

**ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL** ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 10-Apr-2015, 08:20 Sann Rada CMS/CFO:

# **អ**្គ៩ំនុំ៩ម្រះទីសារបញ្ហត្ថឲតុលាភារកម្ពុ៩ា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

# หอุธิสุธาระเจาณร์ขอ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

#### TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **PUBLIC** Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

2 February 2015 Trial Day 236

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding The Accused: NUON Chea YA Sokhan Claudia FENZ Jean-Marc LAVERGNE Lawyers for the Accused: YOU Ottara Martin KAROPKIN (Reserve) Victor KOPPE THOU Mony (Reserve) SUON Visal KONG Sam Onn

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: SE Kolvuthy Maddalena GHEZZI

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: **SENG Bunkheang** SONG Chorvoin Dale LYSAK Travis FARR

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun SOUR Sotheavy

# ព្រះពលាលាច ត្រភទម្ពុ បា បំគឺ សាសនា ព្រះមហាភ្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Civil Parties: PICH Ang Marie GUIRAUD LOR Chunthy CHET Vanly **VEN Pov** 

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

## INDEX

## MS. CHEANG SREIMOM (2-TCW-834)

Questioning by Mr. Koppe resumes	page 3
Questioning by Mr. Kong Sam Onn	page 12

## MR. KEV CHANDARA (2-TCW-964)

Questioning by the President	page 24
Questioning by Mr. Farr	page 28
Questioning by Ms. Guiraud	page 64
Questioning by Mr. Suon Visal	page 68
Questioning by Mr. Koppe	page 75
Questioning by Mr. Kong Sam Onn	page 98

## List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MS. CHEANG SREIMOM (2-TCW-834)	Khmer
MR. FARR	English
MS. GUIRAUD	French
MR. KEV CHANDARA (2-TCW-964)	Khmer
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
MR. KOPPE	English
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
MR. LYSAK	English
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MS. SONG CHOIRVOIN	Khmer
MR. SUON VISAL	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

1

PROCEEDINGS	

- 2 (Court opens at 0905H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.

5 Today we will hear the remaining testimony of the civil party,

1

6 Cheang Sreimom, and we will commence to hear the testimony of a

7 witness, 2-TCW-964.

8 The greffier, Ms. Se Kolvuthy, could you report the attendance of

9 Parties and individuals to today's proceedings.

- 10 [09.06.26]
- 11 THE GREFFIER:

Mr. President, for today's proceedings, all parties to this case 12 13 are present, except for Mr. Vercken --Vercken. The Khieu Samphan counsel is absent due to his health and Mr. Nuon Chea is in the 14 holding cell downstairs as he waives his presence in the main 15 16 courtroom. The waiver has been delivered to the greffier. 17 And the witness, Cheang Sreimom, is ready and is in the courtroom 18 and the upcoming witness -- that is, 2-TCW-964, confirms, to his 19 knowledge, he has no relationship by blood or by law to any of the two Accused -- that is, Nuon Chea and Khieu Samphan, or to 20 21 any of the civil parties admitted in this case. This witness 22 already took an oath before the Iron Statue this morning and is 23 waiting to be called by the Chamber in the waiting room. Thank 24 you.

25 [09.07.48]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

2

#### 1 MR. PRESIDENT:

2 Thank you.

3 The Chamber now decides on the request by Nuon Chea. The Chamber received the waiver by Nuon Chea, dated 2nd February 2015, which 4 states that due to his health -- that is, headache, backache and 5 cannot sit for long or concentrate for long -- and in order to б 7 avail himself for effective participation in the future hearings, 8 he waives his direct presence in this main courtroom on the 2nd 9 February 2015, and he advised that his counsel advised him about 10 this waiver and this waiver does not mean he waives his right to 11 a fair and just trial or objection to any of the evidence 12 presented before this Court.

13 [09.08.55]

And the Chamber also received the medical report of Nuon Chea by the duty doctor, dated 2nd February 2015, who notes that the health condition of Nuon Chea today is that he has a constant backache and cannot sit for long and recommends that the Chamber shall allow him to follow the proceedings remotely from a holding cell downstairs.

And based on the aforementioned, and in pursuant to Rule 81.5, the Chamber grants Nuon Chea's request to follow the proceedings from a holding cell downstairs through a remote means for today's proceedings.

And as he also waives his right to be present in this courtroom,the Chamber instructs the AV Unit to link the proceedings to the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

3

1 holding cell downstairs so that Nuon Chea can follow the

2 proceedings. And that applies for the whole day proceeding today.

3 [09.10.10]

And the Chamber advises the Parties that WESU's current practice 4 is to brief witnesses prior to their attendance in Court, at 5 which time they also explained about protective measures. Any б 7 matters which arise during this initial briefing are referred to the Trial Chamber. Given the enhanced likelihood in Case 002/02 8 9 of encountering witnesses who require special measures, including 10 closed session due to the nature of the alleged offences, in particular sexual violence, the Trial Chamber has instructed WESU 11 12 to specifically inform witnesses and civil parties who may have 13 such concerns, that measures may be available, pursuant to the ECCC internal rules and Article 316 of the Cambodian Code of 14 Criminal Procedure, including closed session where warranted. The 15 16 Trial Chamber invites the Parties to alert WESU to any potential 17 concerns in this regard prior to the hearing of the relevant 18 witness or civil party.

And we now hand the floor to Khieu Samphan's defence to put questions to this witness, Cheang Sreimom. You may proceed and for the combined defence teams, you will have the time this morning until we rest for break.

23 [09.12.30]

24 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:

25 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. The Khieu

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

4

- 1 Samphan team was kind enough to grant us an extra 50 minutes, 2 taking from their time so I will continue asking questions to the 3 Witness. Madam Witness, I would like to show you a document on the screen. 4 For the Parties, I'm referring to E3/1398; English, ERN 00814500; 5 and Khmer, 00065933. So it's the first page of the document. б 7 Q. Do you see anything on the screen, Madam Witness, or not yet? 8 Madam Witness, do you see something on the screen? 9 MRS. CHEANG SREIMOM: 10 A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you recognise this document? 11 12 A. I recognise this document but I cannot recall it well. 13 (Short pause) [09.15.08]14 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 The Deputy International Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed. 17 MR. LYSAK: 18 Thank you Mr. President. First, we didn't -- perhaps the witness 19 did -- we didn't see anything on the screen as to what was shown. 20 I also think that if he's going to ask -- if counsel is going to 21 ask the witness to identify a document, he should give her a copy 22 of it too so she can see the entire document and not just what is 23 shown on the screen. 24 MR. KOPPE:
- 25 I wanted to save the environment and not print because it's a

5

- 1 huge copy. I'm willing to -- the prosecutor will help me.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Court officer, could you take the document from the International

4 Co-Prosecutor for the witness examination?

- 5 [09.16.10]
- 6 MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Mr. President, I actually also didn't see it on the screen. Could 8 I have your permission to have it on the screen so that everybody
- 9 who is looking -- who is watching can see it on the screen?
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Yes. And Court officer, could you liaise with the AV Unit so that
- 12 such a presentation on screen is available on those desktops of
- 13 the concerned parties?
- 14 BY MR. KOPPE:
- Q. Madam Witness, I will -- while that is being done technically -- continue with my questions.
- Did I hear you correctly saying that you recognise the document but don't remember it or maybe you could expand a little bit on
- 19 your answer?
- 20 MS. CHEANG SREIMOM:
- A. I apologise. In fact, I have not seen this document and thisis the first time that I see it on the screen.
- 23 [09.17.40]
- Q. You testified earlier that you have been a teacher, that you have been teaching children how to read and write. Do you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

> б 1 remember when you were teaching that you used similar documents 2 like the one that I have shown to you? 3 A. I cannot recall it that well. While I was teaching at the time, it was not based on actual hard copy documents or books. I 4 5 taught some letters and alphabets. Q. So you didn't actually use textbooks provided by the б 7 authorities; is that correct? 8 A. There were no real textbooks at the time. 9 Q. Would you be able to give us some more exact dates as to when 10 you were teaching? Which years, starting 17 April '75, have you 11 been teaching children? 12 A. I cannot recall the exact date. However, I recall that I taught during 1975 and 1976. It was not a full-time teaching. I 13 looked after the children and fed them food and led them to 14 15 engage in labour and I only did the teaching when the actual 16 teachers were absent or went somewhere, so I actually only 17 assisted in teaching only when the teachers were not there. 18 [09.20.30]19 Q. Did you ever hear the teachers teach the children? Could you 20 understand what they were teaching the children? 21 A. They taught younger children how to spell and pronounce words 22 and to teach them songs and to add and to lead them to engage in 23 labour. 24 Q. Did you ever hear the teachers teach on the subject of the 25 Kampuchean nation? What consists of the Kampuchean nation in '75

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

> 7 1 and '76? Which people belong to the Kampuchean nation? 2 A. Could you please repeat your question? I am not really 3 understanding it well. Q. I'll rephrase my question to make it more concrete, also 4 5 considering the time, Madam Witness. Did you ever hear the teachers teach the children that the б 7 Kampuchean people referred to people of all ethnic origins, including all the Khmer and other ethnic minorities? 8 9 A. As for those teachers, while they were teaching, in fact, they taught at a different location and I did not listen to the 10 11 subject matters being taught by those teachers. [09.22.59]12 13 Q. Alright, Madam Witness. I'll move on to my last topic, also 14 considering the time. That is about your marriage. 15 Do you remember whether you ever heard -- maybe during education 16 sessions or meetings -- guidelines from Angkar in relation to the 17 matter of family building? 18 A. During the time that I married, I didn't get such advice or 19 instruction from the leaders. They didn't tell me anything about 20 that. 21 Q. So there were never meetings or any other means of 22 communication to you, how to choose a spouse? 23 A. Before I got married, during those meetings, we were told that 24 we gave ourselves to Angkar -- or sacrificed for Angkar -- and 25 whenever Angkar - or, whatever position or assignment Angkar

8

1 assigned us to. For example, to be a soldier or to go the battle 2 field, we should not oppose such assignment as we had given 3 ourselves to Angkar. And that's what I can recall during those 4 meetings before I got married. There were other contents of the 5 meetings but the meetings happened so long ago, I cannot recall 6 them all.

7 [09.25.18]

Q. Did you hear Angkar say, for instance, during meetings or 8 9 whenever, don't choose recklessly all over the place, do not go 10 helter-skelter in a rush and that it is imperative to choose 11 someone who has a solid revolutionary stance. In other words, did 12 you hear things from Angkar about choosing a future spouse? A. On choosing a spouse, I did not receive any instruction. For 13 women and men we married, it was solely organised by the upper 14 15 Angkar and we only knew during that wedding ceremony that it was 16 announced that which woman to be married to which man. As in my 17 case, we were not told when that we were to be married or whether 18 we were allowed to choose our future spouse. It was Angkar who 19 made the entire organisational arrangement. And even on that 20 wedding day, we were only called to attend that meeting and we 21 were not even aware that it was our wedding. And only then we 22 were informed that we were chosen to be married.

23 [09.27.16]

Q. My last question, Madam Witness, and maybe I simply don'tunderstand it because I come from another culture, but as you've

9

1 testified before you stayed married after 1979, you had two 2 children with your husband after 1979, you're still together with 3 him happily married, as you testified. Can you explain that to me in the light of the fact that you didn't choose your husband, 4 that your husband was forced upon you? 5 A. I'd like to say that for my husband, he did not force upon me, б 7 but we decided to get along, to be together. Whoever selected by 8 Angkar to marry, we could not oppose it. We had to decide to 9 follow and to agree and to be together regardless whether there 10 was love in between the couple. Although we physically stayed together as a husband and wife, but inside, our feeling was 11 different. But because of the fear, we decided to follow the 12 instruction of Angkar; otherwise we would be in danger -- that 13 14 is, fatal danger. And that's also due to the implication of my 15 family background. There was a saying at the time that to dig out 16 the grass, we have to dig out its root too. So because my father 17 was killed, I was a subject to be monitored and for that reason I 18 could be the next person to be killed. 19 [09.29.40]20 MR. KOPPE: 21 Thank you, Madam Witness. 22 MR. PRESIDENT: 23 Counsel Kong Sam Onn, please wait a little bit, and Judge 24 Lavergne, you may proceed.

25 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

	10
1	Thank you, Mr. President.
2	For the record, for the purposes of today's transcript, the civil
3	party was produced a document, E3/388 by Counsel Koppe and this
4	document was produced for the first time in 1977. Is that
5	correct, Counsel Koppe?
6	[09.30.48]
7	MR. KOPPE:
8	I'm not sure if I got the translation of the E3 it is E3/1398.
9	It says on the cover "Ministry of Education, Democratic
10	Kampuchea, second grade, first edition 1977", it seems.
11	JUDGE LAVERGNE:
12	Thank you. That's all I wanted to make note of. I just wanted to
13	make sure that it was properly recorded in today's transcript.
14	MR. KOPPE:
15	In fact, Mr. President, the questions that I asked about the
16	possibility of choosing were relating from a document which was
17	not on the interface but which is on the case file that is,
18	E3/775.
19	MR. PRESIDENT:
20	The Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
21	[09.32.01]
22	MR. LYSAK:
23	And just to make sure the record is clear, the document counsel
24	just identified, to my understanding, was a came from a
25	"Revolutionary Flag" or "Revolutionary Youth" issue which is

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

11

- 1 something that would only be provided to Party members or cadres.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Excuse me. I am not quite sure what the relevance of that remark
- 6 is. It's pleading. It's evidence. I'm not sure. How does he know?
- 7 MR. LYSAK:
- 8 Mr. President, it's well established by--
- 9 [09.32.51]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:

Please hold on. Now, please make it clear so that the Chamber can 11 12 take your submission so that we can save our time. The Chamber 13 wishes to hear the remark by the party and one party should take 14 only one occasion to address the Court, so if we keep exchanging 15 from one to another we may spend more time, so we would like to 16 finish this witness this morning and maybe we cannot finish the 17 next witness for today or tomorrow. So, could you please 18 understand and mindful with this arrangement. So, if you were a 19 witness yourself, so you may feel uncomfortable so please make it 20 clear for the Court so we can have a proper ground to rule or to 21 respond, so please.

22 MR. LYSAK:

23 Thank you, Mr. President. I simply wanted to respond to the issue 24 he raised. My point would be that if you're going to submit 25 evidence to a witness from a document, I would -- believe you

12

1	should identify that ahead of time. To submit evidence from a
2	"Revolutionary Flag" issue, which we all know from testimony that
3	has already been before this Court, was something only circulated
4	to Party members, would not be appropriate to submit to this
5	witness. And that is just something that I wanted to make clear,
6	on the record, since counsel has now identified a document.
7	[09.35.05]
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	Thank you for your remark.
10	And Mr. Kong Sam Onn, you may now proceed your questioning.
11	QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
12	Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours, and good morning witness
13	Cheang Sreimom. I have a number of questions to ask you following
14	other parties.
15	Q. I would like to know the location when you were interviewed
16	and gave testimony to the investigator. Did you give your
17	interview in Kamsei or in Ruessei village? Because I saw that you
18	gave a contradictory report on the location on your statement.
19	MS. CHEANG SREIMOM:
20	A. The location of that interview was in the morning. I was
21	interviewed in Kamsei village and later, on the last day, I was
22	interviewed at my home in Ruessei Srok village.
23	[09.36.48]
24	Q. Thank you. And I would like to ask you your relation to your
25	work before you made the declaration for the marriage, for your

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

13

1	marriage. And you said you were the teaching assistant and then
2	you taught the children. So can you tell the Court how long did
3	you work as an assistant, and also a teacher during that time?
4	A. I don't remember very well, but I recall that I was in
5	children's unit in 1976. In 1977, I was married.
6	Q. Thank you. Can you indicate that your work you spent for one
7	year, or less than one year? Is it possible for you to indicate
8	that?
9	A. Yes, perhaps one year.
10	[09.38.26]
11	Q. Thank you. Witness, how many people were in the children's
12	unit?
13	A. The colleagues or co-workers, there were less than 10 people
14	altogether. I forget something because I did not take notes,
15	because I did not anticipate that I would testify in the future,
16	something like that.
17	Q. Thank you, Witness. Do you remember who was the unit chief?
18	A. The female unit chief
19	Q. I am sorry; I would like to know the name of the chief of the
20	children's unit.
21	A. The chief of the children's unit was Phorn, but I don't
22	remember the family name.
23	Q. What was the structure of the children's unit, who was the
24	chief and deputy chief and so on? Can you tell the Court a bit
25	more detail?

14

1 A. I don't know the structure, I simply know that Phorn was the 2 one who was in charge, and other people would lead children to do certain work. And Phorn was the chief who supervised everyone 3 4 else. [09.40.52]5 Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court your specific position, or б 7 your role? Or you can tell the Court what job you did during the period of perhaps one year at that time? 8 9 A. When I was working in the children's unit I was in charge of the economic section. I would collect vegetables and other food 10 11 to cook for other people, for other members. I was working in an 12 economic section. My main task was to collect vegetables and food 13 for cooking. And I was also assigned to help with teaching when 14 one of the teachers was absent. And I was in charge of a group of 15 children, to supervise them, the members while they are doing 16 labour. 17 Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court who were other teachers in 18 your group or in your unit? 19 A. I forget some of them. I remember Yat (phonetic), Keo Chea 20 (phonetic), Phal (phonetic) and Chan Siyean (phonetic). 21 [09.43.08]22 Q. I would like to know whether these names you have just told the Court were all teachers. Is this correct? 23 24 A. (No interpretation) 25 Q. Did you know what they teach, or what they taught to those

15

1 children in the class during that time?

A. At that time when those teachers taught in a class, but I had
a separate task because they were teaching at separate places, I
did not hear their teaching, because I would spend most of my
time collecting food and vegetables for the economic section.
Q. Thank you, Witness. And I would like now to move to the
marriage, to your marriage.

Before you were married you heard from your unit chief and you 8 9 told the Court earlier that you were told -- you went to a pagoda 10 and you prayed before the Buddha statue. I want you to tell me 11 the prayer you made that make Buddha help to the marriage to 12 happen or not to happen, if it -- a good future husband, may God 13 help it to happen. When you -- when you did that prayer, were you answered on how to make the declaration of your marriage or your 14 15 commitment to marriage?

16 [09.45.45]

17 A. During the announcement of commitment for marriage, I was 18 talking not from my mind, because Angkar told me immediately 19 before that, I was shocked. And I was given a short time to dress 20 up, to dress before that. So I was thinking that I am not happy with the marriage, but I have no choice but to follow the 21 22 instruction. And then I took a short time to the Buddhist temple 23 -- there was no monk. And I did that prayer. So I thought to 24 myself I cannot oppose, so I had to follow the instructions, so I 25 prayed to the Buddha statue. So I was saying that if my future

16

1	husband would be a bad person, please help not to make this
2	marriage happen. I did that because I had no choice, because I
3	know for sure that I have no other choice.
4	Q. Thank you. And I would like you to tell me what happened after
5	your marriage. You told the Court earlier that after your
6	marriage you went to work differently at different places than
7	your husband. And at another point in time, you were arranged to
8	live in the same house. So how long before you were arranged to
9	live in the same house again after your marriage?
10	[09.48.29]
11	A. The house was arranged for us to live together; it was really
12	a short period of time after our marriage. It was probably a
13	week, or two weeks after the marriage. And later I was assigned
14	to work in my unit, and my husband was assigned to work in his
15	unit, which was in a separate place.
16	Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court the house where you stayed
17	with your husband, the size, the kind of house, what was it
18	looking like, the size or the wall or the floor? Yes, can you be
19	detail on that?
20	A. The house was built by wood and with a brick roof, about 5 by
21	6 meters. The wall was wooden.
22	Q. What was the wall made of for that house?
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	(No interpretation)
25	MS. CHEANG SREIMOM:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

1	

- 1 A. I'm sorry, Mr. President.
- 2 The wall was built by the bamboo and the door was wooden.
- 3 [09.50.51]
- 4 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 5 Q. Thank you. So outside your house, were there any trees or
- 6 jungle or any vegetation around that house?
- 7 MS. CHEANG SREIMOM:
- 8 A. Yes. There were mango trees and coconut trees. It was an old
- 9 village over there.
- 10 Q. So can you tell how high was the house, and how many steps on
- 11 the ladder to your house?
- 12 A. I don't remember how many steps on the ladder, but that house 13 was about one metre tall from the ground to the floor. I could 14 not tell you the specific about that because I don't pay much
- 15 interest to it.
- 16 Q. Thank you. Do you -- did you have bedding and other furniture
- 17 in your house at that time?
- 18 A. We had a mat and we used a bag for -- as our pillow or our
- 19 cushion.
- 20 [09.52.42]
- 21 Q. Thank you. Did you have a mosquito net?
- 22 A. No, we had no mosquito net for that.
- Q. Earlier you told the Court that you saw the militiaman whoeavesdropped when you were in your house with your husband. Can
- 25 you confirm, or can you tell me again, what time did the

18

- 1 militiaman came below your stilt house?
- A. He came probably about 8.00 or 9.00 p.m. I cannot tell you the
  exact time because it was dark. I think it was about 8.00 or 9.00
  p.m. at night.
- 5 Q. Thank you. How long did the militiaman spend at your house 6 during that night?
- A. I saw him when he came and stayed below my house, but later he
  may hide somewhere at the house, but I don't know when he left.
  So I could see him when he was below my house, but I don't know
  when he left my house.
- 11 [09.54.55]
- 12 Q. Thank you. Do you know any militiaman in your village during 13 the regime?
- 14 A. Yes, I knew. But the one who came that night I don't know who 15 he was. I knew some of them, including Chien (phonetic), another 16 one Dap (phonetic), and I remember only two of them.
- Q. Thank you. After you woke up that night, did you ask anyone about the presence of the militiaman that night? Did you ask anyone regarding the spying on you?
- A. At that time, I dare not ask anyone. I pretended to know
  nothing. But I dare not ask anyone to do any search because of
  fear.
- Q. Thank you. And I will ask you a number of questions regarding the food and rations. And you told the Court about the food in unit, and other units, during Democratic Kampuchea. Can you tell
- Corrected transcript. Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

25

[10.00.10]

19

1 the Court about the communal eating at the first statement you 2 were in the children's unit? Was it in your children's unit 3 everyone had to join the communal eating? Or was there any other 4 people who could eat at home or in a separate arrangement than 5 the communal eating? A. Talking about communal eating at the children's unit, each б 7 group would eat separate from another group. The children's unit chief together with the cook would prepare meals for the 8 9 children. But the teachers would eat different, at a different 10 table than the children. 11 [09.58.10]12 Q. Thank you. And other people when you said 10 or less than 10 13 of them, were they also eating in a communal eating, or they 14 would eat separately from other people? 15 A. Those leaders or unit chiefs, 10 of them, would eat together 16 among the 10 people. It was part of their communal eating 17 Q. Thank you. And when you left the children's unit, what was 18 your food ration and the condition, or how did you eat during 19 that time? 20 A. After I left the children's unit I stayed with other villagers 21 in the cooperative. The meals were communal. We would eat 22 collectively, so food was distributed equally between one member 23 to another, and also the soup was also distributed in a group of 24 four people when we were at the table for meals.

20

1 Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court about the food rationed for 2 the full-rights people and for the candidate people? Did they 3 have meals together, these two groups of people? A. For the candidate people's group, we ate together. However, 4 for the full-rights people, they would have meals together at 5 their own unit. And sometimes some full-rights people would come б 7 to be in charge of the cooperative for us -- that is, for the candidate people. And they would be chief, for example, of an 8 9 economic section. And they did not mix with the candidate people. 10 Q. Thank you. Can you tell us in general terms, not those people 11 who had a position at the kitchen or at the dining hall, but I 12 refer to the general full-rights people and the candidate people, 13 did they receive the same food rations for these two groups of 14 people, or did they dine at a separate dining hall? 15 A. In that commune, people were divided into groups in the 16 cooperative. For the Base - rather, for the candidate people; 17 namely, the 17 April People, they were put in one cooperative 18 which was different from the cooperative for the Base People, or 19 for the full-rights people. They did not mix and they would also 20 dine separately at different locations. 21 [10.03.15]22 Q. To me, it's still unclear; I'd like to get a clearer answer 23 from you. So did you mean that one cooperative was full of 24 full-rights people and another cooperative only had the candidate 25 people? Am I correct in saying that?

21

A. I cannot recall it clearly because it happened so long ago, 1 2 but I can say that for the common eating, there were a number of 3 dining halls within the commune. And I can recall that in a cooperative where there were 17 April People and some ethnic 4 5 minorities, those people were put in a village, or they created a village just to house them, and it was separate from the Base б 7 People. And later on, the Base People and the candidate people's 8 group and the 17 April People ate meals communally. They all ate 9 together, that happened later on. But from the beginning, no, we 10 ate separately because there were a few dining places. And as I 11 just said, later on we all ate together, and that continued until 12 1979, when the regime fell.

13 [10.05.25]

Q. Thank you. In your written record of interview there is a question, answer number 11, document D232/58. You stated that for those who ate less, the food was sufficient, but for those who ate a lot it was not enough. Can you tell the Court about the amount of food that was considered less and the amount of food that was considered more?

A. As for those who ate less and those who ate more, they received the same food ration. So if you were to eat a lot, it means that the food was not enough. But for those who ate less, it meant the food was sufficient.

Q. Thank you. As for when you were allowed to eat to yourcontent, that happened like you said once a month. Who actually

22

1 made that decision?

2 A. In the commune it was set that way -- that is, on the 30th of 3 each month we were allowed to eat until we were full. So we would be given real cooked rice for people to eat. And there was no 4 limit on the food ration. But for the daily food ration, there 5 was a limit. For example, for one container of rice, it was given б 7 to a group of people and we had to distribute squarely amongst the members of the group. But on the 30th, it was different. We 8 9 could empty it, and we could go and get more rice to eat.

10 [10.08.18]

Q. Thank you. My question was as to who decided that you were allowed to eat to your full content on the 30th of each month. Who made that decision?

14 A. No, I did not. I only knew that it was a plan from the upper 15 echelon. But I did not know who was at the upper echelon. 16 Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about your husband during the 17 Democratic Kampuchea regime. After you got married, that is after 18 you made a resolution, you started living together with your 19 husband. Did you live together with your husband for the entire 20 time of the regime, or at some point you were separated to another area? 21

A. After the marriage, my husband did not live together with me. He stayed at a different location although it was in the same Tram Kak district and the same, but a different commune. For example, I was at Nhaeng Nhang commune; he was at Leay Bour

23

- 1 commune.
- 2 [10.10.24]

Q. Thank you. Can you tell the Court, during the time that you lived in -- during the period that you lived under one roof, and during the period that you lived in a separate commune, did you spend more time living separately or living together?

7 A. Most of the time we lived separately at a different house, and8 we were allowed to meet; for example, on the 30th of every month.

9 Then he was allowed to come and meet me, and live with me.

10 MR. PRESIDENT:

11 Counsel, you are running out of time.

12 Madam Cheang Sreimom, the Chamber is grateful for your time in 13 testifying before this Court during the last few days. And now 14 the hearing of your testimony as a witness comes to an end and 15 you are excused so that you can return to your residence or 16 wherever you wish to go to. And we wish you a safe trip.

17 And Court officer, in collaboration with WESU, please make an

18 arrangement for the transportation of this witness. We will take

19 a break and return at 10.30 to continue our proceeding.

- 20 The Court is now in recess.
- 21 (Court recesses from 1012H to 1033H)
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Please be seated. The Trial Chamber is back in session.
- 24 Next, we will continue to hear witness 2-TCW-964.
- 25 Court officer is instructed to bring the witness to the witness

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

24

- 1 stand in the courtroom.
- 2 (Witness 2-TCW-964 enters the courtroom)
- 3 [10.35.40]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Good morning, witness.
- 6 QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:
- 7 Q. What is your name?
- 8 The witness, please hold on until the microphone is activated.
- 9 So, before you respond, you should wait until the red light is on
- 10 your microphone, so that other people, including the
- 11 interpretation, could hear. And we will operate on this
- 12 arrangement, because your testimony will be interpreted into
- 13 English and French also. So, could you hold on a few seconds
- 14 before you respond, after the question posed to you? So, we
- 15 cannot activate immediately after the question is finished, so
- 16 please remind that you look at the microphone.
- 17 Q. Witness, what is your name?
- 18 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 19 A. My name is Kev Chandara alias Yav.
- 20 [10.37.26]
- 21 Q. What is your date of birth? Do you remember it?
- 22 A. I remember that I was born in 1942.
- 23 Q. Thank you. Where is your birthplace?
- A. I was born in my house in our village, Ta Phem commune, Tram
- 25 Kak district, Takeo province.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

	25
1	Q. What is your current address, witness?
2	A. My present residence was the same as I told you earlier.
3	Q. Thank you. What is your occupation now?
4	A. I was a I am now a retiree from policeman, from the
5	Ministry of Interior.
б	Q. What is your parents' name?
7	A. My father is Sok Khy, deceased; and my mother, Kev Chanty,
8	also deceased.
9	[10.38.46]
10	Q. What is your wife's name, and how many children do you have?
11	A. My wife is Kao Sokhun. I have seven children, two of them
12	deceased.
13	Q. Thank you.
14	Mr. Kev Chandara, based on the report of the greffier this
15	morning, to your knowledge, you have no relatives by blood or by
16	law who were admitted as civil parties in Case 002; is this
17	correct?
18	A. Yes, this is correct, Mr. President.
19	Q. Thank you. And you have taken an oath before you entered into
20	the courtroom; is this correct?
21	A. Yes, I took an oath before the Iron Statue in this Court.
22	[10.40.05]
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	Thank you. Now the Chamber wishes to inform you of the rights and
25	obligations as a witness.

26

1	As a witness in this proceeding, you may refuse to any question
2	or any request to make assertion that may incriminate you. This
3	is the right against self-incrimination. As a witness, you have a
4	duty to give testimony in these proceedings, and you have to
5	answer to questions posed by the Parties or any Judge from the
6	Bench, except the questions or any assertion request that may
7	incriminate you, as I told you earlier.
8	As a witness, you should respond, to the best of your knowledge,
9	the truth of events you have heard, you experienced, you observed
10	personally. Any incident in relation to the questions posed by
11	the Parties or by the Judges from the Bench.
12	[10.41.27]
13	BY THE PRESIDENT:
14	Q. Mr. Kev Chandara, have you given any testimony or any
15	interview to the investigator from the Office of the
16	Co-Investigating Judges? If so, how many interviews you gave, and
17	where are the places you gave interviews?
18	A. Yes, I gave several interviews, but I do not remember the
19	dates. At least five or six interviews, especially the interview
20	on the crimes, or on the events that happened during the DK
21	regime.
22	Q. Thank you. And before you entered the courtroom, have you read
23	your statements that you gave to the interview at the
24	interview, to the investigators to refresh your memory?
25	A. Because I have given several interviews, I remember some, but

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

27

- 1 I may forget some of the contents.
- 2 [10.42.50]
- 3 Q. Have you read those statements before you entered into this
- 4 courtroom?

A. Yes, I did. But there was one question, which was handwritten;
I could not read very well. I failed to read and understand that
content.

8 Q. To your memory and your knowledge, those statements and the 9 contents are correct to that of the statements you gave to the 10 interviews on several occasions earlier?

A. Yes, some are correct, others are not correct, because in a certain questions, saying that my father is Kao Chantien (phonetic). In fact, my father is Sok Khy. There was some small errors in that statement, but I can correct during my testimony here before the Chamber.

- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. Witness.

To begin with the examination of this witness, under Rule 91(bis), the Chamber will hand the floor to the Co-Prosecutor to put questions to this witness. The Co-Prosecutor and the Lead Co-Lawyers will have half a day for questioning this question (sic), and you will have time from now to the lunch break, and also in the afternoon. Now, the floor is yours, Mr.

- 24 Co-Prosecutor.
- 25 [10.44.55]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

28

#### 1 MR. TRAVIS FARR:

2 Thank you, Mr. President; and good morning to Mr. President, Your 3 Honours, and everyone in and around the courtroom. Good morning to you, Mr. Kev Chandara. Today, I'm going to be asking you a 4 5 number of questions about events that happened a long time ago, and, of course, you should answer to the best of your memory. But б 7 if there's something that you don't remember, please tell us that you don't remember, and don't try to guess. Also, if any of my 8 9 questions are confusing or unclear, please tell me and I will ask 10 the question in a different way.

#### 11 [10.45.34]

12 QUESTIONING BY MR. FARR:

Q. I'd like to start by asking you about events in 1970. In your OCIJ interview, D25/24, you state that in 1970, you joined the maquis in the forest as a doctor, with a person named Thiounn Thioeunn. Could you tell us who Thiounn Thioeunn was, how you were introduced to the Revolution, and what you did after you joined the maguis?

19 MR. KEV CHANDARA:

A. The reason why I joined the movement in the maquis is because the former King, Norodom Sihanouk, appealed from Paris if any Cambodian who loved him, he or she should join the maquis to liberate the country and to help the Prince. And then I called my friends to join the movement, and went to the jungle. But before doing that, I went to my district, to Tram Kak, to meet with my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

29

- 1 parents.
- 2 [10.46.57]

3 Q. In interview E3/5153, at page number 00172043 in Khmer, 0020-5088 in English, and 0020 -- oh, okay. The ERN in Khmer is 4 00172043; English, 00205088; and French, 00205093. In that 5 interview, you state that you were in Kbal Ou village, in Tram б 7 Kak district, from 1970 to '71, and that from '72 to '74, you were assigned to work at Hospital 22, in Tram Kak. During that 8 9 period, did you get to know any of the Khmer Rouge leaders from 10 Tram Kak district, and if so, whom did you get to know? A. When I first arrived, the Khmer Rouge leaders -- of course, I 11 12 didn't know anyone. But -- and I was instructed to give up and to forget everything from the old society, because I was belong to 13 14 the capitalists. And later, I was told that I was a student, a doctor, so I have to give up, to forget everything, to build 15 16 myself as a revolutionary.

Q. I'd like to ask you about Ta Mok in particular. You state in OCIJ interview E3/5153, that you and him met frequently, and that he knew your mother. Could you tell us a little bit about how your mother knew Ta Mok, and when it was that you met Ta Mok frequently?

22 [10.49.31]

A. Yes. Ta Mok, who knew my mother when he was a monk, he was one
of the students who studied Buddhism in the Phali (phonetic) high
school at Moha Montrey Pagoda. So, his house was eight kilometres

30

1 from my house. We would travel on bicycle, and Ta Mok would come 2 over to my house for lunch at my mother's house, and they are 3 good friends. When I left Phnom Penh to my house in my village, the messengers of the Khmer Rouge took me from my house on the 4 5 same day. I did not have enough time to talk to my parents. I was taken to Damnak Snuol village. б 7 But before I arrived, I was sent to Tuol Phchek (phonetic) 8 village. I spent there for about two hours. Another messenger 9 took from Tuol Phchek (phonetic) to Damnak Snuol village. At each 10 place -- so the messenger would assign from one place, and then 11 the messenger would take me to another place. So, they did not 12 assign one messenger to send us all the way from the first place to the third, for example. 13 14 So, -- and later I was sent to the sector hospital. It did not 15 belong to the zone sector. Those who knew me because I was a 16 doctor, they came to see me for treatment during the Sangkum 17 Reastr Niyum. I am sorry; I will speak some French, because it is 18 difficult for me to speak in Khmer. It was in "a la fondation 19 Calmette". I was working in the emergency --[10.52.02]20 21 Q. Witness, I apologize for interrupting you. I'd like to ask you 22 to try to focus specifically on my questions. I know we have a 23 lot of material to go through, and you have a lot to tell us, but 24 it will be more helpful if we can deal with it in sort of a step 25 by step way. Is that kay? Thank you for that.

25

BY MR. FARR:

31

1	You mentioned just a moment ago that Ta Mok would have lunch at
2	your mother's house. Can you estimate how many times, or how
3	often, Ta Mok had lunch at your mother's house?
4	A. I did not take note, but many times. Sometimes, when I was
5	studying at Phnom Penh I don't know especially when he
6	would visit my mother's home. But I heard from my mother that Ta
7	Mok would come to have lunch at her house, and his name, when he
8	was a monk, was called Achar Ung Choeun. His family name was Ung.
9	When he visited my house in my village, I was a student at the
10	Faculty of Medicine in Phnom Penh.
11	[10.53.42]
12	Q. Thank you, thank you. I would now like to turn to the topic of
13	your arrest. Can you tell us about the circumstances or events
14	that led to your arrest in 1973 or 1974?
15	A. In 1973 or 1974, I was not arrested yet, because I was just
16	deprived of certain rights, because they said that I belonged to
17	the Sihanoukist movement, and because there was an appeal for
18	them to join the movement, the resistance movement, rather.
19	MR. PRESIDENT:
20	Witness, it appears that you look at a document for responding,
21	so the Chamber advises you that you will listen only to the
22	question and respond. You cannot have a look at any documents to
23	give your response.
24	[10.55.30]
05	

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

32

1	Q. So, when you were arrested, were you ever told the reason for
2	your arrest, or did you ever learn the reason why you were
3	arrested?
4	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
5	A. When I was taken to the detention centre, it was in 1975. This
6	is a small mistake, to say 1973. I was there until end of
7	February until April of that year until March of that year.
8	Until April 1975, so the total of my detention duration was 29
9	days in Krang Ta Chan.
10	Q. And do you know why you were arrested? Do you know the reason
11	for your arrest?
12	A. At the beginning, I was not told that I was under arrest. They
13	simply came and told me that the upper Angkar would like you to
14	collect all documents and medical equipment, so that you can go
15	and instruct people at the upper echelon, especially to teach
16	medical skills to other people.
17	However, when I went to the place, to the first place, the second
18	and the third, it was rather late at night. I was ending up at
19	Krang Ta Chan. When I was there, Krang Ta Chan detention centre,
20	I was told that it was the re-education centre. I was not told
21	that it was Krang Ta Chan, but at that place, I passed the gate.
22	And when I passed the building, and then they shut down the door,
23	and then I realized that it was a detention building. I was
24	surprised. I was shocked. I saw many prisoners in that building.
25	And in the morning of that day, there were more than 40

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

33

prisoners. About 45 or so, and men, women were also there. They
 were shackled to their ankles.
 [10.58.56]
 Q. I would actually like to go slightly back in time, before your

arrival at Krang Ta Chan. In your interview, D25/24, at page 5 number 00163457, in Khmer; 00223452, in English; and 00178091, in б 7 French; you stated -- and I quote: "They interrogated me at 8 Krabei Prey for five days before they took me to Krang Ta Chan." 9 End of quote. 10 Could you tell us briefly where Krabei Prey was located, and 11 describe the place where you were interrogated there? 12 A. Krabei Prey Office was about, more than 10 kilometres from my 13 house, and from that location to Krang Ta Chan, it was roughly 14 about 10 kilometres. I was taken to Krabei Prey, and they only 15 questioned me whether I received any training from the CIA or

16 KGB, and from whom did I receive that kind of training.

17 [11.00.48]

18 Q. And who was it who interrogated you at Krabei Prey?

19 A. It was not those people at the Krabei Prey office. But when I 20 later on arrived at the Krang Ta Chan, I saw the same individual 21 -- that is, Ta Chan.

22 Q. So, are you saying that you saw Ta Chan at Krabei Prey, as

23 well as Krang Ta Chan?

A. Probably, at that time, Ta Chan came to question me in personat Krabei Prey, and later on he had left to Krang Ta Chan. And,

1

34

2 at the time that Krang Ta Chan was a prison. Only after I was 3 pushed in and the fence closed and when I felt the barbed wires along the fence then I realised it was a prison. 4 People who were to be killed, then they would have been killed 5 immediately at Krabei Prey without having to take them to Krang б 7 Ta Chan. And, for those who were to be interrogated in order to 8 find those people who were implicated, then they would be sent to 9 Krang Ta Chan for the interrogation. 10 [11.02.32]Q. Just one more question about your time at Krabei Prey before 11 12 we move on to Krang Ta Chan. In the same section of interview 13 D25/24, discussing your interrogation at Krabei Prey, you said 14 they asked you - quote: "How much I hated the Revolution and which individuals I hated?" 15 16 Did they tell you why they thought you hated the Revolution? A. I didn't dare ask them. However, at that time, I recall that 17 18 whenever I came to visit my native village, the village chief --19 that is, the Khmer Rouge village chief usually would ask me to go 20 along for fishing, and sometimes I was busy so I refused. I gave 21 them, say fishing net and a rifle for shooting birds and maybe 22 because they didn't know how to use that kind of a gun, then they 23 reported to their relevant people about me. 24 And, I believe the question that I was asked, it could be from 25 the report, from Kul Rum (phonetic), Kul Sorn, who were former

five days later I was taken to Krang Ta Chan. And, I didn't know

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

35

- 1 village chief who actually died later on.
- 2 [11.04.23]
- 3 Q. So, now moving on to your time at Krang Ta Chan, my first
- 4 question is: Do you know who it was that decided that you should 5 be sent from Krabei Prey to Krang Ta Chan?
- 6 A. I only thought that there had to be an order from the upper
- 7 echelon to transfer me from Krabei Prey to Krang Ta Chan in order
- 8 to question me more. That's why I was sent to Krang Ta Chan.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Witness, you are reminded that in your response, please don't
- 11 make any assumption. You only respond from what you have heard,
- 12 have experienced, or have witnessed or observed or seen, and not
- 13 to make any assumption and that would assist us in ascertaining
- 14 the truth. Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. FARR:
- 16 Q. So, turning now to your arrival at Krang Ta Chan, when you
- 17 arrived at Krang Ta Chan, what types of people were detained
- 18 there with you? Who were the other prisoners?
- 19 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 20 A. Those who were detained were detained in a long row. And, in
- 21 Krang Ta Chan, that was the difficult condition, they used a
- 22 wooden plank underneath their ankles-
- 23 [11.06.29]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Mr. Witness, you are reminded to respond correctly and directly

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

36

- 1 to the question, and not to make any indirect response.
- 2 And, Mr. Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please ask the question again.
- 3 BY MR. FARR:
- 4 Q. Yes, Mr. President.
- 5 Mr. Witness, my question was: Who were the groups of people who6 were there with you? What kind of people were detained at Krang
- 7 Ta Chan, the other prisoners?
- 8 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 9 A. By that time, I did not know what types of people they were. I10 didn't know their background nor where they came from.
- 11 [11.07.22]
- Q. I'd like to read a quote to you from one of your interviews and ask you whether you remember this information or not. The interview is D25/24. The page number in English is 00223455; in French, it's 00178093; and in Khmer, it is 00163460. And this is what was said in the interview: "Question: Where did the
- 17 prisoners come from?

18 "Answer: Lon Nol soldiers, Pol Pot cadres. I met the Chairman of 19 the Military Recruiting Office. The Lon Nol soldiers were taken 20 to Prey Kduoch, cadres were made accused of being traitors." 21 Are you able to remember that information today? 22 A. Yes, I do. Those people who were sent to Au Kantuot, they were 23 not only former soldiers. In fact, they were people who were

- 24 involved in the battlefield, but after the regime fell, they were
- 25 gathered. But, at Krang Ta Chan Office, there was a mixture of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

37

1 everything: not only cadres or soldiers. And I only learnt that
2 information from the prisoners themselves when we talked in the
3 prison.

4 [11.09.28]

9 Q. So, just to be clear, it was the Lon Nol soldiers themselves who told you that they were Lon Nol soldiers; is that correct? A. Besides those who lived in my nearby villages, they would not tell me whether they were former Lon Nol soldiers or teachers. And only those whom we knew at the nearby villages, then they would tell me.

Q. In a quote that I just read, you said the Lon Nol soldiers were taken to Prey Kduoch. Can you tell us what was located at Prey Kduoch and how did you become aware that the Lon Nol soldiers were taken there?

A. After the regime collapsed in 1979, I knew what it was, but 15 not during the regime. And in fact, it was not Prey Kduoch. It 16 17 actually had a number. It's called Office 204. It's called Au 18 Kantuot, and there was a hill there. For those people who were 19 sent there, maybe there would be only one or two who survived. 20 The rest were killed. And the same thing as in Krang Ta Chan. And 21 for those who were sent to fight at the front battlefield, there 22 was hardly any survivors. And for example, at the village, those 23 who survived, only were those who actually knew how to build 24 houses; for example, in the village.

25 [11.11.45]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

38

1	Q. You've just told us you learnt this after the regime fell in
2	1979. Can you tell us how you learnt this information after the
3	regime fell?
4	A. I was a commune chief from 1979 to 1982 that is, Ta Phem
5	commune. For those who were linked to the Khmer Rouge regime,
б	were arrested, then they were educated at Kaoh Andaet. There was
7	a prison there at Kaoh Andaet.
8	Q. I'd like to turn now to the subject of killings at Krang Ta
9	Chan. In your 2008 interview, which is E3/5153, page number
10	00172045, in Khmer; page 00205091, in English; and 00205096, in
11	French; you say the following about killings at Krang Ta Chan
12	quote:
13	"The killing site was about 100 metres from the prison. I was
14	used to drag people who were nearly dead and people who had died
15	because of beatings to the killing site along with the Khmer
16	Rouge cadres. At the killing site, I saw the prison guards hit
17	people with bamboo clubs, clubs, and hoes, and sometimes they cut
18	their throats with palm frond stems. I saw them use swords to
19	behead prisoners many times." End of quote.
20	[11.13.54]
21	Can you tell us who was it that ordered you to assist in dragging
22	and burying those bodies?
23	A. There was a unit of militia there who used us to do it. And,
24	the person who was in-charge there named Dam, he's still alive
25	today but he's very elderly and fragile now. Not only Dam who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

39

executed the prisoners, but there were a group of them, there
 were about 20 of them who actually executed the prisoners and who
 dug pits to bury them.

Q. And can you describe for us how these executions took place?
A. There were different ways of killing people there. Sometimes
prisoners were killed immediately upon their arrival. I didn't
know how they obtained the information. They executed those
immediately. Let me give you one event.

9 [11.15.30]

10 One day at 5 o'clock in the afternoon, there was a woman who was with about -- three-year old child, and another one was young, 11 12 maybe just newly born and the woman could not walk because of bleeding. I could see blood stains on her skirt. She was 13 accompanied by two guards with two guns at the detention office. 14 15 And one messenger went to -- probably to meet his superior, and 16 then he returned and he stated that they would not be detained 17 and then they walked the woman and the children to a location. 18 First, the two militiamen walked, and then there were two more 19 militia -- to go to a tamarind tree, and a "thnang" (phonetic) 20 tree to the north. They snatched the baby and hit the baby 21 against the stump of the trunk of the tree. And the same thing 22 they did to the three-year old child and then they threw them 23 into the pit and the mother cried and became unconscious. At that 24 time they did not take people through a court, like in this 25 Court. They just simply killed the people. I don't know; maybe

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

40

1 they made a decision somewhere before that and then only -- only 2 then, those people were sent to be executed. I saw what happened 3 but I didn't know the reason why they killed the women. I just made my own analysis and came to that conclusion. 4 5 [11.17.53]Q. Are you able to estimate the number of people you saw killed б 7 during the time you were at Krang Ta Chan? A. When I was detained at the Centre, I cannot make assumption. 8 9 There was not less than 50 victims executed a day. It's not only for the former Lon Nol officers or soldiers. The victims were 10 11 also cadres from the Khmer Rouge themselves. There were more 12 also. I know some of them who were from my village, who spent and serve the movement since 1970. They were taken for execution in 13 14 that prison. And the killing was more intense in 1975. 15 [11.19.06] 16 Q. During your time at Krang Ta Chan, do you remember whether 17 there were any loud speakers and if so, what they were used for? 18 A. Yes, they would use loudspeaker very much. Early in the 19 morning when they play music on the loudspeaker, they use 20 loudspeaker at four places. They play revolutionary songs and the 21 more sound you heard from the speakers, the more victim they

22 killed, because they would use the voice from the loudspeaker to

23 hide something. So, when you hear loudspeaker or music on

24 loudspeaker, there were many people killed during that time.

25 Q. Are you able to remember the names of any of the Khmer Rouge

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

41

- 1 cadres from your village whom you saw at Krang Ta Chan?
- 2 A. Your question, do you mean that the prisoners at Krang Ta Chan
- 3 or those who were as a staff at Krang Ta Chan?
- 4 Q. Prisoners at Krang Ta Chan.
- 5 A. There were many of them but all of them died. No one survived.
- 6 [11.21.16]
- 7 Q. And are you today able to recall any of their names?

A. Yes. I remember some of their names: Prosecutor Suon from 8 9 Takeo province from the court, and then Sok San, commune chief of 10 Samraong commune after the liberation by the Khmer Rouge. And the Khmer Rouge took control in his province and he left to the 11 12 province. And Suon, who was a former military officer -- and then 13 the Khmer Rouge arrested him after the liberation, soon after the liberation, and other teenagers who were from Phnom Penh after 14 15 the liberation by the Khmer Rouge on the 17th of April 1975. I 16 know many of them, and I asked them and they told me that they 17 were sent to Champa Pagoda and later they were sent to Krang Ta 18 Chan. I told them why don't you lie to them, and they said, no, 19 they could not lie to Khmer Rouge. And one of the victims was 20 taken, and the Khmer Rouge asked him, "Do you know among the 10 people?" And that person said, "Yes, I know". And then they sent 21 22 another person back into the group and another one was taken for 23 interrogation and then they came and take the first member. And 24 the Khmer Rouge told him that, "You know from the second person 25 that what you did in the old regime". So, they keep rotating this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

42

1 method among the 10 people. And then, they took all of them for 2 execution. 3 [11.23.46]Q. Thank you. And I apologise again for interrupting you. It's 4 5 just because we have a limited amount of time and a lot of information to cover. б 7 I'd like to ask you now about an incident of torture that you 8 witnessed at Krang Ta Chan. You described this in D25/24 at page 9 00163459, in Khmer; page 00223456, in English; and page 00178094, 10 in French. And here is what you said - quote: 11 MR. PRESIDENT: 12 Hold on Prosecution. Mr. Koppe, you may proceed. 13 MR. KOPPE: 14 Thank you, Mr. President. 15 For the record, I know what the question is going to be and I 16 should have objected already to the earlier question on the 17 execution. There really is no point in reading this particular 18 statement to the witness and then asking to confirm. I know you 19 will overrule it but I make the objection anyway. The prosecutor 20 should ask an open question as to events that he witnessed in 21 relation to torture. Not just read it to him and then he can say 22 yes or no. 23 [11.25.20]24 MR. FARR:

25 Mr. President, if I could respond. We've got an established

43

1	practice in this Trial. We understand that this is the Defence's
2	objection but there's no reason to vary from the practice that
3	we've established of putting witness's previous statements to him
4	and asking him for additional information or clarification.
5	MR. KOPPE:
6	May I, for the exception, briefly respond? I remember also
7	answering to the Prosecution if there was a decision of the Trial
8	Chamber in relation to Tuol Po Chrey witness that when it comes
9	to very specific contentious period of the testimony, then the
10	questions should be open rather than closed as they are now. That
11	was a decision issued by Judge Cartwright at that time, which was
12	protested by the Prosecution, but there is a limit to where it
13	should closed questions and where it should be open questions.
14	But that, I do remember, is a ruling of your Chamber.
15	(Short pause)
16	[11.27.05]
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	This is a question for clarification in addition to the
19	statement, so the objection is not sustained, so the witness
20	should reply to the question by the Co-Prosecutor.
21	And, Prosecutor, could you reframe your question so that the
22	witness can respond to your question correctly?
23	BY MR. FARR:
24	Yes, Mr. President.
25	Q. Mr. Witness, I'm going to read you a portion of one of your

44

	TI
1	interviews and then ask you a couple of questions about it. This
2	is describing an event in Krang Ta Chan and this is what you said
3	- quote:
4	"There were three women from Srae Ambel who they tortured. They
5	had them take off their shirts and they used pincers to pull off
б	their noses and earlobes, and they threw acid on them, poured
7	water [into them], and dragged them outside naked. They fried
8	their livers. I saw that with my own eyes." End quote.
9	[11.28.27]
10	Q. Now my question is: Can you tell us where specifically in
11	Krang Ta Chan prison, this torture took place and where you were
12	that you were able to observe it?
13	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
14	A. Krang Ta Chan detention centre the building was 4 by 20 $$
15	metre long. Prisoners were shackled in a row. To the West, there
16	was a small hut with the (inaudible) wall. The prisoners were
17	sitting on the ground and the cadres were sitting on a coconut
18	chair coconut tree chair, and they the prisoners were
19	called to prison there to terrorise them, to tell them the truth
20	when they are interrogated. So, they did torture to terrorise
21	other prisoners. And, the next morning, prisoners were called to
22	sit. And, the woman was brought one of them named Siet
23	(phonetic) was brought naked. She was from Ta Prem village. I
24	forget her commune from Treang district Ta Prem village in
25	Treang district. That lady joined the Revolution long time ago.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

45

#### 1 [11.30.30]

2 Since I was working in the hospital, the Khmer Rouge told that 3 the three women were prepared for medical training, but finally they were sent to the salt farm and about a year or so later they 4 were brought to Krang Ta Chan. And the interrogator -- one of 5 them -- held a pincer and another one holding a bottle of acid -б 7 maybe sulphuric acid but I'm not quite sure -- but they order that naked woman to sit on the ground. And then they used the 8 9 pincer to torture her on her nose, her ear, and her cheek, and 10 then squeeze and also her lip. And she was bleeding on her nose, 11 and her lip, and her ear. And then another interrogator using the 12 sulphuric acid to pour on her and she was screaming and there 13 were about 10 prisoners who were ordered to sit and watch the torture. And then another Khmer Rouge took water and pour on 14 those prisoners who were in coma, and then one of them was 15 16 becoming conscious and the interrogator ask her, "Who led you to 17 betray?" And the victim could not respond. And then they used the 18 hook to hook her on her jaw and then used the string to pull her 19 up. And then they used a knife to cut her throat, to cut her 20 chest, and then he took the liver together with heart and also 21 gall bladder. And then they used the liver, heart, as a part of 22 terrorising people and to touch on our face and our head. And then they called another cadre, "Come here and take this to fry 23 24 for us. And, you should cut off the liver and keep it for me." We 25 were ordered to sit and watch there until the next morning. And

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

46

- 1 then the victim was in convulsion because her liver and heart was
- 2 taken away from her chest. And in the morning, we were called to 3 shackle in detention and --
- 4 [11.34.19]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Now, it is now appropriate time for lunch break.
- 7 And from the 17 of April 1975, this is the scope for our hearing
- 8 in Case 002/02. And the Chamber would like to advise the party
- 9 that you should limit your line of questioning to the scope of
- 10 hearing; otherwise, you could not finish your questioning.
- 11 So, the Court will be in recess for lunch break and we will
- 12 resume at 1.30.
- 13 Court officer, please work with the WESU to facilitate the
- 14 witness to have the room for his break and bring him back before
- 15 1.30 this afternoon.
- 16 And security personnel are instructed -- Mr. Khieu Samphan to the
- 17 holding cell downstairs and bring him back to the courtroom
- 18 before 1.30.
- 19 The Court is in recess.
- 20 [Court recesses from 1135H to 1333H]
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Please be seated.
- 23 The Chamber will again cede the floor to the Prosecution to
- 24 continue putting questions to this witness. The combined time for
- 25 the Prosecution and the Lead Co-Lawyers is for one session --

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

1

47

2	BY MR. TRAVIS FARR:
3	Thank you, Mr. President.
4	Q. Mr. Witness, I would now like to turn to your release from
5	Krang Ta Chan Prison. In your Interview D25/24, at page 00163458,
6	in Khmer; 00223453, in English; and 00178092; you gave the
7	following description of Ta Mok releasing you from Krang Ta Chan
8	Prison. And what you say is - quote:
9	"He went to Krang Ta Chan to get me. He went with Ta Chim; they
10	rode a CL motorcycle [] He did not enter, just called out for
11	Chhen, and said, 'That doctor where is he? Feed him.' They fed
12	me, and he went South. When he returned, he took me with him."
13	End quote.
14	[13.35.49]
15	So my first question and if you could please answer as briefly
16	as you can who was the person named Ta Chim who came to Krang
17	Ta Chan with Ta Mok?
18	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
19	A. After I left Krang Ta Chan Prison, I learnt that Ta Chim was
20	District 108 Committee. They used the number for Tram Kak at the
21	time.
22	Q. Thank you, sir. And again, as briefly as you can, where did Ta
23	Mok take you when he came and had you released from Krang Ta
24	Chan?

that is, it will conclude by the short break this afternoon.

25 A. He took me to a hospital - 22-K -- which was a Zone hospital.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

48

- Q. Thank you. And did Ta Mok ever explain to you how he was able
   to release you from Krang Ta Chan Prison?
   A. I didn't know the actual reason. And Ta Mok needed me the
   most, that's why he went to get me because there was a machine
   from -- equipment from Kampong Speu, and they couldn't work on
   it. It's a radiography machine so he needed me to work on that
- 7 medical equipment.
- 8 [13.37.54]

9 Q. Other than Ta Mok, did you see any other Khmer Rouge leaders 10 during your time at Krang Ta Chan? And if so, whom did you see? 11 A. I didn't know <anyone and had not> heard of that name <too>. 12 However, one day -- <it was> around 2 o'clock in the afternoon 13 <,> I saw people <looking at my area, because I was sitting> near 14 the entrance << of the prison. They were saying, "Ta Chea!> Ta 15 Chea<!>. However, I was about 80 metres away and I was rather 16 young. I could <not> see that person <very well. They were saying 17 that it was Ta Chea, > but I < had never seen him before and > did 18 not know <that there were many people who went by the name Ta 19 Chea.>

Even at the Hospital 22K, there was another person by the name of Chea who was returned from Hanoi. At that time they referred to that man as Ta Chea and the word "Ta" was used during the Khmer Rouge Regime to refer to people with senior or higher position. [13.39.20]

25 Q. I think you just told us how far you were away from that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

49

1	person when you saw him, but I didn't quite understand. Can you
2	tell us what the distance was between you and that person when
3	you saw him?
4	A. Ta Chea didn't come to the prison cells. They were he was
5	near the office of the senior cadres, and from where I was
б	detained to where he was standing, it was about between 70 to 80
7	metres.
8	Q. And you also mentioned the name Ta Chea. How did you come to
9	associate the name Ta Chea with that particular person?
10	A. One district cadre who was also detained at Krang Ta Chan said
11	that that man was Ta Chea. So I presumed that he was a senior
12	cadre of the Khmer Rouge. That was my own conclusion.
13	Q. In your statement at E35/153; Khmer, page 0017-2044; English,
14	00205090; and French, 00205094; you describe a different occasion
15	during the Democratic Kampuchea regime when you saw Nuon Chea
16	give a speech. Can you tell us whether he was introduced by name
17	at the time that he gave that speech?
18	[13.41.46]
19	A. Ta Chea made a speech, but it was not at Krang Ta Chan. I was
20	detained at Krang Ta Chan in 1975, and that was around mid-March
21	and continued till early April. And after I left Krang Ta Chan, I
22	was not allowed to return to my house. I was further evacuated to
23	an area about 20 kilometres away from my house. They then
24	gathered the 18 April People, not the 17 April People from Phnom
25	Penh. So they gathered up those people to watch a circus

50

- 1 performance in Takeo province.
- And I'd like to elaborate a little bit further on this event. The circus performers were Chinese. There was a female performer who would step up higher with other people support on a single wheel. There were 11 of them altogether.
- 6 From the figure of the man who made a speech, it actually 7 resembled Ta Chea that I saw at Krang Ta Chan. He -- what I can 8 recall -- is that Cambodia is an agricultural country, but we 9 will transform it. And I don't actually understand much about 10 what he said. From -- he will transform into an agri-industrial 11 country. I check at the word and I understood the meaning, and 12 then I was happy. So after I was released from Krang Ta Chan,
- 13 then I was happy that Cambodia will be transformed.
- 14 [13.44.23]
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Mr. Witness, please respond only within the limit of the question 17 that is asked to you.
- 18 BY MR. FARR:

Q. Okay. Now I would like to turn your attention to events after your release from Krang Ta Chan, shortly after your release from Krang Ta Chan. In your statement E3/5153, at page 00172044, in Khmer; 00205090, in English; and 00205095, in French; you were asked whether you saw any Khmer Rouge leaders after 17 April 1975 when the evacuees from Phnom Penh were arriving in Tram Kak district, and this is what you said - quote:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

51

1	"I saw Ta Mok at Wat Champa, near my house in Moha Sena village.
2	I don't know about the others. I know that 70 per cent of the
3	incoming evacuees at the Wat Champa centre were killed at Krang
4	Ta Chan, Krabei Prey, Office 160, and at Office 204 called Au
5	Kantuot." End quote.
б	So my first question, very briefly: How far was Wat Champa from
7	your house at that time, April 1975?
8	[13.46.16]
9	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
10	A. From the pagoda fence, it was just a road dividing my house
11	and the fence of the pagoda, so the distance is approximately 20
12	metres.
13	Q. And can you tell the Court what the pagoda was used for when
14	the evacuees from Phnom Penh and other cities arrived in Tram Kak
15	district after 17 April 1975?
16	A. Wat Champa Pagoda was turned into the Khmer Rouge's office.
17	And they raised pigs on the temple. As for the monk's residences,
18	they housed children there. And there was a supervisor for each
19	group of those children, and those children did not attend any
20	schooling there.
21	Q. And do you personally see the evacuees arriving at Wat Champa?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	[13.47.43]
24	Q. Can you give us an estimate of how many evacuees you saw
25	arriving at Wat Champa?

52

1	A. When people were evacuated from Phnom Penh to Wat Champa
2	pagoda, I was still being detained at Krang Ta Chan. It was my
3	eleventh or twelfth day in detention, and some of those evacuees
4	also were sent to Krang Ta Chan. And only after I was released
5	from Krang Ta Chan to return to my house in the village, there
б	was still some evacuees living in that Champa pagoda, and from
7	what I saw, I could see there was still thousands of evacuees
8	living in that pagoda.
9	Q. You mentioned that you saw Ta Mok at Wat Champa. What was he
10	doing when you saw him there?
11	A. When I saw Ta Mok I didn't dare go into that area where the 17
12	April People lived. I was standing from my house that is,
13	outside the pagoda and I saw him making some gesture, pointing
14	here and there, but I couldn't hear what he said. I only saw his
15	gesture from my house.
16	[13.49.24]
17	Q. You mentioned him gesturing and speaking. Could you see who he
18	was gesturing and speaking to?
19	<a. after="" all="" been="" evacuated,="" had="" i="" people=""></a.>
20	MR. PRESIDENT:
21	Mr. Witness, please wait. And Counsel <>, you <now> have the</now>
22	floor.
23	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
24	Thank you, Mr. President. <i like="" to="" would=""> object to this</i>
25	question. It is a kind of question that <leads> this witness <to< td=""></to<></leads>

53

1	speculate because the witness did not> hear <directly> what Ta</directly>
2	Mok was saying <at and,="" as="" he="" just="" mok<="" saw="" stated,="" ta="" td="" the="" time=""></at>
3	from a distance. Asking the witness to say what was being said is
4	to require the witness to speculate. Thank you, Mr. President.>
5	MR. PRESIDENT:
б	The objection by the defence counsel is sustained as <the< th=""></the<>
7	question> leads <him and="" his="" is="" response="" speculate.="" td="" therefore<="" to=""></him>
8	not important and conducive to ascertaining the truth in this
9	case.>
10	And Mr. Witness, you <> don't need to respond to <this> question.</this>
11	[13.50.39]
12	BY MR. FARR:
13	Q. You said you didn't dare go into the area where the 17 April
14	People were. Why didn't you dare to go into that area?
15	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
16	A. We were told by the Base People that whoever involved or had
17	relatives living among those people, they were considered having
18	connection with the enemy. So, they actually cut off any
19	relationship with siblings or with family relatives. We were not
20	allowed to have any contact with the 17 April People or the 18
21	April People.
22	Q. In the OCIJ statement that I just quoted, you said that many
23	of the evacuees gathered at Wat Champa were killed and you
24	identified four sites at which these evacuees were killed: Krang
25	Ta Chan, Krabei Prey, Office 160, and Office 204. We are familiar

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

54

1 with Krang Ta Chan, but I want to ask you about the other three 2 sites you have mentioned. Can you first, very briefly, tell us 3 where or what Krabei Prey was? And again, as briefly as you are able to. 4 5 A. Krabei Prey was located in Samraong commune and it was in Krabei Prey village. б 7 [13.52.30] Q. And what was Office 160 and where was it located? 8 9 MR. PRESIDENT: 10 Mr. Witness, please wait until you see the light on the tip of 11 the microphone. 12 MR. KEV CHANDARA: 13 A. Office 160 was located at the current Prey Kduoch commune, 14 Trapeang Kaoh (phonetic) village, and Trapeang Kranhung commune. 15 Q. And what about Office 204, where was that and where was it 16 located? 17 A. Office 204 was located in Ou Saray commune, but I cannot 18 recall the village name. 19 [13.53.32]20 Q. And how do you know that evacuees were killed at those sites? A. After the liberation in 1979, I became Trapeang commune chief. 21 22 There was no chief for Prey Kduoch, Trapeang Kranhung or Ou 23 Saray. So I had to go and work in those communes and I saw 24 skeletal remains of people who had been executed at Office 204 or 25 Office 160 or Prey Kduoch or at Krang Ta Chan. However, the only

55

1	difference was that the people were buried at Krang Ta Chan's
2	office, and in fact, I participated with other people in trying
3	to bury some of those bodies who were exposed and some of them
4	had been eaten by wild dogs near the base of the mountain.
5	Q. Thank you, sir. I would now like to ask you about another
6	topic from the period from 1975 to 1979. In your 2008 interview,
7	which is E3/5153 at page 00172044, in Khmer; 00205089, in
8	English; and 00205094, in French; you are asked about meeting Ta
9	Mok during the period from 1975 until 1979, and this is what you
10	said - quote:
11	"I met Ta Mok frequently. He came to the village where I lived
12	and I also met him at the worksites and I met him in Baray too."
13	End quote.
14	Now, I would like to ask you to focus specifically on the
15	worksites where you saw Ta Mok. And my first question is what
16	kind of worksites where these where you saw Ta Mok during the
17	period from 1975 to 1979?
18	[13.56.09]
19	A. I would like to respond to your question briefly but it would
20	be difficult for you to understand but if I respond in details it
21	will take your time.
22	At the worksites, I was no longer allowed to be a medic. However,
23	sometimes I was called to Takeo province to ask; for example,
24	what that specific medical equipment was used for or which - what

56

1	drive a tractor powering a water pump. And sometimes, I was used
2	to be together with some repairmen to work on light to show in
3	order to provide lighting to the worksite for people to work at
4	night.
5	Q. You have mentioned a water pump, but can you tell us what was
6	being done at these worksites? Were people growing rice, were
7	they building canals, were they building dams, or were they
8	building something else?
9	[13.57.43]
10	A. People there were having a very difficult time, and I believe
11	everywhere in the country the situation was the same under the
12	Khmer Rouge's control. They worked non-stop.
13	As for younger children and their parents, the parents had to
14	work and old women were assigned to look after 10 to 15 young
15	children each. They worked in the rice fields for all year
16	around: dry and wet seasons.
17	As for me, I was assigned to work with the 20 youth - that is, to
18	provide water into 20 rice fields and I had to be on mobile
19	constantly to check which rice field needed water. So I had to
20	irrigate those rice fields, as well as to repair any broken pump.
21	I had skills in medicine, but you can imagine the difficulty for
22	me to be assigned as a repairman for those water pumps.
23	Q. Now you mentioned having seen Ta Mok at some of these
24	worksites. Can you tell me what Ta Mok was doing when you saw him
25	at these worksites?

57

1	A. Thank you for asking this question. I really, in fact,
2	impressed Ta Mok. Ta Mok was a person of great interest. He went
3	into worksites canal worksite, but he dressed in a way that
4	people didn't recognize him as Ta Mok. He actually went to ask
5	from a unit chief us carrying a basket and a shoulder pole.
6	And he also observed that the unit chief did not work so he used
7	a pole to beat up that unit chief and asked him to work and he
8	told the unit chief that he had to work as other workers too.
9	[14.00.26]
10	Q. When he beat this unit chief, did he then identify himself as
11	Ta Mok at that time?
12	A. Some people recognized him although he didn't present himself
13	as Ta Mok.
14	Q. Did you ever speak to him at any of these worksites, and if
15	so, what was your conversation?
16	A. From the time that I was evacuated, I didn't have a one-on-one
17	conservation with him. However, he used to advise me that I was
18	an intellectual, a petit bourgeoisie, and that I had to get rid
19	of that social status and put myself in the position of a poor
20	peasant farmer status so that I could prove that I could be
21	tempered to work with him. Otherwise, I would be sent for
22	refashion or re-education again.
23	[14.01.48]
24	Q. Do you recall where and when Ta Mok told you these things?
25	A. Yes, I do. He told me when I arrived at Damnak Snuol office

58

1 that is, at the sector hospital the day he left the pagoda --2 that is, after he dealt with the 17 April People, he came to my 3 house. He asked about the health of my mother and he told me that we should not stay in that house and that we should stay further 4 -- the further, the better. So after those 17 April People left 5 the pagoda, we moved to Baray commune in District 108 -- that is б 7 to the North of the Takeo province, which is currently called 8 Roka Krau district.

9 [14.02.58]

Q. Thank you, sir. The last subject I would like to address concerns the period after January 1979 when you had become the chief of Ta Phem commune and a number of graves were exhumed at the Krang Ta Chan site. In interview D25/24; at page 00163462, in Khmer; 0023457, in English; and 00178095, in French; you were asked how many bodies were exhumed and you provided the following response. You said - quote:

"Eight pits, 10,045 persons (from the actual count of skulls), but there were many other pits which had not yet been dug up." And then continuing a bit later: "After the stupa was built, I counted again and found 10,011." End quote.

Now my first question relates to these eight pits. Where were these eight pits located in relation to the Krang Ta Chan prison? A. Thank you for your question. I will <-answer this question and this will make me feel better as I suffered during my 29 days at Krang Ta Chan. Those who were killed there were not only 17 or 18

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

59

1	April People, the people who were the enemy, but also the peopled
2	who joined the Khmer Rouge struggle, including members of the
3	Royal family, monks, French and German people. Venerable Tep Phon
4	was among the victims. As for the French, while I was in Krang Ta
5	Chan prison, I heard about the French and was able to ask a few
б	questions.>
7	<on 17="" april,="" away,="" but="" did="" french="" i="" know="" not="" taken="" td="" the="" to<="" were=""></on>
8	where.>
9	[14.05.42]
10	Q. Sorry for interrupting you, but my question was actually quite
11	specifically about the exhumations. In 1979 when you were
12	participating in these exhumations, you mentioned the exhumation
13	of eight pits in which there were10,045 bodies found. And I am
14	interested in the location of these eight pits compared to the
15	Krang Ta Chan prison. How close to or far away from the Krang Ta
16	Chan prison were those eight pits that you participated in the
17	exhumation of?
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. PRESIDENT:
20	Hold on, witness.
21	Counsel, you may proceed.
22	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
23	Thank you, Mr. President. I am not objecting to the question but
24	I note that the witness reads from his note and he also may be
25	ticking on his papers.

60

1	MR.	PRESIDENT:
-	1.117.	INDOLDDNI.

Yes, I reviewed the paper from him this morning. It is just a blank piece of paper. It is his way of responding things. So prosecutor, you may proceed. Please, repeat your last question so that the witness can remember.

- 6 [14.07.13]
- 7 BY MR. FARR:

8 Q. My question was about, very specifically, these eight pits 9 that you participated in the exhumation of in 1979, from which 10 more than 10,000 bodies were recovered. And I am interested in 11 the location of the pits. How close to or far away from Krang Ta 12 Chan prison were they?

A. Yes. In Krang Ta Chan Office, it was 250 metres long and 200 13 metres wide. Those pits were not far away from the Centre. It was 14 15 on the southern part of the fence and other in the eastern area 16 in the paddy field. We did not excavate all the pits. During the 17 25 days when I was detained, I was ordered to dig the pits so I 18 could identify, and I did the excavation those eight pits. And we 19 did the actual count of skull, but there was no skull from the 20 small children. At the time, Oxfam did not recognise it was the skull of human beings. They say, "they are not human remains". 21 22 They were skull or bones from cattle. Unless we can prove -- we 23 can show them human skull -- and then Oxfam representative 24 recognised it was the human remains. And we would store the human 25 remains next to the pit. We appreciate Oxfam, at that time, who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

61

1 support people who did the digging with rice ration. And based on 2 our actual count, there were 12,132 skulls. Two weeks later, when 3 I arrived there, the human remains, the skull was scattering, and the villager told me that dogs and other animal took them away. 4 5 [14.10.30]MR. PRESIDENT: б 7 Witness, please be reminded that time is very short for you so 8 please be brief in your response to the question. 9 BY MR. TRAVIS FARR: 10 I'm sorry; apologies for interrupting you. I just have a couple 11 more questions. 12 Q. My first one -- the eight pits that you exhumed in 1979 -- was 13 that the same location or a different location than where you had 14 been ordered to take bodies when you were a prisoner at Krang Ta 15 Chan? 16 [14.11.12]17 MR. KEV CHANDARA: 18 A. Not all the pits. In some places, the prisoners who were 19 detained there, and Keo Sam At, who was one of the prisoners, 20 later told me that they were at the pits. And later we could 21 identify the place where the pit is. And when we dug out, we 22 could find skull and human remains and we request Oxfam for small 23 construction, and then we did the count and we found 12,000 24 skulls. And after that, we transferred the skull and human 25 remains to the stupa, but the skull were not the same as the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

62

- 1 first time we found them.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 4 [14.12.35]
- 5 BY MR. TRAVIS FARR:
- 6 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 7 Q. My last question. You've referred to an actual count of skulls
- 8 and I think you mentioned a number of over 12,000. Can you tell
- 9 me who it was that physically counted these skulls and came up
- 10 with that number of something over 12,000?
- 11 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 12 A. Could you please repeat your question?
- 13 Q. Yes. I'm interested in who it was that actually counted the
- 14 skulls. Who were the people that counted the skulls and came up
- 15 with this number, of something in excess of 12,000?
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 So translation seems to be incorrect. So the number is 12,000;
- 18 it's not 10,000. So please check again on the interpretation.
- 19 [14.13.50]
- 20 BY MR. TRAVIS FARR:
- 21 Q. Mr. Witness, could you please tell us again what was the total
- 22 number of skulls that were counted in the course of these
- 23 exhumations?
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Witness, please hold on.

63

2 A. Upon our excavation, so we store the skull next to the pits. 3 So the total skull was 12,132. After removing from those pits to store in the wooden house to avoid any disturbing, and then there 4 was only 12,012 skulls. So those skulls that lost were not taken 5 away by dogs or pigs. But there were relatives of the victim who б 7 pray and say that "if among these victims is my husband or my daughter, if I touch any skull, it would be that skull". And then 8 9 those people took away for their ritual or festival. And later, 10 we transport it to store in the stupa, and then the skull getting lesser than this number. 11

12 [14.15.44]

# 13 Q. And my last question is: who was it who actually counted these 14 skulls?

A. Those who did that -- other people, including the chief monk of Kreang Sei (phonetic) who did the counting. And I also did the counting. He also participated in the digging out. And one of the monks is still -- Reverend Khem Sok (phonetic) in Tram Kak district, who participated in the counting of the skull and storing those human remains.

21 MR. FARR:

22 Mr. Kev Chandara, thank you for answering my questions.

23 Mr. President, I have no further questions.

24 MR. PRESIDENT:

25 Mr. Prosecutor, you conclude your line of questioning and now the

64

- 1 Chamber give the floor to the Lead Co-Lawyer to put question to
- 2 this witness.
- 3 [14.17.15]
- 4 QUESTIONING BY MS. GUIRAUD:

5 Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Witness. My name is
6 Marie Guiraud. I am the International Lawyer representing the
7 consolidated group of civil parties.

8 Q. I have just one question to put to you regarding the statement 9 you gave this afternoon. I would like you to explain a term you 10 used a while ago. You said that there were the people of the 17th April, or the 18th of April, or the 18th of March. Can you tell 11 us what those categories of people consisted of? You have the 12 17th of April, 18th of April, and the 17th of March translated 13 14 differently. Can you tell us whether you were talking of the 17th 15 of April or the 18th of March People?

16 MR. KEV CHANDARA:

A. Yes. The 17 April People were those who still live in Lon Nol regime: Cheng Heng (phonetic), who were created on the 17 April. But those who were called 18 April People were those who lived with the Khmer Rouge in their Liberated Zone before the 17 April liberation by the Khmer Rouge.

22 [14.18.59]

23 Q. So were the 18 April People the Base People?

A. The assumption by the local people - they were -- they calledthe 18 April People. So, all who were there with the Khmer Rouge

65

1 Liberated Zone were called the 18 April People. It was an idiom 2 used by the Liberated Zone. So they were the Base People. 3 Q. In order to be absolutely sure that I properly understood your 4 testimony, the Base People were not people from the commune in 5 which you live, but were people who came from elsewhere; is that б exactly what you're saying? 7 A. Yes. The 18 April People were those who were not living during 8 the Lon Nol regime. So those who were liberated by the Khmer 9 Rouge outside of the provincial town but they belong to the Khmer 10 Rouge before the liberation by the Khmer Rouge of Phnom Penh. But those who transferred to the place after 17 April 1975 were 11 12 called 17 April People. [14.21.06]13 Q. Thank you, Witness. In order to be more certain that I've 14 15 properly understood you, can you explain to the Chamber whether 16 the people of the 17th of April were treated differently from the 17 people of the 18th of April or the April 18 People? 18 A. Counsel, please repeat your question because I did not catch 19 your question. 20 MR. PRESIDENT: 21 Lead Co-Counsel, could you reframe your question because it seems 22 to me that the witness is confusing with your last question. 23 Could you repeat your question and reframe it if possible? 24 BY MS. GUIRAUD: 25 Of course, Mr. President.

66

1	Q. My question, Mr. Witness, is as follows: Are the 17 April
2	People, people who are treated differently from the 18 April
3	People? Were they treated differently in terms of the food that
4	they were given? The kind of work they had to do? Was there a
5	difference between the 17 April People and the 18 April People in
б	terms of the treatment that was meted out to them?
7	[14.22.37]
8	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
9	A. It was understood by the Khmer Rouge that they stayed, they
10	received education with them since 1970 until some period of
11	time. Whereas the 17 April People were those who moved to the
12	place to the community after 17 April 1975 they didn't
13	attend any education, any training or live with them earlier.
14	MR. PRESIDENT:
15	The question is like this: What was the treatment by the leaders
16	or the society towards the 18 April People and the 17 April
17	People in 1975? Was there any difference in the treatment about
18	food ration, about work condition, and so on?
19	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
20	A. Yes. The 17 April People were perceived as knowing too little
21	about the Liberated Zone, whereas the 18 April People were the
22	ones who stay in those areas since 1970, more than eight years.
23	So the 17 April spent only 3 years and 8 months only.
24	[14.24.31]
25	MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

67

1	Counsel for Lead Co-Lawyer, could you make your question more
2	simpler and shorter so that the witness can understand and
3	respond well to your question.
4	BY MS. GUIRAUD:
5	Q. Thank you, Mr. President. I have just one last question for
б	the witness, and I hope you would understand my question,
7	Witness.
8	Were the 17 April People treated any differently from the 18
9	April People? Were the 18 April People treated any more
10	differently than those who had already been there since the very
11	beginning?
12	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
13	A. Under the regulation, people should receive the same food, the
14	same labour, but the trust in them was different. There was less
15	trust in the 17 April People, because they considered them having
16	some remnant, some ideology from Lon Nol regime. But I observed
17	that those who were in the 18 April People would be assigned to
18	be the group chief, unit chief. But the 17 April People did not
19	get a chance to be appointed as group chief or unit chief at all.
20	[14.26.22]
21	MS. GUIRAUD:
22	Thank you, witness. Thank you, Mr. President. I have no further
23	questions for the witness.
24	MR. PRESIDENT:
25	Thank you, Counsel.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

68

1	Now the Chamber will give the floor to the defence counsel for
2	Mr. Noun Chea to get an opportunity to put question to this
3	witness. Counsel, you may proceed.
4	QUESTIONING BY MR. SUON VISAL:
5	Good afternoon, Mr. President, Your Honours, and the witness. I
б	will ask you a number of questions.
7	Q. I would like to know which was the exact date of your arrest,
8	witness?
9	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
10	A. When I was arrested, it was on the 20th of March, and I was
11	released by the end of April. It was
12	from late March and part of early April 1975.
13	[14.27.55]
14	Q. Thank you. I would like to quote from your statement. Did you
15	give your statement to the Office of the Co-Investigating Judge
16	on the 24th October 2007?
17	A. Could you repeat your question, Counsel?
18	Q. I want to ask you in your interview for the Co-Investigating
19	Judge on the 24th of October 2007; did you give this interview on
20	this date?
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Witness, please hold on.
23	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
24	A. What recorded on the statement you can see my thumbprint or
25	signature on them.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

	-
6	$\cap$
n	9

- 1 [14.29.10]
- 2 BY MR. SUON VISAL:
- 3 Q. Thank you. I would like to quote your response D25/24,
- 4 00163465, English; 00222452.
- 5 When were you arrested? You said "between 1973, 1974, before the
- 6 liberation all across the country." Is this your question to the
- 7 Co-investigating Judge?
- 8 A. Yes, this response is not correct.
- 9 Q. Yes, thank you. I just would like to know the short question,
- 10 because -- in the interest of time.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Please hold on.
- 13 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 14 A. All answers on the record with my signature and thumbprint are 15 all correct. But it has been several years and many years, so if 16 you compare to my response here, might be something not
- 17 identical.
- 18 [14.30.41]
- 19 BY MR. SUON VISAL:
- 20 Q. But you said in this statement that you were arrested in 1973
- 21 or 1974, before the liberation by the Khmer Rouge. Is it correct
- 22 that you responded that?
- 23 A. I don't have the interview with me now. And, in fact, I
- 24 reviewed some of my statements. And in this case, that response
- 25 is not correct.

1 Q. That's all we want from you, and I'll now move to another 2 question. 3 I'd like to ask you in regard to your detention, after you were 4 arrested, you were sent straight away to Krang Ta Chan or were 5 you sent elsewhere? A. I was sent to Krabei Prey Office and I was detained there for б 7 five days. It was not a prison. It was an area called Krabei 8 Prey. However, for people who were identified as committed real 9 offences, they would be executed immediately. As for those who 10 needed to be further interrogated, they were sent to Krang Ta 11 Chan. I only saw one person, Achar Chhen, who was the 12 interrogator at Krabei Prey. [14.32.15]13 14 Q. When you were sent to that office, was there anyone else 15 arrested and sent along with you? 16 A. Yes. There were four of us: Yeay Tat (phonetic) from Ta Phem 17 commune, but a different village, and Ta Phan (phonetic) from Ta 18 Mom village, both deceased; and Yeay Hun (phonetic), who was 19 executed at Krabei Prey. 20 Q. Thank you. How long were you detained at Krang Ta Chan office? 21 MR. PRESIDENT: 22 Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone's light. 23 MR. KEV CHANDARA: 24 A. My apology. I tend to forget that. 25 The combined time of my detention of 24 days at Krang Ta Chan and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

71

- 1 five days at Krabei Prey amounts to 29 days in detention.
- 2 [14.33.41]
- 3 BY MR. SUON VISAL:
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 When were you released from that detention office?
- 6 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 7 A. Please repeat your question.
- 8 Q. I want to know, you were detained at the detention centre and
- 9 when were you released?
- 10 A. I cannot recall the day, but it was in April that is, after
- 11 the country fell. Probably 11 or 12 days after the country fell
- 12 in April.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 I'd like to extract your statement before the OCIJ -- that is, D
- 15 25/24; Khmer, ERN 00163461; English, 00223456:
- 16 "Question: When were you released from prison?
- 17 "Answer: The country almost fell in 1975."
- 18 Which one of the answer is correct?
- 19 A. No, it was not near the time the country fell.
- 20 [14.35.30]
- 21 Q. My question to you is: Was that your true response?
- 22 A. As I stated, all my responses would bear my signature.
- 23 Q. So you stand by your statements before the OCIJ?
- 24 A. Of course, I was never interviewed by anybody else, except
- 25 professional investigators from the ECCC. And before I signed on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

72

- 1 those statements, I read them and checked for accuracy.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- 3 In Krang Ta Chan Office, how many detention rooms or cells?
- 4 A. While I was detained there, I have -- as I stated, it was a
- 5 long building, as I replied earlier. But later on, when I went to
- 6 exhume the pits, I saw some solo part.
- 7 [14.37.12]
- 8 Q. Maybe you misunderstand my question. My question to you is:
- 9 How many detention buildings were there at Krang Ta Chan?
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- Mr. Witness, please try to observe the light on the tip of the microphone before you respond.
- 13 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 14 A. There was only one building where people were detained.
- 15 BY MR. SUON VISAL:
- 16 Q. Thank you. Please be brief, because we are running out of
- 17 time. I'll move to another question.
- 18 After 1979, when you went to exhume the pits, how many buildings
- 19 did you see within the prison compound at the time?
- 20 A. When I went for exhumation, there was no building left. There
- 21 were forests. Even that building during the Khmer Rouge regime,
- 22 it was decayed, and some villagers came to dissemble the building
- 23 and use it as firewood.
- 24 [14.38.35]
- 25 Q. Thank you.

73

1 When you arrived at the prison compound or Krang Ta Chan Office, 2 was it surrounded by a fence? 3 A. There was no fence at all. During the Khmer Rouge regime, it was well known as a prison without wall. As I stated, there was 4 5 only one building where prisoners were detained, which was 3 by 20 metres, and they used barbed wires to surround that building. б 7 Q. Outside the prison compound, there was no fence at all and there were only barbed wires used along the wall of that 8 building. What was the walls of that building made of? 9 10 A. The walls were made from coconut tree leaves. However, they 11 used barbed wire to surround the building. Two or three layers of 12 barbed wires were used. 13 Q. Was there a place where they interrogated the prisoners? 14 A. As I stated this morning, there was a small building to the west of that building, it was on the ground. It was built 15 16 directly on the ground. It was roofed with thatch and also the 17 wall was made of thatch. All prisoners who were sent to be 18 interrogated would sit on the ground and the interrogator would 19 sit on a wooden chair. 20 [14.40.45]21 Q. At that interrogation place, was there like a proper door or 22 was there no door that people could see through? 23 A. They used coconut tree leaves as walls, although we could see 24 through parts of it. And when I went there, I could see parts of 25 the coconut tree leaves -- were decayed. As I stated, during the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

74

- 1 Khmer Rouge prisons, there was a prison without walls.
- 2 MR. SUON VISAL:
- 3 I thank you for your response. I don't have any further questions
- 4 for you and I would like to cede the floor to my colleague.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you, Counsel, and Mr. Witness. The time is appropriate for
- 7 a short break. We will break now and return at 3.00 p.m.
- 8 Court officer, please assist the witness during the break and
- 9 have him returned to the courtroom when the session resumes at
- 10 3.00 p.m.
- 11 The Court is now in recess.
- 12 (Court recesses from 1442H to 1502H)
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:

Please be seated. The Court is back in session, and before I hand the floor to the defence counsel to put the questions to this witness, the Chamber will make an oral ruling on the request by Noun Chea's defence regarding the practice concerning Civil Party 2-TCCP-271.

19 The Trial Chamber is seized of a request by Noun Chea's defence 20 for the reconsideration of certain practices for the examination 21 of witnesses and civil parties. The Noun Chea defence submits 22 that this request is urgent due to the appearance of 2-TCCP-271 23 before the Trial Chamber on Wednesday, this week. The Trial 24 Chamber will accordingly decide upon this request orally now, 25 with written reasons to follow.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

[15.04.40]

1

75

2	The Noun Chea defence objects to three identified practices:
3	1) The practice of permitting witnesses to review prior
4	statements before testifying and then to answer questions based
5	on those statements;
6	2) The undue restriction of the scope of defence
7	cross-examination, and;
8	3) Unduly heavy reliance on the civil party testimony.
9	The Trial Chamber dismisses the Noun Chea request. Full written
10	reasons will follow as soon as possible.
11	We now hand the floor to Noun Chea's defence to continue putting
12	questions to this witness. You have the floor, if you have any
13	more questions.
14	[15.05.49]
15	QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
16	Thank you, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Mr. Chandara. I have a
17	few more questions to you. First, some questions about your
18	background.
19	Q. After your study in medicine, which, I believe, you finished
20	in Marseille, in France, you became a radiologist; is that
21	correct?
22	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
23	A. I didn't complete my medical studies in Marseille. In fact, I
24	went there to help my friend, Sou Nem (phonetic), to return to
25	Cambodia. I concluded my studies in the Faculty of Medicine in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

76

1 Cambodia, not in France.

2 Q. But did you become a radiologist in Phnom Penh after you came

3 back from France?

A. In Phnom Penh, I applied for work at three hospitals: one was
Calmette Hospital; and another one at Preah Ket Mealea, for the
maternity section; and for Khmer-Soviet Friendship Hospital, I
applied to work for the paediatric section.

8 [15.07.50]

9 Q. So, in the 60s, before the coup d'état against former Prince10 Sihanouk, were you or were you not a radiologist?

A. For the radiology, I didn't work there directly, but we could -- we were given access there to assist the films and to make some analysis of the system. We had to be familiar with that section as well because the film was not as clear as what we

- 15 could see in person in that radiology section.
- 16 Q. But just to make sure, were you not working or were you
- 17 working as a radiologist and were you using X-ray equipment in

18 the 60s in various hospitals in Cambodia?

19 A. Please repeat your question.

20 Q. My question is very simple: Were you working as a specialist

21 -- as a radiologist -- in various Cambodian hospitals in the 60s,

22 used with working X-ray machines?

A. I already said that I specialized in general treatment, and at
Calmette, actually, I applied for the ICU section; and at Preah
Ket Mealea Hospital -- at that time, it was not a military

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

77

1 hospital, like what it is now -- and for that section, I -- for 2 that hospital, I was involved in the maternity section; and I 3 also applied at another hospital because I was allowed to work for one hour per day for each of the hospitals I mentioned. For 4 5 the Khmer Soviet Friendship Hospital б [15.1030] 7 Q. My question is very simple: were you or were you not a 8 radiologist? 9 A. I was not an expert in radiology. 10 Q. Fine. Mr. Witness, you said earlier today that you were involved in the exhumation of skeletons -- remains of prisoners 11 12 at Krang Ta Chan. You earlier mentioned a number of 12,000 skulls 13 that were found. Have you -- in the last few years -- visited 14 Krang Ta Chan? And more specifically, have you seen the stupa 15 which contains skulls at Krang Ta Chan? 16 A. Not only I saw it, the stupa that contains the skeleton 17 remains was the result of my appeals to some excellences, to 18 those whose relatives died there, so that the stupa could be 19 built with their assistance and contribution. 20 [15.12.03]21 Q. Would you be able to tell if the 12,000 skulls that you were 22 speaking of are roughly about the same amount as the skulls that 23 you can see nowadays at the stupa at Krang Ta Chan? Are these the 24 same amount, the same number? 25 A. I already stated before the Court that the skulls are -- some

78

1	of them lost from the day that we exhumed them and stored them
2	near the pits. Initially, we stored at the house and in exchange
3	we gave them 100 kilograms of rice, but later on some wild dogs
4	came to eat those skulls. And when we moved it to the stupa, some
5	got lost again. Initially there were 12,132 - skulls that we
6	exhumed.
7	Q. Would you be able to tell us roughly how many skulls are now
8	being held in that stupa?
9	A. The skulls stored in the stupa are less than the registered
10	number on the list due to the reasons I mentioned earlier.
11	Relatives of those victims prayed in the hope that they would
12	reach out their hands to touch the right skull of their relative
13	who could be their husband or dear dear father, or mother, or
14	sister. So, a few skulls disappeared each day as those relatives
15	took them to their respective home.
16	[15.14.06]
17	Q. Would you be able to tell us how many of those skulls got
18	lost? How many skulls are there remaining now in that stupa?
19	Would you be able to tell us?
20	A. I have not done the re-estimation and I worked with the chief
21	monk in Tram Kak district and all the monks in the 56 pagodas in
22	Tram Kak district, and when we counted before we placed those
23	skulls into the stupa, the remaining skulls were 12,013.
24	As for clothing, some were identified by the relatives; however,
25	we did not keep those clothes because as the stench was too much.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

79

1	And, as for the skulls of young children in that were exhumed
2	from the north pit, were also there and I observed during my 29
3	detention, I saw lots of children there. Later on, when we
4	exhumed, we couldn't find or couldn't see those children's
5	skulls.
б	Q. Mr. Witness, I'm sorry, I'm going to cut you short a little
7	bit. By just putting to you that there are about 1500 to 2,000
8	skulls in that stupa, would that be a fair estimate or am I
9	completely off?
10	[15.16.12]
11	MR. PRESIDENT:
12	Please wait.
13	Deputy International Co-Prosecutor, you have the floor.
14	MR. FARR:
15	Your Honour, I object to the question on the grounds that counsel
16	is testifying.
17	BY MR. KOPPE:
18	I'll rephrase.
19	Q. Mr. Witness, could it be true that presently the stupa
20	contains between 1500 and 2,000 skulls?
21	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
22	A. I already responded to your question as to the amount of
23	skulls we put in those the stupa. I was - and that what I said
24	could be supported by those monks. We counted the skulls together
25	with the monks and there were a total of 10,013 skulls. However,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

80

- 1 I cannot say for sure how many skulls were requested and taken
- 2 from the stupa by their relatives. And some of the tools that we
  3 exhumed were stolen or taken away by children.
- 4 [15.17.45]
- 5 Q. Fine, Mr. Witness.
- 6 Were you aware that Krang Ta Chan used to be -- let me rephrase.
  7 Do you know the location were Krang Ta Chan is situated used to
- 8 be a gravesite? I mean, a graveyard, where people bury their
- 9 family members.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 International Co-Prosecutor, you can proceed.
- 12 MR. FARR:
- Your Honour, if that fact is in evidence in this case, we'd appreciate a citation to it, and if counsel is relying on some
- 15 other document, I would also appreciate a citation to that.
- 16 [15.18.36]
- 17 MR. KOPPE:
- 18 I would appreciate if counsel knows the case file before
- 19 objecting, because I find two references in documents put on the
- 20 interface where there's a reference to this place being a
- 21 gravesite before.
- 22 MR. FARR:
- 23 Your Honour, one of the --
- 24 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 25 E3/2062 and D175/8.90.

81

1 So, my question, Mr. Witness: Are you aware that the location 2 where Krang Ta Chan is situated used to be a gravesite? 3 MR. KEV CHANDARA: A. At the Krang Ta Chan -- and allow me to elaborate a bit 4 further on that word -- Krang Ta Chan refers to a plantation 5 б field owned by Ta Chan. It was not a gravesite. It was not a 7 graveyard, as you stated, it was a plantation owned by Ta Chan. 8 [15.19.55]9 Q. Thank you, Mr. Witness, but I am not making it up, and I refer all parties in the Trial Chamber to E3/2062 -- and I quote -- ERN 10 11 in English, 00301363: "Krang Ta Chan used to be a completely 12 quiet forest and a stupa to preserve the remains of the ancestors 13 of the people in that base." And I'm reading from a report "A 14 Brief History of the Krang Ta Chan Genocide Pol Pot-Ieng Sary 15 clique". So, my question, again, is it true that Krang Ta Chan 16 used to be a gravesite? 17 A. Please, bear in mind that usually a large forest is a quiet 18 forest, and not only that could be a graveyard or grave site and 19 Ta Chan to make that forest into a plantation because of the 20 quiet area or location. It was not a graveyard. I, in fact, made 21 an inquiry about that. 22 [15.21.32]Q. The reason I'm asking, Mr. Witness, is that if it were a 23 24 gravesite, or it used to be a gravesite, before 1975, or even 25 earlier in the 60s, what would be the proceedings to make sure

82

1 that the skulls that you found were in fact skulls belonging to 2 former prisoners? But I will move on to another question, Mr. 3 Witness, and that is the following: When you joined the Revolution in 1970, do you remember names of fellow 4 revolutionaries you met in that period between 1970 and 1975? 5 A. From 1970 to 1975, and until today, we lost our friends. We б 7 were separated from one another, and for that, I made an appeal to the Chamber, to expedite the trial proceedings and not only 8 9 the accused or the civil parties or witnesses getting much, much 10 older. As for me, my real age is 80 years old --MR. PRESIDENT: 11 12 Mr. Witness, you are obligated to respond to the question. As for 13 other matters, the Chamber will deal with them. And it is for you 14 to listen to the question and make an appropriate response to 15 that question and limit your response just to the nature of the 16 question, rather than to make detailed responses outside the 17 nature of the question. And, please, try to recompose yourself 18 and concentrate on the question. As the saying goes in our Khmer 19 culture, answer what is asked of you and limit your response to 20 the nature of the question being put to you. [15.24.14]21 22 BY MR. KOPPE: 23 Thank you, I'll reformulate my question to make it easier for 24 you.

25 Q. Did you, in those years between 1970 and 1975, meet

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

83

1	revolutionaries like Hu Nim, Hou Yun, Thiounn Thioeunn? Were you
2	together with them in that period?
3	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
4	A. I did not live with Hou Yun or Hu Nim, as for Thiounn Thioeunn
5	Dr. Thiounn Thioeunn I met him at Damnak Snuol.
6	Q. I didn't ask whether you lived with Hu Nim or Hou Yun, but
7	were you fellow revolutionaries? Fellow revolutionaries like with
8	Dr. Thiounn Thioeunn, in those years.
9	A. In 1970, we all left Phnom Penh together; however, upon
10	arrival, we were separated and put at a different location and I
11	was put at Damnak Snuol, which is currently in Chum Kiri in the
12	Kampot province. As for Dr. Thiounn Thioeunn, he was transferred
13	to the North Zone and I did not know the location where he was
14	transferred to.
15	[15.25.42]
16	Q. That question was: Did you consider yourself a revolutionary?
17	A Khmer Rouge revolutionary in the period between 1970 and 1975?
18	[15.26.00]
19	A. I considered myself as the cheap servant of the Khmer Rouge
20	regime that is, of Democratic Kampuchea regime, and not a
21	revolutionary.
22	Q. Were you active as a doctor in the front lines, helping Khmer
23	Rouge cadres who were wounded in battle?
24	A. In my capacity as a doctor, I did not discriminate the
25	patients that I treated. Even for animals, we would treat any

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

84

animal. We, as doctors, considered ourselves not enemies to any
party or anyone.
Q. Again, Mr. Witness, that was not my question, my question was:
Were you active on the battlefield assisting, medically, Khmer
Rouge cadres who were wounded in battle fighting Lon Nol
soldiers?
A. We were there, so we had to provide our help in the treatment
in the way that we could.
[15.27.34]
Q. I put it to you it's quite a difference whether you are a
doctor in a hospital in Phnom Penh or whether you were, in fact,
medical expert assisting Khmer Rouge forces in the battle against
Lon Nol soldiers. So, my question is: Were you a medic or
revolutionary, very instrumental in the war of liberation?
A. I did not consider myself instrumental to the Khmer Rouge
regime. I still consider myself as a medic who would rescue and
give treatment to anything or anyone, regardless of their races
or background.
Q. Mr. Witness, please don't try to circumvent the answer. My
question to you is you are a doctor, my question is: Were
you, in fact, helping the Khmer Rouge in their liberation
struggle? Were you, in fact, helping Khmer Rouge cadres when they
were wounded in battle?
A. Yes, I did. If I were not, what would it mean to be a doctor?
[15.29.15]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

85

1	Q. Fine. Thank you, Mr. Witness. My next question is the reason
2	of your arrest, all of a sudden. All of a sudden somewhere in
3	March/April '75, you were arrested. Can you tell us the reasons
4	why you think you were arrested?
5	A. I do not know the reasons. I think you have to ask the people
б	who arrested me. I didn't know what mistakes I made. More than
7	10,000 people who were detained at Krang Ta Chan complained that
8	they didn't know the mistakes that they made. Regardless of that,
9	they were arrested and tortured by the Khmer Rouge.
10	Q. Mr. Witness, I put it to you that is not correct. You were a
11	doctor, you were a revolutionary, and all of a sudden, in
12	April/May of 1975, you were arrested. I'm sure the people who
13	arrested you told you why they arrested you. Didn't they? Or did
14	they not?
15	A. You asked the same question, and I actually replied that
16	question. I pondered that issue. I pondered why I was arrested.
17	[15.30.48]
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Mr. Witness, the question is not what you said. If you know the
20	reason for your arrest, please say so. If not, you also should
21	state so. Did the Khmer Rouge tell you what mistakes or offences
22	you made that led to your arrest? I have reminded you repeatedly
23	to listen to the questions carefully and limit your responses to
24	the questions, rather than to make a long response and lead to
25	confusing or lead to other questions to be put to you again

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

86

- 1 and again. The Chamber doesn't want that kind of response; we
- 2 only want the response that gives weight to the Bench
- 3 consideration.
- 4 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Q. So, can you tell us some reason -- you must have heard some
- 6 reason -- why they arrested you, a revolutionary, a doctor? They
- 7 must have given you a reason.
- 8 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 9 A. I didn't know what offences I made.
- 10 [15.32.25]
- 11 Q. Fine, Mr. Witness.

12 It's not very clear exactly when you were arrested, but if I 13 understood your testimony correctly, it was somewhere in March -maybe February -- but somewhere in March 1975. As we all know 14 15 here, 17 April 1975 was a very important date. 16 Were you still detained on the day of liberation? Were you 17 released just before the day of liberation or were you released 18 just after the day of liberation? 19 A. I was taken out of Krang Ta Chan 14 days after the liberation. 20 It was the liberation in 1975, it happened 14 days after that 21 date. 22 Q. So, is your testimony now that you were released on the 1st of 23 May 1975? 24 A. It does seem like that. The liberation was on 15 April, so I 25 was released after the 15 of April -- I was released from Krang

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

87

1	Ta Chan because I saw many people who were transferred from
2	Phnom Penh and I also saw some people who were evacuated from
3	Phnom Penh were sent to Krang Ta Chan.
4	[15.34.31]
5	Q. I guess you are you must be a little confused with dates,
б	because it's difficult for 17 April People to arrive already on
7	the 15 of April in Krang Ta Chan. Would you agree with that?
8	MR. FARR:
9	Objection.
10	MR. PRESIDENT:
11	Prosecutor, you may proceed with your objection.
12	MR. FARR:
13	The witness didn't say that 17 April People arrived in Krang Ta
14	Chan on 15 April; that is not what I heard.
15	[15.35.10]
16	BY MR. KOPPE:
17	I am also confused by the answer of the witness, Mr. President,
18	so I will move on.
19	Q. Again, Mr. Witness because it is very important for me to
20	understand how many days after the liberation you say you were
21	still in Krang Ta Chan?
22	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
23	A. The liberation of the whole country, it was on the 17 April.
24	After the 17 April, more than 10 days after that, I was released
25	from Krang Ta Chan, because I saw Phnom Penh evacuees arrive in

88

1	Krang Ta Chan. When I arrived in the Wat Champa temple, I saw
2	people also there and they were also sent out from that pagoda.
3	Q. Mr. Witness, you were not able to give testimony as to the
4	reason why you were arrested, but would you be able to tell us
5	the questions that were asked to you by your fellow Khmer Rouge
б	cadres?
7	A. I am interested in two <points: first="">, they were whispering</points:>
8	that any <sihanoukists and="" be="" for<="" not="" sent="" spared="" td="" would=""></sihanoukists>
9	re-education or smashed. Second, I recall that the intellectuals
10	and the petit bourgeoisie, which belonged to the revisionist
11	class, were not to be spared and must be killed.That is what I
12	heard from them. For me, I still have doubts about it.>
13	[15.37.22]
14	Q. But, Mr. Witness, you were a revolutionary. You joined the
15	marquis; surely that's not things that they told you. You were
16	fighting along with soldiers. You were assisting them, maybe even
17	medically. Please, tell me exactly the questions that they asked
18	you.
19	A. <did about="" ask="" centres="" chan="" krang="" or="" or<="" other="" ta="" td="" you=""></did>
20	offices where I also worked?>
21	Q. Just give me a few just paraphrase a few questions that
22	were asked to you by your fellow former Khmer Rouge cadres.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:
24	The Khmer translation is not <clear>. Mr. Koppe, could you repeat</clear>
25	your question? <please and="" clear.="" concise="" make="" question="" td="" we<="" your=""></please>

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

2

89

1 do not understand the interpretation into Khmer. The witness is

therefore unable to answer it. Both the general public and I, the

- 3 President of the Chamber, do not understand it.>
- 4 [15.38.53]
- 5 BY MR. KOPPE:

Q. My question is, again, about the questions that were asked to you by your former fellow Khmer Rouge cadres. What particular questions, anywhere -- in Krang Ta Chan or anywhere else -- did they ask you? What was their suspicion? Why did they arrest you? Had you done something? Did they accuse you of something? Can you tell me?

12 MR. KEV CHANDARA:

A. In Krang Ta Chan Office, they insisted and questioned me who came to train you in CIA network or KGB networks or association. I refused, saying that "No, I don't know." I don't know what the CIA and KGB was at the time.

Q. Could you be a little more specific? Why did they think that you belonged to some CIA network? Did they ask for names? Did they ask for events? Did they ask you about meetings that you might have had? Anything a little more specific, please.

21 [15.40.30]

A. I did not know what was my mistake or offence. I could not rely those networks because I had never attended any training with CIA or KGB training. If they asked me about medical training, I could respond.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

90

1 MR. PRESIDENT: 2 Mr. Koppe, you may continue your questions. 3 BY MR. KOPPE: Q. Did they ever ask you write a confession? 4 MR. KEV CHANDARA: 5 A. No, they didn't order me to write any confession. б 7 [15.41.30]Q. I am puzzled, Mr. Witness, I will tell you honestly. You are a 8 9 good friend of Ta Mok, as I understand. You are a true 10 revolutionary, we are a few days before the liberation, you get 11 arrested -- you get detained for four weeks. You don't remember 12 any questions being asked? You were not asked to write a confession? Mr. Witness, I put it to you that you were never 13 14 detained, that you were never arrested at Krang Ta Chan and other 15 places. Is that correct? 16 A. I understand that your understanding is not correct. You said that I was not arrested, but I was arrested. I have better 17 18 understanding than yours, I know myself very well, so you are not 19 me. 20 MR. PRESIDENT: 21 It seems to me -- to The Chamber that you have no further 22 questions to put to this question (sic). So your last question 23 seems to be you are seeking for a conclusion and this is 24 prohibited by the rules. So --

25 MR. KOPPE:

91

1 I just put what I think to the witness and since he is a medical 2 doctor he would be able to answer that question by simply saying 3 "You're wrong". I will move on to a little more specific questions to this witness. 4 [15.43.20]5 BY MR. KOPPE: б 7 Q. Mr. Witness, could you tell us some more about Keo Sam At? She 8 was a young prisoner together with you at Krang Ta Chan; is that 9 correct? 10 MR. KEV CHANDARA: 11 A. Madam Keo Sam At, is this the one you refer to, counsel? 12 Q. Yes. She was a fellow prisoner during those weeks with you at 13 Krang Ta Chan; is that correct? A. Yes. Keo Sam At was brought there when she was about 13 or 14 14 15 years old, but she was sent there because her relatives were 16 arrested and sent for execution in different places and she was 17 arrested and sent there. So, what is your next question on Keo 18 Sam At? 19 [15.44.36]20 Q. My next question would be: Is she still alive? 21 A. After I left the place in 1979, I met her twice but it was 22 more than 20 years now I have never met her. When I met her for 23 the first time, she was a teacher for the preschool, but I never 24 met her since then. 25 Q. I'm not entirely sure if I understand the question; let me

92

1	recapitulate.
2	You saw her, maybe in '79 or after '79 or when was the last time?
3	Maybe I'll ask you like this: When was the last time you saw her?
4	A. I did not take note the date when I saw her I met her. But
5	it was not an important meeting, so I do not recall the date now.
6	Q. Was it just a few years ago maybe or was it is it 20 years
7	ago, or 30 years ago?
8	A. My last meeting with her was more than 20 years now. It was in
9	1979, and now 2015.
10	[15.46.29]
11	Q. What more can you tell us about this woman? Are you and her
12	the sole survivors of Krang Ta Chan or are there any other
13	survivors that you know of Krang Ta Chan?
14	A. Krang Ta Chan Office was established in 1972 and operating
15	until the 7th January 1979. It was operating for a long period of
16	time and my time there was only 29 days, so how could I know
17	other survivors? It is only you or the researcher would know how
18	many survivors from that office.
19	Q. Have you ever told anybody that you and she are the only two
20	survivors of Krang Ta Chan?
21	A. I did not tell anyone. Here before the Court, I did not say
22	that I was the only survivor but I can say I am the survivor from
23	that office.
24	Q. Mr. Witness, do you know a book called "War and Genocide: A
25	Never Ending Cycle of Human Brutality", written by a person

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

93

- 1 called Mr. Chanda Chhay?
- 2 MR. FARR:
- 3 Objection, Your Honour.
- 4 MR. KOPPE:
- 5 Homework, counsel.
- 6 [15.48.35]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Hold on, witness.
- 9 Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 10 MR. FARR:
- 11 To the best of my knowledge the question is based on a document
- 12 that hasn't been noticed for this witness. I'll be happy to be
- 13 corrected, if I am wrong.
- 14 MR. KOPPE:
- 15 Hence my answer, do your homework, counsel. I'm asking a question
- 16 --
- 17 MR. FARR:
- 18 Your Honour, that's an unacceptable answer. With all due respect,
- 19 the document either been noticed for this witness or it has not.
- 20 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 21 But it doesn't mean that the book doesn't exist?
- 22 Q. So my question again, do you know this book?
- 23 [15.49.19]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Witness, you don't need to respond to the last question.

94

- 1 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 2 Q. Mr. Witness, have you ever written an article for a magazine
- 3 called "Searching for Truth"?
- 4 MR. LYSAK:
- 5 Your Honour, same objection.
- 6 MR. KOPPE:
- 7 Again, I'm asking something what the witness has done. I'm not
- 8 referring to --
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The Chamber would like to hear your objection. Prosecution, could 11 you indicate your objection with the ground and your full 12 objection. We wish to hear in a public hearing so it's difficult
- 13 for the Chamber to follow if you exchange too quickly like that
- 14 without the authorisation from the Chamber.
- 15 [15.50.23]
- 16 MR. FARR:

17 Yes, Your Honour. My understanding of the rule regarding 18 questioning witnesses based on documents is that, any questions 19 founded on a document, should be noticed to the other parties. 20 And that if a question is founded on another document that has not been noticed to the other parties, is not admissible. The 21 22 last two documents that counsel has referred to has not been 23 noticed to the other parties, and therefore these questions are, in our submission, inadmissible. 24

25 MR. KOPPE:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

95

- Again, Mr. President, I'm not referring to a document, I'm asking
   a question whether this witness has written something. I know you
- 3 don't like it but it's my duty, as you will, to ask this
- 4 question.
- 5 [15.51.08]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:

7 Counsel, what is your document that you referred to <? Could you</p>
8 give us the title? What is the basis or fact that makes you ask
9 about a book written by a witness?>

10 MR. KOPPE:

I haven't reached that stage yet. My question first, and I would like the witness to answer that general question whether he has ever written something. Either in a chapter of a book or for a magazine called "Searching for the Truth". That's all. I'm not showing him the document. It's all what my question is about and I think I'm completely entitled to ask that question, whether you like it or not.

18 MR. PRESIDENT:

Mr. Koppe, <you may proceed. From the interpretation, it was not as clear as you said. It was not clear and if it is an open question as you explained, then you are allowed to ask it. I would, however, remind you that you should conduct yourself properly according to the code of ethics for lawyers of this trial.>

25 [15.53.10]

	96
1	BY MR. KOPPE:
2	I'll rephrase a little bit, Mr. President.
3	Q. Mr. Witness, did you in the first quarter of 2013, write an
4	article about your DK experience in a magazine called "Searching
5	for the Truth"?
6	MR. KEV CHANDARA:
7	A. I did not write any article in any book at all.
8	Q. Are you sure, Mr. Witness?
9	A. Yes. It is clear.
10	Q. Mr. Witness, who is Pen Sovan?
11	A. I don't know Pen Sovan. I just saw his face on photo or on
12	television. Even though he was from the same province, I don't
13	know him.
14	Q. So, Mr. Pen Sovan never intervened on your behalf when you
15	were threatened to be arrested post '79?
16	[15.54.56]
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	The witness does not need to respond to the last question because
19	the witness indicated that he doesn't know Mr. Pen Sovan.
20	Mr. Koppe, it appears that your time is over and the Chamber
21	hands over the floor to Mr. Kong Sam Onn. So it is noted that
22	your line of questioning, the last one seem to be do not help
23	the Chamber to ascertain the truth.
24	Mr. Kong Sam Onn, you may proceed.
25	MR. KONG SAM ONN:

97

1 Good afternoon Mr. President, Your Honours, and other witness. 2 Mr. President, before I put questions, I would like to ask for 3 the Chamber's leave to use document D118/24, the document filed by the Co-Prosecutor's office and the response. I understand the 4 practice of using this document because the witness will be the 5 б one who will respond. We need your leave to give the document to 7 the witness. [15.56.48] 8 9 MR. PRESIDENT: 10 Court officer is instructed to take the document to the witness for examination. 11 12 MR. KONG SAM ONN: Because there's a problem, we could not make copies for other 13 14 parties, so we would like to ask that the prosecutor, if you have 15 a copy, should provide to other parties. 16 MR. PRESIDENT: 17 Co-Prosecutor, do you have copies of this document for the 18 parties? 19 MR. FARR: 20 No, Your Honour. The only copy I have is extensively marked. MR. LYSAK: 21 22 Mr. President, this document is been put on Case File 002 as

23 E319.1.5, so I don't understand what the problem, why we have to

24 provide a copy of this document.

25 [15.58.01]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

-	
	$\sim$
ч	×

- 1 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 2 Mr. President, I would like to respond to the Co-Prosecutor --
- 3 E319.1.2, we could not access this document on the system. Thank
- 4 you.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, do you have a copy?
- 7 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 8 Yes, we do have this document. We can provide this document to
- 9 the witness for examination.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Court officer is instructed to give this document -- to hand over
- 12 this document to the witness for examination.
- 13 QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 14 Q. Witness, could you look at page number 4, the last page which
- 15 bear the name Kev Chandara and tell the Court if this is your
- 16 name and thumb print on that document.
- 17 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 18 A Yes, this is my name and my thumb print was -- appears on this 19 page.
- 20 Q. For the Court record, this document D118/24, this is the
- 21 minute of the Office of the Co-Investigating Judge in February
- 22 20th,, 2013 at 10.05 a.m., at Moha Sena village, Ta Phem commune,
- 23 Tram Kak district, Takeo province.
- Q. Witness, do you recognise that this is the minute of interview that you gave to the OCIJ?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

99

- 1 [16.00.33]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Witness, hold on.
- 4 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 5 A. Yes. This document I signed my signature on it.
- 6 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 7 Q. Could you confirm again. It was not your signature; it was
- 8 your thumb print. So could you indicate this again to the Court?
- 9 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 10 A. Yes. This is my thumb print on the paper.
- 11 Q. Have you read or anyone read to you before you put your thumb
- 12 print on this document?
- A. Sometimes a person read to me and I listened and I recognise the accurate statement and certain error I do not recognise on this PV.
- 16 [16.01.59]
- Q. Thank you. So could you look at -- respond to question 17 on the document in your hand, on answer 17. Let me put the question to you:
- 20 "Did you know about the mistreatment of the Khmer Krom?"

21 Response 17 -- let me quote: "I did not know about that. I just 22 heard from other people that the Khmer Rouge troops attacked to 23 take back the Kampuchea Krom territory. I was detained at Krang 24 Ta Chan 5, and late 1974 and another -- some days in 1975, and 25 later I was released and I was made to work as a mechanic to

100

- 1 repair machine."
- 2 So, my question for you is that: Were you detained Krang Ta Chan 3 in late 1974 or early 1975 or you stand by your earlier response 4 before the Court that you were detained in March or April 1975?
- 5 [16.03.44]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Witness, please hold on.
- 8 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- 9 A. It is unclear from this document that detention was in 1974,
- 10 but, in fact, it was in March 1975 and early April of that year,
- 11 but here it is not correct. And when I read, it said 1974,
- because I was detained at the time on the verge of the liberation in April, so between March and April; it was a total of 29 days. BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- Q. Can you tell the Court the difference between the two? You indicated two different years. It was one, 1974; and another year in 1975; and the number of days of your detention was the same and now you indicated two different months: March and April, so what can you say about the difference here?
- 20 MR. KEV CHANDARA:

A. The difference of the two months, because my calculation was 22 29 days but I am not so sure about the month. I asked my wife and 23 my children. They said I left the family and was sent to Krang Ta 24 Chan, it was 10 days before the liberation of Phnom Penh and also 25 I was detained there 10 days after the liberation of Phnom Penh.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 236 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 2/02/2015

1	Δ	1	
Т	υ	Ŧ	

- 1 From late of March and early of April, in total I was detained 29
- 2 days and another 5 days at Krabei Prey
- 3 Q. Thank you. So a while ago, I heard from you that --
- 4 [16.06.27]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Defence Counsel, do you many more questions?
- 7 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 8 I have many more questions, Mr. President.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Witness, the day after tomorrow, can you come to testify to
- 11 conclude your testimony before the Trial Chamber?
- 12 MR. KEV CHANDARA:
- No, I cannot say now for sure because my health is precarious. I have problem with my leg, sometime I cannot walk after sitting
- 15 for long. I cannot confirm.
- 16 [16.07.12]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:

Because the Chamber need you to testify for another hour to conclude, to respond to the defence counsel, so you say that you cannot predict so unless there is an emergency, so the Chamber thinks that you can come to give and conclude your testimony on Wednesday, this week, on the 4th.

23 Mr. Kev Chandara, thank you for your time. Your testimony has not 24 come to a conclusion. The Chamber advises you to come to finish 25 your testimony from Wednesday, 4th February 2015, and you are now

		-
1	n	$\gamma$
Τ.	υ	2

1	excused.
2	Court officer, please work with the Witness Support Section to
3	facilitate the witness transport home and to bring him back on
4	the 4th February 2015, before 9.00 a.m., in the morning.
5	[16.08.38]
б	And the Trial Chamber wishes to inform the Parties that we will
7	adjourn for today's proceeding and the hearing will resume on
8	Wednesday, 4th February 2015 at 9.00 a.m. and on Wednesday, the
9	Trial Chamber after finishing the testimony of Kev Chandara, the
10	Trial Chamber will hear the testimony of 2-TCCP-271.
11	Security personnel are instructed to bring the accused to the
12	detention facility and bring them back to the courtroom on the
13	4th February 2015, before 9.00 a.m. in the morning.
14	The Court is adjourned.
15	(Court adjourned at 1609H)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	