

# <del>ວສ</del>ຄາແຊ້ຮ

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): <u>14-Jan-2015, 12:35</u> CMS/CFO: <u>Sann Rada</u>

## អច្ច៩ំនុំ៩ម្រះចិសាទញ្ញត្ថុខតុលាការកន្ទុ៩ា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

# หอ้รู่รุ่ธุโละยายารูล

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

#### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC

## Case File N° 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

08 January 2015 Trial Day 228

Before the Judges:

NIL Nonn, Presiding Claudia FENZ YA Sokhan Jean-Marc LAVERGNE YOU Ottara THOU Mony (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: SE Kolvuthy Robynne CROFT Matthew MCCARTHY CHEA Sivhoang

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: Nicholas KOUMJIAN SENG Bunkheang SENG Leang SREA Rattanak Dale LYSAK BOU Chanthan

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun Victor KOPPE SUON Visal KONG Sam Onn Anta GUISSÉ

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ang Marie GUIRAUD Yiqiang LIU LOR Chunthy HONG Kimsuon VEN Pov SIN Soworn Mahesh RAI

# ព្រះពសំណាចត្រូងម្ភ សំ សំងឺ សាសនា ព្រះមហាគ្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

## INDEX

## MR. MEAS SOKHA alias THLANG (2-TCW-936)

Questioning by The President	page 27
Questioning by Mr. Seng Leang	page 34
Questioning by Mr. Dale Lysak	page 52

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

## List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
JUDGE FENZ	English
MS. GUIRAUD	French
MS. GUISSÉ	French
GREFFIER	Khmer
MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN	Khmer
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
MR. KOPPE	English
MR. KOUMJIAN	English
MR. LYSAK	English
THE PRESIDENT (NIL Nonn, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. PICH ANG	Khmer
MR. SENG LEANG	Khmer
MR. SUON VISAL	Khmer
MR. YIQIANG LIU	English

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (Court opens at 0901H)

3 MR. PRESIDENT:

4 Today the Trial Chamber of the Extraordinary Chambers in the 5 Courts of Cambodia sits with the composition of the following 6 Judges on the Bench: Judge Nil Nonn, President; Judge Jean-Marc 7 Lavergne, Judge Ya Sokhan, Judge Claudia Fenz, Judge You Ottara; 8 and two reserve Judges: one, Judge Thou Mony; and two, Judge 9 Martin Karopkin. Today Judge Martin Karopkin is busy; he could 10 not attend this -- today's hearing this morning.

11 The Trial Chamber will briefly recall the procedural history that 12 led to today's hearing. The hearings on the substance of Case 13 002/02 were originally scheduled to start on 17 October 2014, 14 however the Khieu Samphan defence announced it would not 15 participate to the proceedings in Case 002/02 until after it 16 filed its Appeal brief against Case 002/001.

17 The Trial Chamber attempted to commence the hearings on 17 and 24 November, but on both occasions, National and International 18 19 Co-Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan failed to appear in Court. 20 After several failed attempts at finding a workable compromise 21 with the Khieu Samphan defence that would allow the hearing in 22 Case 002/02 to start, the Trial Chamber was left with no choice 23 but to adjourn until today, the 8th of January 2015. This 24 decision was based in part on the Khieu Samphan defence statement 25 that it would be able to resume participation in Case 002/02 once

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

2

1 it had filed its Appeal brief against Case 002/001 on 29 December 2 2014. Regretfully, 25 trial days over the course of 10 weeks have 3 been lost. During this time, the Trial Chamber took several steps 4 addressing the conduct of National and International Counsel for 5 Khieu Samphan.

6 [09.05.29]

7 In particular, in order to protect the proceedings against possible future obstruction and ensure that Case 002/02 moves 8 forward fairly and expeditiously, on the 5th of December, the 9 10 Trial Chamber ordered the Defence Support Section to appoint 11 National and International court-appointed standby counsel. The 12 role of the standby counsel will be to prepare and maintain 13 capacity to take over the defence of Khieu Samphan at any time 14 this Chamber should determine necessary to replace current 15 counsel. The Chamber notes that on 7 January 2015, the Defence 16 Support Section appointed Ms. Tuoch Vorleak and Mr. Calvin 17 Saunders as National and International standby counsel and that 18 the oath taking ceremony as a lawyer member of the Cambodian Bar 19 Association for the International standby counsel took place on 20 the 5th of January 2015.

21 [09.06.50]

The Trial Chamber recalls that on 19 December 2014, it also issued an order referring the misconduct of National and International counsels for Khieu Samphan to respective and appropriate professional bodies pursuant to Internal Rule 38.2

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

3

1 with a view to seizing their respective disciplinary boards. The 2 Trial Chamber also notes that in its scheduling order of 17 3 December 2014, it decided to maintain a sitting schedule of three days per week until a new assessment of the Accused's fitness to 4 5 stand trial is completed. Accordingly, on December -- 18 December 2014, the Trial Chamber ordered an - the assessment of the expert 6 7 to assess the Accused witness to stand trial and it will begin on the 19 of January 2015. 8 9 Greffier, Se Kolvuthy, please report to the Chamber the attendance of the parties to today's proceedings. 10 11 [09.08.17] 12 THE GREFFIER: Mr. President, for today's hearing it is noted that all parties 13 14 to the proceedings are present. Please note that the presence of Tuoch Vorleak and Mr. Calvin Saunders, the court-appointed 15

16 standby counsel for Khieu Samphan.

17 The witness for testimony today is witness 2-TCW-936, is present 18 in his room and is ready to be called in and he confirmed that, 19 to his knowledge, he has no relationship to any of the two 20 accused, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to any other civil 21 parties recognised before the Trial Chamber in Case 002/02, and 22 they took an oath before the Iron Statue on the 17 of November 23 2014. And this witness has no counselling -- counsel. 24 Another reserve witness TCCP -- 2-TCCP-296 is also present in the 25 room and is ready to be called by the Chamber. Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

4

- 1 [09.09.38]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Thank you, greffier.

4 Now, I declare the adversarial hearing in Case 002/02 open. The
5 Chamber wishes to advise the parties and members of the public -6 I saw you on your feet. You may proceed, Counsel.

7 MS. GUISSÉ:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Good morning to all of the people here present today. I believe that given the logistical problems this morning, it is necessary for us to speak briefly. I heard the reminder of the proceedings by the President and we are here, as we said a while ago. That is to say that once Mr. Khieu Samphan's rights were abided by in the filing of his Appeal brief, there was no obstacle for us coming today.

And I noted the Chamber's decision to appoint duty lawyers or 15 16 standby lawyers and I must say that I am rather surprised to see 17 how the Chamber is imposing the presence of these lawyers and I 18 wish to say in a very solemn way, I have, of course, no personal 19 problems with them, but still, today, I am being faced with the 20 presence of two lawyers that's been imposed upon me. Of course 21 they have been nominated by the Chamber, but they have no 22 procedural role in so far that Mr. Khieu Samphan is represented 23 by his normal counsel, the counsel he has chosen, the counsel who 24 until now were always working for his best interests. And these 25 lawyers who are present here today, here on the first row here,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

5

which explains why this is -- it's a bit tight here and we do not have all of the equipment necessary, these lawyers are present here in the courtroom, and I regret to say so, not because the Chamber is afraid Mr. Khieu Samphan will not be represented, because he is actually represented by us.

6 [09.11.59]

7 But if indeed we had substitute lawyers, and let me use a metaphor from the sports world, when we have replacement players, 8 9 substitute players, on the field, who -- they normally are sitting in the back waiting for the situation to come up when 10 11 they will be necessary. The reason why, in complete violation of the most basic rules of confidentiality, because here, at the 12 13 defence, sometimes we of course communicate with our clients and with the other lawyers, and we speak about confidential elements. 14 15 [09.12.37]

16 But the only reason we see today the presence of these two lawyers -- once again I'm sure they are very professional --17 18 that's not what I'm questioning, but their mere presence in the 19 courtroom is absolutely not necessary, absolutely not compulsory, 20 and if once again, the aim of the Chamber was to make sure that 21 Khieu Samphan's defence would continue in a normal way, and it's 22 not being jeopardised, as I like to remind you, but if the point 23 was to ensure this continuity, well, these lawyers could have 24 simply read the transcripts. And today what I note is that we --25 they are putting pressure on Mr. Khieu Samphan, pressure which I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

6

1 suppose is maybe something a bit of a threat to us, and it seems 2 the Chamber has not appreciated our positions. But in any case, 3 the presence, the physical presence of these lawyers in the courtroom is not necessary as long as Mr. Khieu Samphan is 4 5 represented. And if the Chamber had to judge their presence 6 neighbour and having them here occupy seats which were original 7 given to Nuon Chea and which makes it -- for us a bit uncomfortable, I think that this is something that is a bit 8 9 derogatory. Nobody of course can be deceived in this way. This is 10 a very sad message that the Chamber is giving in a general way to the defence, and generally speaking to what international 11 criminal justice is supposed to stand for. And the Chamber is 12 13 also sending off a message which is far from being a guarantee of the impartiality of a trial, and of the possibility of Mr. Khieu 14 Samphan to ensure his defence in serene conditions, because he is 15 16 being pressured. The defence's vocation is not to have, is not to not shake things up; the aim of the defence is to fight tooth and 17 nail to defend its clients. 18

19 [09.14.52]

And I understand that the -- I should say that as of 6 January 21 2015, we, Arthur Vercken and myself, we have spoken with the 22 Paris Bar to see how we are going to organise ourselves because 23 we wish, of course, to present our case, because, of course, our 24 client is being tried and on 6 January 2005, the Paris Bar has 25 still not yet been seized, and I find that absolutely

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

7

1 regrettable. I don't know what the problem is, but maybe in terms 2 of professional ethics, we should get some clarification on this, 3 because we are being accused of misconduct.

4 [09.15.41]

5 And I'd like to remind you that our -- my colleague, Kong Sam 6 Onn, always told us that he is respecting professional ethics, 7 and it's by respecting what we believe is the best for our clients that we have always been involved in this trial. And I'm 8 9 sorry to see that the trial interprets things -- the Chamber interprets things differently. And I think it's important that we 10 11 call upon an outside independent body to clarify the matter. I will not of course keep on speaking; I would simply like to tell 12 13 you that this is a sad message that is being given to us today to 14 put such pressure on Khieu Samphan and to put such pressure on 15 his defence. In any case, we do not take this too lightly and we 16 simply would like to continue defending in full independence and 17 in full freedom Mr. Khieu Samphan, and we will continue doing so 18 until of course we are dismissed. If -- whether this be -- if 19 this is indeed the aim of the Chamber. But I would like simply to 20 remind you that we have always acted in compliance with our 21 professional ethics, and we hope that our respective Bars can 22 rule on this matter as soon as possible so that things are 23 perfectly clear.

24 [09.17.05]

25 Now, once again, the appointed duty, because they are not yet --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	have not been if the Chamber believes that they must do this
2	job in a parallel manner, I think that this is completely
3	useless, but of course the Chamber is free to decide. But in any
4	case, imposing in these conditions on the first row, whereas the
5	team has not yet the opportunity to speak, it's a very poor
6	message that the Chamber is sending to the Defence and to
7	MR. PRESIDENT:
8	Counsel state that you don't want the public and other parties
9	understand that you delay the proceedings. You mentioned two or
10	three times. Could you be brief so that the Chamber and other
11	parties and the Judges understand, because we acted on that in
12	our Ruling.
13	[09.18.25]
14	So when you have an occasion to speak, so please speak more
15	slowly so that the interpretation can do good job and for proper
16	record.
17	MS. GUISSÉ:
18	I will pay attention to this of course, and I will not speak for
19	too much longer. But I simply want to say that we are going to
20	defend Mr. Khieu Samphan in a fully independent manner and this
21	was simply the point I wanted to raise at the beginning of this
22	hearing. Of course I am not very hopeful, but I am simply asking
23	the Chamber to reconsider its decision to impose the presence of
24	lawyers who, for the moment, have no procedural role to play in
25	this Trial.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

9

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Thank you. Counsel Kong Sam Onn, you can -- you may proceed but
  3 please briefly address the Court, because we have everything in
- 4 the written documents.
- 5 [09.19.32]
- 6 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

7 Mr. President, Your Honours, all parties, and members of the public, and all people in and around the courtroom, first of all 8 9 I would like to make a remark on the presence of the court-appointed standby counsel. The purpose is to defend the 10 11 interests of the Accused, Khieu Samphan, this is the main purpose. I think this is a good idea by the Trial Chamber, but 12 13 they will also (unintelligible) point for us. So, the counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan should be highly qualified and there shall be 14 15 good cooperation by Mr. Khieu Samphan for the counsel. But in 16 fact the appointment of the standby counsel is contrary to the 17 professional career and the independence of the defence counsels because the standby counsel will follow the instruction or the 18 19 advice by the Trial Chamber. And another question would be -- our 20 question here before the Chamber, and we are now preparing and 21 referring the request to the professional bodies to review our 22 conduct here, but so far those competent bodies has not decided 23 that we commit any misconduct and the order of the Trial Chamber, 24 based on its views and its ruling that we commit a misconduct and 25 this adversely affects the exercise of the efficient right of Mr.

10

- Khieu Samphan to fair trial because the presence of the standby
   counsel may interfere our defence.
- 3 [09.22.28]

In relation to the disciplinary action, in the case where the 4 5 Cambodian Bar Association, especially in my case, if the Bar 6 Association did not find any misconduct on my part, so what will 7 happen to the appointment of the standby counsel here? It can be seen that this is a pressure put on the Defence Counsel for Mr. 8 9 Khieu Samphan. It is a great pressure on us. It does not mean 10 that it ensure the right of Mr. Khieu Samphan to defence, because 11 Khieu Samphan himself indicated clearly that he will continue to receive the service from his counsel of his own choosing. 12 13 In the case where the Cambodian Bar Association found that we 14 committed a misconduct, so there will be a warning -- written 15 warning or suspension of my practice or disbar myself from the 16 Association, but we cannot anticipate anything before the Bar Association issue any decision, so I don't think -- we can 17 18 continue to defend and represent our clients in the Court unless 19 we are disbarred from the Bar Association. So, I would like to 20 request that the Trial Chamber review the decision on the 21 appointment of the standby counsel because this is infringe or 22 affect the right of the Defence Counsel to defend our client. 23 Thank you.

24 [09.24.36]

25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

11

1 Defence counsel for Mr. Nuon Chea, you may proceed.

2 MR. SUON VISAL:

3 Counsel, Mr. President, I don't have any further remark to make, but I have to share my legal opinion to have the standby counsel 4 5 to be present, because I don't think they are the Defence Counsel 6 here. So they will not have any role which is the same as the 7 Defence Counsel. I request that the Trial Chamber consider this matter again. The second point is that their presence in this 8 9 courtroom when they don't have the role to defend our (sic) 10 client, their presence in the courtroom is not necessary. So, as 11 they are called standby, they are sitting here next to us, the 12 public may confuse that they are also the Defence Counsel but in 13 fact they are just standby counsel, appointed by the Court and 14 the client has not chosen them to represent him. So, this is 15 under our national law and also in international law. So, if they 16 are sitting here in the same room, so it will affect the 17 confidentiality of each team. And then we are not feel 18 comfortable that we will discuss any confidential matter here. So 19 I would like the Court to reconsider this matter again. Thank 20 you.

21 [09.26.39]

22 MR. KOPPE:

23 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good 24 morning, Counsel.

25 Mr. President, we were rather surprised, I have to say, with the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

12

1 email that we received yesterday from the Senior Legal Officer 2 about the seating arrangements today. Now, maybe to the public it 3 might seem a trivial matter, who is sitting where, however we do not consider this to be a trivial matter. The fact that these 4 5 standby counsel for Khieu Samphan are sitting in the first row on 6 the side of the Defence, taking our places, we consider nothing 7 less to be an insult to us, an insult to Khieu Samphan, insult to our client and an insult to the defence teams. We really see no 8 9 point why these counsel, who we consider modern day equivalents 10 of the very honourable lawyer, Hope Stevens, who represented Pol Pot and Ieng Sary in the 1979 trial, why they are sitting here. 11 12 They will not address the Court, they are here to follow the 13 proceedings, they can do that here, but better they can even do it on the other side of the bench. 14

15 [09.28.00]

16 I really don't see why there's necessity to interfere in such a 17 brutal manner in the seating arrangements which have been going 18 on for two years. There's no role for this standby counsel, 19 there's particularly no role for this standby counsel in our 20 seats. So my request would be to reconsider the decision and 21 maybe put them somewhere in the back or maybe have them watch the 22 video footage outside, or like I said before, have them sit over 23 there. There's no place for them at this side of the courtroom.

24 MR. PRESIDENT:

25 Mr. Khieu Samphan, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

13

- 1 [09.28.55]
- 2 MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN:

3 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like -- Your Honours, and all parties in the courtroom and all around the courtroom and 4 5 Cambodian compatriots and venerable monks, as you are all well 6 aware that I have the right to a fair trial from a court which is 7 impartial, with my defence counsels of my own choice. Now you all have seen the real situation. First, I indicated that I have a 8 9 trust in my defence counsels, including Arthur Vercken and Anta 10 Guissé, who are International Counsel; and Mr. Kong Sam Onn, who 11 is my National Counsel. I know them very well and I trust them very much and we work together to prepare an Appeal brief, which, 12 13 I think, this is very good in terms of legal and factual issues. We have just finished our Appeal brief and we filed on the 29 of 14 December 2014. My Appeal brief indicated directly and precisely 15 16 the errors made by the Trial Chamber.

17 In conclusion, my defence counsels indicated very clearly that I 18 am very happy with those argument and they are the independent 19 counsel and they are not submit to the Trial Chamber. But this 20 morning the Trial Chamber have decided the appointment of so 21 called standby counsel. This is one of the tricks to prevent from 22 - to prevent my counsel from performing their career here in a 23 full force. So, I think the Trial Chamber made decision on its own discretion which is violate or infringe the law. So, I think 24 25 the Trial Chamber made any effort to move forward even though it

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

14

- 1 is a violation of the rule.
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 3 [09.32.31]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Do any other parties would like to make any address to the Court?6 The Prosecution, you may proceed.
- 7 MR. KOUMJIAN:

Your Honour, I'll be very brief. The reason that we're here 8 9 discussing standby counsel - I wish to remind those following is because on the 17th of October, Defence Counsel in this case 10 defied the Court and walked out and we've lost two months of 11 12 trial. Witnesses who were summoned to the Court, who were waiting 13 to testify, have had to wait for two months. There was a great 14 financial loss to the Court; more importantly, justice in this 15 case has been delayed for two months.

16 Standby counsel has been used in international tribunals and in 17 domestic systems to prevent exactly what happened in this case -18 that the Court is held hostage to counsel simply defying the 19 orders of the Court. We've heard this morning from Khieu 20 Samphan's counsel that they view this as pressure, or a threat. 21 The only threat or pressure is that they must obey the orders of 22 the Court. Khieu Samphan and Nuon Chea have a right to a fair 23 trial. That is, a fair trial according to the Rules of the Court, 24 not for them to decide when the Court will have hearings, what 25 the schedule of the Court will be. So I won't take up any more

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

15

- 1 time. We think after listening to a half hour of this, it's time
- 2 to begin the evidence in this case.
- 3 [09.34.20]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 6 The Co-Lead Lawyer, you may now proceed.
- 7 MR. PICH ANG:

Mr. President, Your Honours, parties, members of the public in 8 9 and around the courtroom, and those who view from afar - view the hearing from afar. I -- we do respect the interests and the 10 11 rights of the Accused who have their defence counsel. It is 12 necessary that the Accused shall have professional counsel for 13 themselves so that the Court proceedings move effectively and 14 smoothly, and this is to respect the defence rights of the 15 Accused.

16 [09.35.20]

For Lead Co-Lawyers representing civil parties, it is very 17 18 important that the rights of the Accused should not jeopardise 19 the Court proceedings and the justice to be sought. So, any 20 action which delay the Court proceeding - the hearings - it will 21 affect the civil parties. I have presented this matter repeatedly 22 and the Court hearing cannot be delayed, if there is no necessary 23 issues to be considered. We understand that victims -- surviving 24 victims are at advanced age. To ensure that the Court proceedings 25 move smoothly, I believe that there should be standby counsel to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

16

defend the interests of the Accused, in case that the Defence 1 2 Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan boycotts the proceeding. This is 3 very necessary. I understand that a standby counsel is very necessary, however it has to be balanced not to affect the 4 5 professional conduct of lawyers. So, I encourage the Chamber to 6 also - to balance the professional - profession of counsel and lawyers. And the standby counsel should have enough time to 7 consult the case file so that they can replace - the current 8 9 counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan if necessary or in the case that 10 the Defence Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan boycotts the 11 proceeding. And the Defence Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan 12 mentioned often - very often - that if the counsel do not have 13 the access to the case file or do not have enough time to consult 14 the case file, it will affect or jeopardise the rights of the 15 Accused, so standby counsel should be entitled to time so that 16 they can consult case files and can replace the Defence Counsel 17 for Mr. Khieu Samphan in case the current lawyer denies to be 18 present before the Chamber.

19 [09.38.29]

In relation to seats occupied by standby counsel, and I heard there is a point mentioned that the standby counsel can have a seat anywhere other than the Defence Counsel seats. So we can see that now there are - there seem to be three teams - three defence teams. And we - it appears that there are - there seems to be teams - Defence Counsel for Mr. Ieng Sary here or Mr. -- Ms. Ieng

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

17

1	Thirith. So I believe that, originally, we planned that the seats
2	should be occupied by four teams of - for the Accused but now you
3	can see the standby lawyers occupy the seat of the defence
4	counsel.
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
6	I may now give you the floor but you have to be brief.
7	[09.39.57]
8	MS. GUIRAUD:
9	Thank you, Mr. President. I will be, indeed, extremely brief. I'd
10	simply like to react to the statement by my learned friend,
11	Koppe, when he proposed to the Chamber that the standby counsel
12	sit on this side of the courtroom, thereby insinuating that there
13	would be an objective alliance between the Chamber, the
14	Prosecutors, and the civil parties, and that we would be acting
15	together by virtue of a community of interest. I would like to
16	clarify for the purposes of this proceeding, for the Court and
17	public, that the civil parties are completely independent. And
18	let me remind the public, that a solution chosen by the Chamber
19	is not the one that we would have wished for, we have supported
20	the Co-Prosecutors. But in this case, we are simply taking note
21	of a decision which we did not contribute to and I want to say
22	so, so that everyone in this courtroom should understand the
23	position of each party.
24	[09.41.21]

25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

18

1 I note the submissions from two defence teams. The Chamber will 2 take this issue to be considered and we will issue ruling in due 3 course. The Chamber wishes to advise the parties and members of the public that the Chamber will start the hearing on the 4 5 substance in Case 002/02 with the factual allegations concerning 6 the first phase, namely Tram Kak cooperative, including the 7 treatments of Buddhists and the related Krang Ta Chan Security Centre. The first witnesses and civil parties to be heard on this 8 topic are 2-TCW-936, 2-TCCP-296, 2-TCCP-238, 2-TCW-954 and 9 10 2-TCW-834. The full list has been communicated to the parties on 17 December 2014, E328.1. The first witness to be heard today is 11 witness 2-TCW-936. Before proceeding to the examination on 12 13 witness 2-TCW-936, the Chamber must clarify a procedural issue. 14 The Chamber reminds the Accused, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, of 15 the following: pursuant to Internal Rule 21.1(d), the Accused has 16 the right to remain silent; pursuant to Internal Rule 90, should 17 the Accused resolve not to exercise the right to remain silent, 18 the bench, Co-Prosecutors, other parties, and defence counsels 19 may put questions to the Accused.

20 [09.43.56]

In an email sent to the senior legal officers of the Trial Chamber on 30th September 2014, both Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan reaffirmed that they would not respond to any questions. In the opening of proceedings in Case 002/02 on 17 October 2014, Nuon Chea stated that he maintained the position to remain silent,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

19

1 whereas Khieu Samphan did not make it clear whether he would 2 resolve to exercise the right to remain silent. Now, the Chamber 3 again asks Mr. Khieu Samphan, do you maintain your position not to respond to questions or you have changed your position and 4 5 have reserved your right to respond to questions by the bench and 6 other parties? 7 Mr. Khieu Samphan, you may now have the floor. [09.45.08] 8 9 MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN: 10 Thank you, Mr. President. Because there is pressure on my defence

11 team as realised by parties and every member of the public, I do 12 not have any hope in the Chamber. So I remain - I would like to 13 exercise my right to remain silent. However, in light of the 14 context of Case 002/02, I would like to reserve my right to make 15 any statement on my part at any time necessary.

16 MR. PRESIDENT:

17 Thank you, Mr. Khieu Samphan. Having now ascertained the position 18 of both Accused regarding the exercise of the right to remain 19 silent, the Chamber notes that it will proceed on the basis that 20 they maintain their expressed position unless -- until such time 21 as the Chamber is expressly informed otherwise by the Accused or 22 their counsels. Therefore, it is incumbent upon them to inform 23 the Chamber in a timely and efficient manner, should the Accused 24 resolve to waive their right to remain silent and be willing to 25 respond to questions by the bench or relevant parties at any

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

20

- 1 stage of the proceedings.
- 2 [09.47.10]

3 The next point related - relates to the Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyer request, notified on 29 December 2014, dated 25 4 5 December 2014. The Lead Co-Lawyers request that a new document be 6 placed on the case file and admitted into evidence. The new 7 document consists of a map on which boundaries of Trapeang Thum Khang Tboung and Angk Ta Saom communes in the Tram Kak district 8 have been shaded. In addition, the Lead Co-Lawyers assert that 9 10 the map contains location headers and a legend identifying 11 seventeen key locations where 2-TCCP-296 indicates having stayed 12 or worked during the Democratic Kampuchea period. The Lead 13 Co-Lawyers indicate that map was prepared by the civil parties on the basis of 2-TCCP-296 written statements on case file 002 and 14 15 that the map will be verified by the civil party during her 16 examination. The Chamber notes that 2-TCCP-296 is likely to be 17 heard already tomorrow. On the 5th January 2015, the Khieu 18 Samphan defence filed its response objecting to the Civil Party 19 Lead Co-Lawyers' request.

20 [09.49.09]

First, the Chamber wishes the Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers to clarify who prepared the map. In the request at paragraph 8, it is stated that the map was prepared by the Civil Parties. Is that the Civil Party, the Civil Party Lawyer or the Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	Also, in paragraph 6, your request states that the map identifies
2	seventeen locations by location headers and legends where the
3	civil party stayed or worked, however only sixteen are listed in
4	the legend. Further, while the legend is clear, the locational
5	map are not visible. Can you clarify how you intend to identify
6	those locations on the map?
7	Lead Co-Lawyer, you may now have the floor.
8	MR. PICH ANG:
9	Mr. President, Your Honours, because we have the presence of the
10	civil party lawyer representing the civil party in question, I
11	would like now - I would like now to ask the President to allow
12	the civil party lawyer to address the Court.
13	[09.50.53]
14	MR. PRESIDENT:
15	You may now proceed, Mr. Lawyer.
16	MR. LIU:
17	Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours, and everyone in and
18	around the courtroom. To explain this map, how it was prepared, I
19	have three things to follow - to explain to Your Honours and to
20	other parties. The first: this is a map that already exists on
21	the case file. It's not a map created but a map already on the
22	case file. What the section has been helping us to create this
23	map, or this new map, let's refer to it as, is the location of
24	the places that the civil party has been to, according to her
25	VIF and other materials on the case file. So that is all

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	wording, all the names of the places she has been to, that's
2	already on the case file and we put together - sorry there's no
3	translation, Your Honour? Can you hear me, Your Honour?
4	[09.52.14]
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
6	There was no translation in Khmer a while ago. You may now
7	continue.
8	MR. LIU:
9	Thank you very much, Your Honour. I will start from the
10	beginning. So this map was already in the case file, that's what
11	I was saying, and this new map was submitted - was based on the
12	map that is already on the case file. We put the names of the
13	places that the civil party has been to, according to her
14	statement. Again, that is already on the case file. We're not
15	submitting a new evidence at all, but rather, as requested by the
16	Chamber for the purpose of efficiency, to put together all the
17	information we have on the case file. That's the first point.
18	The second on the location - yes, I noticed that there were named
19	17 on the submission but there were only 16 places. I wish to
20	take this opportunity to confirm with Your Honours that we
21	submitted 16 places and/or from the declarations or documents
22	from the case file, submitted by this particular civil party.
23	Now the last point on the location - it's impossible - what I
24	understand from the experts, the specialists on this is - it's
25	impossible to locate the villages, so it's not possible to locate
	Pa

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	the villages on the map but, indeed, it's possible to see at the
2	commune level. The civil party has been to 16 different places,
3	most of them she can identify to the village level, and all of
4	them she can identify to the commune level. So we show this map
5	to explain where she has been to, even though the village level
6	cannot be seen clearly or marked out in the map. Thank you.
7	[09.54.28]
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	Judge Fenz, you may now proceed.
10	JUDGE FENZ:
11	I would just ask for a clarification. On the basis of the map
12	that was already in the case file and the statement, was it you,
13	counsel, who prepared this new map? Or did you sit together with
14	your client and do it or - what exactly was the process?
15	[09.54.53]
16	MR. LIU:
17	Thank you, Your Honour, for your question. The process is: I read
18	through all the materials with my assistant and take them out –
19	the name of the places - and then I give to the expert in the
20	section who knows how to put the names into the map and possibly
21	the location. So I confirm the name of the places with the civil
22	parties, but not the map. That's why I think it's essential to
23	introduce this map during the hearings where she can have a
24	chance to say that if she has been to those places as indicated
25	on this map. Thank you. I shall remain at your disposal Your

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

24

- 1 Honours, if you have further questions.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Mr. Koppe, you may now have the floor.
- 4 [09.56.02]
- 5 MR. KOPPE:

6 Thank you, Your Honour. I have just a brief follow-up question to 7 the civil party lawyer because I'm not clear on the answer. Has 8 the civil party who is going to testify actually seen this map -9 pointed out things on the map? Or is it just a confirmation of 10 the place names? So my question is: Has she seen the actual map? 11 MR. LIU:

Your Honour, I was just checking with my Lead Co-Lawyer whether I 12 13 should answer this question directly, or should I waiting (sic) your confirmation to answer this question. What I understand is, 14 15 I should answer the question directly to my learned friends. So, 16 after this map was produced, the civil party does not have a 17 chance to sit with me to point out these places with her. What I 18 understand is, she cannot see clearly the village level on the 19 map so I didn't pursue that to work together with her on this 20 map. I hope that answered the question.

21 [09.57.44]

22 MR. PRESIDENT:

23 You may now have the floor, Judge Fenz.

24 JUDGE FENZ:

25 So one final question: You tried to do it with her but it wasn't

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

25

1 possible because she couldn't see the level. Is that the answer? 2 MR. LIU: 3 Your Honour, sorry for this confusion. What I did is - I made a decision not to work with her because, as you can see, we were 4 5 rushed to prepare this map the day before the hearing - the 6 hearing date was later postponed - but we were rushing to prepare 7 this map one day before the hearing so I take that decision not to go through the map. As I understand, it would be very long 8 9 process to work this out with her for various reasons. Thank you, 10 Your Honour. 11 [09.58.40] 12 MR. PRESIDENT: 13 We plan to hear the first witness today and we appear to lose some time because of matters raised by parties. The Chamber 14

15 invites the OCP and the Nuon Chea defence to make their

16 submissions, if any, at the end of the hearing today.

17 The Chamber will subsequently issue an oral ruling on the Lead 18 Co-Lawyer request before the Chamber begins to hear the civil 19 party in question. The Chamber now moves to provide the parties 20 and the public with an indication of the sequencing of the 21 proceedings before the Chamber.

As emphasised - emphasised in its decision on the subsequent - on the sequencing of the case, the Chamber recalls that no clear lines can be drawn between the topics in which this trial has been divided and that it is highly possible that civil parties,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

26

1	witnesses and experts will have knowledge about, and give
2	evidence on, one or more topics. In order to avoid civil parties,
3	witnesses and experts being needlessly recalled during later
4	stages of this trial, they shall be questioned on all topics
5	about which they may have knowledge. As a general rule,
6	questioning on topics that are not relevant to the scope of Case
7	002/02 will not be permitted.
8	[10.00.35]

9 Pursuant to Internal Rule 91bis and except when otherwise decided by the Chamber or agreed upon by the parties, particularly when 10 11 the Judges wish to ask follow-up questions or further clarification or when agreed upon by the questioning parties, the 12 13 questioning put to the witnesses and experts shall take place in the following order: the President or Judges of the Bench 14 15 appointed by the President shall comment with some of the 16 preliminary questions followed by the Co-Prosecutors, the Civil 17 Party Lead Co-Lawyers and the defence teams for Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan. The questioning of civil parties shall be led by 18 19 the Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers, after questions from the 20 President or Judges of the bench appointed by the President, and 21 be followed by questioning by the Co-Prosecutors and the defence 22 for Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan.

23 [10.01.42]

At the end of the questioning, the civil parties may raise or 24 25 indicate the harm they have suffered where is relevant in Case

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	002/02. In this regard, the Chamber also reminds the parties that
2	they may object to the continued hearing of the testimony of any
3	witness if they consider that such testimony is not conducive to
4	ascertaining the truth, pursuant to Internal Rule 91.3. All
5	parties are reminded that during the questioning or oral
6	arguments, they should strictly adhere to accepted professional
7	standards. The Chamber will not tolerate rude or offensive
8	language towards other parties, witnesses, civil parties, experts
9	or other individuals.
10	Next, court officer is now instructed to call witness 2-TCW-936
11	in the courtroom.
12	(Short pause)
13	(Witness enters the courtroom)
14	[10.04.43]
15	QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:
16	Welcome witness 2-TCW-936.
17	Q. What is your name?
18	MR. MEAS SOKHA:
19	A. (Microphone not activated)
20	Q. Could you wait till the microphone is activated so that your
21	voice can be heard in the courtroom?
22	[10.05.13]
23	A. My name is Meas Sokha. I was living in Srae Kruo, Cheang Tong
24	sub-district, Tram Kak district.
25	Q. Could you reply to my question; it is more easier for you? Mr.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

- 1 Sokha, what is your date of birth, do you remember, do you
- 2 remember it?
- 3 A. (Microphone not activated)
- 4 Q. Could you wait until your microphone is activated? It is
- 5 signalled by the red light so that your voice can be heard in the
- 6 courtroom and for the Interpretation Unit.
- 7 A. My name is Meas Sokha. I was living in Srae Kruo, Cheang Tong
- 8 commune, Tram Kak district, Takeo province.
- 9 [10.06.08]
- 10 Q. What is your birth of -- date of birth?
- 11 The Court official can you facilitate him so that he can know
- 12 when the microphone is activated before he responds to the
- 13 question?
- 14 A. (Microphone not activated)
- Q. What is your date of birth? So you should indicate only your date of birth to be more easier for you.
- 17 A. My name is Meas Sokha. I am living in Cheang Tong commune,
- 18 Tram Kak district, Takeo province. Could you please ask me on the
- 19 facts?
- 20 Q. I want to know your date of birth, so you should reply only to 21 my question.
- 22 A. I was born in 1960.
- Q. Thank you. And your place of birth? And your current address?[10.07.45]
- 25 A. (Microphone not activated)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

29 Q. You should wait until your microphone is activated so that other people - other party can hear your voice and it can be interpreted. Otherwise your voice cannot be heard to other people. A. My name is Meas Sokha. I was born in 1960. Now I am living in Srae Kruo village, Cheang Tong sub-district, Tram Kak district, 7 Takeo province. Q. What is your occupation nowadays? A. I'm a small businessman and rice farmer. Q. What is your father's name? The court officer, could you help him to understand and he can follow my instruction? 13 [10.09.39] Q. What is your father's name? A. My father died during the Pol Pot regime. His name is Meas Kun. Q. What is your mother's name? A. Her name is Hun Kimseng alias Nha Q. What is your wife's name? 20 A. She is Keo Hoeun Q. How many children do you have? A. I have three children 23 Q. Mr. Meas Sokha, based on the report of the greffier this morning, to your knowledge you have no relation - any relative -

25 father, mother, or any relative admitted as civil party in Case

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

30

- 1 File 002; is it correct?
- 2 A. Yes, this is correct, Mr. President.
- 3 Q. And you have taken an oath before the Iron Statue in this4 Court before you attended this courtroom; is it correct?
- 5 A. Yes, this is correct.
- 6 [10.11.32]

7 Q. Now, I would like to tell you the rights and obligations as a witness. You are the witness to this proceeding before the Trial 8 9 Chamber. You may refuse to answer any question or any affirmation that leads to incriminate yourself. This is the right against 10 11 self-incrimination. This means that your response or statement 12 may lead to your prosecution but you have an obligation as a 13 witness to give testimony in this proceeding before the Chamber. You shall have -- have to answer all questions put by Judges from 14 15 the bench or the parties unless those questions may put you into 16 incrimination as I told you earlier. As a witness, you should 17 tell the truth based on your knowledge, your experience, what you 18 have heard or your observation, any event related to the question 19 posed by the Judge or any party to these proceedings.

20 Mr. Meas Sokha, did you give any interview or testimony before 21 this Court? And if so how many times and where you gave interview 22 or statement?

23 [10.13.21]

A. The testimony I gave on the Krang Ta Chan event, I gave one interview and I took the interviewer to Krang Ta Chan one time.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	Q. Thank you. And before you entered into this courtroom, did you
2	read your testimony that you gave to the OCIJ investigator to
3	refresh your memory?
4	A. Yes, I did some reading but I have bad memory, I forget many
5	some things but I remember quite a lot.
6	Q. Based on your knowledge and memory, your statement that you
7	read to refresh your memory, are those answers and statements
8	correct in the same manner as you gave to the investigator from
9	the OCIJ?
10	A. Yes, they are correct to my knowledge; especially the facts
11	happening in Krang Ta Chan.
12	[10.14.45]
13	MR. PRESIDENT:
14	Defence counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan, you may proceed.
15	MS. ANTA GUISSE:
16	Thank you, Mr. President. I simply would like to object with
17	regard to the written record of the interview. I will only make
18	this objection once but it would also stand for all witnesses. I
19	would like to remind you that in our Appeal brief, we raised this
20	issue of this process of having the witnesses read over their
21	prior statements, which we think kind of alterates (sic) their
22	statement when they speak before the Court. So, I would like you
23	to note that generally speaking, the Khieu Samphan defence
24	objects to this practice as used by the Chamber. I'm making this
25	remark for this witness but generally speaking, we oppose this

32

- 1 process and for all witnesses who have read over their statements
- 2 before they testified before the Chamber.
- 3 [10.15.56]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:

5 Other parties, do you have any observation to make on this? 6 Because we have this practice all the way in Case 002/01 and here 7 we continue our practice. We believe that it has not been objected and we decided in our ruling, and we will continue in 8 9 this Case 002/02. Basically it is the same case, 002, but we have 10 severance for proceeding on the case. Other parties, do you have 11 any observation to make because we stick to our strategy that we have decided and practiced in the previous proceedings so that we 12 13 can move forward expeditiously.

- 14 Mr. Koppe, you may proceed.
- 15 [10.17.17]
- 16 MR. KOPPE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, we have made very extensive 17 18 submissions in our Appeal brief about this very practice. We 19 think it is counterproductive to the ascertainment of the truth 20 that a witness is shown his prior statements before testifying. I 21 refer simply now to our submissions in the Appeal brief. I think 22 the Trial Chamber is quite aware of the principal problems we 23 have with this practice. Of course, there are other issues at 24 stake, not necessarily with this witness but with the upcoming 25 civil parties. If you like I could make specific arguments in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

> 1 respect of the civil parties but we are now dealing with a 2 witness, with a Trial Chamber witness, a TCW witness. 3 So, I leave it up to you if we should concentrate now our objections to the - this very practice of presenting the - can 4 5 you hear me - this very practice to a witness not being a civil 6 party. But if you like we can now raise issues, although we are 7 not prepared but we can simple refer to our Appeal Brief, on - in respect of the practice of civil parties. Them being led by civil 8 9 party lawyers, not giving oath before the iron -MR. PRESIDENT: 10 11 Could you please hold on we have a technical problem to solve 12 before you may proceed. 13 (Short pause) 14 (Technical problem) Now, as we have a small technical problem, we cannot continue our 15 16 proceedings and it is now an appropriate time for adjournment in 17 the morning, so we will start before - at 10.40 a.m. 18 So, Court officer, facilitate the witness to his room for a 19 break. 20 **GREFFIER:** 21 All rise. 22 (Court recesses from 1019H to 1048H) 23 MR. PRESIDENT: 24 Please be seated. The Court is now in session. 25 The Trial Chamber have heard the objections by the two defence

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

34

1 counsels on the proceeding and procedure conducted by the Trial 2 Chamber, that allow the witnesses or civil party to read their 3 statement they gave in interview to the OCIJ investigation in order to refresh their memory, because it happened a long time 4 5 ago. The Trial Chamber implemented this procedure in Case 002/01. 6 Now we heard the objections, and in order to expedite our 7 proceedings, we advise the defence counsels to put their objections and requests in writing. Could you refer to a 8 9 paragraph or argument in your Appeal brief to the Supreme Court Chamber against Case 002/01, so that the Trial Chamber have a 10 11 proper ground for decision? We will rule on this matter in due 12 course.

13 [10.50.21]

Now, the Trial Chamber would - wish to continue with the 14 15 proceedings for today with this witness. In the questioning of 16 this witness, we will give the floor to the Co-Prosecutor, to put 17 questioning to Mr. Meas Sokha. As proposed by the OCP, the Co-Prosecutor and the Lead Co-Lawyer will have half-day for 18 19 questioning - this morning and this afternoon because we have 20 spent this morning in the procedural matter this morning. Prosecution, you may proceed. 21

22 [10.51.16]

23 QUESTIONING BY MR. SENG LEANG:

24 Thank you, Mr. President. Your Honours, all parties to this 25 proceeding in and around the courtroom. And good morning, Mr. Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	Witness.
2	My name is Seng Leang. I am the Deputy Prosecutor of the ECCC. I
3	will have many questions, a number of questions, to the witness
4	so that you can respond those questions to the Court, especially
5	on the living conditions and working conditions in Tram Kak
6	cooperative during the DK period. And then my colleagues will ask
7	you more questions about the arrest of your family members, and
8	other issues related to Krang Ta Chan prison.
9	The first topic I would like to ask you questions include the
10	work site and cooperative in Cheang Tong of Tram Kak district
11	during 1975 through 1976.
12	[10.52.52]
13	Q. My first question for you is that, after 17 April 1975, where
14	did you live?
15	MR. MEAS SOKHA:
16	A. Your Honours, I was living in Cheang Tong commune, Srae Kruo
17	village, Tram Kak district, Takeo province after the 17th April
18	1975.
19	Q. Thank you, Witness. In your interview given to the OCIJ
20	investigator, document D25/31 ERN in English, 00223494; ERN in
21	Khmer, 00163511; and in French, 00178111; you said that you were
22	assigned to collect cow manure and dig canal in a children's
23	unit. My question for you is that: when you were working in a
24	children's unit, were you permitted to live with your parents?
25	A. I was staying in a mobile unit, but I was tendering cows. I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

> 36 1 did not do dirt carrying, any dirt for canal-building, but my 2 assignment sometimes get close to Krang Ta Chan. 3 Q. Thank you for your response, but I would like you to keep your response as short as possible to my question. I will ask several 4 5 other questions, so could you stay - could you stick to my 6 question only? Can you indicate again whether you were allowed to 7 stay with your parents when you were in a children's unit? [10.55.47]8 9 A. At that time, I was permitted to live with my parents because I was still a small boy, but I was assigned to tender cattle in a 10 11 children's unit. 12 Q. How many children were there in your unit? 13 A. There were only six members in my unit. Q. How old were they during that time? 14 A. They were at the same age, but all of them had died. 15 16 Q. In your commune, during the Khmer Rouge regime, was there any 17 children allowed to go to school? 18 [10.57.08] 19 A. The children, during the Khmer Rouge, were not allowed to go 20 to school, but there were some teaching conducted under a tree, and we don't have any stationary, proper stationary, like we have 21 22 in a normal school. 23 Q. You said that the children would study under the tree. So what 24 happened to the school building and the school? 25 A. There was no school operated. There was no activity. But those

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

> 37 1 buildings were used to store ammunition and other things. 2 Q. As far as you know, the children you were assigned to work in 3 a children's unit, can you tell us the age of those children? [10.58.26] 4 A. They were from 10 to 14 years old. They were sent to a 5 6 children's unit and anyone who were at 15 was sent to a youths' 7 unit as a candidate unit for doing labour. Q. What was the difference between the children's unit and the -8 9 it's called a temporary unit? 10 A. The children's unit and the temporary unit, the difference was 11 that the temporaries' unit was for children who were 15 years old 12 and ready to work harder in doing labour. 13 Q. Now I would like to come back to canal-building. Could you 14 describe what did you do when your unit was assigned to do this 15 kind of canal-building? 16 A. I did not attend in the canal-digging, but I was always 17 assigned to tender cattle. Because I was still a young boy, I was 18 not assigned for that canal-digging. 19 Q. Can you tell the Court about your working hours in the morning 20 and in the afternoon? 21 A. My morning assignment will start from the early morning, and I 22 spent all day until dusk. We marched the cows back to the village 23 and we were given lunch. It was brought by other people to us. 24 [11.01.02] 25 Q. Was there any time that you were assigned to work at night?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	A. As I was a young boy, I was not assigned to work at nights,
2	only the adults or the youth in a mobile unit would be assigned
3	to work at night.
4	Q. Now, come back to the assignment or labour in your children's
5	unit. Were you allowed to move freely at that time?
6	A. Freedom of movement was prohibited. We could not move
7	anywhere. Because I was assigned only to tender cows, and if any
8	cow get lost anywhere, I will be punished for that.
9	Q. Did you have any case that children who were assigned to tend
10	cows and some of the cows missing or lost from his assignment?
11	What happened to him?
12	A. I did not experience any case like that. Since I left my home
13	in 1976, I was held in Krang Ta Chan prison prisoner.
14	Q. Were you permitted to visit your parents often, or from time
15	to time?
16	A. No, I was not allowed to visit home. It's only I could do
17	that, I sneak out from my unit to visit my parents, but when I
18	was caught, when I was sneak out, I was tortured and brought back
19	to my unit.
20	Q. You said that when you sneaked out to visit home and you were
21	punished for that, other than the punishment by beating, was
22	there any other form of punishment?
23	[11.04.17]
24	MR. PRESIDENT:
25	Mr. Koppe, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

	39
1	MR. KOPPE:
2	Thank you, Mr. President. Please ask instruct Counsel not to
3	ask any leading questions. I didn't hear anything about beating.
4	I think Counsel should ask open questions as to whether
5	maltreatment existed, and how?
6	MR. PRESIDENT:
7	Thank you, Mr. Koppe. This is the principle to be adhered to in
8	our Chamber. There should be no leading question allowed before
9	the Chamber.
10	BY MR. SENG LEANG:
11	I will not respond to the objection. However, I would like to
12	proceed with my questioning.
13	[11.05.21]
14	Q. In your interview with OCIJ, you mentioned about communal
15	eating in the cooperative. Could you clarify, were cooperative
16	created, and were communal eating applied? When was that?
17	A. Communal eating started in 1974, for one month. Then the
18	communal eating was cancelled. We were allowed to eat
19	individually, after the cancellation. And after 1975 or 1976,
20	though, communal eating was then imposed.
21	Q. When you were asked to eat in the cooperative, where did you
22	eat exactly?
23	A. I ate in the Srae Kruo village, Cheang Tong commune, Tram Kak
24	district.
25	Q. How many people joined you in the communal eating?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

> 40 1 A. There were six of us in the group, and other groups consisted 2 of many members. And I do not recall them. 3 Q. What were you provided to eat? A. We were given spoons of steamed rice with soup prolit 4 5 (phonetic) -- with morning glory soup, and the morning glory were 6 cooked with chickens or duck. 7 [11.07.52] Q. Did you have full meal? 8 9 A. I did not have enough meal because I received only a little 10 rice. 11 Q. When you did not have enough to eat, were there any people 12 reported to the cooperative that you were not full? 13 A. People did not report to the cooperative about the food 14 because they were afraid that they were killed by the Chief of 15 Cooperative. 16 Q. In one interview, in your mother's interview with OCIJ, 17 document D25/30, ERN in English 00223487; ERN in Khmer, 00163504; 18 French, ERN 00178423; your mother said, because she did not eat 19 food and did not have the power to work. When your mother stated 20 about this, it was noted down. My question is: who did your 21 mother report to about her eating? 22 MR. PRESIDENT: 23 Mr. Lawyer, you may now proceed. 24 [11.09.57] 25 MR. KONG SAM ONN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	I would like to object to the question. The witness can answer
2	only in relation to the incident or experience happened to
3	himself only. He or she cannot answer to any question which he
4	has no knowledge about.
5	MS. GUISSÉ:
6	Mr. President, let me add something to the objection? My learned
7	friend did not properly explain the document he was referring to.
8	I heard D25/30. In any case, we understood from the
9	interpretation that the document was from the witness. So, could
10	Counsel for the Prosecution explain the document he is referring
11	to?
12	[11.11.10]
13	MR. PRESIDENT:
14	Mr. Koppe, you may now proceed.
15	MR. KOPPEE:
16	Thank you, Mr. President. It would also be very helpful if
17	Counsel laid some foundation of issues here. We're going from
18	communal eating to eating with his mother. We all know important
19	events occurred in June 1976. It is not very helpful the way this
20	examining is going right now.
21	MR. SENG LEANG:
22	Mr. President, before I start my questioning I inform everyone
23	already about the topics to be questioned by me, and the topics
24	include living I indicated the topics to be questioned in
25	relation to working condition in Cheang Tong, Tram Kak district

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

42

- from April 1975 to June 1976, and the topics include also the cooperative -- living conditions and working conditions in cooperative and these issues are mentioned in the Closing Order. I would like to ask the President whether or not I can proceed with my questioning.
- 6 [11.13.08]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:

I have -- we have not ruled on the objection, so how can you 8 9 proceed? The Defence Counsel would like to know where the document is from and what is it about. And there is also 10 11 objection concerning your questioning. And, I gave you the floor 12 to respond to the objection, and you have to consider your 13 questioning approach and you have to respond to the objection posed by the Defence Counsel, so that the Chamber can have the 14 basis for consideration and decision. 15

16 MR. SENG LEANG:

As for the document number, this document is D25/30. It is the interview of witness' mother with OCIJ. Again, document D25/30.

- 19 This is the interview of the witness' mother with OCIJ.
- 20 As for the objection, I believe my question relates to my topic.
  21 [11.14.40]

22 MR. PRESIDENT:

23 Could you respond to the objection posed by the Defence Counsel,

24 so that the Chamber can have the ground for decision?

25 MR. SENG LEANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	Mr. President, I appear to respond to the objection posed by the
2	Defence Counsel. I provided the document number that is,
3	D25/30, and I already responded to the objection by Mr. Koppe,
4	and I would like to know what else should I respond?
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
6	Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you should have heard the objections by the
7	Defence Counsel. And, you said that you want to respond to their
8	objections. Your responses and the objections are useful for the
9	Chamber's consideration and decision. Do you did you hear the
10	objections from other parties? And, you have to respond. I would
11	like to know whether you want to respond or not so that the
12	Chamber can decide. And, the Chamber will have to decide which
13	question is allowed and which question is not permitted. And, the
14	Chamber will have to decide and to object the question and it may
15	reject any answers to the question posed by any party if it is
16	not permitted.
17	[11.16.38]
18	MR. LYSAK:
19	If I may just briefly indicate, this is a procedure that was
20	established in the prior trial. In fact, it was the result of the
21	defence who initially wished to ask -
22	MR. PRESIDENT:
23	There was no translation. Court Officer, please coordinate with
24	the translation unit so that there is translation.
25	[11.17.14]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

44

#### 1 MR. LYSAK:

2 Mr. President, what I wanted to say was that this was a practice that was established in the first trial. It was the result of the 3 Defence who initially wished to pose questions to witnesses from 4 5 other witness statements. There was a practice established. It 6 was followed by the Defence and us. In this case, we are 7 attempting to ask a question on a subject matter regarding reporting of food. The witness has already been asked and Counsel 8 9 wishes to present some testimony from his mother, someone that he 10 certainly has knowledge and involvement with on the same subject. 11 So, the procedure, we ask simply here to follow the same procedure that was followed in the first trial and to have an 12 13 opportunity to pose to witnesses, information from other interviews of which they have knowledge. 14

15 [11.18.18]

16 MR. PRESIDENT:

You may now proceed, Madam Lawyer. Next time you should only, you know, make your objection once, so that we cannot lose the time. MS. GUISSÉ:

Yes, indeed, Mr. President, but I'm obliged to react to the Co-Prosecutor's clarifications. The fact of being able to use statements from other witnesses is not a problem but at least we should establish the foundation for this and this seems to come out of nothing. We're telling to the witness your mother said this, but the witness does not know which document we're speaking Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

45

1 about, nor under which conditions these statements were made, and 2 once again, the question - the International Co-Prosecutor's 3 question came out of nothing as well. So, in case there is indeed a practice that was followed in Case 002/01, well then, there was 4 5 a necessity each time when a lawyer used a document, to establish 6 the origin of the document and to set under - to understand under 7 which conditions the document could be used. So, now we don't know which document we're speaking about from the start. So, 8 9 we're simply asking you to follow the proper practice. 10 (Judges deliberate) 11 [11.20.11] MR. PRESIDENT: 12 13 The objection of the Defence Counsel is not sustained. The 14 witness is instructed to answer to the question by the 15 Co-Prosecutor and if the witness do not -- does not remember the 16 question, he can ask the Co-Prosecutor to re-question. 17 Mr. Co-Prosecutors, perhaps if there is no problem with the 18 translations, I think perhaps it is clear concerning the response 19 to the objections by the International Co-Prosecutor. The witness 20 is instructed to answer only the question in relation to his/her 21 personal experience and knowledge. And, in fact, we appear to 22 have no problem in relation to our procedural practice. You may 23 now proceed, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.

24 MR. SENG LEANG:

25 To facilitate the questioning process, I would like now to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

46

- 1 present the document to the witness, document D25/30. I would
- 2 like to hand the document to the witness.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 You can provide the context of the document but you cannot hand5 this document to the witness.
- 6 [11.21.59]
- 7 BY MR. SENG LEANG:

8 Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to ask my question again. 9 In the interview of your mother with the OCIJ, document D25/30 10 ERN in English, 00223487; Khmer, ERN 00163504; French, ERN 11 00178423. Your mother said that, "Because I did not have enough 12 to eat, I said so. I had no energy to work." This was recorded. 13 Did you know about the statement of your mother?

14 MR. MEAS SOKHA:

A. I knew some, and I did not pay attention to the statement of my mother. I would like the Court to proceed the question in relation to the Krang Ta Chan Security Office. I do not have any, you know, attention in relation to cooperative.

19 [11.23.37]

20 MR. PRESIDENT:

Witness, you cannot order or instruct the parties to ask any questions. You have to answer to the question posed by the party. If you do not know, you can say so. You have no right to direct or order the party to ask any question you want. Please listen to the question carefully and respond accordingly. And, if you are

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

47

- 1 not clear, you can ask the party to question to put the
- 2 question again.
- 3 BY MR. SENG LEANG:
- 4 Q. Do you know about the report of your mother through anyone at 5 the time?
- 6 MR. MEAS SOKHA:

7 A. I only know that she talk to those in the cooperative and I do 8 not know about the statement was recorded or not. And, she 9 mentioned about the way she cooked a meal, cooked soup, and 10 perhaps she mentioned to her colleagues in the Cooperative, and I 11 do not know about that.

12 [11.25.25]

Q. Do you know about your mother's living or working conditions at the time she was living in the cooperative? Was she healthy? A. At the time, she delivered a baby, for a month and her health was weak, and she did not have enough to eat. So, she was ill, I guess.

Q. I move my question to a new topic in relation to the treatment on New People. My question is: The people were transferred from Phnom Penh or from other regions after 17 April 1975 to Tram Kak Cooperative; do you know about that?

A. Old and New People, what I know is that they were evacuated and a group in, you know, New or Old group or in cooperatives.
Q. Do you know how the New People were characterised?

24 Q. DO YOU KNOW NOW CHE NEW LEOPLE WELE CHALACE

25 [11.27.38]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

48

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 You may now proceed, Mr. Koppe.
- 3 MR. KOPPE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think Counsel should be reminded that 4 5 the witness at the time was 15 years old in '75. He can give 6 testimony about things that he saw, things that he read, maybe, 7 things that he heard. But, asking him something in general about the treatment of New People, as such, is way beyond the capacity 8 9 of this particular witness. So, please instruct, Mr. President, 10 Counsel to ask questions that this witness can probably or 11 possibly answer. MR. PRESIDENT: 12 13 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed with your questioning. 14 [11.28.42] BY MR. SENG LEANG 15 16 Q. Could you indicate how were New People named at the time? 17 MR. MEAS SOKHA: 18 A. New people were evacuated from different regions and they were 19 named 17 April People or Lon Nol civil servants or soldiers. 20 Q. Could you indicate how was your family characterised or named? 21 Was your family named 17 April People?

A. I was not 17 April People because I was the local people and I never worked in the civil service. I was originally from my local area.

25 Q. Do you know that during the Khmer Rouge regime people were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	categorized into group or into class?
2	A. People were divided into three groups. There was the
3	(unintelligible), full-rights people and New People.
4	Q. Can you tell the Court the difference between New and Base
5	People as regards working condition, living condition? Were they
6	assigned to work in the same place or eat in a same place?
7	A. The New People and Old People or Base People, some of them
8	were assigned to work together and other were assigned to work
9	separately, and in some case the Base People and the New People
10	get along well and work together. And even though they consider
11	the New People as feudalist but they could work together.
12	Q. Do you have any idea how many families of the New People who
13	were sent to the Tram Kak Cooperative?
14	A. I don't know about that.
15	MR. PRESIDENT:
16	Please hold on. Mr. Koppe, you may proceed.
17	MR. KOPPE:
18	Thank you Mr. President. Again this is a 15 year old witness at
19	the time, a 15 year old person at the time. He is asking to
20	speculate, he is asking to give ideas about things. This witness
21	should not give any opinions.
22	[11.32.05]
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Objection is not sustained. The Prosecution may continue to put
25	question to the witness.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

> 50 1 BY MR. SENG LEANG: 2 Witness, I would like you to confirm before moving on to another 3 topic, how many New People? In the interest of time I would like to move on to another topic -- that is the treatment of the 4 5 Buddhists. Q. In between April 1975 and January 1979 were people in Tram 6 7 Kak, in your cooperative, allowed to practice any Buddhist religion at all? 8 9 MR. MEAS SOKHA: A. Buddhism practice, I observed that in 1973 and 1974, the monks 10 11 were ordered to - to bring chicken and ducks to support the 12 soldier who were fighting at Phnom Penh and during the duration 13 you mentioned, I didn't see any Buddhist practice. 14 [11.33.39] Q. What happened to the - the temple, the Buddhist temple and the 15 16 Buddhists in Tram Kak district? 17 A. I know that monks were disrobed and Buddhist temple were used 18 as clinic and used as pigsty and to store other material and 19 equipment. 20 Q. In your interview with the OCIJ, document D25/31, ERN and in 21 English 00223495; ERN and in Khmer 00163512; ERN and in French 22 00178489, you said that, "the Buddhist temple close to our area 23 was dismantle and the Buddhist shrines were buried and Mean Chey 24 village were turned into clinic and Mean Chey would become a 25 cooperative and they dismantled those buildings." My question for

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

51

1 you is that, in Tram Kak district, when was the temple closed and 2 when was those monk - were those monk disrobed? 3 A. In 1975, all monks across the country were disrobed. Q. Do you know that any monk who refused to - to leave the 4 5 monkhood? MR. PRESIDENT: 6 7 Mr. Koppe, you may proceed. 8 [11.35.48] 9 MR. KOPPE: 10 But how could this witness possibly say that everybody in 1975, 11 in the country was disrobed? I think there is also a role for you to instruct the witness and also to instruct Counsel not to 12 13 speculate not to ask questions that the witness cannot possibly 14 answer. I can stand up every time but what's the point. 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 The Prosecution, you may proceed with your questioning. 17 BY MR. SENG LEANG 18 Q. Mr. Witness. I would like you to indicate what happened in 19 your commune, especially in Cheang Tong commune. Were monks --20 was there any monk who refused to leave the monkhood? 21 MR. MEAS SOKHA: 22 A. I don't know anything about any monk who refused to leave the 23 monkhood as force, I just heard that the patriach Nun Nget, who 24 refused to - to leave his monkhood.

25 MR. SENG LEANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

52

- 1 Thank you, Mr. Witness.
- 2 Mr. President, now I have finished my part of questioning and Mr.
- 3 Dale, my colleague, will continue to put question to the witness.
- 4 [11.37.32]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Prosecution, you may proceed.
- 7 QUESTIONING BY MR. LYSAK:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.

9 Good morning, Mr. Meas Sokha. My name is Dale Lysak, I'm one of 10 the International Prosecutors. I'm going to be asking you questions today about the arrest of your family and your 11 12 detention at Krang Ta Chan. And I want to start by asking you 13 some questions about the circumstances that led to the arrest of your family. You've indicated in your OCIJ interview, this is 14 D25/31, at Khmer 00163512; English 00223496; and French 00178112, 15 16 that in June 1976 and I quote: "My family was arrested and taken 17 to Krang Ta Chan prison. My father was arrested and taken away first." 18

19 My first question to you is, how many people from your family 20 were arrested by the Khmer Rouge in June 1976?

21 [11.39.08]

22 MR. MEAS SOKHA:

A. I will respond to your question because -- from June 1976 I
was arrested because they were assigned to be chief in the Khmer
Rouge since 1970 -- 1961 and during the Issarak movement period,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

> 53 1 and later my parents in 1971 they complained about food ration 2 and they were accused of betraying the cooperative. 3 Q. Thank you. I'll get back to the reasons your family was arrested. What I want to start is by asking you to tell us how 4 5 many people from your family were arrested by the Khmer Rouge in 6 1976. 7 [11.40.22] A. Ten members of my - of my family member were arrested by the 8 Khmer Rouge. 9 10 Q. Can you tell us who those people were, how they were related 11 to you, what their names were as best you can remember? 12 A. My siblings and only my brother-in-law and all together there 13 were 12 people from my family who were arrested and also my father. 14 Q. How many of your siblings were arrested? 15 16 A. My siblings were eight of them, I have eight siblings in my 17 family. 18 Q. Were all eight of your siblings arrested or just some of them? 19 A. They were all arrested as the family and my father were 20 arrested earlier and my brothers and sisters and all together 12 21 people were arrested. 22 Q. The siblings of yours who were arrested were they older than 23 you or younger than you? 24 A. My younger siblings and there were four of them and - and two 25 elder brothers and there were two babies among them and the

54

1 children of my elder sister were also among them. They were three 2 years and another baby two months old. 3 [11.43.49] Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness. In your OCIJ interview -- and this is 4 5 at page: Khmer, 00163513; English, 00223496; French, 00178112; 6 you indicated that there were five other villagers, four adults 7 and one child, who were also arrested with your family. Were those people from the same village as you, the five people who 8 9 were arrested at the same time as your family? A. Yes, they were from the same village. They were also my 10 11 relative - my relatives. 12 Q. Do you remember the names of any of those five people who were 13 also arrested? A. I, simply - Born (phonetic), To (phonetic), Yiey Sin 14 (phonetic), Huot (phonetic), and then Heng (phonetic), and 15 16 another one, Huon (phonetic), the father was Huon (phonetic), and 17 was taken earlier than the other members. He was taken in 1974. 18 MR. LYSAK: 19 Mr. President, at this time there was another Witness from Srae 20 Kruo village who was also interviewed by OCIJ. That Witness has a 21 pseudonym, he was originally going to testify but he has been 22 learned to be deceased. I would ask - like to ask the Witness 23 whether he knows this person and would propose to do that by 24 giving him the Witness statements and asking him if he knows that 25 individual. Also, since now he is deceased, rather than referring

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

55

1 to him by the pseudonym from the Court I request to be able to 2 refer to him by name. So with your leave if I may submit the 3 statement of this Witness so that Mr. Meas Sokha may tell us if he knows this person. 4 5 (Judges deliberate) 6 [11.47.15] 7 MR. PRESIDENT: Judge Fenz, you may proceed: 8 JUDGE FENZ: 9 I have just one question. What exactly is the purpose to show him 10 11 the statement, provided we are allowed to identify the person per 12 name? 13 MR. LYSAK: I think if I am allowed to identify him by name then - then I can 14 15 proceed without that. 16 JUDGE FENZ: 17 Thank you. 18 (Judges deliberate) 19 [11.48.06] 20 MR. PRESIDENT: 21 The Trial Chamber allows the Prosecution to use his name, the 22 name of the witness, because he is now deceased. 23 BY MR. LYSAK: 24 Thank you, Mr. President. 25 Mr. Witness, the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges Page 55

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

56

- 1 interviewed another person from Srae Kruo village whose name was
- 2 Sok Soth (phonetic).
- 3 Q. Do you, did you know a person named Sok Soth from Srae Kruo
- 4 village ?
- 5 MR. MEAS SOKHA:
- A. Yes, I know him. He used to be a village chief but he passedaway now.
- Q. Was Sok Soth (phonetic) one of the other people from Srae Kruo village that -- who was arrested at the same time as your family?
- 10 [11.49.30]
- A. Sok Soth (phonetic), was one of the people who were arrested and his brother Sok San (phonetic), and Suor Somao (phonetic), and another one Suon Ya (phonetic).
- Q. Now you made some reference earlier to this, but let me ask you, can you tell the Court why it was that the Khmer Rouge arrested your father, mother and most of your family in June 17 1976?

18 A. The reason of my father's arrest was my brother-in-law was 19 told that he should convene a meeting and collect thumbprints and 20 to dispose the village chief and in order to make the living 21 condition of the people better. After that meeting and everyone 22 put thumbprints - some of them put thumbprints on the document --23 on the paper and then my brother-in-law was asked to send the 24 report to the Khmer Rouge and then later on the next day my 25 father was arrested in June 1976 but I do not remember the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

57

1 specific date for that.

2 Q. This meeting that was convened - conveyed, where you said 3 people were asked to give their thumbprints, by asking for their thumbprints, do you mean that people were asked to vote on 4 5 whether to remove this village chief?

6 [11.51.48]

7 A. The vote was to remove the cooperative chief. The letter was given to my brother-in-law and later they asked to convene the 8 9 meeting and to remove the cooperative chief after that meeting. 10 His name is Aun Nop from Srae Kruo, but I don't know whether he's 11 still alive, but I heard that he was living in Sampov Lun at the Thai-Cambodia border. 12

13 Q. Was Aun Nop a good cooperative chief? Are you able to tell the 14 Court why people wanted to remove him as the chief? 15 A. As far as I know, they wanted to arrest my father and they 16 colluded between the commune chief and the village chief because

17 they could not arrest my father without any ground, without any mistake, on the part of my father. And then the Khmer Rouge

19 ordered my brother-in-law to convene the meeting so that they 20 have the ground for the arrest because they collect thumbprints 21 in that meeting to make the arrest.

22 [11.53.57]

18

23 Q. Were you present at this meeting where thumbprints were 24 collected from people about the removal of the cooperative chief? 25 A. I was not present at that meeting. I was tendering cows. But

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	when I returned in the afternoon in the evening - people told
2	me that a meeting was held. That's all I know about that meeting.
3	Q. How soon after that meeting was your father arrested?
4	A. My father was arrested in the next morning. One night has
5	passed and three militiamen came and they told him to go and to
6	see Angkar and they told him to leave his knife and my father
7	left me with a plate and spoon and he told me that you should
8	keep this for yourself.
9	Q. And in relation to the time when your father was arrested,
10	when were you and the rest of your family members arrested by the
11	Khmer Rouge?
12	[11.55.57]
13	A. Five days after his arrest, the Khmer Rouge came to arrest all
14	family - all family members in my family - and other villagers I
15	told you the names earlier. They were taken to detain at Angk
16	Roka prison and in the next morning we were transferred to Krang
17	Ta Chan and we arrived there late at night at 3 a.m. in the
18	morning.
19	MR. LYSAK:
20	Yes, Sokha, Mr. President, I was about to turn to a different
21	subject at this time. I can continue on or if this is an
22	appropriate time for the break I can stop here.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	You may proceed with your questioning, Mr. Prosecution.
25	BY MR. LYSAK:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

59

- 1 Thank you, Mr. President.
- Q. You've indicated that you were first taken to the Angk Roka office. Can you describe for us where the Angk Roka office was and what the building was like where you were detained when you were first arrested?
- 6 [11.57.43]
- 7 MR. MEAS SOKHA:

8 A. Angk Roka prison was a temporary place before people were 9 taken further to Krang Ta Chan. The building there was a concrete 10 building - one concrete building - remain from the old regime and 11 the prisoners were arrested and sent to that prison before 12 sending to Krang Ta Chan.

Q. How many - were there other prisoners at the Angk Roka prison when you arrived there? And if so, how many other prisoners were there?

16 A. At my arrival, I saw no other prisoners - only members in my 17 family - but I saw shackles, bloodstains and also shackles and 18 handcuffs remain in that prison.

Q. Can you tell us, do you know whether -- where the Tram Kak District Office was located and whether it was located -- where it was located, in relation to the Angk Roka prison?

22 [11.59.26]

A. I don't know where the District Office was, because all I know was that I was arrested and sent to detain at Angk Roka prison. I don't know where the District Office was. Sometimes they moved

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

60 their office from one place to another. They don't use large buildings for their office. They would use small houses and so on. Q. Alright, let me turn to what happened. Where were you taken after the Angk Roka office? A. I was detained in Angk Roka for one night and on the next morning I was transferred to Krang Ta Chan and upon my arrival I was put into detention into a prison cell immediately. Q. When you arrived at Krang Ta Chan, did you see your father there? A. When I arrived in Krang Ta Chan, I didn't see my father, and I met Yiey Sin (phonetic), who told me that, "Your father has been taken away and he left here only his lighter, a cigarette lighter". And he told me that he was tortured very severely before taken away. [12.01.29] Q. Did you ever see your father again? A. I was not allowed to meet with my relatives, so we were not allowed to meet with anyone. Q. Yes, my quest - after that day, did you, your mother or anyone in your family ever see your father again? A. I never met with my father. As for my mother, I could see her and I could see my relatives. My father was taken away and killed before that time.

25 Q. I'd like to start - if you could describe for the Court the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	Krang Ta Chan prison; how big the compound was, whether there
2	were fences, that type of information. Can you give us a general
3	description of the Krang Ta Chan prison?
4	[12.03.17]
5	A. As for the premises of Krang Ta Chan, people around Krang Ta
6	Chan security was asked to live one kilometre away from the area
7	and Krang Ta Chan area landsite was about three kilometres so
8	that they can enlarge at the next stage.
9	Q. Were there fences?
10	A. There were two levels of wire - barbed wire fence - and so the
11	fence was built by wire - barbed wire fence.
12	Q. And inside the barbed wire fence, how many buildings were
13	there, that were used to detain prisoners?
14	A. There were three buildings for keeping prisoners and one
15	building for workers, two small halls - kitchen halls - and there
16	was one place for questioning prisoners.
17	[12.05.19]
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Thank you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor. Thank you, Mr. Witness. It is now
20	lunch time. The Chamber is taking one hour and a half for lunch
21	and Court will resume at 1.30.
22	Court officer is instructed to coordinate with the witness so
23	that he can have the proper place for relax and the Court officer
24	instructed to bring the witness back at 1.30 - before 1.30.
25	And, security guard is instructed to bring the Accused to the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

62

- 1 detention facility and bring them back before 1.30.
- 2 (Court recesses from 1206H to 1351H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:

4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.

5 This afternoon, the Trial Chamber wishes to inform the parties 6 and the members of the public that it cannot continue to hear the 7 testimony by Meas Sokha because we heard from a letter of the treating doctor at the ECCC indicating that Mr. Khieu Samphan 8 9 feels very dizzy and his blood pressure is very high. And now the 10 treating doctor at the ECCC decided to send him to the Khmer 11 Soviet Friendship Hospital because he fall sick and he could not 12 attend the hearing so the Trial Chamber cannot proceed its 13 proceedings without his presence.

So, it is decided to adjourn the hearing for this afternoon and the hearing will resume tomorrow starting from 9 a.m. in the morning and the parties and witness, Meas Sokha, are advised to return to this courtroom tomorrow and we will -- based on the report on the health of Mr. Khieu Samphan whether he can continue to attend the hearing tomorrow and we will start tomorrow at 9 a.m. [13.53.06]

Security personnel are now instructed to bring Nuon Chea back to the detention facility and bring him back tomorrow at 9 o'clock in the morning.

And if Mr. Khieu Samphan arrives in time, you are also instructed to bring him to this courtroom and we need to hear from the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 228 Case No. 002-02/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 08/01/2015

1	doctors about the health condition of Khieu Samphan from the
2	Khmer Soviet Friendship Hospital so that it has the basis for
3	continuing its proceedings tomorrow.
4	The Court is now adjourned.
5	All rise.
6	(Court adjourned at 1353H)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	