field"
3	film, because I think that that film by Roland Joffe, he was not
4	depicting the real picture of the Khmer Rouge at that time. It was
5	only reflected in the film, but actually the Khmer Rouge was
6	threatening us indirectly. They used their fierce eye to threat
7	the people>.
8	[10.17.38]
9	<pre> <u><we -="" <="" and="" friend="" i="" into="" khmer="" looked="" met="" my="" pre="" rouge="" the="" with=""></we></u></pre>
10	the Khmer Rouge eyes and then they looked at us with a strange
11	look. Actually, the Khmer Rouge could threaten us by only a bare
12	look of eyes. They were very fierce. That was the events on the
13	16th of April and on the night of the 17 April. At night, there
14	were military groups comprising of around 10 members each, and it
15	was led by a leader, deputy leaders and members. They came to our
16	house. They wanted to stay over there. When they met us they gave
17	us a very fierce look. They communicated with us. They asked us
18	whether or not I knew "Mae" Hélène, because "Mae" Hélène was the
19	wife of Bizot I wanted to return to my home at the market with Ta
20	Vine, a Second World War veteran. We met Khmer Rouge, and they
21	looked at us in the eyes and said, "You too!" We dared not
22	continue. We turned back. The Khmer Rouge could threaten simply by
23	their cruel look. Those were the events of 16 April and on the
24	night of 17 April.
25	Finally, at nightfall, a military unit of 10 members led by three

1	persons - a leader, a deputy leader and a member - came to our
2	house and wanted to stay with us. When they arrived, they gave us
3	an unpleasant look, but because we spoke Khmer, they became nice
4	to us. Their leader asked us if we knew Hélène's mother. She was
5	the wife of Bizot - whom, Mr. President, you have called to
6	testify before this Chamber. We said she lived 200 metres away.
7	However, she was not there because she was prohibited from going
8	out at night>.
9	[10.19.13]
10	<pre><and and="" around="" at="" freely,="" move="" night="" not="" pre="" then="" they="" to="" told="" us="" we<=""></and></pre>
11	talked to those Khmer Rouge soldiers and it was not that difficult
12	to talk to them. They were like ordinary youths as well, and the
13	Khmer Rouge wanted to learn how to drive our cars and when they
14	drove the car and then they hit the tree, they blame the car. Why
15	the car did not find the way by itself. So the Khmer Rouge in
16	general were dumb. They were ignorant of this of anything The
17	whole night, we spoke with these Khmer Rouge soldiers. We
18	spoke to them easily. They were young people who had not yet
19	been fully indoctrinated. These young Khmer Rouge wanted to
20	learn how to drive. Well, they tried to drive. When they
21	finally hit a tree, they cursed the vehicle: "Why don't you
22	know the road?" They were dumb and ignorant>.
23	- <u>So we stayed and played with the Khmer Rouge that night and they</u>
24	did not appear to be, you know, somebody who were fierce.
25	And on the 18 of April, the team leader asked me, and they told

me that we had to go to the train station and I was driving the

Khmer Rouge in my car at that time, and I was acting as a tour guide. I told them that this was the residence of this person of that person. This was the Independence Monument. This mansion belongs to a Royal Family, so on and so forth, and then we drow past the Royal Palace. And then, at that time, I still noticed that there were some remaining Lon Nol soldiers resisting as we and they were fighting and I told the Khmer Rouge. But they were not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me, where the American soldiers were staying? And I told them, no,
that person. This was the Independence Monument. This mansion belongs to a Royal Family, so on and so forth, and then we drow past the Royal Palace. And then, at that time, I still noticed that there were some remaining Lon Nol soldiers resisting as we and they were fighting and I told the Khmer Rouge. But they were not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me,
belongs to a Royal Family, so on and so forth, and then we drow past the Royal Palace. And then, at that time, I still noticed that there were some remaining Lon Nol soldiers resisting as we and they were fighting and I told the Khmer Rouge. But they were not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me,
past the Royal Palace. And then, at that time, I still noticed that there were some remaining Lon Nol soldiers resisting as we and they were fighting and I told the Khmer Rouge. But they were not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me,
that there were some remaining Lon Nol soldiers resisting as we and they were fighting and I told the Khmer Rouge. But they were not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me,
and they were fighting and I told the Khmer Rouge. But they were not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me,
not frightened of the Lon Nol soldiers and they kept asking me,
where the American soldiers were staying? And I told them, no,
there was no Americans around. So the Khmer Rouge thought that
there was the presence of American soldiers everywhere That nig
we did not want to sleep because we were very apprehensive
We played with these Khmer Rouge the whole night, and the
appeared friendly to us. On 18 April, the leader of the u
told me that Angkar had asked his group to go to the train
station, which was about 300 metres away. I looked at my
friend, raising my eyebrows. So I drove the Khmer Rouge is
my car, acting like a tour guide. I said to them: "This i
the house of the contemptible Long Boret, that is the hou
of the Queen." Their eyes widened. "This is the Independent
Monument." There were several thousand Khmer Rouge soldie.
at the Independence Monument. "This house belongs to the
Royal Family", and so on and so forth. Then we drove past
the Royal Palace, and I noticed that there were still Lon

1	Nol soldiers putting up resistance at that location. The
2	Khmer Rouge were frightened, and I told them not to be
3	afraid because everything would be alright. We continued,
4	and they asked me: "But where are the American soldiers?" I
5	told them: "But no" - that there were no American soldiers.
6	The Khmer Rouge thought American soldiers were everywhere>.
7	[10.21.28]
8	<pre> &lt;<u>So we went along Kramuon Sar Road and we noticed that there were</u> </pre>
9	Lon Nol soldiers who were fighting with the Khmer Rouge and the
10	Khmer Rouge soldiers were a bit frightened, and they asked us to
11	drive them around Phnom Penh to show them around. The reason why I
12	am describing all of these events is because to make the Court
13	clear that on the 17 <sup>th</sup> of April the Khmer Rouge evacuated the
14	city, at least in the Sangkat Chen^. I drove from train station, I
15	drove them through the Independence Monument, and then I go
16	through all the way through the Royal Place Kramuon Sar Street and
17	I did not see any civilians, and the Khmer Rouge soldier broke the
18	door of the houses opened and then they took all the properties
19	from the houses, and then we went all the way to the French
20	embassy in Phnom PenhWe took Kramuon Sar and we noticed that there
21	were Lon Nol soldiers exchanging fire with Khmer Rouge soldiers.
22	The Khmer Rouge soldiers became a bit frightened. We went to the
23	train station after driving around Phnom Penh to show them around.
24	Their leader scolded them very violently, and we fled.
25	The reason I am describing all of this to you is because I would

1	like the Chamber to understand very well that on the 17th of April
2	the Khmer Rouge evacuated the population from the city, at least
3	from the Chinese quarter. From the train station to the
4	Independence Monument, the Royal Palace and up to Kramuon Sar
5	Street, I saw no one. Khmer Rouge soldiers broke into houses and
6	took away what was inside. Then I fled to the French embassy>.
7	Q. Thank you. $\leq$ Just now you talked about the order of the Khmer
8	Rouge and you say you did not recall whether or not the Khmer
9	Rouge used loudspeaker to announce to the public to evacuate the
10	city. That's what you testified earlier on You just talked about
11	the order given by the Khmer Rouge, but you do not recall whether
12	the Khmer Rouge used loudspeakers or megaphones to tell the
13	citizens of Phnom Penh to leave. That is what you said earlier -
14	rather, what you said just now>.
15	A. (Microphone not activated)
16	Q. <no, do="" is:="" khmer<="" my="" question="" recall="" still="" th="" the="" words="" you=""></no,>
17	Rouge used to announce to the civilians in Phnom Penh to leave the
18	city? What was the actually wordings they used, either through
19	loudspeakers or orally Do you recall the words used by the Khmer
20	Rouge to tell the population to leave the city? What exactly did
21	they say>?
22	[10.23.33]
23	A. They said, " <a href="Score">Comrade, leave Phnom Penh City as soon as you can</a>
24	because the American soldiers will bombard the city. You will
25	leave the city for about three or four days. You do not have to

bring anything along with you. You will only leave for a short

2	period of time. You will come back. The Khmer Rouge soldiers are
3	not theft. Your properties will not be stolen so just leave the
4	city as soon as you can Comrades, you must leave quickly. The
5	Americans are going to bomb the City. Go 10 or 12 miles away,
6	don't take much with you, don't bother to lock up; Khmer Rouge
7	soldiers are not thieves. You'll return in two or three days, as
8	soon as we've cleaned up the city>."
9	<pre><so echoes="" i="" in="" it="" mind="" my="" pre="" still="" that's="" the="" what="" words<=""></so></pre>
10	that the Khmer Rouge used at that timeBasically, that is what they
11	said and what I still remember>.
12	Q. $\leq$ Was only one person made that announcement or they actually
13	designated different groups in order to make such announcements to
14	evacuate people out of the city? Did they make that announcement
15	everywhere in the city in order to evacuate the people, or only
16	one group of persons to make such an announcementWas the
17	announcement to evacuate made by a single person or by different
18	groups? Did they make the announcement everywhere in the city, or
19	was it made only by a single group>?
20	[10.24.40]
21	A. <a href="At that time">A. that time</a> , one thing came to my mind, because I saw a Khmer
22	Rouge soldier in black clothes and they asked people to leave, and
23	they asked us to leave as well, and then I said I did not want to
24	go. I want to die in Cambodian territory. And then I saw another
25	group of Khmer Rouge soldiers, they were in green clothes and

1	there were another group of Khmer Rouge as well, and I thought to
2	my mind that the Khmer Rouge was erratic. They were not in
3	organized groups. I saw some of them were wearing black clothes,
4	some were in green, dark green clothes, and some were carrying
5	different, you know, rifles. And I noticed that there were six
6	different groups of soldiers gathering for a meeting somewhere
7	around the railway station, and then I - it raises some questions
8	to my mind and at that time I think that the announcement was made
9	through loudspeaker, but I do not recall that eventAt the time,
10	one thing came to my mind, because I saw black-clad Khmer Rouge
11	soldiers asking people to leave, and they asked us to leave. I
12	told them, "I do not want to leave. I want to die in Cambodia."
13	Other Khmer Rouge told us to stay. Then I saw another group of
14	Khmer Rouge soldiers dressed in green. So there was another group
15	of Khmer Rouge. The thought crossed my mind that they were a
16	completely anarchical and that they were not organized at all. I
17	have been in the army and I know that soldiers have to wear the
18	same uniform. Some of them were dressed in black, others in olive
19	green. Others were carrying different types of guns. In fact, I
20	<pre>noticed a group of six - or, rather, six different groups of</pre>
21	soldiers who were meeting near the train station. This raised
22	doubts in my mind. They may have had loudspeakers, but I do not
23	remember>.
24	Q. <what dwellers="" impression="" penh="" phnom="" th="" the="" was="" were<="" when="" your=""></what>
25	being evacuated by the Khmer Rouge through the announcement you

1	said, and the Khmer Rouge who said that people had to leave the
2	city for a short period of time, say three or four days, for fear
3	of the bombing by the American soldiers? What was your observation
4	at that time? Did they leave the city with specific purpose in
5	mind, or did they have any specific direction to go, or it was
6	under the command of the Khmer Rouge to leave into whatever
7	direction they wanted the civilians to goWhat did you think when
8	you heard the Khmer Rouge tell the population to leave the city
9	for three or four days for fear of bombing? What did you observe?
10	When the people left the city, could they go freely in whatever
11	direction they wanted or did they have to follow a specific
12	direction ordered by the Khmer Rouge>?
13	A. (Microphone not activated)
14	[10.26.51]
15	MR. PRESIDENT:
16	Q. Mr. Ponchaud, please pause a bit when - in between questions.
17	When you see the red light on your microphone then you can start
18	speaking. Otherwise, your testimony will not go through.
19	MR. PONCHAUD:
20	A. <well, city,="" in="" northern="" of="" part="" stayed="" td="" the="" they<="" those="" who=""></well,>
21	had to leave from the northern part. So they had to leave through
22	a different direction according to their areas of residence Those
23	who were in the northern part of the city had to go towards the
24	north, those who were in the southern part of the city had to
25	travel towards the south, those who were in the western part of

1	the city had to go towards the west, and those who were in the
2	eastern part of the city had to go towards the east>.
3	<pre><now, 12="" about="" age,="" boy,="" example,="" for="" he="" he<="" of="" one="" pre="" was="" years="" young=""></now,></pre>
4	was crying. He told me that my mother was delivering another baby
5	in the East. He wanted to go to see his mother, no, but actually
6	he could not go because we had to go in accordance with the orders
7	of the Khmer RougeNow, I remember a young boy, who is now the
8	owner of the La Gare Pharmacy. He was 12 years old and he was
9	crying in front of my place of work. I asked him why he was
10	crying, and he said, "My mother is having a baby in the South."
11	And he wanted to go and see his mother but he couldn't go because
12	we had to comply with the orders of the Khmer Rouge. I told him to
13	take my bicycle and go and see his mother. There was no turning
14	back>.
15	<pre>&lt;- And people were actually - were very sad and they were very</pre>
16	depressed as well. We look at their facial expression, they were
17	sadder than sad and they did not want to leave because they
18	noticed that - the way the Khmer Rouge actually exerted pressure
19	on us, not only through weapons, but also through the eyes as
20	well. They only stared at us, and then we would be frightened to
21	listen to their ordersPeople were very upset, very sad. When you
22	looked at their faces, you could see that they were very sad, even
23	the poor. They did not want to leave, but the Khmer Rouge
24	pressured them - not only by force of arms, but also by their look
25	which frightened us. We had to obey their orders. No one was

1	happy, even though the Khmer Rouge were telling everyone to leave
2	only for three weeks>.
3	[10.28.23]
4	Q. <a href="Mow you described only a few words that the Khmer Rouge used">New you described only a few words that the Khmer Rouge used</a>
5	and you also said that they only stared at the civilians and then
6	they are frightened stare frightening stare at the people were
7	enough to move the people out of the city, or there was any actual
8	order of physical coercion, for example, against the people so
9	that they move in accordance with their direction You said - you
10	repeated a few words used by the Khmer Rouge and said that their
11	look frightened people. Was that enough to cause people to leave
12	the city, or did the Khmer Rouge use arms or other means to force
13	the people to go in the direction indicated by them? $\rightarrow$
14	A. <to did="" khmer="" my="" not="" physical<="" recollection,="" rouge="" td="" the="" use=""></to>
15	coercion in order to move people out, at least in my areas that I
16	could witness. We could not travel around at that time, even to
17	the central market. But in the squatter that I stayed, I did not
18	see the Khmer Rouge exercising physical coercion against the
19	people. They only ordered people to leave and then people had to
20	leave As I recall, the Khmer Rouge did not use any physical force
21	to evacuate the population - or at least not where I went. We
22	could not move, for example, around the central market. But where
23	I was, I did not see the Khmer Rouge use physical force or shoot.
24	They did not escort the evacuees. They simply ordered people to
25	<pre>leave, and people had to leave&gt;.</pre>

1	[10.29.33]
2	<pre><!-- think that they exercised certain psychological pressure to the</pre--></pre>
3	people. I think that the Phnom Penh people were used to the
4	bombing prior to the 16 <sup>th</sup> of April. That's why they found that the
5	information was rather true, because the 17 <sup>th</sup> was considered a
6	peace day - that Phnom Penh dwellers knew that the Khmer Rouge
7	were not that bad and they would not actually kill their own
8	people, and they thought that probably there would no longer be
9	any bombing. They followed the order of the Khmer Rouge. They were
10	sad, >but they had to leave at that time. I did not see the
11	killing. I did not see the physical coercion. I don't say that
12	there would not be any killing at that time, but I just did not
13	see witness the killing myselfPsychologically, we were scared
14	of the Khmer Rouge. The people of Phnom Penh had been bombed day
15	and night, especially on the 16th of April. That is why they
16	believed this information. The 17th of April was supposed to be a
17	day of peace. They knew that the Khmer Rouge were not that bad,
18	that they were not going to kill their own people, and that they
19	were no longer going to be bombings. That is why they obeyed the
20	orders of the Khmer Rouge. They were sad. They did not want to
21	leave, but they had to. I did not witness any killings or use of
22	physical force. I have not said there were no deaths, but I did
23	not see the Khmer Rouge kill>.
24	[10.30.22]
25	Q. < <del>You said you did not witness the killing. But you said also</del>

1	that you had to walk along with the Khmer Rouge soldiers all the
2	way to Independence Monument and to Kramuon Sar Road and to the
3	railway station, and you were also warned by the Khmer Rouge
4	soldiers.
5	Can you tell the Chamber please, did you witness any mistreatment
6	by the Khmer Rouge soldiers toward the civilians, and did you also
7	see any dead bodies lying on the roads You have testified that you
8	did not witness any execution or killing, but you also said that
9	you accompanied and drove Khmer Rouge soldiers all the way to the
10	Independence Monument, the Royal Palace, Kramuon Sar Street, and
11	to the train station. You said that the Khmer Rouge soldiers were
12	scolded by the commanders.
13	Can you tell the Chamber whether, during this drive, you saw Khmer
14	Rouge soldiers use violence against the people of Phnom Penh?
15	During your short drive with the Khmer Rouge soldiers to the
16	Independence Monument and the Royal Palace, did you see any
17	<pre>corpses&gt;?</pre>
18	A. <= I did not see the corpses, but I saw the people who were
19	walking on the roads. No dead bodies, although the war was not yet
20	over I did not see any corpses. Some remnants of the Lon Nol army
21	were ambushing Khmer Rouge soldiers in front of the Royal Palace
22	and on Kramuon Sar Street. The war was not yet over>.
23	<pre><regarding can="" how="" i="" people="" pre="" question,="" say="" that<="" treated,="" were="" your=""></regarding></pre>
24	the way people were treated was bad, was beyond imagination,
25	because it was a brutal act by the Khmer Rouge towards the people,

1	the evacuees Regarding your question about how people were treated,
2	how people were evacuated, it was beyond imagination. People were
3	not well treated. The Khmer Rouge treated the evacuees with
4	<pre>brutality &gt;.</pre>
5	[10.32.17]
6	<pre> <u>I had to leave the French embassy on two occasions, a few days</u> </pre>
7	later, perhaps on the 23rd or 21st, or 22nd of April. I had to
8	leave the French embassy so that I could monitor the actual
9	situation, and I saw the Khmer Rouge occupied the municipality and
10	I could not see other people other than the Khmer Rouge soldiers.
11	And later on I met a Khmer Rouge female soldier. I was very
12	frightened, because women soldiers of the Khmer Rouge were
13	believed to be even more cruel than their male counterparts. The
14	Khmer Rouge then evacuated or gathered the French citizens and
15	those who were holding French passports. At in the vicinity of
16	Phnom Penh, it was empty. But I saw hundreds of people gather at
17	Preack Pnov. But I never saw any dead bodies. I couldn't say that
18	people did not die during the course of the evacuation, but I just
19	didn't see any I had to get out of the French embassy on two
20	occasions.
21	A few days later, on the 21st or 22nd April, I had to leave the
22	French embassy in order to survey the situation. I went to City
23	Hall. I found that it was occupied by the Khmer Rouge. I spoke to
24	them, and they let me go upstairs, but I only saw Khmer Rouge
25	soldiers. I saw no one else. The next day I again left the embassy

1	and I met female Khmer Rouge soldiers. I was very frightened and I
2	fled because they were even nastier than their male counterparts.
3	About 10 days later I went up to Preaek Pnov in search of French
4	citizens who had left the city with the population. The Khmer
5	Rouge gathered together French citizens who were religious
6	followers. I then became an interpreter at the gate of the French
7	embassy. I took the opportunity to find out about what was
8	happening at Preaek Pnov. The road between Phnom Penh and Preaek
9	Pnov was empty, but I saw hundreds of thousands of people in the
10	rice fields in Preaek Pnov. They were waiting, but I don't know
11	what for. Again I did not see any dead bodies.
12	I am not saying that nobody died during the evacuation, but simply
13	that I did not see any dead bodies>.
14	MR. PRESIDENT:
15	Thank you, Mr. Witness.
16	It is now <an> appropriate moment for adjournment. The Chamber</an>
17	will adjourn for 15 minutes.
18	Court officer is now instructed to assist Mr. Ponchaud during the
19	adjournment and have him returned to the courtroom by 10 to 11.00.
20	(Court recesses from 1034H to 1065H)
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
23	BY MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Q. Mr. François Ponchaud, before we broke, you told the Court that
25	you took refuge in the French embassy. And then you were evacuated

1	and you $\leq went along took>$ National Road Number $5\leq_{7}>$ and $\leq then you>$
2	reached kilometre 13, in search $\leq \frac{\text{forof}}{\text{of}}$ your friends who were
3	foreign nationals
4	intended to Spring them back to stay intake them to> the French
5	embassy<-at that time>. So you left the embassy<-, took-along>
6	National Road Number <5, passing through kilometre> 6< through
7	rather>, <and arrived="" at=""> kilometre &lt;13 number 6, along National</and>
8	Road Number 5>. Who did you go along with? And how did you go
9	there at that time?
10	MR. PONCHAUD:
11	A. There were two Khmer Rouge soldiers, and another French
12	national who was a teacher. He was the driver. <and th="" there="" two<="" were=""></and>
13	soldiers two Khmer Rouge soldiers going along with usBesides
14	him, there were two Khmer Rouge soldiers>.
15	[10.58.34]
16	<pre><and></and></pre> I went all the way to Preaek Pnov. I did not see anyone <on< th=""></on<>
17	the streetsalong the street>. But when I reached Preaek Pnov, I
18	saw <hundreds fields="" in="" of="" of<="" people="" rice="" seas="" th="" the="" thousands=""></hundreds>
19	people in the paddy fields >.
20	Q. <a href="What was your observation of the situation along the street">What was your observation of the situation along the street</a>
21	when you were travelling down to Preack Pnov? Did you notice any
22	dead bodies scattered on the street or people around - or along
23	the streets From your observations as you were travelling to Preaek
24	Pnov, what was the situation? Did you see dead bodies lying
25	everywhere? Did you see people>?

1	A. No, I did not see any corpses. I <a href="mailto:sayam not saying"><u><a href="would not sayam not saying"></a></u></a>
2	that there was no dead bodies, <just i="" ibut="" just="" that=""> did not see</just>
3	<anythose bodies="" dead="">.</anythose>
4	Q. <a href="Miniputo-Preack Pnov">When you were travelling to Preack Pnov</a> , along the way, did
5	you witness any events? For example, people being arrested by the
6	Khmer Rouge soldiers in order to forcibly evacuate them out of the
7	cityDid you witness any incidents on your way to Preaek Pnov - for
8	example, evacuees being arrested by the Khmer Rouge soldiers>?
9	[10.59.54]
10	A. None. I did not see any - anyone - not even <the> Khmer Rouge</the>
11	soldiers<, not even the nor> civilians< along the street>.
12	Q. <pid -="" 13="" did<="" kilometre="" of="" pnov,="" preack="" reached="" th="" when="" you="" your=""></pid>
13	you - went to see the people over there, when you saw - see the
14	people as you described it? Did you mingle with them, or you just
15	saw them from a distanceWhen you reached kilometre 13, at Preaek
16	Pnov, did you go and meet the people there when you saw many
17	people, as you have said? Did you mingle with these people or did
18	you just see them from a distance>?
19	A. <i because="" car,="" car.="" did="" get="" i="" inside="" not="" of="" out="" th="" the="" was="" we<=""></i>
20	were terrified at the time. I was terrified, myself. There were
21	Khmer Rouge soldiers carrying rifles. I met I saw people whom I
22	knew before. I dare not even talk to them. I only actually
23	signalled them through my eyes. We used our eye contact to
24	communicate. But we did not even use words to communicate I stayed
25	inside the car. I did not get out of the car. I was very afraid -

we were very afraid. There were Khmer Rouge soldiers carrying

2	rifles. I saw people whom I knew before, but I did not dare speak
3	to them. We only exchanged furtive glances. No words were
4	exchanged>.
5	Q. Do you recall the date <a href="https://www.went.out.of.com/">when</a> you went out of the French
6	embassy <and travelled=""> to Preaek Pnov, along with the two Khmer</and>
7	Rouge soldiers? Do you recall the date<- you went there>?
8	A. I do not recall it clearly, but it could have been five or six
9	days following the 17th of April <. Around five to six days
10	following the 17th of April>, but I do not recall exactly.
11	[11.01.48]
12	Q. Thank you. You said, earlier, that <pre><en 18="" april,="" of="" the=""></en></pre> you
13	<pre><stayed at="" inarrived=""> the French embassy <on 18="" april="">; is that</on></stayed></pre>
14	correct?
15	A. <on 18th="" april,="" day="" glorious="" it="" khmer<="" of="" th="" the="" was=""></on>
16	Rouge. At that time, we did not have place or shelter to stay.
17	Actually, we slept under the bamboo trees The 18th of April was a
18	glorious day for the Khmer Rouge. That day, we had nowhere else to
19	<pre>stay. We slept under bamboo bushes&gt;.</pre>
20	Q.

1	embassyCan you describe your living conditions and those of the
2	people who were at the French embassy? Can you describe to the
3	Chamber what the situation was like inside the embassy at the
4	time? Can you tell the Chamber the different types of people who
5	took refuge at the embassy? Can you tell the Chamber anything, if
6	you can still remember, that happened at the French embassy>?
7	[11.03.12]
8	A. <in 500="" around="" embassy,="" foreigners,="" french="" ranging<="" th="" there="" were=""></in>
9	from Americans to the leader of CIA. And there were other foreign
10	nationals. There was one Laotian. There were altogether around 500
11	foreigners. And there were around 500 Cambodians, too. The
12	Cambodians who took refuge over there - the majority of whom were
13	the former soldiers of the previous regimes - I do not recall
14	their names. There were other soldiers who retreated before the
15	16th of April. They also took refuge over there There were some 500
16	foreigners at the French embassy. There were Americans, including
17	the head of the CIA. There was one Laotian. There were 500 foreign
18	nationals and some 500 Cambodians. The Cambodians who took refuge
19	at the embassy were mostly former high ranking officers of the
20	previous regime, such as Di Balong and Di Balen. There were a few
21	others, but I do not recall their names. There were others whom I
22	disarmed on the 16th of April and who had taken refuge at the
23	embassy>.
24	[11.04.04]
25	<and -="" -<="" 18th="" 19th="" a="" after="" april="" around="" days="" few="" of="" or="" th="" that="" the=""></and>

19th of April. We talked to military personnel who wanted to see	<del>k</del>
refuge in the French embassy. And then they told us that,	
actually, the embassy is the sovereign territory of a foreign	
government. So they tried to seek refuge in the French embassy.	
They wanted to stay inside. But we tried to explain to them, but	
they could not understand. And on the 20th, there was one soldie	r
who was in advanced age already - actually, he called a meeting	<del>o f</del>
all nationals who were staying in the French embassy. And he tol	<del>d</del>
us that Comrade Khieu Samphan wanted to meet all comrades here,	in
order to rearrange the revolution. Khieu Samphan wanted to come	te
talk to all people in the French embassy, but he was engaged in	
re-arranging the revolution A few days later, on the 18th or 19th	
of April - and if you may, I would like to describe what happene	<u>d</u>
on the 18th and 19th of April. We talked to Khmer Rouge soldiers	
who wanted to enter the French embassy. We told them that the	
embassy was the sovereign territory of a foreign government -	
"extraterritoriality". We tried to explain it to them, but they	
could not understand. On 20 April, a soldier who was quite older	
called a meeting of all foreign nationals staying at the embassy	<u>.</u>
He said that Comrade Khieu Samphan wanted to meet all comrades b	ut
that he could not come because he was very busy reorganizing the	
Revolution. He added that Khieu Samphan had sent his apologies f	or
not having the time to come and greet all of us and was appealing	g
to all Cambodians to go and rebuild the nation with their fellow	

1	<pre>compatriots&gt;.</pre>
2	<pre><so, at="" cambodian="" french<="" got="" ladies="" married="" pre="" that="" the="" time,="" to="" who=""></so,></pre>
3	national - they could stay in the French embassy. As for men, they
4	had to leave the French embassy in order to work with the
5	Cambodian people, in general. So on the 20th of April, the
6	situation was depressing, because around 25 Cambodian men who got
7	married to French ladies - they were separated Cambodian women who
8	were married to French men could remain at the embassy. As for
9	men, they had to leave the embassy to go and work with the
10	Cambodian people.
11	So the situation on 20 April was very depressing. Twenty to
12	twenty-five Cambodians who were married to French women had to
13	leave the French embassy, leaving their wives behind. The men had
14	to go to the rice fields, and the women could remain at the
15	embassy with pupils and children>.
16	[11.06.27]
17	<pre> _They had to leave the French embassy. There was one French lady </pre>
18	who was very young, and her husband was a former nurse at Calmette
19	hospital. She refused to stay in the French embassy. She refused
20	to be separated from her husband, so she had to accompany her
21	husband. She decided to leave the French embassyA very young
22	French woman whose husband was a former nurse at Calmette Hospital
23	chose to leave with her husband and has since disappeared>.
24	<pre>&lt;- And then one of them said next year, or in one year time, we</pre>
25	would see each other in Champs-Élysées. I could not recall the

1	name of that personA Cambodian of mixed heritage spoke to me one
2	year later on the Champs-Élysées, in Paris. I have forgotten his
3	name, but I can still remember his face>.
4	<pre></pre>
5	because they were separated from their loved ones on the day, from
6	the French embassy.
7	So - and later, I learned from others that the Angkar - separated
8	soldiers from the civilians, and they had to go in different
9	directions The 20th of April was the hardest day, especially for
10	women and for children who had lost their father. People were
11	separated from their loved ones. Those who left the embassy went
12	to the Lambert Stadium. Later I learned that Angkar had separated
13	the military commanders from civilians, among whom were members of
14	<u>FULRO&gt;</u> .
15	[11.07.47]
16	<pre><so compound="" embassy="" from="" had="" leave="" onwards.<="" pre="" that="" the="" they="" time="" to=""></so></pre>
17	And I encouraged Y Bun Suor, whom I had some sense of affection -
18	I asked him - actually, I knew that they killed some of the
19	soldiers or army commanders, but they did not kill other people.
20	And then, on the 19th of April, there were some soldiers standing
21	outside the French embassy compound; they demanded that the French
22	Embassy hand over the seven "super traitors" - namely, Boun Hor,
23	Sirik Matak - Boun Hor was the former official at the Senate - and
24	Manivan, who was connected to the former king, Norodom Sihanouk,
25	and there was another person whom I do not recall. We wanted to

1	protest, but they actually pointed AK rifles against us. They
2	asked the French Embassy to hand over the seven "super traitors"
3	to them, so we had no choice. We had to surrender them to-
4	We did not know what happened to them and their fate afterwards.
5	And normally - Khmer Rouge killed those people. I heard from
6	others the ways that they were executed, but I did not witness it
7	by myself. But I learned that those people were all executed by
8	the Khmer Rouge by various means. And then the Khmer Rouge also
9	sent a group of them to the border. And then, later on, there were
10	around some 500 Pakistanis I gave encouragement to Y Bham and Y Bun
11	Suor, for whom I had a certain affection. In fact, they are
12	perhaps dead. I heard that they killed only the military leaders,
13	and not civilians.
14	On 19 April, there were soldiers in front of the embassy
15	requesting that the Embassy surrender the seven "super traitors":
16	Prince Sirik Matak, Boun Hor, President of the Senate, Monivan, a
17	wife of Samdech Sihanouk, and four other persons whose names I do
18	not recall. We wanted to protest, but they pointed their weapons -
19	their AK-47s - at us and ordered the Embassy to surrender the
20	seven "super traitors". We had no choice. They said that if we did
21	not hand them over, we would also be shot. We had to hand over
22	these people. We do not know what happened to these people. Some
23	have said the Khmer Rouge took them out to the market and killed
24	them. Others have said they were killed at the sports complex. I
25	did not witness it myself, but I heard about it. On 30th of April,

1	the Khmer Rouge sent a certain group to the border. Some 500
2	Pakistanis were sent to the border>.
3	[11.10.08]
4	<pre><and 1975.<="" 7th="" latest,="" left="" may="" of="" on="" ones="" pre="" the="" we="" were="" who=""></and></pre>
5	We had to travel along national road number 4, and we reached
6	somewhere before we reached Kampong Speu province or Angk Snuol.
7	And then we reached Udong and Amleang, all the way to Kampong
8	Chhnang. And in Kampong Chhnang, Angkar provided us sufficient
9	food, and they were friendly to us We were the last to leave. We
10	left on 7 May 1975. We took National Road Number 4 and we arrived
11	at Angk Snuol before arriving in Kampong Speu province. We
12	travelled through Udong, Amleang, up to Kampong Chhnang. At
13	Kampong Chhnang, Angkar provided us with sufficient food. They
14	were friendly to us>.
15	<and and<="" morning="" next="" on="" p="" pursat="" sent="" the="" to="" trucks.="" we="" were=""></and>
16	then we reached Pursat; we had to change our truck. And before we
17	reached Battambang, Angkar allowed us to relax for a few hours,
18	and then we had to travel overnight across Battambang provincial
19	town, and then we reached Poipet around 6 o'clock in the morning.
20	So, from the French embassy all the way to the Cambodian-Thai
21	border, I did not see anyone - not even the Khmer Rouge
22	soldiers The next morning we were sent to Pursat by truck. When we
23	arrived in Pursat, we changed trucks. Then some 10 to 15 km before
24	Battambang, Angkar allowed us to rest. We drove through the
25	Battambang provincial town at night. We reached Thma Koul at dawn.

1	We reached Poipet at 6 a.m. So, from the French embassy to the
2	Thai border, I did not see anyone, not even Khmer Rouge soldiers>.
3	<pre> <u>                                    </u></pre>
4	Chhnang, Pursat - all the way, I did not see a single person. When
5	we - we thought that we leaving from in a ghost country. I did not
6	see any corpses - any dead bodies. I did not say that they did not
7	kill any people, but I just did not see the dead bodies I did not
8	see civilians in Phnom Penh, in Udong, in Kampong Chhnang or
9	Pursat. Throughout the journey, I did not see a single person. We
10	had the impression we were leaving a ghost country. I did not see
11	any dead bodies. Once again, I am not saying that they did not
12	kill anyone, but I just did not see any dead bodies>.
13	[11.12.05]
14	Q. Thank you. Just now you said that <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
15	group of people <were forced=""> to leave the French embassy&lt;, among</were>
16	whom were. One group of them is the Cambodian men with French
17	wives. They were supposed to go out to the field to <werkrebuild< th=""></werkrebuild<>
18	the nation> with the people. And another group <called -="" th="" that="" they<=""></called>
19	<u>called theis the so-called</u> seven "super traitors". <and just="" now<="" th=""></and>
20	you recall only a few You mentioned some> of them by name.
21	So, my question to you now is: When they <were separating="" th="" the<=""></were>
22	<pre>couplesseparated these couples&gt; - the Cambodian <husbands> with</husbands></pre>
23	<pre><the> French wives - how many of them <were there="">? And how did</were></the></pre>
24	they get them out of the French embassy? Or <did> they simply</did>
25	<pre><ordered and="" could="" embassy="" french="" go<="" left="" pre="" that="" the="" then="" they=""></ordered></pre>

1	anywhere to the order them to leave the embassy and march> to the
2	countryside Did they provide any type of transport to take them</th
3	away from the or they used any other transport means in order to
4	take them out of the French embassy? Can you enlighten the Court
5	on that?
6	A. <the "super="" by="" called="" did="" i="" my<="" not="" see="" seven="" so="" th="" them="" traitors",=""></the>
7	own eyes, because - François Bizot was standing at the gate, but I
8	was at the back. I was - I only heard there was an announcement to
9	<pre>leave - announcement asking them to leave, and I heard that Sirik</pre>
10	Matak, at that time, was very - rather articulate, at that
11	time These seven "super traitors", I did not see them personally.
12	François Bizot was at the gate, while I was at the back of the
13	French embassy. I only heard that they were forced to leave and I
14	heard that Sirik Matak was very dignified when he said goodbye to
15	the Consul>.
16	[11.13.50]
17	And as for the Cambodian men who had $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{\langle a \rangle}$ French $\frac{\langle wives wife \rangle}{\langle a \rangle}$ , they
18	had to leave with all Cambodian civilians. <they left="" other<="" th="" with=""></they>
19	civilians There were over 500 Cambodian nationals, including
20	members of FULRO>.
21	I told the Cambodians who were inside the French embassy $\leq$ — I told
22	them to leave, that they had> to leave <the embassy<="" french="" th=""></the>
23	orbecause> sooner or later the Khmer Rouge would come and
24	<pre><getkill> them, so <they better="" but="" for="" had="" it="" them="" was=""> to leave.</they></getkill></pre>
25	<and -="" and="" by="" men="" name="" of="" one="" tep<="" th="" the="" then="" there="" was="" who=""></and>

1	(phonetic), something like that; I asked him not to leave and I
2	told him that if he left the embassy now, he would die the next
3	day, but if he stay here probably he could live two - one or two
4	weeks longer There was one old man by the name Tep; I told him not
5	to leave. I told him that if he left the embassy, he would
6	probably be dead the next day, but if he stayed at the embassy, he
7	might live for another two weeks. However, he did not listen to me
8	because his wife, a French Cambodian, pushed him to leave>.
9	<pre><and and="" embassy="" french="" from="" people="" pre="" some="" taken="" the="" then="" they<="" were=""></and></pre>
10	were placed at the - a place somewhere around the old stadium, and
11	then the Khmer Rouge separated them, tried to segregate them
12	between soldiers and civilians. And I was told that they killed
13	some of those soldiers in the old stadium, but that's what - that
14	was what I heard; I did not witness the execution myself So, all
15	Cambodian nationals left the embassy on foot and went into the
16	Lambert Stadium, the old stadium.
17	Later, I heard that the Khmer Rouge had separated military leaders
18	from civilians. Personally, I did not witness that. I was told
19	that the military leaders were executed at the old stadium. That
20	is what I was told. I did not witness it>.
21	Q. You said that Sirik Matak, before <pre><leaving of="" on="" orders="" pre="" the="" the<=""></leaving></pre>
22	Khmer Rougehe left, <he <consulconsular<="" french="" metspoke="" th="" the="" with=""></he>
23	attached to the French Embassy>. Do you recall the
24	<pre><eensularconsul>'s name?</eensularconsul></pre>
25	[11.15.55]

1	A. <= was the personal interpreters of the consular. The French,
2	at that time, were not clear between the diplomatic relations with
3	Lon Nol administration. Before, there was the ambassador, but
4	later on the diplomatic relation between Cambodia and French were
5	at the ambassador level at that time. And then, later on, there
6	were certain problems with the two governments - the Lon Nol
7	government and Cambodian (sic) government - and then they withdrew
8	the ambassador. The French wanted to recognize the Khmer Rouge
9	because the Khmer Rouge was supported by the then Prince Norodom
10	Sihanouk. So, at that time, the diplomatic relations were reduced
11	to chargé d'affaires, and there was a consular, Jean Dyrac, and
12	then this - he was - he was demoted to the position of vice
13	consular only I was the Consul's interpreter.
14	Diplomatic relations between France and the Lon Nol administration
15	were not clear. Before, diplomatic relations between Cambodia and
16	France were at the ambassadorial level. Problems arose between the
17	two governments, and the Lon Nol government withdrew its
18	ambassador from France. The French government then withdrew its
19	ambassador from Cambodia because it was Cambodia's fault. As a
20	consequence, France was only represented by a chargé d'affaires in
21	Cambodia. The French wanted to recognize the Khmer Rouge because
22	the Khmer Rouge were together with Samdech Sihanouk. That is why
23	diplomatic relations at the time were reduced to the chargé
24	d'affaires level, and there was a consul, Jean Dyrac, and then his
25	function was reduced to that of vice-consul>.

1	<pre><so, actually,="" at="" diplomatic="" in="" level<="" of="" pre="" terms="" that="" the="" time,=""></so,></pre>
2	between French and Lon Nol soldiers were reduced to the smallest
3	<pre>level compared - at that time, because they were waiting for the</pre>
4	Khmer Rouge government at that time, I think, before it was
5	promoted to the ambassador levelSo, diplomatic relations between
6	France and Cambodia were at a low level because France was waiting
7	for the Khmer Rouge government to take over. I heard that the
8	Khmer Rouge had established relations with the French government;
9	however, I was not certain about this>.
10	[11.17.30]
11	Q. <a href="#">At that time you were the interpreter</a> . Were there any verbal
12	protests at that time, in order that those people could stay in
13	the - in the French embassy? Did you observe that there was any
14	diplomatic discussions in relation to how those people who took
15	refuge in the French embassy be treated or prevented from being
16	taken away by the Khmer Rouge You were the interpreter. Were there
17	any pleas for those who were at the embassy to be granted the
18	right to seek asylum at the French embassy, where Jean Dyrac was
19	Vice-Consul, as you said earlier? Were any pleas made for those
20	<pre>people to be afforded diplomatic protection&gt;?</pre>
21	A. <there all="" at="" negotiator="" no="" protest.="" td="" that<="" there="" was=""></there>
22	time. We wanted to negotiate, but the Khmer Rouge were - were not,
23	actually, ready to negotiate. So there was no negotiation
24	undertakenNo, no such pleas were made. There were no negotiations.
25	We wanted to negotiate, but the Khmer Rouge were not prepared to

1	negotiate because they were of lower rank. Later, higher level
2	Khmer Rouge cadres came and asked us questions>.
3	You may ask Mr. François Bizot because he <pre><eouldmay able="" be="" to=""></eouldmay></pre>
4	respond to this question more clearly because he <pre><contacted< pre="">was in</contacted<></pre>
5	<pre>contact with&gt; the Khmer Rouge_r. aAnd as for myself, I</pre>
6	<pre><contactedwas contact="" in=""> with the Cambodians who were <ipre><inat></inat></ipre></contactedwas></pre> the
7	French embassy<-compound at that time. As for Mr. Bizot, he had
8	direct contact with the Khmer Rouge at that time>.
9	[11.18.51]
10	Q. You also said that <there countries="" nationals="" of="" several="" were=""></there>
11	in the French embassy, <at mixed<="" th="" that="" there="" time,="" were=""></at>
12	nationalities, not only <the> French and Cambodians <nationals>.</nationals></the>
13	<pre><but briefly="" could="" pleasecould="" you=""> tell the Court <what< pre=""></what<></but></pre>
14	nationalities took refuge at the embassythe various nationalitie
15	that - who were seeking refuge in the French embassy at that
16	time>?
17	A. (Microphone not activated)
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Q. Please, Mr. François Ponchaud, the mic is not on yet. Please
20	wait until you see the red light on the mic.
21	MR. PONCHAUD:
22	A. <there are="" guess,="" i="" laotian;="" mixed="" nationalities:="" some="" south<="" th=""></there>
23	Vietnamese; Americans, particularly journalists - they stayed in
24	the residence of the ambassador; and there were some CIA.
25	Actually, I was surprised because the Khmer Rouge was very

1	courteous to foreigners and they thought - they thought - they
2	probably - they did not want to mistreat the foreigners. They did
3	not intend to mistreat us. It was - it was surprising because they
4	did not want to hurt foreigners There were nationals of various
5	countries. There were Laotians, I guess, South Vietnamese;
6	Americans in the residence of the ambassador; among them was the
7	CIA station chief. I was quite surprised because the Khmer Rouge
8	were very courteous to the foreigners. They did not mistreat any
9	foreigner. The CIA station chief thought Angkar was going to kill
10	him. That is why he wanted to accumulate merits before he died by
11	being kind to the refugees. However, Angkar did not mistreat us.
12	It was surprising to see that Angkar did not mistreat any
13	<pre>foreigner&gt;.</pre>
14	[11.20.27]
15	<pre><at 31,="" 32,="" 33="" age;="" got="" i="" of="" or="" pre="" that="" time,="" to<="" used="" was="" years=""></at></pre>
16	living in the countryside. But for other elderly French or so - at
17	that time, I was - considered myself youth. I thought that the
18	Khmer Rouge was very courteous. They're courteous in the ways that
19	the Khmer Rouge considered to be courteous at that timeAt the
20	time, I was about 32 or 33; I was used to living in the
21	countryside. But for the French or other foreigners who were
22	older, that might have been a problem. I was young, and as such I
23	did not have any problem and I thought that the Khmer Rouge were
24	very courteous to us in their own way>.
25	BY THE PRESIDENT:

1	Q. Beside $\underline{s}$ the French embassy, to your knowledge, $\underline{<}$ did people take
2	refuge elsewhere, in other embassies in Phnom Penhdo you know that
3	there were - were there any gathering in other embassy compounds -
4	other foreign embassies compounds, other than French embassy>?
5	A. <a href="Mo">No</a> , there was no any other embassy at that time. Well, there
6	was a Soviet embassy. Back then it was called Soviet embassy. They
7	came to Phnom Penh by airplanes on the 16 of April and they
8	actually put a signboard on the embassy. The signboard read, "We,
9	Communist brotherhood". So we - the Khmer Rouge went to the Soviet
10	embassy compound, they opened the fridge, and they took the eggs
11	from the fridge, and then they dropped it, one after another. And
12	then they told the Soviet counterpart that, "You are the fake
13	Communist; you are not good Communist. So, you see, we had other
14	eggs, and then this egg, we should not eat it now because we have
15	to wait until these eggs hatch, and then they get the hens, and
16	then we will get more hens or more chicken to eat." So-No, there
17	was no other embassy.
18	Well, there was the embassy of the Soviet Union, as it was called
19	back then - not Russia. The Soviets arrived in Phnom Penh by air
20	on the 16th of April. There was a poster on the gate of the Soviet
21	and East German embassies saying, "We are Communists, we are your
22	brothers. Come forward with a French speaking interpreter." Khmer
23	Rouge went into the Soviet embassy, opened the fridge and removed
24	eggs and dropped them on the floor one by one, and then told the
25	Soviets, "You are not true Communists. We are true Communists. A

1	true Communist does not eat eggs; he puts a hen on them to hatch
2	them so he can eat the chickens later, at a meal shared with his
3	<pre>fellows.&gt;</pre>
4	[11.22.41]
5	<pre><actually, -="" considered="" east="" for="" germany,="" pre="" themselves<="" they=""></actually,></pre>
6	Communist. They - they actually tried to implicate the French;
7	they said that they were mistreated by the French, so on and so
8	forth, and then they hate the French, but later on it was not an
9	issue; the matter was sorted out Then the Khmer Rouge took the
10	Soviets away. As far as I could remember, they took a Soviet woman
11	and two Soviet men, and one East German national. They were
12	frustrated. The East German national said, "I am a Communist, a
13	former officer of the Wehrmacht, once I was made a prisoner by the
14	French and now I'm a prisoner with the French">.
15	<pre><later a="" considered="" couple.="" french="" on="" pre="" pure<="" there="" they="" was="" were=""></later></pre>
16	Communists. They came along with Martigny (phonetic) to somewhere
17	around Pochentong. Martigny (phonetic) is anarchist and they are a
18	strong believer in Communism.
19	The Khmer rouge said that, "You are not the revolutionists, so you
20	should go to the French embassy", so they took the two - couple to
21	the French embassy. They praised the Khmer Rouge revolution. At
22	that time the Khmer Rouge threatened them with force, saying that
23	if they did not keep silent, they would be hit There were also two
24	French couples, Jérôme and Jocelyne Steinbach, who taught Marxism-
25	Leninism in Moscow. They were considered pure Communists. They had

1	arrived with Martigny (phonetic) and his wife near the University
2	on the road to Pochentong. Both couples were Communists. Martigny
3	(phonetic) was an anarchist, while Jérôme and Jocelyne Steinbach
4	were fervent Communists. They were wearing the Khmer Rouge black
5	uniform. The Khmer Rouge told them that they were not
6	revolutionaries and that they had to go to the French embassy like
7	everyone else. They were then driven to the embassy in a GMC with
8	their personal belongings. Both couples praised the Khmer Rouge
9	revolution. I warned them to be quiet; otherwise I would beat them
10	up. Thereafter, they remained completely silent>.
11	[11.24.46]
12	<q. thank="" you-=""></q.>
13	<a. (microphone="" activated)="" not=""></a.>
14	Q. Mr. François Ponchaud, <how foreigners="" many="" of="" td="" various<=""></how>
15	nationalities, including the French, were left at the French
16	embassy after the departure of the seven "super traitors" and
17	Cambodian men with French wives in your observation and assessment,
18	what was the refugees - I mean, both foreign nationals and
19	Cambodian nationals, aside from the seven "super traitors" and the
20	- no, after, after the seven "super traitors" were taken away, as
21	well as the Cambodian men with French wives who were - who had to
22	leave the embassy compound, how many left in the embassy ?
23	A. <finally 500="" around="" both="" cambodian<="" left,="" people="" td="" there="" were=""></finally>
24	nationals and foreigners - 500 - around 500 left. And then there
25	were 500 more refugees of Pakistani national; they came along with

Keo Sophea (phonetic); it is the organization. They gather

foreigners to come and take refuge in the French embassyThere
remained around 500 people, both Cambodian nationals and
foreigners. Then there were the 500 odd Pakistanis who came maybe
in early May. Angkar gathered all foreigners in order to separate
them from the population that had to take part in rebuilding the
<pre>country&gt;.</pre>
Q. <pre>Sust now you also said that there were trucks taking</pre>
foreigners from the French embassy to the Cambodian-Thai border. I
would like to expand from this. When you talk about a fleet of
trucks taking foreigners out of the French embassy, was it - was
the fleet of trucks organized by the Khmer Rouge soldiers? And can
you describe the first day of departure of these foreign nationals
from the French embassy You said that trucks took the foreigners
from the French embassy to the Cambodian-Thai border. I would like
you to expand on this. You talked about a convoy of trucks. Were
the trucks or the transportation by truck organized by the Khmer
Rouge soldiers? Please describe the day of the first departure?.>
[11.26.57]
A. <the 30th="" april,<="" day="" embassy="" first="" left="" of="" on="" td="" the="" they="" was=""></the>
and then the second wave of transport was on the 7th of May. And
then, later, there was - around 23rd or 24, François Bizot was the
negotiator with the Khmer Rouge and he told me that there had been
meetings with the leaders of the Khmer Rouge. I did not know which
level of the Khmer Rouge cadres he met and negotiated with, but he

1	told me that the Government of France agreed to prepare the
2	airplanes in order to take the foreign nationals out of the French
3	embassy. And then the Khmer Rouge was very angry. He was very,
4	very upset. At that time he said that he did not - they did not
5	agree with the means of transport from - the imperialist means of
6	transport. So they decided to take them out by the trucks on the
7	30th of April, particularly those who were vulnerable to the Khmer
8	Rouge - for example, the CIA leaders and ladies who are - who were
9	pregnant at that time, or elderly people; they had to leave first.
10	And then, on the first day, there was no information. The second
11	day, there was no information either. And then we thought that
12	probably the Khmer Rouge had already killed them, or one day we
13	would be killed. So, on the fourth day, I heard the information
14	from those people that they had already reached Poipet. And then,
15	on the 7 of May-The first departure was on the 30th of April, and
16	then the second wave on the 7th of May. Around the 23rd or 24th,
17	François Bizot was the negotiator with the Khmer Rouge and he told
18	me that he had met with Khmer Rouge leaders. I do not know what
19	level of the Khmer Rouge hierarchy he negotiated with, but he told
20	me that the Government of France had agreed to provide airplanes
21	to take the foreign nationals out of the French embassy and that
22	the Khmer Rouge were very angry. He was upset. He said the Khmer
23	Rouge did not agree with the idea of imperialist means of
24	transport and chose to take them by truck on 30 April,
25	particularly those who were very vulnerable. Well, the CIA chief

1	of station, whom we thought had died, pregnant women or women with
2	children, and the elderly had to leave first. The first day, we
3	received no information; the second day, still no news; third day,
4	no news either. We wondered whether the Khmer Rouge had already
5	killed all of them and whether we would be killed also. On the
6	fourth day, I heard that those people had reached Poipet. And
7	then, on the 7th of May, it was our turn to leave.>
8	[11.28.54]
9	<pre><on i="" juncture="" like="" of<="" particular="" pre="" say="" some="" this="" to="" words="" would=""></on></pre>
10	praise for the Khmer Rouge. They did not ask any question at all.
11	They did not even bother to check passports. There was an
12	association. We had certain experience with the Communist when
13	they got to Hanoi and Beijing in 1949 in Beijing, and then
14	Communists in 1954. The Vietnamese Communists and Beijing
15	Communists checked everything. They searched everything. Even a
16	small note in your pocket, they would seriously check and they
17	would even probably put the person in jail, as well, for keeping a
18	small note in the pocket. But the Khmer Rouge did not do that. As
19	a matter of fact, the Khmer Rouge did not ask us even a word, not
20	even about our passports. The Khmer Rouge did not even bother to
21	<del>look at the passports we held.</del>
22	And then, at that time, we thought that we could probably save
23	some of the Khmer - Cambodians who stayed with usPlease allow me
24	simply to congratulate or to say a few words of praise to the
25	Khmer Rouge. They did not cause any problem. They didn't even

1	check our passports. My association, which has some experience
2	with Communists, arrived in Hanoi and Peking in 1949 and 1954. The
3	Vietnamese Communists and the Chinese Communists checked and
4	searched everything, even a piece of paper at the bottom of your
5	pocket, and could throw you in jail for keeping a simple piece of
6	paper in your pocket. At the time, we had destroyed all our
7	documents, even photographs. But the Khmer Rouge did not do that.
8	They did not ask us anything; they did not even ask to see our
9	passports. The Khmer Rouge did not even check our passports, and
10	we thought that we could probably have saved some of the
11	Cambodians who had been with us. But we did not know that in
12	advance>.
13	[11.30.15]
14	Q. Thank you. < You mentioned about the evacuation of the
15	foreigners, the first batch on the 13th of April 1975, and you
16	said you also left Phnom Penh embassy on the 7th of May 1975. Was
17	that the last batch already when you were being sent out You spoke
18	about the evacuation of foreigners. The first group left on the
19	30th of April 1975. You said you left the embassy on the 7th of
20	May 1975. When you were expelled, were you part of the last
21	group>?
22	A. <yes, and="" embassy="" for="" had="" i="" it="" key="" leave="" th="" the="" to="" to<="" was.=""></yes,>
23	Comrade Nhiem - that was the head of the division conquering the
24	Eastern part of the city. Comrade Nhiem told me to leave Phnom
25	Penh for Paris, and after the country had been cleaned, he said,

1	he would warmly welcome me back. After that there was no foreigner
2	in Cambodia Yes, indeed. I had to hand over the keys to the embassy
3	to Comrade Nhiem, who was the head of the Northern sector of Phnom
4	Penh. No one remained at the embassy. Comrade Nhiem told me to go
5	to France and return me after the country would have been cleaned
6	up. He said they would welcome us after the country would have
7	been properly reorganized. After that, there were no French
8	nationals in Cambodia>.
9	Q. < <del>During the time of the evacuation of the foreigners out of the</del>
10	French embassy, were these people escorted by soldiers or armed
11	men? And did you have to travel by cars or vehicles all the way
12	from Phnom Penh to PoipetWhen foreigners were expelled from the
13	French embassy, were they escorted by soldiers or armed men? Did
14	you travel by car directly from Phnom Penh to Poipet on the
15	Cambodian-Thai border or did you change vehicles on the way>?
16	[11.32.09]
17	A. We wanted to travel <pre><enby></enby></pre> foot because we <pre><would like<="" pre=""><pre>wanted&gt;</pre></would></pre>
18	to know <what -="" living="" living<="" people="" situation="" th="" the="" were=""></what>
19	<pre>conditions&gt; in the countryside, but we were not allowed to walk;</pre>
20	we had to take <the> vehicles. <we a="" not="" saw="" single="" soul.="" th="" took<="" we=""></we></the>
21	GMC trucks. Khmer Rouge soldiers had to remain standing in the
22	truck with us. We had to cross several creeks, bridges, and
23	rivers. We spent a night in Kampong Chhnang, where we had a very
24	nice meal. The next morning, at 8 o'clock, we reached Pursat. One
25	of the bridges had collapsed, so we had to stop there for a while.

The Khmer Rouge allowed us to pick fruits or coconuts if we

2	wanted, as there was absolutely no one, but we didn't dare do it;
3	we were worried that we would get ourselves into trouble But we did
4	not see any soul, any people. We took the GMC trucks, and the
5	Khmer Rouge soldiers had to remain standing on the trucks with us,
6	and we had to cross several creeks, bridges, and rivers. And in
7	Kampong Chhnang, we were stopped and we had our nice meal. By 8
8	o'clock we reached Pursat province. One of the bridges broke, and
9	we had to stop there for a while. The Khmer Rouge allowed us to
10	pick some fruits if we liked, but we didn't dare picking any
11	<u>fruits</u> >.
12	<pre><and bus="" bus,="" by="" could<="" pre="" received="" that="" the="" then="" tourist="" we="" were=""></and></pre>
13	accommodate about 80 people, and we were received by more Khmer
14	Rouge, who were older than the previous soldiers who had escorted
15	us. And from then on we were with only one soldier per vehicle.
16	And I told - a man on the truck told me, although he was a
17	soldier, he said he would like to go to France, as well, and I
18	could tell from that moment that even a cadre from the Khmer Rouge
19	elique also was afraid of their own peopleWe crossed Pursat River,
20	and then switched to passenger buses that could each accommodate
21	about 80 people. We were welcomed by other Khmer Rouge who were
22	older than those who had escorted us up to that point. From then
23	on, there was only one soldier per vehicle. On the truck, a
24	
	soldier told me that he would have liked me to help him to go to
25	France. From that moment I understood that even Khmer Rouge cadres

1 were afraid of the Khmer Rouge. He did not want to stay with the 2 Khmer Rouge>. 3 [11.34.38] 4 Q. Thank you. < According to your best recollection, on what date 5 did you leave border checkpoint To the best of your recollection, 6 on what date and at what time did you cross the border at Poipet>? 7 A. (Microphone not activated) 8 MR. PRESIDENT: 9 Q. Mr. Witness, could you please hold on? 10 MR. PONCHAUD: 11 A. I don't remember whether I left Phnom Penh on the 7 of May 12 or <whether> I reached the border on the 7 of May, but <I 13 remember that we arrived at the border at around 9 a.m. it was on 14 that day>. <And> I had to carry <some bags - s suitcasesuitcases, 15 bags> belonging to a consular official<. - and > I was smiling. < And 16 people did not even bother to ask me any question at the checkpoint, and I had been allowed to leave the country easily On 17 18 the Cambodian side, no one asked me anything. But Thai officials 19 stamped my passport. I was allowed to leave the country easily>. A 20 French man, Mr. Laporte, < who has passed away and who gotnow 21 deceased, who was> married to a Cambodian woman<, did not want to 22 take his wife with him to France. His wife was screaming. He 23 crossed the border, leaving his wife in Cambodia -- and he had to 24 leave his wife behind>. 25 BY THE PRESIDENT:

1	Q. When you reached the border, did you <seenotice the="" whether=""></seenotice>
2	Khmer Rouge <soldiers very="" werebeing=""> vigilant<? Were they strict</th></soldiers>
3	or being on guard at the checkpoint>?
4	A. There were <pre><very fewno=""></very></pre> soldiers <pre>&lt;, except for the two or three</pre>
5	who had accompanied us to the border>. It was very peaceful. It
6	was peace, and I could see that it was a quiet place; it was like
7	a graveyard. We thought we were leaving a ghost country>. $<$ No
8	soldiers were seen There were no soldiers. It was peaceful>.
9	[11.36.41]
10	Q. Thank you. I have <theone> final question for you&lt;.; and I</theone>
11	<pre>skipped it;&gt; I should have asked <youit> already&lt;-a while ago,. In</youit></pre>
12	<pre>any case, I will ask it now.&gt;</pre>
13	<pre><mr. about="" khmer<="" negotiations="" peace="" ponchaud,="" pre="" talked="" with="" you=""></mr.></pre>
14	Republic leaders, including Mey Sichan and Samdech Sangha Raja
15	Huot Tat. You testified that there had been negotiations but I
16	would like to ask you now, Mr. Ponchaud. You said about the peace
17	negotiation between the leaders of the - the republican regime,
18	and you mentioned about Samdech Huot Tat. In your testimony you
19	emphasized that there was a form of negotiation underway>.
20	<pre><can about="" chamber,="" did="" how="" learn="" please="" pre="" tell="" the="" this<="" you=""></can></pre>
21	information? And how many people from the republican side who
22	engaged in the negotiation? And how many people from the Khmer
23	Rouge side were part in this agreement? And where did it happen How
24	did you know that? How many Khmer Republic representatives were
25	involved in the negotiations? And how many Khmer Rouge

1	representatives were involved? And where did the negotiations take
2	<pre>place&gt;?</pre>
3	A. Actually, there <a href="mailto:\subsetextracker">\subsetextracker</a> no <a href="mailto:subsetextracker">\subsetextracker</a> no <a are="" have="" here="" href="mailto:subsetextracker&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;4&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;pre&gt;&lt;would likewanted&gt; the soldiers to surrender&lt;. He wanted the Khmer&lt;/pre&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;5&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Republic soldiers to lay down their arms. There were no&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;6&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;negotiations, the republican soldiers to disarm, and there was no&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;7&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;negotiation at all_&gt;. Samdech Huot Tat advised everyone to unite to&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;8&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;work together to rebuild the country.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;9&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;[11.38.20]&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;10&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;pre&gt; &lt;u&gt;                                    &lt;/u&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;11&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;that that person could have been the people from the Lon Nol's&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;12&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;regime who were wearing black clothes and sent to negotiate with&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;13&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;the Khmer Rouge. But then he was stopped immediately, when he was&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;14&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;grabbing the microphone, because the Khmer Rouge said there was no&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;15&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;form of negotiation at all. The Khmer Rouge won the victory and&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;16&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;they were the victors, and there was no negotiation. If there was,&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;17&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;that could have been long before the - the victory was wonBut&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;18&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;later, someone who was probably from the Lon Nol regime said he&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;19&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;had come to negotiate with the Khmer Rouge. He was dressed in&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;20&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;black. While he was speaking, we heard an announcement over the&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;21&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;microphone saying, " negotiate.="" not="" th="" the<="" to="" we="" won=""></a>
22	war. You must obey our orders." We then understood that there were
23	no negotiations and that the victorious Khmer Rouge did not want
24	to negotiate>.
25	Q. < Could you also tell where this event happened Where did this

1	happen? What happened to the Khmer Republic representatives? Do
2	you know>?
3	A. <pre> A. <a href="text-align: left;">It took place at the Ministry of Information, as I was told.</a></pre>
4	It was near the railway station. I think it perhaps happened there
5	because - I also heard that Long Boret also surrendered. And I
6	also heard that Long Boret was executed, but I only heard of
7	thisAt the Ministry of Information, according to what I was told,
8	near the train station, on Kramuon Sar Street. I think it happened
9	there. I also heard that Long Boret surrendered. And I also heard
10	that Long Boret was executed, but this is something I only heard
11	about>.
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	Thank you, Mr. Ponchaud.
14	I would like to know whether fellow Judges of the Bench wish to
15	<pre><have forquestion="" questions="" some=""> the witness.</have></pre>
16	Judge Lavergne, you may now proceed.
17	[11.40.01]
18	QUESTIONING BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
19	Yes, thank you, Mr. President.
20	I am Judge Jean-Marc Lavergne, and I have a few questions to put
21	to you, Father Ponchaud.
22	I would like to ask you if it's possible to speak a bit more
23	slowly. Interpreters are in the - are in the booth and they must
24	<pre><empleteperform> their task, and if you speak too fast, it's</empleteperform></pre>
25	going to become extremely difficult. So, I also

1 <believeunderstand> that the interpreters are requesting that we 2 use only one <single> language. I don't know if they only want to 3 use French<-for us to communicate>, but in any case, I think what 4 we should avoid is that you <<del>don't</del>> speak in French and in Khmer 5 at the same time. So, of course, you're free to use the language 6 you wish, but please speak slowly. Thank you. 7 [11.41.12] 8 Q. So, I, indeed, have a few questions to put to you and I'd like 9 to revisit your personal history. 10 You explained to the Court that you arrived in Cambodia a while 11 ago, in 1965. And prior to that, you had studied, I imagine, in 12 order to become a priest. And I also understand that you had been 13 a soldier and that you took part in difficult events, because I 14 understand that you were a paratrooper during the Algerian war. 15 So, can you confirm this? 16 MR. PONCHAUD: A. (Microphone not activated) 17 18 JUDGE LAVERGNE: 19 Q. Please pay attention to the microphone because-20 MR. PONCHAUD: 21 A. In France, when we are 20 years old, we were obliged to join 22 the army, and I was conscripted and I belonged to a parachute unit 23 in the army - in the regular army<-unit->, and I had to serve in 24 Algeria for two and half years. And from then on I started to hate

war because < war brought all theit was a source of > destruction.

[11.43.06]

2 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE: 3 Q. Now, regarding your experience in Cambodia - and I noted this 4 and I'd like you to confirm this, that when you arrived you 5 <started workinglived first> in Phnom Penh< - on the Chrouy</pre> 6 Changva peninsula, to be exact -> and you <then moved towere 7 living on Chrouy Changva peninsula, and you were working in> a 8 community called Moat Krasas. I'm - I apologize for my bad 9 pronunciation in Khmer, but - but I also understood that you 10 <then> went to Stung Treng and that you were - then went to work 11 in Battambang and at Kdol Leu, which is north of Kampong Cham. And 12 then you worked in Kampong Cham itself, and then you arrived in 13 Phnom Penh. Is this true? And can you tell us when you were in 14 Battambang, and when you were in Kampong Cham, and why you left 15 Kampong Cham? 16 A. < A person who was a governor - and I - There was a governor -> a bishop, rather, and we had to learn Khmer<, Buddhism, and customs> 17 18 for three years<. - six months, and we moved to Chrouy Changva 19 location, near Pasteur Hospital I lived close to Chrouy Changva, 20 near Pasteur Hospital, for the first six months>, and I spoke 21 French a lot. And <we went to the paddy fields, I moved> to the 22 countryside< to live, living> with the peasants. <I lived there 23 for two months For two months I was there>. 24 [11.45.13] 25 And then I came to Phnom Penh in 1966, <inon 1st> September, to

<welcome De Gaullereceive someone>, and <!-- had later on later !>

2	moved to <a href="Maintain: Kampong ChamStung Treng to meet my old friends and">Kampong ChamStung Treng to meet my old friends and</a> to
3	continue learning Khmer.
4	And at Stung Treng I heard that the Americans <alreadyhad> started</alreadyhad>
5	bombing the Ho Chi Minh Trail. <the> Ho Chi Minh was about 80 to</the>
6	100 kilometres <far> away from Stung Treng, but I could hear</far>
7	bombs being dropped by the Americans; about 1 million tons of
8	bombs were dropped. And <the contemptible="" mr.=""> Kissinger -</the>
9	according to the documents we read that were <exposed in="" public<="" td=""></exposed>
10	<pre>yesterday -released&gt; last year, Kissinger <a href="mailto:sakedproposed to">askedproposed to</a>&gt; Nixon</pre>
11	to use <an> atomic bomb to destroy <and block="" the=""> Ho Chi Minh</and></an>
12	Trail. <that's americans="" considers="" held<="" incredible,="" one="" td="" that="" when=""></that's>
13	themselves up as defenders of human rights in the world.>
14	At that time, Samdech Sihanouk, <pre><pre><pre><pre>perhaps</pre></pre><pre> intentionally or not</pre></pre></pre>
15	<pre>intentionally&gt;, from 1965, allowed the Chinese <a href="example: weapons"><a href="example: weapons"></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></pre>

1	Neak Loeang to Memot, and from Neak Loeang to Stung Treng, and I,
2	on one occasion, saw an overturned truck filled with weapons.
3	I would leave the province at about 6.30 and I saw - also saw the
4	North Vietnamese soldiers I can say a lot about this because I
5	witnessed it. Historians may debate this, but in any case, it will
6	be up to them to say whether Sihanouk was responsible or not. In
7	any event, I saw truckloads of weapons being transported from Neak
8	Loeang to Svay Rieng, from Neak Loeang to Memot, and from Memot to
9	Stung Treng, and on one occasion I saw an overturned truck with
10	its load of weapons spilled on the ground. One day, I left the
11	province at about 6.30 a.m. and I saw North Vietnamese soldiers
12	<pre>near Memot&gt;.</pre>
13	Q. I have a bit of trouble here. <a href="Maybe this was lost in">Maybe this was lost in</a>
14	<pre>translation, but&gt; I have a bit of trouble <whichwith the=""> year</whichwith></pre>
15	you're referring to $\underline{a}\underline{A}$ nd I'd $\underline{\underline{also}}$ like to backtrack to the
16	question that I asked you, which is <: When were you in Battambang,
17	and when were you in Kampong Cham, and why did you leave Kampong
18	Cham? when you were in Battambang, and when were you in Kampong
19	Cham, and why you left Kampong Cham.>
20	A. In 1966 and <1977, 1967, when I was in Stung Treng,> I saw
21	<pre><theviet -="" cong="" cong,="" no,="" not="" viet=""></theviet></pre> North Vietnamese- <pre><soldiers,></soldiers,></pre>
22	and I also saw weapons being transported <on road="" th="" the="" to<=""></on>
23	Sihanoukville from Neak Loeang>.
24	[11.49.23]
25	<pre><!-- A standard</th--></pre>

1	Battambang because we can - we could speak Khmer very well, and it
2	would do a lot of good for us to go to Battambang and we knew that
3	in Battambang there were some Christian communities from even back
4	in 1972, so I was there to also teach Christians. It was back
5	then.
6	THE FRENCH ENGLISH INTERPRETER:
7	The number was 1782.
8	MR. PONCHAUD:
9	A. Then I was asked to go to Kdol Leu, in Battambang, for one and
10	a half year, then moved to Kampong Cham. It was on the 15 of
11	August when I was in Kampong Cham, and I remained in the province
12	until the war broke out, when King Norodom Sihanouk was toppled
13	down, on the 17 or 18th of March 1970 I asked to be sent to
14	Battambang because in Battambang Christians spoke a marvellous
15	form of Khmer which was different from ordinary Khmer. So I went
16	to Battambang because there was a Catholic community there dating
17	back to 1782. I stayed in Battambang for six months, studying the
18	Khmer language used by these Christians.
19	Then the head of the community asked me to go to Kdol Leu. I
20	stayed there for one and a half year; then the head of the
21	community asked me to move to Kampong Cham. It was on the 15th of
22	August. I remained in the province until the war broke out - that
23	is, when Sihanouk was toppled - on the 17th or 18th of March
24	<u>1970&gt;</u> .
25	[11.50.55]

On the 29th, people came from the East, <arriving in Phnom Penh

2	from Kampong Cham. They were intercepted by Lon Nol soldiers, who
3	executed them in the hundreds. The Lon Nol government also
4	executed innocent Vietnamese. erossing from Kampong Cham to Phnom
5	Penh, who were met by the Lon Nol soldiers, and they were
6	executed; hundreds of them were killed. And Lon Nol government
7	also executed innocent Vietnamese people, and crime of genocide
8	was already Crimes of genocide had already been> committed in
9	1970, when about 2,000 people were executed. The Lon Nol
10	government <pre><soldiers< pre=""><pre>&lt; killed unarmed and innocent Vietnamese</pre></soldiers<></pre>
11	civilians  civilians   tecause North Vietnam invaded Cambodia>.
12	<pre>&lt;<u>WithTogether with&gt;</u> the bishop, we met Mr. In Tam, who was &lt;<u>the</u>&gt;</pre>
13	Kampong Cham's Governor, and I asked him for permission to bring
14	the Christian Association and Christians <to come=""> back to Kampong</to>
15	Cham. <a href="https://example.com/At Phnom">At Phnom Banchey - rather, Hanchey, we were bombed</a> At Phnom
16	Angkor Chey, our two motorboats sustained mortar fire>. And when I
17	reached the riverbanks, I saw <the> South Vietnamese troops, who</the>
18	asked me what nationality I was. <a blue;"="" color:="" href="mailto:style=">TheyOne soldier</a> asked whether I
19	was American, and I said I was French.
20	[11.52.57]
21	<u>And at that time I noted that people were listening to the speech</u>
22	delivered by Prince Norodom Sihanouk from Peking. Prince Norodom
23	Sihanouk appealed to all the people in Cambodia to enter maquis
24	jungle Then, the Vietnamese asked the people on the boats to lister
25	to the speeches delivered by King Norodom Sihanouk from Peking on

1	23 March 1973. Norodom Sihanouk appealed to all Cambodians to take
2	to the maquis>. People did not know what "maquis" < jungle> was at
3	<pre><thatthe> time. <they "forest".<="" "maquis"="" did="" know="" meant="" not="" pre="" that=""></they></thatthe></pre>
4	And I heard it with my own ears.>
5	<pre><and christianswhen="" from<="" i="" pre="" receive="" returned="" some="" to="" went="" when=""></and></pre>
6	going to welcome Vietnamese Christians>, I was also fired at <by< th=""></by<>
7	Lon Nol soldiers, > and I was warned not to <gereturn> to Kampong</gereturn>
8	Cham <again -="" <1="" because=""> if I did <i a<="" be="" caught="" could="" in="" th=""></i></again>
9	crossfire so, then I would also be caught in the battlefield . So
10	I did not take the boat to Kampong Cham; I took a motorbike $\leq_{r}$
11	going through the forest by Sala Da>. <a href="At that time">At that time</a> , I was warned
12	that today I was lucky because I was not killed, but if I kept
13	breaching this rule or order, then I would end up being killed on
14	another occasion I was asked to go and tell my bishop in Phnom Penh
15	that this time I was lucky because I was not killed by the Viet
16	Cong but that the next time I would end up being killed. So I took
17	refuge in Phnom Penh during the war which lasted five years>.
18	(Interpreted from Intervention in French) <it's be="" it="" may="" maybe=""> a</it's>
19	bit too complex for you, so is it - is it important for you to
20	understand everything? (End of interpretation from intervention in
21	French)
22	BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
23	$\ensuremath{ \frac{Q.}{}}$ Well, you know, it's that our jurisdiction is very limited. The
24	rest interests us as context_, so we shouldn't go into detail.
25	MR. PONCHAUD:

(Intervention in French) Yes.

```
2
          JUDGE LAVERGNE:
 3
          -so maybe we shouldn't go into detail-
 4
          MR. PONCHAUD:
 5
          (Intervention in French) That's right.
 6
          [11.54.58]
 7
          BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
 8
          But simply, just <to -> for the <record<del>purposes of the</del>
 9
          transcript>, I understood that you arrived in Battambang in 1967
10
          and then you went to Kampong Cham and that you left Kampong Cham
11
          in 1970, after the events that you <a href="have just">described</a>. Is that
12
          the case?
13
          MR. PONCHAUD:
14
          A. <<del>(No interpretation)</del>Yes, indeed>
15
          Q. And then you stayed in Phnom Penh. And I understood that you
16
          were in charge of a student <homehostel> and you had a specific
17
          mission, which was to translate the Bible into Khmer. Is that the
18
          case? And if - < you could can you > tell me if there was a very
19
          specific reason for translating the Bible into Khmer<?->
20
          A. I received students who came to study <at L'École Miche>
21
          because there was nowhere else they could stay. <Otherwise they
22
          would have had to study at the pagoda.> There were <a lot ofmany>
23
          students from the countryside who came to study in the city<. I
24
          hosted 55 students, only three of whom are still alive - in this
                              ecived about 50 people
25
```

1	[11.56.25]
2	And I translated the Bible into Khmer because I believed that,
3	after we were expelled from the country, we could leave behind
4	<pre><the> materials for our Christians.</the></pre>
5	(Interpreted from Intervention in French) I did not translate the
6	Bible Spack then at that time > , but many other documents, to allow
7	a community that would be deprived of our help to live on their
8	own. (End of interpretation from intervention in French)
9	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
10	Q. Please, Father, I think it's really, really important that we
11	avoid <pre>changes inswitching&gt; languages during your testimony. So,</pre>
12	if you <wanthave chosen=""> to speak in Khmer, please continue</wanthave>
13	speaking in Khmer.
14	MR. PONCHAUD:
15	(Microphone not activated)
16	BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
17	$\underline{\text{Q.}}$ So, what I understood - and tell me if this is so - is that you
18	started translating the Bible <ininto> Khmer because it was</ininto>
19	necessary to plan for the future and to plan for the possibility
20	that <themissionary> priests could no longer stay in Cambodia, and</themissionary>
21	therefore Khmer Christians would have to fend for themselves. Is $\leq$
22	<pre>that right?-&gt;</pre>
23	MR. PONCHAUD:
24	A. <(No interpretation) Yes, indeed.>
25	[11.57.51]

1	Q. Well, I'd like just to backtrack a little bit $\leq \frac{\text{again}}{2}$ . We spoke
2	about the deposition of Prince Norodom Sihanouk in March 1970, the
3	tragic events that then followed. And can you tell us, however, if
4	<pre><many also<="" broughtpossibly="" not="" people="" pre="" that="" this="" thought="" was=""></many></pre>
5	seen by a part of the population as cause for some hope? Wasn't
6	there any hope that things would change?
7	A. <a href="#">All Cambodian people from all walks of life, we could also see</a>
8	that some people supported us, some didn't, or some supported the
9	leaders, some hated King Norodom Sihanouk, when some didn't All
10	sorts of Cambodians: Some supported Samdech Sihanouk, while others
11	opposed him. For example, intellectuals and teachers hated him >.
12	In 1967, King Norodom Sihanouk <displayed bad="" made="" propaganda<="" th="" the=""></displayed>
13	in the movie> "Apsara" <. However, it was not his intention. People
14	started to hate him from that time because the movie depicted
15	corruption in Phnom Penh. On this point, I agree with Khieu
16	Samphan movie, and people didn't like that. People started to hate
17	him from then because - because that movie depicts some kind of
18	corruption in Phnom Penh, and people didn't like it. And a
19	teacher, as I remember, bought a can of beer.
20	Samdech Sihanouk was toppled while I was in Kampong Cham. A medic
21	brought beer, and we drank together> to celebrate the end of the
22	reign of King Norodom Sihanouk. < However, the situation was the
23	opposite for peasants; it was a cosmic revolution. They asked me,
24	"How can we cultivate rice if Samdech Sihanouk is not there to
25	give us rain?" This is why peasants supported Sihanouk, but most

1 intellectuals in Phnom Penh didn't support him They celebrated when 2 King Sihanouk was toppled down; and the situation was changing. 3 And peasants, from the beginning, supported Prince Norodom 4 Sihanouk, but intellectuals in Phnom Penh, the majority of them 5 didn't support him>. 6 Q. Can it be said that it was <also one of the differences that 7 would epitomize the divide betweena difference that set apart> the 8 city and the countryside? 9 [12.00.50] 10 A. (Intervention in French) Yes, it was one of the- (End of 11 intervention in French) 12 <There was a difference.> Concerning the evacuation of people out 13 of the <<del>city</del>cities>, we must <<del>know</del>remember> that Cambodian people, 14 before the colonial era, particularly during the Angkorian period 15 or sometime inaround> 1623, when Annam established <(inaudible)</pre> 16 its base> in Prey Nokor, < then> Annam and Siam < were fighting 17 against one another fought each other > on Cambodian territory. At 18 <thatthe> time there was no <Cambodian people, nor were there</pre> 19 Cambodian cities cities and Cambodian people>-20 Q. I'm afraid I have to interrupt you, Father Ponchaud. One 21 moment, please. I need to interrupt you because there are 22 <translation problemssome clarifications needed>. If I'm not 23 mistaken, I believe that you're referring to <the>> Funan. 24 A. (Intervention in French) No<, much later>. 25 Q. Then that was a misunderstanding on my part.

1	A. <from -="" 1623,="" annam="" at="" control="" in="" of="" prey<="" th="" that="" time="" took=""></from>
2	Nokor or Saigon - currently Saigon, the southern city of Vietnam
3	in the present day. At that time Annam soldiers and the Siam
4	soldiers were fighting against each other on Cambodian
5	territoryAnnam took control of Prey Nokor in 1623 - or Saigon,
6	known today as Ho Chi Minh City. So, at the time, Annam and
7	Siamese soldiers fought each other on Cambodian territory>.
8	[12.02.35]
9	<pre><at and="" cities="" city,="" no="" pre="" that="" the="" there="" time="" was="" were<=""></at></pre>
10	established, then the French controlled, and then it was called
11	the French colonialism. And when the French hand over independence
12	to Cambodia, there were some Chinese - there were 2,000 and -
13	6,200 Chinese and a few thousand Vietnamese, as well, at that
14	time, and they have their own ethnic groups aside from the
15	mainstream Cambodian people. There are aroundAt the time, there
16	were no cities. Cities were established by French colonists. And
17	in Phnom Penh, when the French granted independence to Cambodia,
18	there were some 6,200 Chinese,> 60 per cent Vietnamese, and 10 per
19	cent Chinese. And the Khmer Rouge considered some <pre><pre><pre>people</pre>of them&gt;</pre></pre>
20	as compradors. <they bought="" people.="" th="" the="" the<="" they="" traded="" with=""></they>
21	vegetables and crops from the people, produced in the countryside.
22	So, the Khmer Rouge that follow Marxist-Leninist line, they wanted
23	to eliminate the city They were traders. They bought vegetables and
24	crops from the countryside, while the Khmer Rouge, who were
25	Marxist-Leninists, wanted to eliminate cities, havens for

- $\underline{\text{foreigners and injustice,>}} \text{ and they } \underline{\text{<wanted}} \text{ wanted} \underline{\text{want>}} \text{ the country to be}$
- 2 equal, they <wantedwant> to eliminate social injustice<, they want
- 3 everyone to be equal>. They did not want to mistreat
- 4 <anyone people > . But you have to understand the rationale behind
- 5 this.
- 6 [12.04.24]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you, Judge, and thank you, Mr. François Ponchaud.
- 9 The time is now appropriate for lunch break. The Court is now
- adjourned, and we will resume at 1.30 this afternoon.
- 11 Court Officer, please arrange the waiting room for the witness to
- 12 rest during lunch time and then please have him back in this
- courtroom before 1.30 this afternoon.
- 14 Security guards are instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan to the
- 15 holding cell downstairs and have him back in this courtroom before
- 1.30 this afternoon.
- 17 The Court is now adjourned.
- 18 (Court recesses from 1205H to 1344H)
- MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 21 Next we would like to hand over to Judge Lavergne to continue
- 22 putting questions to the witness. You may proceed.
- 23 [13.44.46]
- 24 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- Thank you, Mr. President.

1	The interpreters have requested that I ask you to observe a pause
2	between the end of a question and <when answeringthe<="" start="" th="" you=""></when>
3	start of an answer≥. This is for technical reasons, <as is<="" th="" there=""></as>
4	abecause interpretation takes some time, and there is therefore a
5	slight lag between the interpretation and the source language.
6	Q. Let us get back to our topic from before the break<-and. This
7	morning, we dealt with> the situation in <wartime> Phnom Penh&lt; -</wartime>
8	that is, before 1975 before the war, in 1975>. And my question
9	centres on two specific points. The first is information that you
10	may have received regarding how the Khmer Rouge were treating
11	people in the liberated zones.
12	Mr. Witness, did you ever hear about the transfer or movement of
13	populations before 1975? Did you ever hear about the
14	<pre><establishmentimplementation> of a policy of collectivization of</establishmentimplementation></pre>
15	land <pre><erby> the establishment of cooperatives? <what of<="" pre="" type=""></what></erby></pre>
16	<pre>cooperatives?&gt; Had you heard about villages or houses and</pre>
17	residences being sacked, and pillaged, and set alit <to force<="" th=""></to>
18	<pre>people to leave where they resided&gt;? Did you hear about the</pre>
19	capture of Udong <or areas="" other="">? What can you tell the Chamber</or>
20	about how the Khmer Rouge were treating the people before 1975?
21	[13.46.58]
22	MR. PONCHAUD:
23	A. This morning I already testified that <as> from <may> 1970,</may></as>
24	<pre>since my - 1970, when Ias from the month of May - I have already:</pre>
25	talked about <kampong and="" cham=""> Bos Khnor&lt;. We knew that when the</kampong>

1	Khmer Rouge captured a village, they set the houses in the village
2	on fire, executed the village chief, and evacuated the people to
3	the forest, which is near Kampong Cham, we know very well that
4	when the Khmer Rouge soldiers captured a village, then the houses
5	in the village would be set on fire, when - the commune chiefs
6	would be executed, and people would be evacuated to the forest>.
7	And a Cambodian, Ith Sarin, wrote a book about the soul of Khmers
8	in 1972. And in my book, "Cambodia, Year Zero", I did not write
9	<pre><anything all="" borrow="" did="" from="" himany="" i="" new="" new.="" other<="" pre="" things="" was=""></anything></pre>
10	than following the accounts from that writing>.
11	And from 1973 <onwards>, I <knewstarted knowing=""> the people <inof></inof></knewstarted></onwards>
12	Kampong Thom very well<. because there There> were Christians in
13	the area< <u>., and</u> > Lon Nol soldiers attacked Kampong Thom and
14	liberated about <400,000 - rather,> 40,000 villagers. <and people<="" th=""></and>
15	were evacuated, as I told you, when the heads of the groups They
16	described how the Khmer Rouge controlled villages, how people were
17	evacuated to the forest and worked communally after village heads>
18	were killed. < And this thing is not new; that happened already
19	since This is nothing new; it started in> 1973, and this was well-
20	known to everyone.
21	However, <in fairness="" khmer="" rouge,="" the="" to=""> we should <remember< th=""></remember<></in>
22	that Americans bombed and forced the Khmer Rouge to establish
23	lower-level cooperatives so that people could work communally also
24	be aware that in 1973 - in order to forgive the Khmer Rouge - the
25	lower-level cooperatives were established so that people could

1	<pre>work in cooperatives&gt; to produce rice for <their consumption.<="" own="" pre=""></their></pre>
2	And maybe Kissinger and Nixon should be the ones standing trial,
3	as I stated this morning the population. And people who should be
4	punished could have been Kissinger and Nixon>.
5	[13.50.18]
6	Q. <the another<="" concerns="" context="" corruption="" of="" question="" th="" the=""></the>
7	question concerning the context, and this time an urban context
8	<u>instead: that of corruption&gt;</u> . <u><the< u="">As the&gt; war was escalating&lt;<u>←</u></the<></u>
9	Manymany more> people were victimized, and there were probably
10	those who <also able="" profiteering="" profitwere="" to="" were=""> from the</also>
11	war. What can you tell us about that?
12	I believe that you have already <explained to="" ussaid=""> that there</explained>
13	were <- that there could be> several types of corruption. What
14	kind of corruption $\leq \frac{\text{prevailed}}{\text{was the most frequent}}$ during that
15	<pre><pre>_particular_&gt; time?</pre></pre>
16	A. < For Let's take, for> example, <a the=""> gGovernor of Battambang,</a>
17	Sek Sam Iet, who sold rice to the Khmer Rouge to <pre><li>line his</li></pre>
18	<pre>pocketsget some money&gt;; for example, Commander Sostheene</pre>
19	Fernandez, who sold weapons to the Khmer Rouge; so, the Lon Nol
20	Government <finally being="" bewould="" end="" up="" would=""> defeated because</finally>
21	of this. <h style="text-align: center;">However That said&gt;, corruption in Lon Noles regime was</h>
22	less <rampant corruption="" days'="" is="" it="" than="" these="" today="">.</rampant>
23	[13.51.49]
24	Q. Can it be said that the <pre><pre>populationpeople&gt;</pre> of Phnom Penh had</pre>
25	daily concerns over their survival? Were the people of Phnom Penh

1	<pre>&lt;<u>very desirous of hankering for&gt;</u> peace and security and, obviously,</pre>
2	greater justice? <a href="Mithin that particular context">Mithin that particular context</a> , is that why the
3	popularity of the Khmer Rouge was able to increase and why they
4	were able to garner support for their policies Can the popularity
5	of the Khmer Rouge and their policies be better understood within
6	that context>? Was there hope among the Khmer<
7	<pre>that&gt; everything would be all right and <that be="" no<="" pre="" there="" would=""></that></pre>
8	killings between the Khmerswould eventually fall to the Khmer
9	<del>people</del> >?
10	A. The people could not survive because they <did growwere="" not="" not<="" th=""></did>
11	<pre>growing&gt; rice, they could not <undertake activitiesdo="" pre="" their="" their<=""></undertake></pre>
12	<pre>business&gt;. They &lt;<u>receivedwere receiving&gt;</u> assistance from non_</pre>
13	governmental organizations, including $\leq \frac{\text{the}}{2}$ humanitarian
14	organizations. Everyone prayed that peace would prevail. And, as I
15	told you this morning, Lon Nol $\leq \frac{\text{had}_{represented}}{\text{on hope for the}}$
16	people of Cambodia. <a href="And either I was pleased with or was not">And either I was pleased with or was not</a>
17	pleased with communism or whether I liked it Whether one
18	<pre>sympathized with communism&gt; or not, <i for="" khmer="" prayed="" pre="" rouge<="" the=""></i></pre>
19	to take over, to end the bloodbath. People had lost all hope under
20	the Lon Nol regime. This feeling of despair was widespread that the
21	Khmer Rouge would come to Cambodia to kill the population, people
22	could see that people had no hope already living under Lon Nol's
23	regime, and everyone shared the same feeling>.
24	[13.54.00]
25	<for comes="" corruption,="" example,="" ghost<="" it="" of="" some="" th="" the="" to="" when=""></for>

soldiers for a battalion of the division - normally, for a

	<del>_</del>
2	division, there would be - in a battalion there would be 300
3	soldiers; and when soldiers died - perhaps 10 to 20 soldiers died,
4	the remaining people - or when they died, the commanders would
5	just keep the dead or the ghost soldiers on payroll. I will take an
6	example: Corruption. Let's take the case of ghost soldiers. A
7	battalion or a division - normally, a battalion has 300 soldiers.
8	And when one or more soldiers died - let's say 10 or 20 soldiers,
9	or even 270 died, leaving 30 soldiers - the commanders would just
10	keep the 300 number on the payroll and pocket the pay of the dead
11	soldiers. How could they win the war under these conditions?>
12	Q. <a href="Were the political platforms and policies of the Khmer Rouge">Were the political platforms and policies of the Khmer Rouge</a>
13	and GRUNK and FUNK well-known among the population? And what were
14	the people's concerns, or fears, if the Khmer Rouge were to claim
15	victory Was the political programme of the Khmer Rouge or, at any
16	rate, the GRUNK and FUNK known to the population? Was this
17	something that was discussed? What were the people's fears, if
18	any, at the prospect of a Khmer Rouge victory>?
19	A. (Microphone not activated)
20	MR. PRESIDENT:
21	Mr. Ponchaud, could you please wait a moment? Please proceed when
22	you see the red light.
23	MR. PONCHAUD:
24	A. I don't know whether people thought about the GRUNK or FUNK,
25	but they knew that Sihanouk would be on their side.

1	[13.55.53]
2	And on this, <pre>&lt;<u>I would like the</u></pre> historians <pre><would have<="" pre=""> to think</would></pre>
3	carefully <in determining=""> whether Prince &lt;- King&gt; Norodom</in>
4	Sihanouk <a href="mailto:semailto:weald-should-">weald-should-</a> be <a href="mailto:semailto:held-should-">held-<a href="mailto:held-should-">held-<a href="mailto:held-should-">held-should-should-<a href="mailto:held-should-">held-should-should-<a href="mailto:held-should-">held-should-should-should-<a href="mailto:held-should-should-">held-should-</a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
5	Rouge won the war with If the Khmer Rouge won the war, it was
6	because they had> the support of King Norodom Sihanouk. Even on
7	the 17th of April, the <u>"glorious day"</u> for the Khmer Rouge, I met
8	Prince Sisowath Sirik Matak near Wat Phnom. I asked him a
9	question; I asked him what he <a href="mailto:what he was doing thereddid here">what he was doing thereddid here</a> .
10	He <saidtold me=""> he was waiting for Samdech Sihanouk.</saidtold>
11	So, other people also had the same feeling. They believed that
12	<u><whenafter></whenafter></u> the Khmer Rouge won <u><the></the></u> victory, <u><then></then></u> King
13	Sihanouk would <a href="eventually"><u>eventually</u></a> return to <a href="eventually">the helm of</a> Cambodia. And
14	the Khmer Rouge knew this even much better. For example, in 1973,
15	in February, they invited Samdech Sihanouk to the ≤jungle, in
16	Kulen Prum Tep and toforest on> Kulen Mountain <and mountain,<="" tep="" th=""></and>
17	in Siem Reap>, and Angkar tried its best to make sure that the
18	soldiers could not see Samdech Sihanouk, because they were afraid
19	that Sihanouk could incite them to protest against Angkar.
20	[13.58.09]
21	From 1973, <the> Khmer Rouge, so I heard, <talked aboutstarted<="" td=""></talked></the>
22	using the terms> "A Khnouk" and "Mi Khnik". They <a href="really-looked"><u>really-looked</u></a>
23	down on both had been despising> the king and queen since 1970,
24	when <u><he -="" was=""></he></u> they were visiting Cambodia.
25	Q. So, we are referring to 1973.

<There were concerns withGood. It was about> justice after

	<del></del> ;
2	liberation, and <as a<="" announced,="" been="" had="" it="" justice="" th="" this="" would,=""></as>
3	priori, mainly concernparticularly justice surrounding> the seven
4	"super traitors".
5	-Do you think people were persuaded that things would proceed in a
6	<pre><pre>eacefulorderly&gt; and civilized manner and that there would be no</pre></pre>
7	settling of <affairs scores=""> amongst <the> Khmers? Was this <a< th=""></a<></the></affairs>
8	general feeling, sentiment, or opinion shared among the Khmer
9	<pre>something largely shared among the&gt; population?</pre>
10	A. People were afraid of the Khmer Rouge. They knew that Khmer
11	Rouge <mistreatedwere mistreating=""> villagers in <the> countryside.</the></mistreatedwere>
12	They knew that there were seven <*\frac{\text{traitors}"\text{super traitors"}}{\text{traitors}},
13	including Sirik Matak, <a href="Long Boret">Long Boret</a> , Sosthèene Fernandez,
14	<pre><in tam,=""> and <two> other people <whose names=""> I don't remember,</whose></two></in></pre>
15	but <that believed,="" did<="" information="" not="" people="" th="" that="" the="" was=""></that>
16	<pre>not believe it&gt; because they &lt;\frac{\f{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fri</pre>
17	Khmer Rouge.
18	Q. So, the people were expecting the worst?
19	[14.00.34]
20	A. <in misery<="" my="" of="" opinion,="" terrified="" th="" the="" they="" very="" were="" yes,=""></in>
21	that was looming, but they couldn't do anything about it because
22	they were the Khmer Rouge. The Lon Nol soldiers did not - Lon Nol
23	soldiers They were very afraid of the looming misery, but couldn't
24	do anything except accept the Khmer Rouge. The Lon Nol side> did
25	not have any hope at all-

E1/178.1/Corr-5

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Mr. Ponchaud, please please hold on.
- 3 International Counsel, you may proceed.
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to have some clarification
- from the Bench.
- 7 I think I've understood correctly that Mr. Ponchaud is a witness
- 8 and that he has been asked and will be asked questions about the
- 9 things that he has seen, has observed. However, there seems to be
- 10 also questions being posed that go more to the opinion of the
- 11 witness. For instance, he's been asked what the population of
- 12 Phnom Penh were thinking or fearing, etc.
- 13 [14.01.54]
- 14 Now, I'm very interested in what the witness has to say, but at
- 15 the end of the day, it is his opinion, and not the things that he
- 16 actually knows. So I would like to have some clarification from
- 17 the Bench on how we should be approaching this particular witness.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Mr. Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
- 21 Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Your
- 22 Honours. Good afternoon to everyone. I would simply like to
- 23 <a href="mailto:answersay something on">answersay something on</a> this question raised by the Defence. Of
- course, this requires some clarification.
- I think we have to distinguish <between> two things here. On the

1	one hand, the witness is here because, indeed, he saw and he
2	witnessed events before 1975 and up until the 6th or 7th of May
3	1975, but as far as we are concerned, we also want to put
4	questions to him on his analysis of the refugee accounts that he
5	gathered later on in Thailand, in France, and elsewhere. And in
6	this regard, this is not a simple witness, but we're speaking here
7	about an analyst <or researcher=""> who is going to be able to shed</or>
8	light on what <he able="" analysewas="" and="" be="" from="" learned="" saw="" to=""></he>
9	the refugee accounts.
10	[14.03.23]
11	So I think we have to distinguish two things here, and I would
12	like the Chamber to shed some light on this and to confirm to me
13	that I have - I am taking the right stance here.
14	MR. KOPPE:
15	May I briefly reply, Mr. President?
16	As far as I'm concerned, the word "analyst" is not a legal term;
17	it's either a witness or an expert. I mean, I could imagine there
18	are circumstances to consider Mr. Ponchaud an expert, but then it
19	has to be a separate formal decision. Right now, he's an - he's a
20	witness, and the word "analyst" doesn't mean anything to me, at
21	least not in this courtroom.
22	(Judges deliberate)
23	[14.08.11]
24	MR. PRESIDENT:

I hand over the floor to Judge Silvia Cartwright to give direction

- 1 and respond to the request by the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 2 Judge, please.
- 3 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 4 Yes. Thank you, President.
- 5 The Chamber confirms that François Ponchaud has been called as a
- 6 witness, and as such, it is for the Chamber to determine not only
- 7 the relevance of the questions that are put to him, but their
- 8 probative value.
- 9 [14.08.48]
- 10 His testimony has been informed to some degree by his writings,
- 11 but of course they are based on his personal experiences, but we
- do not consider him to be an expert in the technical sense that it
- would be used in this courtroom.
- 14 So, I realize that this is not, perhaps, a black-and-white answer
- for you, but we will deal with any concerns as they if they do
- arise out of the examination of this witness.
- 17 Thank you, President.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Thank you, Judge.
- 20 Now I would like to hand over the floor to Judge Jean-Marc
- 21 Lavergne to resume < your line of his> questioning to the witness.
- 22 [14.10.08]
- 23 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- Yes. Thank you.
- Q. So, we are going to get back to events that you witnessed

25

1	directly.
2	And, first of all, I would like to have a few explanations on the
3	locations you spoke about this morning. You spoke about the church
4	that was very large, you spoke about the Phnom, and you also spoke
5	about the - what was translated in French as the municipality.
6	So, back then, can we say that this - the church was the Phnom
7	Penh Cathedral, that what you call the "municipality" was the
8	Bishop's Palace, which today is the Phnom Penh <towncity> Hall,</towncity>
9	and the <le> Phnom Hotel is the <le> Royal Hotel? Can you confirm</le></le>
10	this information?
11	MR. PONCHAUD:
12	A. Yes, it is correct. It is as what you have just described.
13	[14.11.17]
14	Q. And you also spoke this morning about the young people in the
15	MoNatio, a young person called Hem Keth Dara, it seems, and you
16	also spoke about the Khmer Rouge soldiers that you <saw< th=""></saw<>
17	arrivingmet>.
18	So, can you describe these soldiers a bit more in detail? You said
19	that they had <an absolutely=""> horrible gaze, but can you describe</an>
20	these soldiers? Were they particularly young? Were some even
21	children?
22	And you also spoke about the "Neary", the young women soldiers.
23	What can you say about <themall of="" this="">?</themall>

timeThere were two categories of soldiers at the time>. One group

1	<pre><eonsistsconsisted> of young soldiers from 14 to 15 years of age.</eonsistsconsisted></pre>
2	They <pre><eame_entered penh="" phnom=""></eame_entered></pre> from the direction of Boeng Kak
3	they were stationed somewhere behind the present Municipal, behind
4	the present City> Hall of Phnom Penh. And there <iswas> another</iswas>
5	group of soldiers; they <are group="" mature="" more="" of<="" th="" than="" this=""></are>
6	soldiers - they> were around 30 or older. They looked very fierce<
7	<pre>indeed&gt;. They had a <very horribleterrifying=""> look. <they had<="" pre=""></they></very></pre>
8	hatred with them One could see that in their eyes. If you look at
9	their eyes, they were very fierce indeed>. <weyou> did not want to</weyou>
10	<pre><have anything="" do="" female="" involved="" soldiersget="" them="" to="" with="">;</have></pre>
11	we were <simply> terrified of them. They were <worse male<="" th="" than=""></worse></simply>
12	<u>soldiers</u> <del>like you know, bad people</del> >.
13	[14.13.12]
14	Q. <a href="And-you said">And-you said</a> You testified> this morning that you <a href="saw or that">Saw or that</a>
15	you experienced noneither witnessed nor experienced any> physical
16	threats, that you didn't see any <dead> bodies. But, however, can</dead>
17	we say that the Phnom Penh population was forced to evacuate the
18	city? Did the people have any choice?
19	A. Well, it was a psychological <a force="" hreat="">&gt;; it was <a force="" hreat="">&gt; == == == == == == == == == == == ==</a></a>
20	psychological coercion not by physical force, actually . That's
21	what I witnessed <pre><pre>on northern partin the northern sector&gt;</pre> of Phnom</pre>
22	Penh. They <a href="exerted"><a href="exerted">&lt;</a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
23	but it was not by <armed force="" means="" of="" physical="" threat="">.</armed>
24	Actually, Phnom Penh dwellers were very happy when the Khmer Rouge
25	soldiers < <u>came toarrived in&gt;</u> Phnom Penh< <u>. They because they&gt;</u> took

1	over Phnom Penh without killing <the> people. I, myself, had been</the>
2	waiting for the victory of the Khmer Rouge. We thought that there
3	would be <a <a="" and="" big="" href="mailto:big  fighting in Phnom">big  fighting in Phnom</a>
4	Penh, but actually there was no such fighting at all; they <could< th=""></could<>
5	<pre>conquered&gt; Phnom Penh without fighting, S so people felt</pre>
6	relieved at <thatthe> time.</thatthe>
7	So, when the Khmer Rouge asked them to leave the city, they had to
8	leave<. Only psychological pressure was exerted; there was no
9	pressure by the use of arms at that time, but there was no
10	physical threat; there was only psychological - a sense of
11	psychological pressure on the people, and people were willing to
12	<del>leave as ordered</del> >.
13	[14.15.00]
14	Q. And this psychological pressure that you're speaking about, was
15	it sufficiently strong for people who were in their hospital beds
16	or people who were disabled to find enough strength to escape,
17	sometimes in absolutely horrendous conditions
18	<pre>described&gt;?</pre>
19	A. This was a separate issue. <a href="mailto:Actually"><u>Actually</u></a> , <a href="mailto:earlier">earlier</a> , <a href="mailto:your black">you</a>
20	asked me about the <pre><pre>population inpeople of&gt;</pre> Phnom Penh. In the</pre>
21	morning<-, the next morning,> the Khmer Rouge soldiers evacuated
22	the patients out of hospital. <those for="" resistand="" th="" those="" who="" who<=""></those>
23	<pre>resisted&gt; the order, according to what I heard from others, they</pre>
24	would throw $\leq \frac{\text{thea}}{\text{a}}$ grenade inside the hospital. So, this was $\leq \frac{\text{a}}{\text{a}}$
25	threat accompanied by the use of armed forcethe physical threat>.

That was different < from the with respect to > ordinary citizens.

2 Q. And do you know what happened, for example, at the Preah Ket 3 Mealea Hospital? Did you <hear about - did you witness anythingsee 4 anything happening there? Did you hear about anything happening 5 there>? 6 A. I was not <thean> eyewitness of <events at the> Preah Ket 7 Mealea Hospital. I only heard <about what happened.from others 8 that on On> the last day of <the> Lon Nol regime, there were 9 <many> wounded <people all oversoldiers everywhere in the</pre> 10 hospital, and Preah Ket Mealea Hospital <. - they - the The Khmer 11 Rouge evacuated < the> patients out of the < out of the> hospital, 12 but I was not <thean> eyewitness of that event. 13 [14.16.49] 14 Q. So, we also spoke this morning about what happened at the 15 French Embassy and we spoke about <the>> mixed couples being 16 separated, in particular Khmer husbands and < Khmer - and their 17 French or> foreign wives, and I think there were also a few 18 <marriages of conveniencearranged marriages>, too, to facilitate 19 the situation of a certain number of young women. Can you confirm 20 this? 21 A. Yes, that is true. Some <families adopted orphans, too. I saw a 22 radio operator adopt a Rhade child<del>family adopted some orphans to</del> 23 be their child, too. (Inaudible) adopted one child as an adopted 24 child of one family that I witnessed>. 25 Q. So, generally speaking, did the Khmer Rouge provide enough

1	food <a>-enoughandwater to the people who<a>were within</a>had taken</a>
2	<u>refuge at&gt;</u> the French <u>Eembassy</u> < <del>, seeking refuge</del> >?
3	A. <for -="" fact="" people="" that="" the="" who=""> took refuge in the</for>
4	French <u>Ee</u> mbassy< <u>, it</u> was not <u><an a="" important="" issue="" matter="" of<="" to="" u=""></an></u>
5	<pre>concern for&gt; the Khmer Rouge. Actually, what was important to them</pre>
6	was the <rest cambodian="" of="" people="" population="" th="" the="" the<="" throughout=""></rest>
7	<pre>country&gt;. Foreign nationals were <of concern="" no="" pre="" that<="" themnot="" to=""></of></pre>
8	<pre>important to the Khmer Rouge \( \sigma \) assisted</pre>
9	us in the early days - on the first, second, <and> third days.</and>
10	<pre><and actually,="" day,="" foodwe="" had="" no<="" of="" on="" out="" pre="" ran="" the="" then,="" third="" we=""></and></pre>
11	<pre>food&gt;; we did not have any rice to eat. <a href="Education">But later on the The&gt;</a></pre>
12	Khmer Rouge distributed rice for us and they also supplied $\leq$ us
13	with water some water to us, too>. <and at="" i="" th="" that="" the<="" time,="" was,=""></and>
14	time, I was> in charge of water supply. <there sufficient<="" th="" was=""></there>
15	water supply We had enough water>, and we also had
16	<pre>&lt;<u>sufficientenough&gt;</u> rice to eat</pre> .
17	When the first batch of deportees left, following the 30th of
18	April, <the> guards allowed us to <kill the<br=""></kill>catch&gt; pigs around the</the>
19	French embassy <and a="" from="" give="" medic="" named="" sen="" tan,="" th="" the<="" them="" to=""></and>
20	Calmette Hospital, to kill them to prepare food for people who
21	stayed behind in the embassy>.
22	[14.19.58]
23	Q. And you said that you <a href="workedserved"><u>workedserved</u></a> as an interpreter between
24	the <u>Ce</u> onsul and the <u>Khmer RougeCambodian&gt;</u> authorities he was
25	discussing with. So, who were these <a href="mailto:Khmer-RougeCambodian">Khmer-RougeCambodian</a> >

E1/178.1/Corr-5 110

1 authorities < discussing who were in contact> with the French

- 2 Consul?→
- 3 A. Mr. François Bizot was the principalmain> interpreter. And
- 4 then, when we left the French embassy, <after on> the 7th of May
- 5 1975, <and until we arrived at the border, > I was the interpreter
- 6 for the French <Consul. But before that date, I do not know who
- 7 his interpreter was<del>embassy counsellor. But after that, no, I did</del>
- 8 not work as an interpreter>.
- 9 Q. And who was the person you were dealing with? <Do you know his
- 10 identity?>
- 11 A. (Microphone not activated)
- 12 Q. Please, please, hold on, hold on. It might - please stop for a
- 13 little while between the moment I ask the question and when you
- 14 answer, because otherwise it'll be difficult for the interpreters.
- 15 [14.21.11]
- 16 So, I was asking you the question - if you know the name of the
- 17 person that <the> eConsul was dealing with.
- 18 A. No, I do not know< that counsellor>.
- 19 Q. I was not asking you whether there was a Cambodian
- 20 <Consuleounsel>; I was asking whether there was a representative
- 21 of the Khmer authorities who was interacting with the consul. And
- 22 I believe this morning you spoke about a person named <Nhem or>
- 23 Nhiem.
- 24 A. Comrade Nhiem was the chairman of the northern partsector> of
- 25 Phnom Penh. He was <actually> in charge of taking care of the

1	people in the French embassy. But he was not a political
2	negotiator. As far as political <a href="mailto:negotiation">negotiation</a> . As far as political <a href="mailto:negotiation">negotiation</a> was <a <="" href="mailto:negotiation" th=""></a>
3	concerned, somebody else was in charge of that, and Comrade Nhiem
4	was the one who assisted us <with daily="" day-to-<="" needs.on="" our="" th="" the=""></with>
5	day food supply. For example, when we needed some rice to eat or
6	water to supply to the embassy, then we For example, when I needed
7	rice or water or food, I> would contact Comrade Nhiem. But in
8	terms of negotiation - political negotiations - somebody else was
9	in charge. <i did="" know="" name="" not="" of="" person.="" that="" the=""></i>
10	[14.22.46]
11	For example, on the second day and third day, there was one person
12	who came to tell us that Excellency Khieu Samphan would like to
13	come to meet all the people in the French embassy, but
14	unfortunately, His Excellency Khieu Samphan was engaged in his
15	mission elsewhere. <this came="" gentleman="" such<="" tell="" th="" to="" us=""></this>
16	information, but I do not recall his name I knew him by sight, but
17	<pre>I did not know his name&gt;.</pre>
18	Q. Well, in François Bizot's book, which is on the case file at
19	$\leq \frac{D222/1.1 \text{ (sic)}}{D222/1.2} - \text{ it is document, now, E3/2815, and the}$
20	French ERN is 00237590; English, 00237559 - excuse me, English
21	again: 00392829; I apologize, I don't have the Khmer ERN - and
22	this is a note, here, that is signed by the Vice-President of the
23	Northern Front of Phnom Penh in charge of <the> foreigners. This</the>
24	person is "Nh <u>i</u> em". This note is dated 25 April 1975< and states <sub>7</sub>
25	and the following is stated - [free translation]>:

"During his meeting of 25 April 1975, the GRUNK Council of

2	Ministers decided what follows. Given that the diplomatic
3	relations with the other countries are not yet re-established,
4	because the GRUNK is still endeavouring to bring stability to the
5	country, the CRUNK has decided to invite all of the foreigners
6	still residing in Phnom Penh to leave the country as of the 30th
7	of April 1975. And when, later, the situation will be stabilized,
8	the GRUNK will examine the issue of re-establishing diplomatic
9	ties. The GRUNK has decided to lead - to take all foreigners by
10	road from Phnom Penh to Poipet, and each country involved will
11	have to take care of its own nationals as of PoipetAt the meeting
12	that took place on 25 April 1975, the Cabinet of the Government of
13	the Royal National Union of Kampuchea decided the following:
14	"Given that diplomatic relations with other countries have not yet
15	been established owing to the fact that the GRNUK is busy
16	restoring stability, The Government of the Royal National Union of
17	Kampuchea has decided to invite all foreigners still residing in
18	the city of Phnom Penh to leave the country as of 30 April 1975.
19	Later, when the situation has stabilised, the GRNUK will examine
20	the question of re-establishing diplomatic relations;
21	"The GRNUK has decided to convey all foreigners by, road from
22	Phnom Penh to Poipet, and each of the countries concerned must
23	take responsibility for their own nationals from Poipet onwards."
24	[14.25.38]
25	So, do you remember having seen this note or having been appraised

of this note?

2	A. No, I <didn't never="" seehave="" seen=""> this note. But, whenever</didn't>
3	there <were and<="" bizot,="" consul="" dyrac,="" françois="" jean="" negotiations,="" th=""></were>
4	the Angkar representative would make decisions, as you have just
5	statedwas the term "negotiation", with Counsellor Jean Dyrac, and
6	Bizot, and the Cambodian counterpart, there was such a
7	discussion . But Comrade Nhiem was not a senior cadre; he was a
8	low-ranking cadre.
9	Q. Did - were you also apprised of a communiqué from the Ministry
10	of Foreign Affairs of the GRUNK dated 29 April 1975, which states
11	the following:
12	" <the -="" [free<="" and="" counsellor="" diplomatic="" missions="" missions"="" th="" the=""></the>
13	translation] - "accredited by the traitorous Phnom Penh regime
14	cannot ask for diplomatic - the regularly accepted diplomatic
15	practices and usages. Often, during the five years of our war of
16	national liberation, the GRUNK stated that it would not accept the
17	legacy of the Phnom Penh traitor regime, and thus it was - it is
18	not in charge of the - not responsible for the deeds of this
19	regimeDiplomatic and consular missions accredited to the Phnom
20	Penh traitor regime shall not be entitled to claim any accepted
21	customary and conventional diplomatic privileges and immunities
22	from the GRUNC;
23	"During the five years of our war of national liberation, the
24	GRUNC repeatedly stated that it shall not be bound, by reason of
25	state succession, by the acts of the Phnom Penh traitor regime and

1 that, as a result, it accepts no responsibility for any such 2 acts>." 3 So, this is a document that is indexed as D359/1/1.1.56, and this 4 is <now> document E3/1772. 5 A. No, I do not know this particular> document. 6 [14.28.38] 7 Q. I will specify that this document <- the same document> was 8 <al>released by the <<del>NUFK - by the</del>GRUNK> mission <<del>of the NUFK</del>> 9 in Paris - document <D59/1/1.156 + D59/1/1.156 + (sic) >. 10 And this morning you were asked if, regarding the Cambodian 11 figures who sought refuge at the French embassy - if any 12 negotiations took place regarding them, and you answered that -13 no, no negotiations <as such> had taken place. Was it because 14 there was nothing to negotiate, because - was it because the Khmer 15 Rouge did not want to talk? 16 A. That's true. There <were no negotiations at the timewas no 17 negotiation whatsoever at that time>. The Khmer Rouge, in smaller 18 <troops groups> - they <<del>carried with them AK</del>were carrying Ak-47> 19 rifles - they called for the French embassy to surrender the seven 20 "super traitors". I actually was <not at the gate, but at the 21 backstaying back behind>, inside the embassy's compound; I <did 22 not witness the eventwas not the eyewitness of the event, but 23 that's what I heard>. 24 [14.30.11]

Q. Do you know if < there wereany> telegrams < concerned concerning>

that situation <were> exchanged between the Consul of France and

2	the French authorities in Paris?
3	A. < I think there was Ung Boun Hor wrote a book. I don't remember
4	the title of that book, but there was $\frac{\langle a \rangle}{}$ correspondence with
5	Paris allowing the seven "super traitors" to be expelled from the
6	embassy. I did not really see this, but I heard people talk about
7	this.
8	Q. <0n the case file, there are a certain number of telegrams that
9	would have been sent and exchanged between the consul of the
10	embassy of France in Cambodia and Paris. They're The case file
11	includes a number of telegrams that were exchanged between the
12	French Consul and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in Paris. They
13	<u>are&gt;</u> under $ (sic) . French ERN is$
14	00391442; English ERN 00486889; and in Khmer, 00491363 to 64.
15	These are telegrams that describe the list of people <u>&lt;</u> taking
16	<pre>refugepresent&gt; in the embassy&lt;, namely I'm not sure if they</pre>
17	they did not> deal specifically with the seven "super traitors" $\leq :$
18	they dealt with, but the name of > Sirik Matak <, whose name is on
19	the list of "super traitors" is on that list>. There <iswas> also</iswas>
20	<pre><princess -="" also="" an="" individual<="" is="" manivonga="" mom="" person="" pre="" there=""></princess></pre>
21	called Mam Somivan (sic)≥, who ≤iswas> the third wife of
22	<pre>&lt;-(inaudible) prince Prince Sihanouk, his daughter, son-in-law, and</pre>
23	<pre>grand-children&gt;, Mr. Ung <boun hor,="" national<="" of="" pre="" president="" the=""></boun></pre>
24	AssemblySam Hor (sic)>, as well as Mr. Loeung Nal, Minister of
25	Health.

1	<pre><the "the"="" -="" d'orsay="" quai="" the=""> Ministry of Foreign Affairs of France</the></pre>
2	is requested to do the following<:
3	"Barring express and immediate order from the Department
4	requesting me to grant political asylum, I will be compelled to
5	turn these names in within 24 hours, subject to express order by
6	the department. I must deliver the names of these people no later
7	than in the next 24 hours. This is an ultimatum coming from the
8	Committee of the Municipality, that a list of the individuals
9	taking refuge in the embassy be disclosed to them>."
10	<this an="" city<="" delegation="" followed="" from="" of="" th="" the="" ultimatum=""></this>
11	Committee that a list of the individuals taking refuge in the
12	<pre>embassy be disclosed to them.&gt;</pre>
13	The response was that it was difficult to apply the principal
14	<pre>principle of extraterritoriality <in situation="" this="">.</in></pre>
15	Do you recall <pre> // however,&gt; if the GRUNK had already </pre> <pre> // seffectively&gt; </pre>
16	announced that foreigners were obliged to leave Phnom Penh and
17	that their presence would not be tolerated?
18	A. <byin> February 1975, Samdech Sihanouk asked that all</byin>
19	foreigners leave Cambodia.
20	[14.34.17]
21	Q. And if I'm not mistaken, France had - had officially recognised
22	the GRUNK <-just> one week prior to the fall of Phnom Penh. France
23	was hoping that through recognition of the <a href="CRUNK">&lt;<a href="CRUNK">&lt;<a href="CRUNK">CRUNK</a>, the</a></a>
24	revolutionaryReyal Government Union - that the> authorities would
25	demonstrate some degree of flexibility.

1	A. <a href="#">The&gt; French Government <a href="#">would likewanted&gt;</a> French</a>
2	<pre><pre>epenationals&gt; in Cambodia to leave Cambodia on the 19th of</pre></pre>
3	March. However, the French policy was not <del>≤convincing because</del>
4	<pre>peopleclear. The French&gt; trusted Prince Sihanouk and they <hadsaw< pre=""></hadsaw<></pre>
5	that Prince Sihanouk was on the side of the Khmer Rouge. They
6	<pre>wanted&gt; to wait and see. <and at="" grunk="" pre="" recognized="" the="" the<="" they=""></and></pre>
7	<pre>last moment.&gt;</pre>
8	Q. This morning you explained that there were two convoys $\leq$ that
9	were heading towards headed for> the Thai border and that the
10	landing of <aircraft ,="" aircrafts="" as="" by="" france,="" had<="" proposed="" th="" two=""></aircraft>
11	been denied. <a href="#">Are you aware of any other aircraft that may have</a>
12	<pre>landedDid you observe aircraft - other aircraft - landing&gt; at</pre>
13	Pochentong?
14	A. On the <a href="mailto:sqlorious"><a> Chinese plane<a href="mailto:sqlorious">&lt;-a&gt; Chinese plane<a had-may="" have"="" href="mai&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;15&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;clearly it was a Chinese plane - which was very visible, &gt; landing&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;16&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;at Pochentong &lt;math&gt;\frac{a}{A}&lt;/math&gt;irport. &lt;math&gt;\frac{\text{Subsequently,&gt;}}{\text{Subsequently,&gt;}}&lt;/math&gt;I did not &lt;math&gt;\frac{\text{know or take}}{\text{know or take}}&lt;/math&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;17&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;pre&gt;moretake&gt; notice of airplanes landing &lt;at -&gt; in Cambodia on other&lt;/pre&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;18&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;dates, but &lt;I noticed it that was what I saw on the 18th of April.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;19&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;There were other planes, but I &lt;didn't take noticedid take - I&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;20&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;didn't take more notice&gt;.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;21&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;[14.37.02]&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;22&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Q. &lt;Do you know whether -&gt; I believe that &lt;weyou&gt; talked about&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;23&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;math&gt;\leq&lt;/math&gt;some of that,&gt; the negotiations &lt;math&gt;\leq&lt;/math&gt;to which &lt;math&gt;\leq&lt;/math&gt;which&gt; Mr. François&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;24&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Bizot &lt;a href=">had-may have</a> witnessed, but <it a="" appeared="" that<=""> France had</it></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
25	not only proposed that aircrafts be sent, but that those aircrafts

1	carry humanitarian <supplies and="" commodities="" provisions="">. &lt;\subsection \text{Were you}</supplies>
2	aware of the proposal to sendCan you tell us what you know about
3	the proposals concerning> humanitarian supplies, <made> either by</made>
4	France or other <pre><organizations or=""> countries?</organizations></pre>
5	A. Planes <would a="" lot="" medicines="" medicinetransported="" of="" transport=""></would>
6	from Bangkok. < However the airplane was There was a least one
7	<u>airplane&gt;</u> packed with medicines, but <angkar -=""> the Khmer Rouge</angkar>
8	did not welcome such assistance and they said that <a href="Angkar was"><a href="Angkar was"></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
9	independent and that they no longer need assistance from
10	colonialiststhe country would not need such support anyway>.
11	Q. You described your journey through several <towns and=""> villages</towns>
12	and that $\leq \frac{\text{in}}{\text{in}}$ all of these $\leq \frac{\text{cities}}{\text{towns}}$ and villages were
13	empty. At the time, did you understand why those villages, and
14	towns, and cities were empty?
15	A. <a href="mailto:At-the-beginningInitially">A. <a href="mailto:At-the-beginningInitially">At-the-beginningInitially</a>, I didn't understand this, but</a>
16	later on I learned from a cadre in Phnom Penh - it was on the 17th
17	of April 1975, and he said Phnom Penh was not a good place. <- The
18	the city, City> people did not grow any vegetables or plants<.
19	Instead, they ate what others planted in the countryside>, so they
20	<pre><had -="" people="" to=""> had to go to the countryside to grow crops so</had></pre>
21	that < <del>Cambodians by then understood</del> they would understand> the
22	value of rice and <understand a="" being="" human="" lives<="" th="" that="" the="" value=""></understand>
23	onthat human beings are born of> rice grain.
24	[14.39.40]
25	<a href="And-Mr.leng-Sary"><u>And Mr. leng Sary</u></a> , so far as I know, explained explained believe

1	<pre>Mr. Ieng Sary talked&gt; a lot about this in France&lt;. He talked about</pre>
2	the evacuation. He explained that people had to leave the city
3	because there was not enough food in the city., about the
4	evacuation of the people who had to be transferred. And they had
5	no food, but he also said that they had to leave the city because
6	there was no food in the city; and, secondly, it was because there
7	was lack of safety or security in the city; and they also
8	envisaged that there would be rebellion or riots against the Khmer
9	Rouge Secondly, there was lack of safety or security in the city;
10	and they also envisaged that there could be a rebellion or an
11	<pre>uprising against Angkar&gt; in Phnom Penh.</pre>
12	<pre><howeverthat said="">, to me, the real <justification for="" pre="" the<=""></justification></howeverthat></pre>
13	evacuation is more ideological. reason is more an ideology, a kind
14	of reason that Angkar would like Angkar wanted> everyone to return
15	to their hometown to become real Khmers, because <the> Khmers in</the>
16	Phnom Penh <pre><wedle bewere=""> "fake Cambodians". <pre><they therefore,="" they=""></they></pre></wedle></pre>
17	had to move to the countryside, <theto return="" their="" to=""> home</theto>
18	villages <- to become the original in order to become authentic>
19	Khmer <u>s</u> .
20	<pre> <u>With that, Khmer Rouge organization, perhaps, received influence</u> </pre>
21	from the Chinese Cultural Revolution, the revolution that started
22	in 1966. Mao Zedong also gathered the villagers and had them sent
23	to the countryside to do farming In that regard, maybe the Khmer
24	Rouge were influenced by the Chinese Cultural Revolution, which
25	started in 1966. Mao Zedong also rounded up people and sent them

- 1 to the countryside to farm>. And in 1968, in May, we heard that in
- 2 France, people < would like to return homewere advocating the
- 3 people return to their place of origin> because they believed that
- 4 <the> city was not a good place. <And >Mao Zedong
- 5 <appreciatedcommended> Pol Pot for being brave enough to expel the
- 6 people from the cities into the countryside. Mao Zedong said that
- 7 <Pol Pot had accomplished what he couldn'twhat he could not do
- 8 would be done by Pol Pot>, and he <appreciated commended> the Khmer
- 9 people for <their couragebeing that brave>.
- 10 [14.42.37]
- MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Thank you, Judge Lavergne, and thank you, Mr. Witness.
- 13 It is now appropriate moment for the adjournment. The Chamber will
- 14 adjourn for 20 minutes.
- 15 Court officer is now instructed to assist Mr. Witness and have him
- 16 return to the courtroom when the next session resumes.
- 17 (Court recesses from 1443H to 1504H)
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 20 We would like now to hand over to Judge Lavergne to continue
- 21 putting  $\langle \text{the} \rangle$  questions to the witness.
- 22 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- Thank you, Mr. President.
- Q. Witness, following the evacuation of <the> foreigners from
- 25 Cambodia, information started pouring out. And do you know what

1	the reactions were of the Cambodian authorities in relation to
2	this information that started circulating, regarding the
3	evacuation of Phnom Penh, <for example=""> information regarding</for>
4	<pre><summary> executions? Did you <inform to="" yourself=""> try to <seefind< pre=""></seefind<></inform></summary></pre>
5	<pre>out&gt; how the situation was developing?</pre>
6	[15.05.39]
7	MR. PONCHAUD:
8	A. I started to find out what <had happened="" happening="" in="" sincewas=""></had>
9	September 1975. I listened to Mr. Ieng Sary <at maubert-mutualité=""></at>
10	in France. He talked about <the> good things <happening> in</happening></the>
11	Cambodia and he also convinced some - or invited <pre><some></some></pre> students
12	to return to Cambodia. He continued to <say askedtell="" he="" that=""></say>
13	people not to believe <pre><pre>people who talked bad</pre>those who said bad</pre>
14	things> about Angkar<, because they were telling lies - it was a
15	$\frac{\text{lie}}{\text{one}}$ . And in 1977 $\frac{\text{one}}{\text{one}}$ , when I started writing my book $\frac{\text{one}}{\text{one}}$
16	entitled> "Cambodia Year Zero"<, I tried to send it to Angkar, but
17	<pre>Ieng Sary objected. I don't know what the other leaders would have</pre>
18	thought of it, but clearly Ieng Sary disputed the content of the
19	book, saying everything in it was wrong - Ieng Sary also talked
20	about the - or challenged the content in the book; he said
21	everything written in the book was completely wrong >.
22	Q. I would like to return to the moments that immediately followed
23	the evacuation of <the> foreigners from Cambodia. And on the case</the>
24	file we have a certain number of documents, and one of them is $\leq a$
25	press release from the Ministry of Propaganda and Information of

1	the Royal Government of National Union of Cambodia concerning the
2	departure of foreigners from Kampucheaentitled "Press Communiqué"
3	- or "Press Release from the Propaganda and Information Minister
4	of the Royal Government of the National Union of Kampuchea on the
5	Departure of the Foreigners from Kampuchea">.
6	[15.08.09]
7	<pre><this a="" back="" dated="" dates="" in="" is="" statement="" statement,="" that="" the="" to=""> 10</this></pre>
8	May 1975, <in explains="" government="" kampuchea="" of="" td="" that<="" the="" which=""></in>
9	<"it had no choice but to evacuate foreigners" it had no other
10	choice than forcing the forcigners to leave, and it's also stated
11	<u>&lt;:</u>
12	that - that "the evacuation took place despite much effort to
13	solve in an urgent way the problems of subsistence of our people
14	that went through more than five years of suffering due to the
15	barbarous, imperialist wars. Though we did not have any
16	transportation means and though our roads and our rivers were
17	practically unusable, all of this demonstrated and proved our high
18	ethical sense and our sense of justice and fairness. And the
19	foreigners were brought to the boarder and they cannot claim the
20	opposite. And in this difficult situation, we were lacking
21	everything, and the care that we took to take all of these
22	foreigners to the boarder shows how we have high principles. But
23	the American imperialists and their lackeys used their propaganda
24	machines to inflate the story, therefore continuing with their
25	hostility towards Kampuchea and its people. This is due to their

busy solving
ust urgently
y U.S.
te the fact
the means of
ch as other
n badly damaged
d justice. If
scorted out of
In the state we
l sincerely
rals are good."
ve used their
to pursue their
stems from the
ent toward the
So <u>,</u> were you
st part of the
<del>ion to</del> >

foreigners in <the> Cambodian context during <thea> difficult

2	time.
3	I would not wish to talk much about foreigners because we were in
4	Cambodia; whatever happened to us we had to be responsible for
5	ourselves. <what concerned="" fate="" me="" most="" of="" th="" the="" the<="" was=""></what>
6	<pre>Cambodian people.And&gt;</pre> I would like to also talk more about
7	Cambodian people rather than <the>the&gt;</the>
8	Q. We will get back to <that this="">.</that>
9	$\mathbf{B}_{\underline{r}}$ but $\mathbf{r}$ first $\mathbf{r}$ let me give you the following information. This is
10	document D359/1/1.1.56, as well as D199/26.2.116.
11	And you said that you tried to inform yourself. So, among the
12	sources of information that you used $\frac{\langle a   lot -, \rangle}{\langle a   lot -, \rangle}$ for example radio,
13	<pre><it -="" seems=""> what can you tell us <to pre="" sourcesabout="" these="" this<=""></to></it></pre>
14	<pre>source&gt; of information? <how information<="" of="" pre="" sources="" these="" were=""></how></pre>
15	relevantWhy is this source of information interesting>?
16	[15.11.46]
17	A. First, I <tookconsidered> refugees as <the great=""> sources of</the></tookconsidered>
18	information. The information I gathered from September 1975 was
19	based on these sources. Nonetheless, when it comes to refugees $_{\underline{\prime}}$ we
20	had to be careful because we <pre><wed>would like to seek</wed></pre> wanted> the truth
21	and refugees

1	including They had left their country because it was bad.
2	Initially, I did not believe, but as time went on the accounts
3	were consistent, whether the refugees came from> Battambang, <siem< td=""></siem<>
4	Reap or> Svay Rieng<. I therefore recorded everything and compared
5	the accounts; they talked the same accounts. And having collected
6	these accounts, I can compare whether the message is truth>.
7	And at the same time I also had <my i="" idea.="" own="" predisposed<="" td="" was=""></my>
8	towards Angkar have some idea. I have a kind of pre-concept. I
9	supported Angkar and I believed that leaders of Angkar got I knew
10	the Angkar leadership had been> educated in France. They were
11	intellectuals, they were <well> educated, so they <welldhad to=""></welldhad></well>
12	know <a href="mailto:know">much about what happened inwhat was good for&gt;</a> Cambodia.
13	<maybe actually="" did="" going="" not="" on<="" refugees="" th="" the="" understand="" was="" what=""></maybe>
14	<pre>in Cambodia.&gt;</pre>
15	<pre>&lt;- And to learn more about them, I started to listen to radio</pre>
16	broadcasts, the radio broadcasts by the Democratic Kampuchea, and
17	I got friends of mine who recorded the radio broadcasts and had
18	them sent to me to listen. I would like to know the ideology of
19	the Khmer Rouge. And as I told you, I had the - I had the idea -
20	or I understood that Khmer Rouge would not comprise of bad people I
21	then started to listen to Democratic Kampuchea radio broadcasts.
22	Friends of mine recorded the broadcasts in Thailand and sent them
23	to me to listen. Now I have handed them over to the Bophana
24	Centre. I wanted to understand the ideology of the Khmer Rouge. I
25	assumed that they were not stupid people; they were well-

educated>. I was convinced that these people had <a better plan</pre>

2	for the good ofgood intentions for> their country<+, that> they
3	would never <do damage="" harm="" to=""> their own people&lt;, for sure&gt;.</do>
4	[15.14.54]
5	The Democratic Kampuchea's radio broadcasts <pre><put a="" positive="" pre="" spin<=""></put></pre>
6	on the Khmer Rouge ideology talked about this>. And the refugees
7	<al><li><also accounts="" and="" gave="" me="" the="" told=""> how they <werehad been=""></werehad></also></li></al>
8	influenced by the ideology. But these <pre><pre>epecplerefugees&gt;</pre> did not</pre>
9	know very much about what had happened around <that ideologythem="">.</that>
10	And I <also> listened to the radio broadcast and <at same="" th="" the="" time<=""></at></also>
11	<pre>±&gt; tried to explain to the refugees what <happened happening="" was=""></happened></pre>
12	in the country.
13	So, <i had="" information.="" of="" of<="" sources="" th="" there="" two="" were=""></i>
14	information I have gathered. These sources of information were This
15	<pre>information was&gt; collected scientifically&lt;. I put it together so</pre>
16	that I could get a full picture, and the two sources corroborated
17	each other, and all - and at the same time they would be combined
18	so that I could get the full accounts>.
19	Q. Well, regarding these reports of - on the refugee accounts, you
20	wrote to the Investigating $\leq \frac{\text{Judge} \text{Judges}}{\text{Judges}}$ on 4 December 2009 - and
21	this is $\frac{\text{D133/1.1}\text{D133/1/1} \text{ (sic)}}{\text{D133/1.1}}$ - and you state the following -
22	because you gave Judge Lemonde, in fact, a certain number of
23	accounts - of written accounts, and there are two categories:
24	first of all, there <is 94<="" a="" french="" of="" or="" summary="" th="" translation=""></is>
25	testimonies totallingare summaries and translations in French of

1	94 refugee accounts, and that amounts to about 300 pages - 300
2	typed pages; and then there's also <a of<="" or="" summary="" th="" translation=""></a>
3	about 100 interviews of refugees conducted translations and
4	summaries of about 100 refugee accounts in - you interviewed> in
5	Paris or in Thailand.
6	[15.17.08]
7	And you say the following:
8	" <a href="Out of the 94 accounts"></a>
9	France or in Thailand by myself, orally, between 1975 and 1976,
10	and for others, 'Père' Venet," Father Venet, therefore, "who was
11	also present in the refugee camps, asked certain refugees to
12	describe their lives in Democratic Kampuchea. I translated these
13	accounts, and then I went to the refugee camps to check these
14	accounts in July 1976. And most of these accounts were gathered in
15	Khmer, except for some of them in French. The only aim of these
16	accounts was to understand as best as possible the situation in
17	Democratic Kampuchea in order for the French people to know about
18	it through various publications (such as a Documentation Center of
19	the Ministry of Foreign Affairs). So this is something that was
20	conducted seriously, but it was not a scientific job. And I used
21	these documents to write the book 'Cambodia Year Zero' The 94
22	testimonies. Some of the testimonies were given orally to me in
23	France or in refugee camps in Thailand between 1975 and 1976.
24	Others were given in writing after Father Venet, who was present
25	in the camps, asked some refugees to write down their experiences

1	in Democratic Kampuchea. I translated those writings and then went
2	to the camps to crosscheck the testimonies in July 1976. A large
3	majority of those testimonies were given in Khmer, except for some
4	that were given in French. The sole objective of taking the
5	testimonies was to better acquaint myself with the situation in
6	Democratic Kampuchea, so as to acquaint the French public with
7	them through the publications of Échanges France-Asie (Services de
8	Documentation des Missions Étrangeres) [France-Asia Dialogue
9	(Documentation Services of Foreign Missions)]. The testimonies can
10	therefore be considered as the fruit of serious but non-scientific
11	research. The documents were some of the sources of 'Cambodia Year
12	<pre>Zero'&gt;."</pre>
13	[15.18.27]
14	<a href="mailto:And-in-fact"><a href="mailto:And-in-fact">And-in-fact</a>, you referred to</a>
15	<u>these&gt;</u> summaries in your book <u>&lt;.</u> and you also say the following
16	With respect to the other interviews of refugees, you say - you
17	<pre>state&gt;:</pre>
18	-" <regarding interviews,="" method="" other="" refugee="" same="" th="" the="" was<=""></regarding>
19	used for the same purpose for the refugee accounts after I
20	<pre>published</pre> The same method was used and the objective was the same
21	for the interviews of refugees conducted after the publication of>
22	'Cambodia Year Zero'."
23	And you also explain that "in both cases, the recordings <that had<="" th=""></that>
24	<pre>been done&gt; were destroyed. &lt; Therefore, I cannot, I am&gt;</pre>
25	unfortunately <pre> provide you with not in a position to give you&gt; </pre>

1	the original Khmer versions  before the end of next year. I have
2	to search for them in the archives I left at the Missions
3	étrangères de Paris [Paris Foreign Missions] because they are in
4	the archives of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs ."
5	So, therefore, there were also audio recordings≤, I see, with
6	these - audio recordings of the accounts of these of these
7	<pre>interviews of&gt; Khmer refugees. Is that the case?</pre>
8	A. Normally, when we interviewed a <refugee, audio="" record<="" td="" we="" would=""></refugee,>
9	his or her account. If we only took notes, there were chances of
10	misunderstanding it. By audio recording it, in case of doubt, we
11	could listen to the recording. I interviewed hundreds of refugees.
12	After several years I discarded the tapes, for it was over, after
13	all. I now regret discarding them, for they were really
14	usefulwitness, we allowed the person to speak and we would record
15	his or her testimony because by writing we would not cover the
16	full message. And that - with that record, if we would like to
17	have it verified, then we would go back to the recording. And I
18	interviewed several hundreds of refugees. And after several years
19	I have already discarded them >.
20	Q. So, you said that you completed your sources of information
21	thanks to radio recordings that were <givensent> to you, and you</givensent>
22	also referred not only to the audio recordings of these radio
23	broadcasts, but also to the translations of excerpts from these
24	radio broadcasts description the Summary description world Broadcasting that
25	was <u>broadcastpublished</u> by <the> BBC. Did you also use these</the>

1	sources, or not at all?
2	[15.21.03]
3	A. No, I didn't cite the <a href="mailto:sbc">(BBC)</a> sources <a href="mailto:sbc">(from the BBC)</a> because I
4	couldn't speak English, and it would <behave been="" very=""> difficult</behave>
5	for me to use <thethose> sources. And translation is not easy&lt;. As</thethose>
6	you know, one might not translate exactly what is said because we
7	cannot really translate the right messages, most of the time>.
8	<pre><and also="" and="" another="" book="" i="" in<="" place="" pre="" stores="" the="" to="" went=""></and></pre>
9	France where communist books would be published and displayed. So
10	I collected the information concerning - the I read books in
11	libraries and bookstores such as Phénix and Normandie in Paris,
12	which both carried books on communism. I collected> information on
13	Cambodia. <becausebut> at that time these resources were not</becausebut>
14	plentiful because Angkar <a href="did not writeh">did not written</a> much. <a href="mailto:At">At</a>
15	the beginning> I <had> heard the term "kammaphibal" - or "cadre" -</had>
16	and I tried to understand this Khmer term by <analysing its<="" th=""></analysing>
17	structureunderstanding the combination of words . I thought <pre><that></that></pre>
18	"kammaphibal", or cadre, would be <the personsomeone=""> who governed</the>
19	or who administered <somethingsome work="">, because it could have</somethingsome>
20	come from <the> French term "cadre". <and also="" feel="" i="" i<="" th="" to="" used=""></and></the>
21	also felt> that Angkar used strange, unusual terms.
22	[15.22.51]
23	And <we have="" herethere="" was=""> Steve Hederf_For example, Steve</we>
24	Heder is the best researcher on the Democratic Kampuchea and
25	Angkar. However, by 1979 - I mean, up until 1979, he supported the

1	Khmer Rouge and he was <a href="mailto:&lt;a href=" mailto:animon"="">a member of the an advisor for&gt;</a> Amnesty
2	International <. Amnesty International never said anything, and he
3	never talked bad about the Khmer Rouge because <hesteve> enjoyed</hesteve>
4	living in Cambodia. And he also wrote <a href="mailto:articlea letter">a letter</a> to Noam
5	Chomsky, who <is -="" a="" linguist,="" renowned="" was=""> about the</is>
6	misunderstanding and $\leq \frac{\text{translation in} \underline{\text{mistranslation of}}}{\text{Cambodian}}$
7	<pre><termsword>, and he criticized <cambodia concerning="" pre="" the<=""></cambodia></termsword></pre>
8	translation of the terms. And he also talked about this. And I
9	captured this is my book, the mistranslation of certain Khmer terms
10	in> "Cambodia Year Zero"<, saying that the mistranslation was
11	because the translator himself had not. And the reason he
12	criticized the translation of the terms: because he was not the
13	one who> lived through Democratic Kampuchea.
14	Now I can give you two examples.
15	<pre> _ The head monk, in my book - when we say "kanak sang", which </pre>
16	means the "monk head" or "committee" - but Steve Heder said that I
17	did not know Khmer very much because when it comes to "kanak", it
18	means "group", and "group" here referring to rather, it's when
19	it comes to "kanak sang", it's the committee for the monk rather
20	than the head monk. And more importantly, the Khmer Rouge used
21	some other terms that Mr. Steve Heder did not want us to use. For
22	example, "pukmae"; and "pukmae" means "parents" - that were used
23	in these Khmer Rouge times at the rice fields-
24	(End of intervention not interpreted) In my book, "kanak sang" is
25	an abbreviation for "National Monk Commission", a body that

1	supervises monks (inaudible). "Kanak" means "group", such as in
2	Kanak Thommayut and Kanak Mohanikay. But Cambodians during the
3	Democratic Kampuchea used the abbreviated word "Kanak Sang",
4	referring to "Monk Commission".
5	Another example: The Khmer Rouge commonly used "pukmae" for
6	"parents". Steve Heder argued that Cambodian people never called
7	their parents "pukmae", but commonly called their parents "euw puk
8	mday". But to be precise, one had to refer to terms commonly used
9	amongst Cambodians in the rice fields->
10	[15.26.09]
11	Q. Well - and I'm sorry for interrupting you because,
12	unfortunately, we don't have a lot of time and we have other
13	questions to put to you. And I'm sure what you're talking about
14	could interest linguists, but we might have other priorities right
15	now.
16	So, among the documents that you referred to, to try to understand
17	what was happening in Cambodia, did you refer to documents - to
18	official documents that were published in particular by the GRUNK
19	Mission in Paris?
20	A. GRUNK had a house in Paris<, at 4, Barcelone Street>. Nget
21	Chopinanto was <u><the man="" u="" was<="" who="">≥ in charge of the</the></u>
22	<pre><missionmansion>. <and also="" and="" at="" documents,="" i="" looked="" pre="" the="" we<=""></and></missionmansion></pre>
23	studied the purpose of Angkar and we criticized whether it was
24	right or wrong that Angkar did like that. And also we listened to
25	what the refugees had to say about this I read the documents, and

we tried to understand the goals of Angkar. We wondered whether

2	what the refugees were saying was true>.
3	[15.27.29]
4	Q. Well, $\leq \frac{\text{for}}{2}$ in order to note the references, I would like to
5	give, as an example, a document that you referred to in your book
6	which is the "Information Bulletin of the GRUNK Mission in Paris"
7	It is number 220bis/75, dated 12 September 1975, and the document
8	<pre><number> is D199/26.2.137. And this is a bulletin that is quite</number></pre>
9	interesting because it was produced a short while after the fall
10	of Phnom Penh, and we see in it an interview of Mr. Khieu Samphan
11	who was the Deputy Prime Minister and Commander-In-Chief of the
12	FAPLNK, by the representative of the Kampuchea News Agency, on 12
13	August 1975. The French ERN is 00386886 to 89; English, 00878358
14	to 61; and Khmer, 00877499 to 00877504.
15	So, I don't think we have the time to <a href="edge:dealwith">describedeal with</a> the
16	content of this interview, although it's interesting. But
17	basically, Mr. Khieu Samphan says the following - he's describing
18	<a href="mailto:self-align:center;"><a the=""> situation he discovers in 1975 and he says:</a></a>
19	"< Our compatriots, by the millions, were locked up in
20	concentration camps in Phnom Penh and in the other cities under
21	enemy temporary control. The victims had no food. Cholera was
22	decimating them, families were torn apart and scattered throughout
23	the entire countryBy the millions, our compatriots were forcibly
24	enlisted and locked up in concentration camps in Phnom Penh and
25	other towns which were under provisional enemy control. Those

1	victims went without food; they were decimated by cholera, and
2	families were separated and scattered all over the country>.
3	[15.30.06]
4	" <pre>"<!-- The CRUNK and the FUNK, the</pre--></pre>
5	people and the people's army decided to tackle these problems with
6	determination, which were the results of the most barbarous war of
7	destruction from the U.S. Imperialists In the immediate wake of the
8	revolution, the GRUNK and the FUNK, the people as a whole and the
9	entire people's army resolutely embarked on the task of resolving
10	all the problems which resulted from the particularly barbaric war
11	of attrition brought upon us by the American imperialists and
12	their lackeys>.
13	"<80, question: How to solve these problems How were those problems
14	resolved>?
15	"On the one hand, the people in the countryside made all
16	sacrifices in order to win the war of attrition brought upon by
17	the American imperialists and their lackeys and suffered for years
18	on end. On the other hand, millions of city dwellers who had only
19	been liberated suffered from hunger owing to the enemy and were in
20	an appalling state. The problem is of unprecedented gravity, and
21	we must resolve it without further ado, as this is vital for both
22	our nation and our people.
23	[15.31.17]
24	"We have managed to mobilize all of the forces, including our
25	people and our army, in order to fight and deal with the new,

1	extremely serious situation while at the same time manifesting
2	deep patriotism on the and a spirit of independence and
3	sovereignty, maintaining control over the situation and our
4	decisions, having confidence in our own forces, and maintaining
5	firm control over our destiny and that of our country.
6	"After more than three months of dogged struggle, we were able to
7	resolve the core of the problem. We were able to provide the
8	entire country with basic necessities. We may not be living in
9	abundance, but we have managed to resolve this vital issue. Our
10	people now have the means to lead a normal life and to work
11	towards getting the country back on its feet."
12	And a bit further he finishes with the following:
13	"< Now we have solved all of the difficulties At this time, we have
14	resolved most of the problems>, and our country is <enjoying< th=""></enjoying<>
15	<pre>aundergoing&gt; profound change. The <a href="mailto:&lt;a href=" mailto:change-trend"="">change trend</a> is one of</pre>
16	continued improvement. I can assure you that we shall <a href="motor"><a href="&lt;/th"></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
17	ever> again experience <any> economic criseis, unemployment,</any>
18	inflation or price hikes."
19	[15.32.54]
20	So, knowing that $\leq_{7}$ of course, there was no currency, this might
21	be a little bit surprising.
22	So, do you remember this interview of Mr. Khieu Samphan?
23	<pre>&lt;(Inaudible) to specify that itIt&gt; dates back to August 1975.</pre>
24	A. (Microphone not activated)
25	MR. PRESIDENT:

1	Witness, please observe some pause. <please for="" seewait=""> the red</please>
2	light before you speak.
3	MR. PONCHAUD:
4	A. I do <not> recall <it> clearly, but <that propagandathis<="" th="" was=""></that></it></not>
5	was the propagandized words . I read a lot of
6	<pre><pre><pre>propagandapropagandized words</pre>&gt;, but I did not pay attention to it</pre></pre>
7	that much. <i attention="" contained="" if="" it="" new<="" only="" paid="" th="" to=""></i>
8	terms.>
9	On this particular issue, it was completely different from what I
10	heard from the refugees. That's why I do not believe in this
11	statement.
12	[15.34.04]
13	<pre><for example,="">I listened to the Voice of Democratic Kampuchea</for></pre>
14	<pre><en> almost every day</en></pre> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.com">basis</a> . <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.com">They broadcast the last parts, the</a>
15	finding of the Khieu Samphan dissertation, which indicated that
16	people had to transform Cambodia into - they broadcast the finding
17	of his dissertation, and the refugee did not understand the reason
18	why the Angkar made people to work that hard to build dyke and dig
19	reservoir also. But actually, Angkar had a plan, and that plan was
20	not necessarily written, but it was to transform Cambodia into -
21	and the plan was not clear-cut and people - the Angkar wanted
22	people to build dyke and dams in order to be self-reliant and
23	self sufficient for the country. This is the principal agenda of
24	Angkar, and I actually, myself, find it satisfactory The broadcasts
25	referred to the conclusions of Khieu Samphan's thesis on Cambodian

1	agriculture and its modernization problems. It was said that dykes
2	had to be dug, dams built, and that Cambodian agriculture had to
3	be transformed and modernized. They broadcast the conclusions of
4	the thesis. The refugees did not understand why Angkar made people
5	work so hard to build dykes and dams, but we understood it
6	clearly. Actually, Angkar had a plan - and, obviously, not a silly
7	plan - to transform Cambodia's farmland into well-structured and
8	irrigated agricultural lands in order to improve rice yields to
9	ensure the independence and sovereignty of the county. This was
10	the goal Angkar wished to realize, and I liked it>.
11	Q. (No interpretation Microphone not activated)
12	A. <even actually="" around="" i="" now,="" ou="" ov,="" reang="" restore="" somewhere="" th="" the<=""></even>
13	water reservoir and canals where the Angkar actually made people
14	to dig up this water reservoir and irrigation system. I actually
15	did not like the way they treated people, they abused people or
16	they actually made people work too hard, but actually, I think
17	that the plan was very well implemented and structured Now, near Ou
18	Reang Ov, I have restored the water reservoir and irrigation
19	system that was built by the Khmer Rouge. I do not like the way
20	they mistreated people, how they made people work too hard, like
21	animals, but I think their plan was fairly good>.
22	[15.36.33]
23	Q. If I understood correctly, you said that excerpts from Khieu
24	Samphan's thesis had been <a href="mailto:somminated">broadcast</a> disseminated. I'm not sure
25	that we heard this properly in French, but Khieu Samphan's thesis

1	was - excerpts of Khieu Samphan's thesis were broadcast on the
2	radio to justify the efforts asked from the population for - in
3	order to dig canals and build dams. Is that what you're telling
4	us?
5	A. Actually, they did not $\leq \frac{\text{make mention}}{\text{say}}$ that it was an excerpt
6	from the dissertation, but it was actually the last page of his
7	dissertation, in order to motivate people to work.
8	<pre><this -="" a="" actually="" good="" itit="" plan="" very="" was=""> was a fairly good</this></pre>
9	plan. In the $\leq \frac{20  \text{th}}{21  \text{st}}$ century, $\leq \text{one can be independent and}$
10	sovereign by relying solely on agriculturewe could be self-reliant
11	and self-mastery. We base on agricultural production. At that
12	time, we were self sufficient because our agricultural sector was
13	<del>robust</del> >.
14	I apologize, Your Excellency Khieu Samphan; I confused the title
15	of your dissertation with that of Mr. Hu Nim. <in fact,="" is<="" it="" th=""></in>
16	"Cambodia's Economy and its Problems of Industrialisation".>
17	[15.38.40]
18	Q. If you are referring to a specific page from your book, can you
19	please inform the Chamber exactly what reference you are reading
20	from? And if you don't have it now, could you please provide that
21	tomorrow morning?
22	A. It is on page 113.
23	Q. And <to are<="" be="" for="" information,="" just="" more="" specific,="" th="" we="" your=""></to>
24	dealing with because there are> several versions <on case<="" th="" the=""></on>
25	file, . Are are> you referring to page 113 of <the french="" version,=""></the>

1	the original version that was published in March $\leq 1977$ - not the
2	Kailash edition1976>?
3	A. On the 3rd of <a href="April - of">April - of</a> February 1977.
4	Q. Let us return to the bulletin that was issued by the GRUNK
5	Mission in Paris <and contained="" interview="" khieu<="" mr.="" of="" td="" the="" which=""></and>
6	<u>Samphan&gt;</u> . For your information, there are <u><a deal="" great="" ofmany<="" u=""></a></u>
7	<pre>more&gt; documents that are on the case file, including an interview</pre>
8	with Mr. Ieng Sary <and> conducted by James Pringle, <a href="theof"><a 4="" <indated="" href="&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;9&lt;/th&gt;&lt;td&gt;" magazine,="" newsweek"="" september=""> 1975. There's also a</a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></and>
10	speech given by Mr. Ieng Sary at the <a href="Extraordinary Session of">Extraordinary Session of</a>
11	the> General Assembly of the UN <inon 30=""> August 1975 and, lastly,</inon>
12	a <speech by=""> Ieng Sary&lt;-speech&gt;, Vice Prime Minister of the</speech>
13	GRUNK, head of the delegation of Democratic Kampuchea at <the< td=""></the<>
14	Extraordinary Session of the General Assembly of the UN on 30
15	August 1975an extraordinary meeting of the UN in 1975>.
16	[15.40.33]
17	These are the references, the sources that you based upon - that
18	you based your research upon in drafting several articles, some of
19	which were published in the <magazine "échanges="" france-asie"="" french<="" td=""></magazine>
20	magazines - "France-Asie" or "Échanges"≥. <there are="" others="" td="" that<=""></there>
21	<pre>wereOthers are&gt; published elsewhere≤-</pre>
22	An article was also lifted by, including an article that appeared
23	in> the French daily "Le Monde" <on 17="" 18="" 1976="" and="" february="">. I'm</on>
24	referring to document $<$ D133/1.2 $D103/1/2$ $>$ . You $<$ qualifydescribe>
25	the revolution in Cambodia as <pre><pre>one being quite radical anda</pre></pre>

1	radical revolution and you raise a sort of alarm> that it is
2	possible that <there 800,000="" atmore="" be="" could="" some="" th="" than<="" victims=""></there>
3	800,000 had already died since> the start of this revolution.
4	There are also articles that you authored and <which> appeared in</which>
5	other magazines<, such as "Monde asiatique". And then you
6	<pre>published your book, "Cambodia Year Zero",&gt; in February or March</pre>
7	<pre><nineteen seventy-1976.=""></nineteen></pre>
8	<a. (microphone="" activated)="" not=""></a.>
9	<pre><q.> You <hadmay have=""> written <it> in February, but <i believe=""></i></it></hadmay></q.></pre>
10	it was only published in March. <- Is that correct?>
11	A. (Microphone not activated)
12	Q. Just for the record, since your microphone was not lit, you
13	have just stated that the <articlebook, "cambodia="" year="" zero",=""></articlebook,>
14	came out on the 3rd of February $\frac{1977}{1976}$ .
15	[15.42.09]
16	You also contributed to $\leq$ the drafting of information bulletina
17	<pre>newsletter&gt; on Cambodia <entitled "bulletin="" d'information="" le<="" pre="" sur=""></entitled></pre>
18	<pre>Camodge"&gt;. You also published <in in="" other="" thearticles=""></in></pre>
19	magazines<:> "La Croix", <"Études",> "Esprit". You also <took par<="" th=""></took>
20	<pre>ingave&gt; several television and radio interviews.</pre>
21	And what is of interest to us now is your contribution to a piece
22	written by the International Commission of Jurists <in response="" th="" to<=""></in>
23	a decision of to the Human Rights Commission. It <was on<="" published="" th=""></was>
24	thedated> 16th of August 1978.
25	<pre><now, doubt="" no=""> thanks to your work, since you were one of the</now,></pre>

1	first to draw attention to this matter, $\leq$ to bring before an
2	international audience, particularlywhat was happening in Cambodia
3	was brought to the attention of international institutions, such
4	as> the Human Rights Commission<_, what was going on in Cambodia>
5	What can you tell us about that? In what context did this occur?
6	And what were the results - what was the ultimate outcome of your
7	participation?
8	A. First, I would like to make it clear that I am not a
9	politician. I write books or I speak on the <radio in<="" th=""></radio>
10	<pre>particularbreadcasting system&gt; because I wanted to <share my<="" pre=""></share></pre>
11	feeling withmake the suffering and the difficulties endured by>
12	the Cambodian people <a href="mailto:knownwho"><u>knownwho are suffering from the difficulties</u></a>
13	and enduring all the suffering>. I have lived with the Cambodian
14	people, both during happy times and sad times. <to day,="" this=""> I am</to>
15	not a politician <a>, not until today</a> .
16	[15.44.25]
17	<pre>&lt;<u>I do not understand this Commissioner in Geneva who asked me to</u></pre>
18	come to report with this committee on the human rights status of
19	Cambodia at the United Nations The International Commission of
20	Jurists in Geneva asked me to report on the human rights situation
21	in Cambodia to the United Nations Commission on Human Rights>. It
22	was on the 15th of $\leq \frac{\text{July}}{\text{August}} > 1978$ . $\leq \frac{\text{Back then I was like an}}{\text{Back then I was like an}}$
23	object from nowhere, from another alien planet; nobody listened to
24	me. They - they did not pay attention to what I said, at all. I
25	wonder why they did not listen to meAt the time, I was a sort of

1	UFO. Nobody listened to me. It was as if I had come from an alien
2	planet. No one paid attention to what I was saying. I wondered why
3	no one was listening to me>. That's why, to be frank, I do not
4	really like the way the United Nations $\frac{\langle functions worked \rangle}{\langle functions worked \rangle}$ .
5	actually not - they did not know - actually, they had known it
6	very clearly. They had their agents along In fact, they knew. There
7	were people on> the border; they must have known <it th="" very="" well.<=""></it>
8	They knew > that the Khmer Rouge had killed a lot of
9	<pre><civilianseivilian people="">, but they chose to be indifferent <offe></offe></civilianseivilian></pre>
10	this to the situation. I did not understand why they chose this
11	course of action.
12	[15.46.03]
13	Actually, I do understand. I understand; 
14	ideological warfarethere was an ideological war> between the
15	Soviet <union> and China. &lt;<del>There was the</del>The&gt; Cold War <was> going</was></union>
16	on. <a #"="" href="https://example.com/school-particles-need-com/school-part&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;17&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;in Vietnam, so &lt;a href=">China found all the unresolved issues in its</a>
18	hands.all the remaining or residual - residual issue was left to
19	China. So China got all the China received> support in the United
20	Nations against <the> Soviet <union.at and="" at="" th="" that="" the="" time.="" time<=""></union.at></the>
21	they made use of the Khmer Rouge in order to go against the Soviet
22	China used the Khmer Rouge in its battle against the Soviet
23	<u>Union&gt;</u> , especially from 1979 onward. <u><the -="" rather<="" soviet="" u="">,≥ China</the></u>
24	and the United Nations $\leq$ made use of used> the Khmer Rouge $\leq$ in order
25	to be against the Soviet <union>.</union>

1	I am ashamed of the United Nations. They supported the Khmer Rouge
2	for 19 years, even though they knew that <the that="" what="" work=""> the</the>
3	Khmer Rouge had done was barbaric and <that> they killed innocent</that>
4	people; but they chose <indifference be="" indifferent="" to="">. What is</indifference>
5	the meaning of human rights $\leq_7$ then $\geq$ ? $\leq$ We want to - we accept the
6	<pre>value of It is great to recognize&gt; human rights, but if we ignore</pre>
7	<pre><the> human rights <abuse a="" given="" in="" the="" violations=""> country,</abuse></the></pre>
8	<pre><it a="" am="" feel="" i="" is="" shame.=""> ashamed of the United Nations. I am</it></pre>
9	<pre><actually> ashamed that the United Nations is coming in and now</actually></pre>
10	taking part in prosecuting the Khmer Rouge leaders. I am <pre><pre>really&gt;</pre></pre>
11	ashamed<-of the United Nations>. I don't think that they should be
12	involved in bringing the Khmer Rouge to trial now.
13	[15.48.24]
14	Q. Let us return to the year $\leq ef$ 1978, specifically $\leq to$ the
15	Commission on Human Rights, which was reviewing the Cambodian
16	situation. Now, if I'm not mistaken, this <first> took place</first>
17	during the 34th Session, in March 1978. At the time, the
18	representative <forof> Great Britain had requested <thatthe< th=""></thatthe<></forof>
19	Secretary General to invite> Democratic Kampuchea  be invited> to
20	comment on the human rights situation in the country, and
21	<pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
22	Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Democratic Kampuchea. However, a
23	<pre><ertain> number of countries and organizations <had> submitted</had></ertain></pre>
24	reports to the Commission on Human Rights. These reports
25	<pre><include_included> documents from Norway, <great as="" britain,="" pre="" well<=""></great></include_included></pre>

1 as> Amnesty International<<del>, as well as</del> and> the United States<<del>, as</del> 2 well as Great Britain>. 3 Norway <and Great Britain> had relied on an international 4 <symposiumhearing> on Cambodia <organizedheld> in Oslo on the 21st 5 and <222nd23rd> of April 1978. A <eertain> number of witnesses and 6 experts were heard; you, yourself, < had> appeared before those 7 hearings. And those deliberations served as the basis for the 8 <reports that were draftedreport that was> subsequently <and</pre> 9 ultimately> addressed to the Human Rights Commission. 10 [15.50.34] 11 I'd like for us to focus on the content of <that reportthese 12 reports>. I'm referring to E3/1804; ERN in French, 00233158 to 13 00233170; ERN in English, 00087524 to 7536 (sic); in Khmer, 14 00235800 to 00235816 - (sic). 15 This report contains a certain number of findings. I < just 16 wantedwant> to know if you believe that these findings are still 17 relevant to this day. 18 Now, <at> the French ERN 00233161, you say the following: 19 "<Following review of the refugee accounts which number in the 20 hundreds, it is quite possible to establish with certainty the 21 following facts After studying the testimony of several hundred 22 refugees, it has been possible to establish the following facts 23 with certainty>. 24 "<Firstly, executions1. Executions>. 25 "Nearly all the officers, senior officials, policemen,

1	intelligence agents, customs officials, and military police were
2	executed during the first few days after the victory of 17 April
3	1975. Detailed and completely independent accounts were obtained
4	concerning the towns of Phnom Penh, Battambang, Siem Reap, Oddar
5	Meanchey, Pailin, Preah Vihear, and other smaller settlements.
6	"People in these categories who succeeded in concealing their
7	identities during the first few months have been systematically
8	sought out, especially since early 1976, and executed.
9	"In a very large number of cases, the wives and children of these
10	people are also reported to have been executed.
11	[15.53.50]
12	"Non-commissioned officers, army privates, minor officials,
13	headmen of 10, 50 or 100 households and members of paramilitary
14	militia groups were treated differently according to the region
15	concerned: some were executed during the days immediately
16	following the revolutionary victory; others were sent to extremely
17	hard labour camps, practically death camps; yet others were
18	allowed to go back to their native villages, where some of them
19	were executed in 1975, while the remainder have been actively
20	sought out since 1976 and have mostly been executed.
21	"Cases of summary execution of doctors, engineers, professors,
22	teachers, students, and school pupils occurred in the first few
23	weeks, but this does not seem to have been the general rule. They
24	were sometimes resettled in special villages and were sometimes
25	absorbed in the population, but were placed under special

supervision. The slightest offence on their part against

revolutionary morale (  
punished by death. Since 1977, it seems that they have been
systematically sought out and executed.
[15.55.54]
"Persons showing any disapproval of the regime are generally
subjected to verbal correction<- (or edification)>, sometimes
accompanied by punishment (deprivation of food, bastinado,
exposure to the sun without food or drink, etc.). They are
sometimes imprisoned. A second or third offence <is tantamount<="" th=""></is>
tomeans> death.
"In 1977 and 1978, bloody purges struck at the State machinery
itself. In the northern region at least (in Battambang, Siem Reap,
Oddar Meanchey, Preah Vihear, Kampong Thom) and even in Kratie-
Kampong Cham, large numbers of officials were arrested and
executed, usually with their families. Peasants working under the
orders of certain officials sometimes suffered the same fate."
[15.57.03]
MR. VERCKEN:
<pre><pardon for="" interruptingif="" may="" me="" you="">, Mr. President, <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pardon></pre>
<pre>for interrupting Your Honour,&gt; but I believe that we are going</pre>
above and beyond the confines of this trial <and are<="" th="" that="" you=""></and>
setting up to ask the witness to react to something that is not
within. I believe that questions must be relevant, and they are
not at all in correspondence with> the scope <and definition=""> of</and>

this trial.

2	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
3	<pre>&lt;(No interpretation) I don't know if I have to-&gt;</pre>
4	MR. KOPPE:
5	May I add something to the submissions of my learned colleague? I
6	also believe that, as I have said earlier, the witness is a
7	witness to the events that he has witnessed - that's why he's
8	witness - but I'm not sure if we should ask him questions which
9	have the scope of treating him like an expert, which seems, with
10	all due respect, Your Honour, that you are doing at the moment.
11	[15.58.01]
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	Prosecutor, you may proceed.
14	MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
15	Thank you. I believe that there are two separate matters that are
16	being raised by the Defence.
17	Firstly, whether this document submitted to the United Nations is
18	relevant, I think the matter is very clear. A certain number of
19	passages from the report have been read out. They concern
20	<pre><executionsexecutions< pre="">, and these passages deal with executions</executionsexecutions<></pre>
21	that happened just after the victory of 17 April, which concern
22	the near totality of military officers, <pre><civil pre="" servants,<=""></civil></pre>
23	<pre>policemen,&gt; etc. There's also mention of the execution of certain</pre>
24	cadres afterwards in $\leq \frac{1977}{1976}$ and $\leq \frac{1977}{1978}$ , that as far as
25	individuals mentioned by Judge Lavergne. There is a description of

1	summary executions during the first weeks <or a="" different<="" th=""></or>
2	<pre>treatment&gt; after the capture of Phnom Penh.</pre>
3	In that respect, if indeed the defence for Khieu Samphan is
4	raising an objection, it cannot be sustained.
5	<pre><obviously, -="" asas="" believes="" the="" witness=""> to whether the witness</obviously,></pre>
6	can answer <in capacity="" his=""> as an expert&lt; - that is the term used</in>
7	by the defence for Nuon Chea $-\tau >$ well, <i -="" and<="" note="" simply="" th="" that=""></i>
8	that speaks volumes - that> in 1978 <-the United Nations, the
9	Human Rights Commission> had considered that this witness <could< th=""></could<>
10	be an expert given the groundwork that he had undertaken with
11	refugees.did enjoy certain expertise and was speaking in his
12	capacity as an expert So it is perfectly legitimate for him to
13	<pre>comment on what he wrote&gt; at the time. Thank you.</pre>
14	(Judges deliberate)
15	[16.03.59]
16	MR. PRESIDENT:
17	Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan's objection, which was supported by
18	counsel for Nuon Chea, is not sustained. The questions are put by
19	the Bench, and finally, it is the Bench that will <pre><examine or<="" pre=""></examine></pre>
20	analyzeassess> the probative value of the testimony.
21	And since it is now - this afternoon's session is coming to an
22	end, we would like Judge Lavergne to finish putting the few final
23	questions.
24	BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
25	Well. I would like to specify that the information contained in

1	this report <have has=""> a direct link <withto> the scope of this</withto></have>
2	trial, and in particular, there are findings in there regarding
3	the evacuations.
4	Q. And you said the following:
5	"The population of Phnom Penh and of all the cities and towns in
6	the 'government <areaszones>' were <evacuated days="" in="" th="" that<="" the=""></evacuated></areaszones>
7	followeddeported during the days immediately following> 17 April
8	1975. < Hospitals The hospitals were emptied, the <i and="" njured="" th="" the<=""></i>
9	ill hadwounded, sick and surgery patients being obliged> to leave
10	their beds. <a href="mailto:And those who were not able to move were">And those who were not able to move were</a>
11	executed Those who could not do so were killed on the spot>. < And
12	this involved This deportation affected > more than 4 million people
13	and caused the death of many <pre><elderlyold></elderlyold></pre> people, <pre><youngsmall></youngsmall></pre>
14	children, and <women in="" labourpregnant="" women="">."</women>
15	So, can you tell us, Witness, based on what information were you
16	able to write this account?
17	[16.06.11]
18	MR. PONCHAUD:
19	A. I interviewed several hundred refugees. With that, there is no
20	shred of doubt at all.
21	And in order to tell the truth,  decause> during the Khmer
22	regime <pre> regime</pre> regime <pre> regime</pre> <pre> regime</pre> <pre> regime</pre> regime <pre> regime</pre> <pre> regime</pre> regime <pre> regime</pre> regime <pre> regime</pre> reg
23	the otherwas different from another., > I gathered information
24	<pre><mainly> from Battambang and I did not obtain <sources ofmuch=""></sources></mainly></pre>
25	information from other locations. Mr. Michael Vickery, who wrote a

1	book< <u> entitled&gt;</u> "Cambodia 1975-1982", < <u>he&gt;</u> criticized me on
2	several points, and I < indeed accept his < criticism erities . And
3	I thought that people would receive the same treatments all across
4	the country, but I learned that, indeed, people were treated
5	differently from one place to another. The plan was
6	<pre><commonsimilar> in all places, but <the restriction,="" the=""></the></commonsimilar></pre>
7	discipline <was (sic)="" be="" could="" harsh="" harsher="" in="" on=""> one location</was>
8	than <at .<="" another="" other="" th="" the=""></at>
9	[16.08.11]
10	And in this report <written -="" 1977,="" 1977,<="" about="" i="" in="" th="" when="" wrote=""></written>
11	I did not know about the war that was waged between Khmer and
12	Vietnamese. I was doubtful that - I was suspicious, I was not even
13	aware of the conflict between Cambodia and Vietnam. I suspected>
14	that it could be a war, but there was no information
15	<pre>couldto&gt; support <or disproof=""> this suspicion. <andwhen> the Khmer</andwhen></or></pre>
16	Rouge attacked the Vietnamese at the border area, <a href="mailto:and-the">and-the</a>
17	hostility happened and it resulted in somestarting hostilities,
18	<pre>there were many&gt; casualties.</pre>
19	<pre><and -="" 1977,<="" also="" call="" called="" in="" mok="" pre="" ta="" the="" there="" was="" we="" what=""></and></pre>
20	the second revolution erupted. Ta Mok called it> the "second
21	revolution". And Ta Mok was in charge of the Southwest, and along
22	with Pol Pot in the West, they started to reshuffle cadres all
23	across Cambodia. By 1977 and 1988, people in the ranks of the
24	Khmer Rouge, a lot of them died.
25	For example, in Oslo, I gave a list of a 100 names in the report,

1	and these people were Khmer Rouge cadres who were executed in the
2	East Zone, in Kampong Cham province.
3	In 1977 - what happened until 1977 was part of the ideology; they
4	<pre><would likewanted=""> to create <a> New People. They <would like<="" pre=""></would></a></would></pre>
5	themwanted> to build dykes and canals and change the way of life.
6	<pre><and 1977,="" all="" and="" at="" from="" happened="" in<="" pre="" that="" the="" time="" war=""></and></pre>
7	Vietnam also, logically, happened This was logical, because war
8	with Vietnam became imminent from 1977 onwards>. I told reporters
9	in France, but they were not convinced.
10	[16.10.42]
11	<pre><and 1977,="" and="" april="" france,="" i="" in="" met="" pre="" refugees="" to<="" tuned=""></and></pre>
12	radio broadcasts and I heard Khieu Samphan was And on 31 December
13	1977, I visited refugees from Am Laing, in France; I was listening
14	to radio broadcasts and I heard Khieu Samphan> talking about the
15	war with Vietnam. He talked about Vietnamese invading - or coming
16	into Cambodian territory in <the> Memot <and areasarea="" dak="" dam="">.</and></the>
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	Thank you, Mr. Witness, and thank you, Judge Lavergne.
19	The hearing for today comes to an end. The Chamber will adjourn
20	today's session, and tomorrow's hearing will resume  byat> 9 a.m.
21	For tomorrow's sessions, the Chamber continues hearing the
22	testimony of Mr. François Ponchaud, questions to be put by Co-
23	Prosecutors and Lead Co-Lawyers for the civil parties in the
24	morning; and in the afternoon sessions, counsels for Mr. Khieu
25	Samphan would proceed with the questions, and followed by counsels

1	for Mr. Nuon Chea.
2	Mr. Ponchaud, your testimony has not yet concluded, so we would
3	like you to return to the courtroom tomorrow, the session which
4	commences at 9 a.m.
5	[16.12.20]
6	Court Officer is now directed to assist with the WESU unit to
7	ensure that Mr. Ponchaud is well assisted during the adjournment
8	and have him returned to the courtroom by 9 a.m.
9	Security personnel are now instructed to bring Mr. Khieu Samphan
10	and Nuon Chea back to the detention facility and have them
11	returned to the courtroom by 9 a.m. For Mr. Nuon Chea, he is
12	directed to be returned to the holding cell, where - he can
13	observe the proceedings from there through audio-visual means.
14	The Court is adjourned.
15	(Court adjourns at 1613H)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	