



Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

អខ្គតំនុំ៩ម្រះតុលាភារភំពូល

Supreme Court Chamber Chambre de la Cour suprême

<u>TRANSCRIPT OF APPEAL PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File N° 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC

3 July 2015

The Accused: NUON Chea Before the Judges: KONG Srim, Presiding Ya Narin KHIEU Samphan Agnieszka KLONOWIECKA-MILART SOM Sereyvuth Chandra Nihal JAYASINGHE Lawyers for the Accused: MONG Monichariya Victor KOPPE Florence N. MWACHANDE-MUMBA SON Arun LIV Sovanna KONG Sam Onn Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: Arthur VERCKEN Volker NERLICH SEA Mao Sheila PAYLAN Lawyers for the Civil Parties: Paolo LOBBA Marie GUIRAUD PHAN Thoeun VEN Pov SIN Soworn HONG Kimsuon For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: LOR Chunthy **TY Srinna** Nicholas KOUMJIAN SONG Chorvoin **SENG Bunkheang** SREA Rattanak **Travis FARR**

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun

ព្រះពបាណាទក្រភម្ភ បា បាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

ຉໞຎໞຬໟ

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL ថ្ងៃខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 23-May-2016, 13:22 CMS/CFO: Sann Rada

INDEX

Mr. SAM Sithy (SCW-3)

Questioning by The President KONG Srim	page 2
Questioning by Mr. KOPPE	page 5
Questioning by Mr. VERCKEN	page 48
Questioning by Mr. HONG Kimsuon	page 67
Questioning by Ms. SONG Chorvoin	page 72
Questioning by Mr. KOUMJIAN	page 83
Questioning by Mr. VERCKEN resumes	page 107
Questioning by Judge SOM Sereyvuth	page 122
Questioning by Judge KLONOWIECKA-MILART	page 125
Questioning by Judge MWACHANDE-MUMBA	page 128
Questioning by Judge JAYASINGHE	page 131
Questioning by Judge YA NARIN	page 132

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
Ms. GUIRAUD	French
Mr. HONG Kimsuon	Khmer
Judge JAYASINGHE	English
Judge KLONOWIECKA-MILART	English
The President (KONG Srim)	Khmer
Mr. KOPPE	English
Mr. Koumjian	English
Judge MONG Monichariya	Khmer
Judge MWACHANDE-MUMBA	English
Mr. SAM Sithy (SCW-3)	Khmer
Judge SOM Sereyvuth	Khmer
Mr. SON Arun	Khmer
Ms. SONG Chorvoin	Khmer
Mr. VERCKEN	French
Judge YA NARIN	Khmer

F1/2.1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

1	PROCEEDINGS
-	TROCHDETROD

- 2 (Court opens at 0856H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated.

5 Today the Supreme Court Chamber continues its appeal proceedings

1

- 6 and we have a witness -- that is, SCW-3 who is going to testify
- 7 this morning.
- 8 Greffier, are all the Parties present?
- 9 THE GREFFIER:

10 Mr. President, in today proceedings all Parties are present and

11 Mr. Nuon Chea waives his right to be present in the courtroom.

12 The waiver dated 3rd July 2015 has been delivered to the

13 greffier. We have 10 civil parties namely Madam Yim Sovann, Mom 14 Sam Oeurn, Meas Saran, Or Ry, Toeng Sokha, Aun Phally, Sang Rath,

15 Chan Socheat, Yin Roum Duol and Po Dina. Thank you.

- 16 [08.59.06]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:

18 Nuon Chea has waived his right to be present in the courtroom 19 however he participate the proceedings from a holding cell 20 downstairs. Based on the medical report of the Accused by the 21 duty doctor dated 3rd July 2015, who confirms that the Accused, 22 Nuon Chea has back pain and cannot sit for long in the courtroom 23 and based on the above information and the request to waive his 24 rights by the Accused, the Chamber grants him his request to 25 follow the proceedings remotely from a holding cell downstairs.

1

2

2 to the holding cell downstairs so that the Accused can follow it. 3 Court officer please usher the witness SCW-3 -- that is, Sam Sithy, into the courtroom. 4 5 (Witness enters courtroom) б [09.01.50]7 QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Witness you are summoned today by the Supreme Court Chamber 8 9 in the ECCC to testify and we would like to ask you some 10 background information. First of all, what is your name and do 11 you have any alias? 12 MR. SAM SITHY: 13 My name is Sam Sithy, alias Thy. 14 Q. When were you born? 15 [09.02.42]16 A. I was born on 15th May 1961.

And the AV Unit personnel are instructed to link the proceedings

17 Q. Where were your born and Mr. Witness, please observe the 18 microphone?

- 19 A. I was born in Ph'er village, Ph'er commune, Rolea B'ier
- 20 district, Kampong Chhnang province.
- 21 Q. In your declaration form you stated that your district of
- 22 birth is Kampong Chhnang and now you stated it's Rolea B'ier,
- 23 please confirm which one is correct?
- A. I was born in Ph'er village, Ph'er commune, Rolea B'ier
- 25 district, Kampong Chhnang province and that is the correct one.

3

1	Q. What is your nationality and what is your current occupation?
2	A. I am Khmer and I am police inspector of Kampong Chhnang town.
3	Q. What is your father's name and is he alive?
4	[09.04.13]
5	A. My father is Sam Eun and my mother is Ung Ny. They both
б	deceased.
7	Q. What is your wife's name?
8	A. My wife's name is Prak Saony.
9	Q. Is she alive?
10	How many children do you have?
11	Where is your current address?
12	A. Currently I live in Trea Cheung village, Srae Thmei commune,
13	Rolea B'ier district, Kampong Chhnang province.
14	INTERPRETER:
15	Please turn on the microphone for Mr. President.
16	BY THE PRESIDENT:
17	Q. Are you related to any of the two accused or any of the civil
18	parties?
19	MR. SAM SITHY
20	A. No. I don't.
21	Q. Have you taken an oath before your appearance?
22	[09.05.47]
23	A. Yes, I have, I have taken an oath before the Iron-Club Statue.
24	Q. Have you lodged your application for a civil party before the
25	ECCC?

1

4

2 made the application at that time. 3 Q. My question to you is whether you applied as a civil party in any of the Cases before the ECCC? 4 A. I did not know about the Case or the application that I made 5 б with the working group. 7 Q. Does it mean that you so far have not applied as a civil party in any of the Cases before the ECCC, is that correct? 8 9 A. I actually told the working group that I can be a witness in a 10 Case before the ECCC. [09.07.16] 11 12 BY THE PRESIDENT: 13 I would like now to inform you about your rights and obligations 14 as a witness. You have a right not to respond or not to make any 15 comment that lead to self-incrimination however you must respond 16 to questions except for questions or statements that incriminate 17 you and you must tell the truth based on what you know, heard, 18 saw, remember, experienced or observed first hand, the events 19 relating to the questions. 20 Q You said you provided an interview with a working group, which 21 working group are you referring to? 22 A. I was interviewed by a working group whose role was to locate 23 witnesses of crimes during the Khmer Rouge regime. 24 Q. Did that working group make a written statement of your 25 interview or whether they only went to your location to identify

A. I was interviewed by the working group at my work place and I

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 4

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

5

- 1 you as a witness?
- 2 A. The work group actually interviewed me for the purpose of
- 3 having me testify before the Chamber.
- 4 [09.09.30]
- 5 THE INTERPRETER:
- 6 Please turn on the President's microphone.
- 7 BY THE PRESIDENT:
- 8 Q. So can I clarify this matter that you were interviewed by the
- 9 work group and you provided your statement in that interview,
- 10 does it mean that you were interviewed by the investigators of
- 11 the Office of the Co-Investigating Judges? Please answer again so
- 12 that your voice will go through the interpretation system.
- 13 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 14 A. I was interviewed by a work group who were searching for15 witnesses.
- 16 Q. Have you appeared before the Trial Chamber at the ECCC?
- 17 [09.10.37]
- 18 A. No, I haven't.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Thank you. The Chamber would like to hand the floor now to the
- 21 Co-Counsels for Nuon Chea's defence. You may proceed, Counsel.
- 22 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOPPE:
- 23 Thank you very much, Mr. President, good morning. Good morning,
- 24 Your Honours, good morning Counsel, good morning, Mr. Witness. I
- 25 have a few questions for you this morning.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

б

- 1 Q. Do you remember when it was that you were interviewed?
- 2 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 3 A. I cannot recall the details; however, it was about year ago.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Mr. Witness, do you listen to the Khmer channel on your
- 6 headphone? Counsel, you may continue.
- 7 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 Q. Did I hear you correctly that you gave a statement one year
- 10 ago?
- 11 [09.12.19]
- 12 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 13 A. Yes, it was little bit more than a year ago.
- 14 Q. Where did you give this statement one year ago?
- 15 A. I provided my statement at my police office -- that is, the
- 16 police office in Kampong Chhnang town.
- 17 Q. So that was 2014, am I correct to understand that, 2014 you
- 18 gave a statement?
- 19 A. Yes, that is correct.

Q. Mr. President, again I'm a bit confused, what I have in front of me is a statement which dates about seven years ago, I'm also looking at the Prosecution while I'm talking and I would be very happy to know if there is a second statement.

- 24 [09.14.02]
- 25 MR. KOUMJIAN:

7

1 Thank you. Good morning, Your Honours, Counsel, as far as we know 2 there is no second statement and I think it would be very quickly 3 resolved by showing the attached statement and asking the witness 4 if this was part of his statement which apparently was drawn and 5 signed. BY MR. KOPPE: б 7 Q. I will move on. Mr. Witness, did you make, did you give a statement to the investigators of the Investigating Judge seven 8 9 years ago as well? 10 MR. SAM SITHY: 11 A. I provided my statement to the work group and I provided them 12 description of my experience through the regime of three years, 13 eight months and 20 days. Q. Mr. President with your leave, I would like to show the 14 witness the Khmer version of his WRI and would like to ask the 15 16 witness if he recognises his signature on that document. 17 JUDGE MONG MONICHARIYA: 18 I don't think it is now time to show him the written record of 19 statement. I would like to first put some questions for 20 clarification to the witness. And Mr. Witness, please inform the 21 Chamber how many times have you interviewed by the work group 22 from the ECCC or the Khmer Rouge Tribunal? 23 [09.16.08]24 MR. SAM SITHY:

25 A. To my recollection I was interviewed only once.

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 7

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

8

1	Q. So, if you were interviewed for only one time please try to
2	recall, how long ago was it? You just mentioned that it was last
3	year and this year is 2015 and when you said it was last year you
4	referred to 2014, but I think the word "last year" or "previous
5	year" could be interpreted based on the region. So please try to
б	recall how long ago, how many years ago you were interviewed?
7	A. It was almost two years ago that I provided my interview.
8	MR. PRESIDENT:
9	Defence Counsel, you can put in the questions to the witness. I
10	think you want to show the document to the witness in order to
11	clear the timeline.
12	[09.17.44]
13	BY MR. KOPPE:
14	Yes, Mr. President, I only would like to show his signature not
15	of course the content of the statement. So, Mr. Witness, if you
16	would be so kind to have a look at the last page and the page
17	before.
18	MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
19	Mr. President, for the proper record of the transcript, the
20	document given to the witness is that the document in the Khmer
21	language does not bear the signature of the witness however it
22	bears the thumb print of the witness so for that reason I would
23	request Mr. President, to ask the counsel to clarify this matter
24	with the witness.
25	MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

9

- 1 I think the Khmer document is now before the witness and Mr.
- 2 Witness, please provide us your impression of the document before

3 you.

- 4 [09.19.09]
- 5 MR. SAM SITHY:
- A. The thumb print and the handwriting underneath are mine. Boththe thumb print and the handwriting I mean.
- 8 BY MR. KOPPE:

9 Q. Mr. Witness, would you also be so kind to have a look at the 10 very first sentences on the first page where it says you were 11 interviewed seven years ago at 7th August 2008, is that correct? 12 MR. SAM SITHY:

- 13 A. No, it was not seven years ago. It was actually two years ago 14 that I was interviewed. I acknowledge the document and the
- 15 signature on it was made when I was interviewed.
- 16 [09.20.44]

Q. Maybe a peculiar question. You are a police inspector, Mr. Witness, so there can be no doubt in your mind that you were interviewed only two years and not seven years ago as this document seems to indicate.

A. Allow me elaborate bit further. First the interview was not conducted seven years ago, it was only conducted about two years ago and I don't agree with you that I was interviewed seven years ago.

25 Q. It's highly problematic. Do you remember speaking to someone

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

> 10 1 who interviewed you and recorded your interviewed and something 2 went wrong with the battery of the recording machine; does that 3 ring a bell to you? A. I was interviewed but I was not aware of the details of the 4 equipment used for the interview. For example, I don't know 5 whether the recorder was out of battery. б 7 [09.22.40] Q. Mr. Witness, you recognised your signature, do you remember 8 9 being read the content of this document? A. After I was interviewed, I actually reviewed the written 10 record and it was consistent. However I have not read it for -- I 11 have not read it since, for that reason I cannot recall the 12 details of what I stated in this document though I believe that 13 it is consistent with what I told the work group at the time 14 15 during the interview. 16 Q. Were you given a copy and if yes, where did -- did you keep it 17 somewhere at your house or at the police station? 18 A. I received a copy from the work group, I actually reviewed it 19 and I read it a couple of times. However, it's been a few years 20 now and only now that I have been summoned, though I have not 21 read it recently. However I can recall most of what I have said 22 without having to refer to the written statement. 23 [09.24.50]Q. My last question on this subject before I move on to the 24 25 content, are you insisting that the interview was a year ago

	11
1	maybe two years ago and it wasn't seven years ago, that is
2	something that you insist to, is that correct?
3	A. Yes I can confirm that it took place about two years ago.
4	Q. For the record, Mr. President, I have just been informed that
5	the document creation date of this document is 1st October 2013,
б	strangely enough. I'll leave it now because of time reasons. I
7	will move on to the content.
8	MS. GUIRAUD:
9	I don't wish, Mr. President, to interrupt my colleague unduly but
10	I do have a written record of interview that carries a stamp from
11	the Tribunal which is dated June 2009 20th January 2009,
12	excuse me, the date of the receipt by the Court of the record of
13	the interview. Thank you.
14	BY MR. KOPPE:
15	Thank you, Madam Civil Party Lawyer, we will get back to this but
16	now, Mr. President, I would like to move to the content of the
17	case because of time reasons. Mr. Witness, would you could you
18	remember or do you remember what happened on the 17th April 1975?
19	[09.27.03]
20	MR. SAM SITHY:
21	A. I am one of the victims of the regime which lasted for three
22	years, eight months and 20 days. I was forced to vacate my house
23	to a mountainous area and upon reaching Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda, I
24	heard an announcement that former public servants, soldiers or
25	students could go and get rice distribution and that they could

1 return to their previous work place.

2 Q. Let me take you back in time. 17th April 1975, where were you 3 living and what happened on that day, to you and your family? A. It was in 1975, that I was evacuated to a mountainous area and 4 as I said I was not yet placed in a cooperative. We were told to 5 register names so that we could get some rice and that we would б 7 be allowed to return to the province and to return to work at our previous position and after those people obtained rice, they were 8 9 taken away and killed. They were all killed.

10 [09.28.54]

Q. Please listen to my questions, Mr. Witness, 17th April '75, 11 12 what happened in the first week between 17th April and 24th April 13 '75, where were you, where was your family, where were you walking to, where you in those first seven days? 14 A. I walked on foot at the time. People were evacuated and they 15 16 were ordered to leave their house and they had to follow the direction as instructed otherwise we would be risking lives when 17 18 the US planes would bombard the area. So that reason we packed 19 our belongings and food supply and left. 20 Q. Did you and your father and mother leave together with your 21 siblings? 22 A. My family comprised of my parents and four younger siblings 23 and my parents and two of my younger siblings were killed. One of 24 my younger siblings was a teacher. He and his entire family were 25 killed. At that time I was 14 or 15 years old and I pretended to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

13

- be dead amongst the corpses of the members of seven families. The seven family members were ordered to sit in one group and they fired at us, to kill us all.
- 4 [09.31.12]

Q. Mr. Witness, please bear with me. I'm asking you questions about you and your siblings and your father and mother leaving; I will get back to whatever happened at Wat Chrak Sdech later. So please tell me where you walked, where you arrived, how long did you and your family walk from Ph'er village, please be mindful of the chronology.

A. I left Ph'er village and arrived at Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda.
Q. How many days did it take you to arrive at Wat Chrak Sdech
pagoda, how many days did it take you, your parents and your
siblings?

A. I was travelling on the way to Chrak Sdech and we could move very slowly. After we reached the mountainous area, we could reach Chrak Sdech pagoda, it took us about 10 days to arrive at that pagoda.

19 Q. On the way from Ph'er village to Wat Chrok Sdech, did you stop 20 in a village called Khlong Popok?

21 [09.33.16]

A. When we were travelling we could not travel three or four kilometres per day, there were many people on the way and we could travel only half kilometre or one kilometre in one morning, we had to follow each other on the way to different direction.

14

1	Not only my family was travelling at that time, there were many
2	other family members travelling with us.
3	Q. Please, Mr. Witness, listen to my questions and please give
4	brief answers. Did you and your family stop on the way in Khlong
5	Popok down the road, did you stop there?
6	A. Yes, we made a stop at Khlong Popok pagoda during the lunch
7	time.
8	Q. And then subsequently is it correct, you went to Phnum Chum
9	Reay in Sameakki Mean Chey district, is that correct?
10	A. Yes. We left Khlong Popok pagoda and Chum Reay mountain in
11	Sameakki Mean Chey district, we were on the peak of the mountain
12	at that time.
13	[09.35.20]
14	Q. Thank you. And did you then subsequently go southward to Phnom
15	Chum Reay and then to Wat Chrak Sdech subsequently?
16	A. After we reached Chum Reay pagoda, we had to move downhill so
17	that we could be placed in cooperatives. After I left Chum Reay
18	mountain, I was on the way to Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda.
19	Q. Could you give us an estimate how many days it took to you
20	from Ph'er village to reach Wat Chrak Sdech, how many days in
21	total?
22	A. I could not give you the exact estimate. As far as I can tell
23	you, I can say it took us 10 days to reach Chrak Sdech pagoda.
24	[09.36.44]
25	Q. When you reach Wat Chrak Sdech, what did you see? What did you

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 14

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

15

1 recall after having arrived, what did you see, what did you
2 experience?

3 A. After -- upon my arrival at Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda, I ran out of rice to eat, I had no more food supplies and at that time one 4 committee of the three years eight months and 20 days regime, 5 announced that we could get rice after we registered our names б 7 and everyone could go back to work in our own previous positions. Q. Mr. Witness, you were 14 at that time, you were with your 8 9 father and mother and your younger sisters, what did your father 10 and mother do, what do you recall seeing once you had arrived at 11 Wat Chrak Sdech, can you be more specific please? 12 A. Thank you. In the written record I gave my answer once already but I did not need -- I do not need to refer to the written 13 record. I witnessed the incident at that time and I would like to 14 15 spend a little bit more time to explain you. After I got the 16 rice, it was around 1 o'clock and we were led to our own 17 cooperatives. After we got the rice we were moved to 18 cooperatives. After we arrived at Prey Roung Khla, we travelled 19 from one, we started leaving Prey Roung Khla at 1 o'clock and 20 after we reached Prey Roung Khla we reached north of one place 21 and we put our belonging at another end of a river when we 22 reached that place, there were armed forces, we were asked to sit 23 in one group and those soldiers shot their rifles at all of us. 24 And as for the baby, the baby was hanged by its leg and it was 25 killed and the corpse and bodies were thrown into pits, covered

1 with leaves and after they did such acts, soldiers left the place 2 and at that time I did not die. And I was, an adult at that time, 3 I pretended to be dead. I was pulled and thrown into the pit covered with tree leaves and during that time it was raining. 4 After they left the pits, I crawled up -- I crawled out of the 5 pit and four people survived the period, some got injured on the б 7 head and some got broken ribs. I could not help them, there were four surviving people at that time and we went and we left the 8 9 pit together. We were walking towards Chrak Sdech pagoda. And 10 when we arrived at that pagoda, there were relatives and 11 neighbours who saw me and knew that I had been led to the pit to 12 be killed and I was helped by them. These people knew that my 13 parents had been killed already and during that time the guards 14 tried to search for us, four of us who survived the killing. And 15 in the evening, the four -- the members of the armed force went 16 to different places and people at that time tried to go to the 17 places that they wanted and the militiamen could not control the 18 situation at that time and we left in groups. 19 [09.42.35]

Q. Mr. Witness, please, I'm still -- in the chronology when you and your family arrived at the Wat Chrak Sdech, what did you see, did you see thousands of people, hundreds of people, what did you see when you arrived there?

A. Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda was the place where members of Pol Potgathered together. As I stated earlier some people were cyclo

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

17

- 1 driver but in order to get the rice they registered that they
- 2 were former soldiers. Chrak Sdech pagoda was the place where all 3 people were put together.
- 4 [09.43.42]

Q. Mr. President, I am seeking your assistance, could you please instruct the witness to give short answers and answer my questions. I'm unable, as it seems, to convince the witness to answer my questions. Mr. Witness what did you see at Wat Chrak Sdech, what time did you arrive, what was the situation like, please limit your answers to what you saw there?

11 MR. KOUMJIAN:

Counsel -- excuse me, Your Honours, it might be helpful for Counsel to specify which of the occasions that the witness arrived at that pagoda he is asking a question about. Because the witness said the family arrived and after this incident in the forest they went back there. So it will be much clear on the record if the Counsel specifies which of the occasions he's asking about.

- 19 [09.44.42]
- 20 MR. KOPPE:

21 I think I was very clear, Mr. President.

22 MR. PRESIDENT:

23 Defence Counsel, please put short and direct questions to this 24 witness. And Mr. Witness, you are instructed to pay attention to 25 the specific question and short question put by the Defence team

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

18

- and please give your short answer. You may now resume your line 1 2 of questioning Mr. Counsel. 3 BY MR. KOPPE: Q. Thank you, Mr. President. You were, let me take you back, you 4 were at Phnom Chum Reay then you and your family went to Wat 5 Chrak Sdech, what did you see, what did you hear when you arrived б 7 there for the first time? 8 MR. SAM SITHY: A. When I reached Chrak Sdech pagoda I saw many, many people and 9 10 I saw members of Pol Pot armed force there. Q. Did you see in fact, thousands of families there? 11 12 A. What I can say is that there were many thousands of people. 13 Q. Then what happened? Please explain what happened the day that you arrived and the next day? 14 15 [09.46.30]16 A. Upon my arrival there was an announcement that they wanted to 17 search for the former civil servants so that they could go back 18 to work. 19 Q. Then what happened? 20 A. I told you earlier and after they registered the names to 21 obtain rice, these people were taken away and killed. 22 Q. Mr. Witness, you just testified that there were thousands of 23 people and there was an announcement, what happened exactly, did 24 they sit down at tables, people registered, gave their
- 25 biographies, how did Khmer Rouge soldiers verify that people were

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

1

19

2 that day. 3 [09.47.57]A. On that day, there was a loud speaker, an announcement was 4 made over the loud speaker to search for former soldiers and 5 civil servants and the announcement was aimed to search for б 7 former officials and officers so that they could get rice and 8 while the announcement was being made, names of people were 9 registered in the list and we -- they told lies to all of us that 10 we could go back to work. Q. Let me ask you differently. You and your family heard that 11 12 announcement, what did your father do? 13 A. My parents registered their name so that they could obtain 14 rice. 15 Q. Was your father in fact a former Lon Nol Republic official? 16 A. During Lon Nol time, he was a soldier. 17 Q. Did he, when he registered himself, write down his name and 18 his occupation, was he a soldier? 19 A. He registered that he was a former soldier. 20 Q. Did he tell you anything about the forms that he had to write, 21 did he say anything about how long it took for the officials to 22 verify that he had indeed been a soldier, what happened -- what 23 happened next? 24 [09.50.22]25 A. After the registration and names of members of family were *Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected* to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

in fact Lon Nol officials? Please tell us in detail what happened

20

1	called and we led southward of the pagoda and we were told that
2	we go to find house to live in.
3	Q. I'm still around the moment that your father registered
4	himself. He said that he had been a soldier according to you, how
5	did you know, did he tell you this or did he tell you what
б	exactly he wrote down, did you hear it from someone, please
7	explain?
8	A. He did not put anything else other than that he was a former
9	soldier. The announcement was aimed to search for former soldiers
10	so that these soldiers could obtain rice so he listed as a former
11	soldier.
12	Q. How long did the registration take? There were thousands of
13	people, how many people did in fact, if you know, registered as
14	soldiers?
15	[09.52.00]
16	A. I did not know how many days it took to finish the
17	registration and as I told you there were many people in the
18	pagoda and in the dining hall, the dining hall was about three
19	metres above the ground and rice was kept in that dining hall and
20	after people got rice, they left the place.
21	Q. Did your father wear military gear identifying him as a Lon
22	Nol soldier at that day or since the liberation?
23	A. He wore paramilitary trousers with a white shirt.
24	Q. And how long did it subsequently last between the moment of
25	registration and you and your parents and sisters and siblings

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

21

1 leaving the pagoda? 2 A. After we obtained the rice and after the registration, we were 3 led away, we were led southwards of the pagoda and we were told that we would go to find houses to live in but actually they were 4 all killed. 5 Q. You said you went southwards and in your statement you said б 7 you went southward to Prey Roung Khla is that correct? 8 A. Yes, that is correct. 9 Q. Isn't it correct that in fact Prey Roung Khla is 70 kilometres 10 northwards? 11 A. It was not seven kilometres away from the pagoda, it was about 12 two kilometres away from the pagoda, it was to the south, not to the north. 13 Q. Something wrong with the translation. I said 70 kilometres 14 15 northward. And there is only one Prey Roung Khla in Cambodia and 16 that is 70 kilometres northwards, is that correct Mr. Witness? 17 [09.55.16]18 A. No, not correct, in terms of the direction and the distance. I 19 told you already that after people got the rice, they were led 20 southwards and it was two kilometres away from the pagoda, I mean 21 the Prey Roung Khla. 22 Q. There is Roung Khla village which is 24 kilometres northeast, 23 but I'm sure you don't mean this. Mr. Witness, you are a police 24 inspector, you grew up in Kampong Chhnang, do you disagree that 25 Prey Roung Khla is 70 kilometres north of Wat Chrak Sdech?

22

1	[09.56.18]
2	A. No, not correct.
3	Q. Fine. So you and your family left Wat Chrak Sdech, tell me
4	what happened?
5	A. After we left Chrak Sdech pagoda we crossed a stream or river
б	and we were told to leave our belongings in another end of the
7	river that is the southern part and the northern part rather,
8	and we crossed the river or stream southwards and after we
9	crossed the stream or the river, the armed force took us away and
10	killed.
11	Q. What happened exactly, you were there, you were walking with
12	how many people?
13	A. Generally speaking, the people who were with me at that time,
14	were my relatives, seven family members.
15	Q. Seven families or seven family members?
16	A. There were about seven family members in one family and at
17	that time there was seven families and after they were killed,
18	the pits were full of dead bodies, almost full.
19	Q. Again, please do not go too fast. You were walking with how
20	many people in total, going southward to a, what you say,
21	direction in Prey Roung Khla?
22	[09.59.03]
23	A. I went with my relatives of my parents, there were seven
24	families and I could not recall all the names now.
25	Q. I wasn't asking about the names, Mr. Witness, I am just asking

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

23

1	you how many with how many people were you, how big was the
2	group, what happened?
3	A. I cannot tell you the exact figures. Some families had five
4	members, some other families members had 10 or 12 members. So
5	there were seven families at that time and members of the seven
б	families were all killed in one specific place.
7	Q. Fine. I'll move on. You were walking with this group of seven
8	families, then what happened?
9	A. Nothing happened because they were all killed and I surviving
10	the killing. There were four of us survived the killing. Two of
11	my relatives one male and one female survived the killing and my
12	two siblings also survived the killing, one sister and one
13	brother.
14	[10.00.51]
15	Q. Mr. Witness, your occupation is a police inspector; you know
16	
	that I'm asking you about details so I'm telling you please try
17	that I'm asking you about details so I'm telling you please try to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of
17 18	
	to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of
18	to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of seven families, then what happened, what did you see, what did
18 19	to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of seven families, then what happened, what did you see, what did you hear, please give me some details?
18 19 20	to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of seven families, then what happened, what did you see, what did you hear, please give me some details? A. When we were travelling, there were soldiers, armed soldiers
18 19 20 21	to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of seven families, then what happened, what did you see, what did you hear, please give me some details? A. When we were travelling, there were soldiers, armed soldiers and after we crossed the stream or the river we were escorted by
18 19 20 21 22	to recall what happened. You were walking there with a group of seven families, then what happened, what did you see, what did you hear, please give me some details? A. When we were travelling, there were soldiers, armed soldiers and after we crossed the stream or the river we were escorted by these armed soldiers into the caves of Prey Roung Khla and we

1 that this group saw these soldiers, what happened?

- A. When we were walked at gun point by the group we were told to keep going straight and try not to escape otherwise we would be shot. Then at a certain location, we were all ordered to sit as one group, all the seven families.
- 6 Q. What was the reaction of the people in the group, what
- 7 happened, was there a discussion between your father and the
- 8 soldiers, what happened?
- 9 [10.03.08]

10 A. There was no discussion at all. We were not armed, we did not 11 have an axe or a knife, we were ordered to place our belonging in 12 a path on the north side of the stream and we were told to walk 13 across the stream to the south side and then on the south side 14 when we arrived we were ordered to walk at gun point to the 15 killing site.

16 Q. Your father was a soldier you said; the other men in the group 17 were they former Lon Nol soldiers as well?

18 A. From my recollection, one family had a member who was a 19 civilian medic and another family had a member who was a teacher 20 and for the rest of the families they had at least a member who 21 was a soldier.

22 [10.04.24]

Q. So then you were escorted by these soldiers at gun point you
say, you were walking across the stream, then what happened?
A. When we were ordered to sit as a group, they stepped back and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

25

1	then they all fired upon us and for the young children who were
2	not hit by bullets, they would go around, pick them up and smash
3	them.
4	Q. In your statement that we have before us, you said that you,
5	that the men in the group were first separated and that they came
6	back later, is that correct or is that incorrect?
7	A. It's my conclusion; there was more than one group who were
8	divided to kill people. For example one group was assigned to
9	kill my family members and relatives and other groups would be
10	assigned to other families who received rice from the
11	organisation.
12	Q. I'm not interested, Mr. Witness, in your conclusions, but I'm
13	asking you, was the group together when you were being shot at or
14	had the group been divided into the men and women first, as you
15	testified earlier?
16	A. Yes indeed, first they ordered us to divide into groups mainly
17	men would be put into one group and then they had to cross the
18	stream first then the wives and children would follow.
19	[10.06.48]
20	Q. And did the men in the group obey this instruction, what was
21	told to them, what exactly happened, what were the words of the
22	soldiers giving this order?
23	A. At that point in time I was a young boy and I followed my
24	father as I was told that they told my father to cross the stream
25	in order to cut trees to make our makeshift shelter and the rest

1	of my siblings and my mother was at the other side of the stream.
2	Then I saw those armed soldiers came with their weapon drawn and
3	pointed at my father and other men and took them away and since I
4	was young, they chased me away to return. So I returned to tell
5	my mother and my uncles and aunts that my father and the men were
б	taken away to be shot dead. They did not believe me after they
7	had killed the men's group they returned for the female group and
8	the children and they were taken to be executed at the same spot.
9	[10.08.27]
10	Q. Did the soldiers, the Khmer Rouge soldiers see you following
11	the group of men, did they something to you, can you shed some
12	light on this?
13	A. First, they divided us into groups, for example, male group
14	and female group. And in fact the person who led the men's group
15	crossing the stream was not armed with a rifle or weapon but
16	armed with a knife, a long knife. When I saw that I ran back to
17	tell my mother and my aunts that my father and the men were taken
18	away and killed and after they had killed the men's group that
19	militia came back to get the female group including myself to
20	cross the stream to the other side.
21	Q. I'm not sure if I follow. Mr. President, I'm mindful of the
22	time but this witness at least in my perspective is so evasive in
23	answering questions. I would really like to have some additional
24	time questioning this witness.
25	[10.10.04]

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 26

1	MR. KOUMJIAN:
2	Your Honours, first of all, we heartily disagree that this
3	witness has been evasive at all. He's telling his story, the
4	questions that are put to him are often compound and complicated
5	but we have no objection in giving Counsel more time, it doesn't
б	heard at all. We don't object.
7	MR. PRESIDENT:
8	The Chamber noticed that the response from the witness is clear
9	enough, however it is the Chamber's observation that some of your
10	questions are repetitious in its nature though we bear with that.
11	So please try to make your questions more precise and to the
12	point. We decide to grant you an additional time of 10 minutes.
13	So please proceed.
14	[10.11.17]
15	BY MR. KOPPE:
16	I don't think that's very fair, Mr. President. I'm not asking any
17	repetitive questions, I'm trying to find details, it is our
18	position he is making up this story and the only way to establish
19	this is to find details that are convincing. But I'll move on,
20	Mr. President, it's the way it is.
21	Q. Mr. Witness, at one point you were being shot at, what
22	happened then?
23	MR. SAM SITHY:
24	A. After they fired the shots at us, not only to myself but to
25	many people and I pretended to be dead then we were dragged to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

28

1	the pit that is, other corpses and myself.
2	Q. Tell me how you did that, playing dead?
3	A. <the because="" father<="" i="" it.="" knew="" my="" pretended="" reason="" saw="" th="" why=""></the>
4	being taken away, at first, to be shot, so I ran backwards to
5	tell my mother, aunties, and uncles to run away and they>
6	MR. PRESIDENT:
7	Mr. Witness, please try to answer to the point. The question is,
8	how did you pretend to be dead, so please answer that question.
9	[10.13.11]
10	MR. SAM SITHY:
11	A. Yes, I'll do that. My mother was sitting in front of me and
12	when they shot at us, one bullet hit her chest so she fell onto
13	the ground and I pretended to fall on to the ground as well
14	behind her so everybody then fell on the ground and they would go
15	around and smash the heads of young infants to make sure they
16	dead.
17	BY MR. KOPPE:
18	Q. Do you know how your sister and your two young siblings
19	pretend to be dead as well?
20	MR. SAM SITHY:
21	A. Some became unconscious while others pretended to be dead. My
22	two cousins pretended to be dead but my younger sister was hit at
23	the rear of her head and there was blood stain there.
24	Q. And how long did the four of you pretend to be dead?
25	[10.14.31]

1	A. While they were going on a killing spree it did not last that
2	long because it was almost 5 o'clock in the afternoon let's
3	say it was 5 o'clock in the afternoon and it was about to rain so
4	by the time the process continued, it was almost dark and they
5	hurried to drag us and drop us into the pit. Then they left, then
б	I called to my relatives if anyone was alive. So then we crawled
7	out of the pit and there were four of us who survived.
8	Q. And how long did the pretending of you and your siblings,
9	pretending to be dead last, how long did you play dead, hours,
10	one hour, 30 minutes?
11	A. I think it took quite a while, maybe about two hours by the
12	time the killing spree started and by the time we made sure they
13	had all left, then we crawled out.
14	Q. Do you have can you explain how and you and younger sister
15	and two siblings were able to fool those soldiers. How were you
16	able to do that, what convinced these soldiers that you, your
17	younger sister and your two siblings were dead?
18	[10.16.25]
19	A. As I just stated it was about to rain and it was about to get
20	dark and they did not have much time, so they actually did not
21	complete their job properly, if they were to do their job they
22	would dragged us one by one, finish us off if they were to make
23	sure that everyone of us dead but I think they hurried to finish
24	us off and go to get our belongings where we piled up on the
25	other side of the stream.

1	Q. So they never buried you and the others of the group, they
2	never dug a pit, they just left you because they were afraid of
3	the rain, is that what you are saying?
4	A. In fact, the pit was an existing B-52 crater, of course a lot
5	of Cambodians are familiar with that, there were remnants of B-52
б	craters and they were pretty large that is, the top diameter
7	was about seven to eight meters wide and the bottom diameter was
8	about three metres wide, they did not cover us but they threw us
9	into the pit and they put some tree leaves on top tree leaves
10	and tree branches on top of us.
11	[10.18.04]
12	Q. And that's all. They left the dead bodies there. Do you know
13	if any dead bodies were found at that specific place afterwards,
14	skeletons I mean?
15	A. They left the dead bodies there and I did not know whether
16	later on the pits were covered with earth or not but during the
17	Khmer Rouge regime those craters were filled with skeletal
18	remains and sometimes the cows ate away the bones.
19	Q. Mr. Witness do you have an explanation for the fact that an
20	organisation called DC-Cam found only one mass grave site in the
21	West Zone which is indeed Prey Roung Khla but which is 70
22	kilometres north of Wat Chrak Sdech? This is a police inspector,
23	Mr. President.
24	MR. KOUMJIAN:
25	Your Honour, without how can the witness possibly speculate on

that unless he knows what areas DC-Cam looked at, what they -whether they were even mandated to search for all areas or only
went to look for one particular site. Asking him to explain why
DC-Cam only found or exhumed one site, there's no way this
witness could have information unless he worked for DC-Cam on
that project.

7 [10.19.58]

8 MR. KOPPE:

9 Mr. President, he's a police inspector, it is my strong

10 conviction that he is lying from A to Z. He's surely able to say 11 about anything about mass grave sites found by DC-Cam.

12 MS. GUIRAUD:

13 Mr. President, if I may make a comment here. Since the beginning 14 of this examination our colleague is speaking to us about the 15 village of Roung Khla which is about 70 kilometres away from the 16 pit that the witness is speaking about. So we cannot verify this 17 information at all. The document that our colleague is relying on 18 to make this assertion that this village is 70 kilometres away 19 whereas the witness said it was two kilometres, there are no 20 elements in the case file to prove this and we have no way to 21 verify that this is the same village so can we at least know 22 where this information comes from; the information allowing us to 23 say that this village was 70 kilometres away and not two 24 kilometres away.

25 [10.21.01]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

32

1 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:

2 Counsel, maybe to take a short cut, if I may with the President's 3 permission, to ask witness a question. Are you aware of any 4 exhumation sites of Lon Nol soldiers or their families in the 5 area?

6 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. No, I am not aware of any exhumation however allow me to add that after 1979, the government made an appeal to the people if they knew any pits where the skeleton remains were scattered so that the skeleton remains could be collected, gathered and stored at the provincial town hall and that applies to all provincial town halls throughout the country that is my recollection of the government's appeal and action in 1979.

14 Q. Did you reply to this appeal by leading to this place and

15 trying to recover the remains of your mother?

16 [10.22.28]

A. No, I did not go to that area however the government's appeal was for the people to gather the skeletal remains and store them at various museums or where they could store and maintain the skeletal remains and that applied to other countries.

21 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA MILART:

22 Thank you.

23 MR. KOPPE:

If I reply to the civil party lawyers, I'm not relying on any document, I'm relying, it's 2015, on google maps which clearly

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

33

says that Prey Roung Khla is 65 to 70 kilometres north of Wat 1 2 Chrak Sdech. So let me withdraw the question. MR. PRESIDENT: 3 Lead Co-Lawyer for Civil Party, please do not take turn to 4 5 respond to each other and I believe your time runs out Defence б Counsel. Do you have any further questions to put to this 7 witness? 8 MR. KOPPE: 9 Yes, about a thousand Mr. President. I need at least two more 10 hours, 10 minutes is ridiculous. 11 MR. KOUMJIAN: 12 Your Honours, perhaps the Counsel could communicate the most 13 important questions he has left so we could at least give him the 14 chance to ask the most important question he has left. 15 MR. KOPPE: 16 If it has to go like this, I withdraw all my questions. 17 MR. PRESIDENT: 18 Now it is 10.26 and the Chamber takes the break for 20 minutes 19 and upon our return at 10.46 we will give floor again to the 20 Defence Counsels. The Chamber is now in recess. (Court recesses from 1024H to 1047H) 21 22 MR. PRESIDENT: Please be seated. The Court is back in session. 23 24 After the deliberation and the discussion of the Bench, it is for 25 the interest of the Supreme Court Chamber to allow the Defence

1 team for the co-Accused, Mr. Khieu Samphan and Nuon Chea, to put 2 question from now on until 12 o'clock. And you can discuss among 3 your teams how much time you need in each team. But the Supreme Court Chamber would like to advise the Defence teams to select 4 the most important question and simple ones so that the witness 5 can provide the answers for the two Defence team, and it's easy б 7 also for the interpretation team. [10.48.36] 8 9 MR. SON ARUN: 10 Mr. President, I would like to request that the interpreter from 11 English into Khmer and French into Khmer speak a little bit 12 louder so that everyone could hear clearly. MR. PRESIDENT: 13 14 Yes. The interpreters are advised so. You may now proceed, 15 Defence team for the Accused. 16 [10.49.15]17 BY MR. KOPPE: 18 Thank you, Mr. President, and my apologies for losing my temper. 19 Q. Mr. Witness, let me take you back to the moment that you said 20 the group that you were with was shot at. Can you please explain 21 from the moment that you heard the sound of bullets what happened 22 next -- what happened, you heard the sound of bullets, then what 23 happened? 24 MR. SAM SITHY: 25 A. <Please allow me, Mr. President, to provide a clarification on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

35

1 the question. I have been questioned many times by the Counsel 2 about this matter. I would, therefore, like to clarify it once 3 again. While running after my father, I was chased backwards because I was still small. Only adults in the prime of their 4 strength were taken to cut trees for shelter building. I stood, 5 sneaking a look at them. After my father was taken into Roung б 7 Khla forest, he was shot, and I ran backwards to tell my mother, aunties, and uncles to run, but they did not. After my father was 8 9 killed, they came to take my mother, aunties, uncles, and me for 10 the second time.>

11 [10.51.19]

12 Q. I will skip that part, but please tell me you saw and you 13 heard bullets being shot at the group of which you and your mother were member of. What happened exactly, who was shooting, 14 15 from where, where were you standing, where was your mother standing? Can you be, please, detailed in your answers? 16 17 A. I have told already. Let me clarify once again, or perhaps I 18 may have confused. After my father was led away and killed--19 BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:

20 Q. Mr. Witness, with the President's authorisation, I would ask 21 you to please answer to the question. Describe the shooting in 22 which your mother was killed. Describe the shooting, only this, 23 nothing earlier, nothing later. Does this capture -- describe the 24 shooting please. Who was doing what, this time what happened to 25 you, what happened to your mother, who was shooting, how was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

36

- 1 shooting, with what?
- 2 [10.52.46]
- 3 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. Regarding the shooting, I was with my mother and that later group. There was armed force with no proper gear or clothing at that time. We were told to sit in groups and the fire was shot at all of us. My mother was sitting in front of me and I was behind her. And when she was hit by the bullet, I pretended to be dead as well.

10 BY MR. KOPPE:

11 Who was shooting and from where was the shooting? What happened

- 12 to the other members of the group?
- 13 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. The ones who shot us are those who walk us to that spot. After we cross the stream, we were walked by these armed people and we were ordered to sit in that area, and the fire was open at all of

- 17 us.
- 18 [10.54.07]

19 Q. Where was your sister sitting, where were your two siblings

20 sitting?

21 A. We were sitting in a group.

22 Q. Where were your sister and your siblings sitting, where were

23 they -- were they behind you, were they next to you, were they

24 behind your mother, where were they?

25 A. We were not allowed to stand up. We were ordered to sit down.

1	I did not notice where my cousin and siblings were sitting. As
2	for me, I was behind my father (sic). After we had all been shot,
3	we were dragged away and thrown into the pits. And after they
4	left, I call out other who survived the killing to crawl out of
5	the pit.
б	[10.55.19]
7	Q. Let me start asking you questions about your younger sister.
8	Did you see a bullet hitting her, did you see if she fell down,
9	did you see what happened to her right after you heard the
10	shooting?
11	A. My younger sister was not hit by the bullet. She was very
12	young at that time. Only the adult were shot by bullets. My
13	younger sister was very young, and the young infants and baby
14	were only hit by them. As for my younger sister, she was hit at
15	the rear of her head. And she was dragged away and thrown into
16	the pit.
17	Q. Do you remember if the fire of the bullets was
18	indiscriminately, were you shot as a group or were only the
19	adults shot at?
20	MR. KOUMJIAN:
21	I just would say that I find the question confusing myself. Is
22	the witness being asked who the soldiers were aiming at? I don't
23	know if he could answer that question.
24	BY MR. KOPPE:

25 Fine, I'm moving on. Mr. Witness, what exactly happened to your

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

38

- 1 younger sister? Where was she? Please tell us in some more detail
- 2 what happened to your younger sister.
- 3 [10.57.11]
- 4 MR. SAM SITHY:
- A. I witness my younger sister was hit by a club, she was not hit
 by the bullet. And my mothers and uncle, aunts and relative were
 hit by bullets.
- 8 Q. She was hit by a club, your younger sister. Then what
- 9 happened?
- 10 A. I did not know at that time. I told you already after these 11 people left the killing place, I call out to those who survive 12 the killing to crawl out of the pits so that we could go back to 13 Chrak Sdech.
- 14 Q. Mr. Witness, did your sister tell you later what had happened 15 to her? Did she tell you whether she played dead?
- 16 A. She told me nothing, but from what I could see at that time,

17 the rear of her head was broken because of the club.

18 [10.58.53]

19 Q. Was she unconscious, did she cry? She was a young girl.

20 A. I did not know at that time. I suspected that she fell

21 unconscious because she was injured with the broken head and she

- 22 was dragged away and thrown into the pit after that.
- 23 Q. Mr. Witness, I'm asking you about your younger sister. Are you
- 24 sure she never told you what happened to her? Did she tell you
- 25 how she was able to fool the soldiers that she was dead?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

39

- 1 $\,$ A. No. She did not fool the soldiers she was dead. As for me, I $\,$
- 2 fooled them that I was dead. I believe that she fell unconscious
- 3 at that time.
- 4 Q. Mr. Witness, your sister was either dead or alive. Surely, you5 know what happened to her.
- 6 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 7 Objection, that's argumentative. It's not a question, it's just
- 8 an argument.
- 9 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 10 Fine. I withdraw. Thank you.
- 11 Q. What happened to your other two siblings, Mr. Witness?
- 12 [11.00.35]
- 13 MR. SAM SITHY:

14 A. As for my two other cousins, they did not sustain any injury. 15 And as of now, my male cousin passed away already. And there's 16 only one survive now.

17 Q. Mr. Witness, these two cousins who were members were also part 18 of that group, the group that was being shot at. Do you know what 19 happened to your two cousins? Did they get a bullet in their 20 body, were they clubbed, what exactly happened to them? 21 A. I know very clearly that these people were sitting in whole 22 group and fire was open at all of us. And as I told you, young 23 babies and infants were not shot with the bullet, only the adult 24 were. And there was screaming and crying at that time after the 25 shooting. And then clubs were used to hit the baby and infants

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

40

who were crawling to their mother so that they could have their
 breast milk. But after that time, the baby were hit and thrown
 into the pit.

4 [11.02.28]

Q. Mr. Witness, you were apparently able to see what happened to that baby. Can you explain to us why you weren't able to see what happened to your two cousins? Or were you able to see what happened to them?

9 A. There were many people within that group. As I said, there 10 were seven families altogether and we were all placed into this 11 whole group, and I did not know where my sister was sitting or 12 where my cousins were sitting. And only upon the time that I crawled out of the pit, I noticed that there were four of us who 13 survive. My younger sister was hit at the back of her head, but 14 15 my two other cousins were not hit. And so I pretended that they -16 I presumed that they pretended to be dead at the time.

17 Q. Did they tell you afterwards how they were able to manage to

18 fool the soldiers?

19 A. No. I did not ask them about that. After we left the pit, I 20 held hand of my sibling, and we ran back into Wat Chrak pagoda 21 and people who knew us gave us some food to eat. And then I 22 walked around from one place to the other. As we heard the 23 shouting from that group to look for the four kids, and they 24 accused the four kids that us, that we were apart of the CIA 25 network. So I kept evading them by moving from one place to the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

41

- 1 next within the premises of the pagoda since there were thousands
- 2 of families residing inside the compound of the pagoda at the 3 time.
- 0 02.......
- 4 [11.05.06]

Q. Let me take you back again, Mr. Witness. Shots were being fired, people were being clubbed to death apparently, what happened next? Did the soldiers start carrying the bodies? If yes, where to? Please tell us what happened next.

9 BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:

10 Q. Maybe it will assist you if I ask the question in connection 11 with this one. Could you describe what the soldiers were doing 12 after they stopped shooting, only from this moment after they 13 stopped shooting until they left. Could you describe what you 14 know, what the soldiers were doing. And please tell us what you 15 saw as opposed to what you're only guessing. Okay? Thank you. So 16 that question is just about this fragment of the event. Thank 17 you.

18 [11.06.30]

19 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. After they fired the shots at the group, they dragged the bodies and drop the bodies into the pit. And they then covered the bodies with four or five branches of the tree. So, all seven families were -- the bodies of the seven families were dropped into the pit. And as I said before we were ordered to sit into a group, we were instructed to leave our belongings into a pile.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

42

- 1 Then those soldiers returned to the pile, collected our
- 2 belongings and left.
- 3 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 4 Please describe how you were carried to a pit. What do you
- 5 remember of you, your body being carried?
- 6 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 7 A. At that time, they did not carry us properly. They dragged us
- 8 by our foot and threw us into the pit.
- 9 Q. Do you remember if the person carrying you was trying to
- 10 figure out whether you were alive or dead?
- 11 [11.08.23]
- A. To tell you the truth, I pretended to be dead. And before they dragged me into the pit, they used a club to hit me, but luckily the club hit the ground first before it hit my body. So the impact was minor. And after that, they dragged me by my foot and dropped me off into the pit.
- 17 Q. How long were you lying in the pit?

A. It would be around two hours, that is the totality of the time. After the killing started, I was drag and drop off into the pit, and later on, I crawled out of the pit, I looked around to see whether they all had left before I actually crawled out of the pit. So the total time was about two hours. And by that time, it was about to rain.

24 [11.09.40]

25 Q. Were there bodies lying on top of you or next to you?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

43

1	A. I (inaudible) there were two layers of dead bodies above me.
2	But I tried to manage to crawl out.
3	Q. So there were dead bodies lying on top of you, for how long?
4	A. I was lying next to the rim of the pit. And for that reason,
5	although there were dead bodies or say at least two dead bodies
б	on top of me, I could breathe near actually near the side wall
7	of the pit.
8	Q. Can I ask you again how you pretended to be dead, did you keep
9	your eyes closed all the time or what did you do?
10	A. Of course, we all resorted to our own way of pretending to be
11	dead. And as I said, I pretended to be dead and I actually closed
12	my eyes and just open it a little to observe their activities.
13	Q. What about your younger sister and your two siblings, where
14	were they in this pit?
15	A. Before I crawl out and looked to see whether they all had
16	gone, I return into the pit and I shouted out if anyone was alive
17	so that we all could go to Chrak Sdech pagoda. There was a
18	sibling lying in front of me and she shouted "please, come and
19	help me!" since there were dead bodies above her. So I went to
20	help her, then I heard another shout on the other side, and I
21	pushed away the dead bodies and helped my family member. So in
22	total, I helped three of my relatives and including my younger
23	sibling from various part of the pit.
24	[11.12.59]
25	Q. So it was only your younger sister and your two siblings who

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 43

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

44

- 1 were alive. Everybody else had died; is that your testimony?
- 2 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 3 A minor point, but I think counsel said siblings. He meant
- 4 cousins.
- 5 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 6 Your sibling and your two cousins, they were the only ones who
- 7 survived?
- 8 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 9 A. There were four of us who survive including me. Three of us
- 10 were not seriously injured, however, one was severely injured in
- 11 her head, and that is my younger sister.
- 12 [11.13.48]
- Q. Your sister and your two siblings, are they still alive today?MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 15 Again, just for the record to be clear, it might be better if
- 16 Counsel refers them as cousins. I think witness has called them
- 17 his cousins.
- 18 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 19 I apologize. Sorry. Your sister and your two cousins, are they
- 20 still alive today?
- 21 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 22 A. At the present, my male cousin died and my female cousin is
- 23 still alive. And my younger sister also died as a result of
- 24 trauma from the injury on the back of her head.
- 25 Q. What's the name of your female cousin?

45

- 1 A. Her name is Kim Sok (phonetic). And the surname is Som
- 2 (phonetic). So the full name is Som Kim Sok (phonetic).
- 3 Q. And where does she live today?
- 4 A. Currently, she's living in the same village where I live and5 her house is not far from mine.
- 6 [11.15.35]
- 7 $\,$ Q. Is my understanding correct that she would be the only one to
- 8 confirm your story of this event?
- 9 A. Yes, she can confirm the story.
- Q. Very well. You, your sister, and your two cousins were able to get out of that pit. Do you have an explanation for the reason why it was only the members of your family who had survived this
- 13 and that all others were dead?
- 14 A. It is rather difficult for me to respond to that question. The

15 gunshot or the beating with the club, it means it's in

- 16 discriminatory. However, I was fortunate enough to survive and
- 17 it's difficult to tell you why it was so.

Q. Once you, your sister, and two cousins were out, what did you say to each other, what did you decide to do, what happened next? [11.17.21]

A. After I gathered the rest of us at the top of the pit, that is after I crawl out, actually I picked a piece of rock to the left or to the right, to the front and to the back. It was kind of a military tactic and I'd like -- I threw those rocks and I listened if there was any response or any sound in response to

1 the rock but it was dead quiet. So we all crawled from where I am 2 now to the location about where you are standing, and we stayed 3 there near the bushes for a while. And we tried to orient ourselves by trying to see where we could see a mango tree with 4 the help of a lightening. Then my cousin told me that she could 5 locate a mango tree -- that is, a shade of a mango tree. And I б 7 could identify that that was the mango tree that we were led to walk past by. So then I decided to take my relative towards that 8 9 direction of the mango tree. Of course, we did not walk straight 10 there, we was in a zigzag stage. And later on, I could reach the 11 vicinity near the pagoda. But I didn't reach the pagoda compound 12 immediately. We stayed in a rice field for a while and I listened to a rooster singing. Then I would know the orientation where the 13 east or the west was. Then I took off the shirt and asked my 14 15 cousins and sister to do the same to wash away the blood stain. 16 Then we put back the shirt and I took my cousins and sister 17 towards the area where we were told to leave our bicycle and 18 other belongings. But they had all gone. Then I took my cousins 19 and sister to Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda. [11.20.13]20

Q. Mr. Witness, can you explain to us how you were able or your sister or your two cousins to recognize this mango tree. You were at a place about 60, 70 kilometres southward of your birth village, you were 14 years old, how did you know to find your way back?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

47

- 1 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 2 Excuse me, counsel, but the witness said it was denied it was
- 3 70 kilometres. To my recollection, he said it was about two
- 4 kilometres.
- 5 MR. KOPPE:
- 6 No, no, his birth village is 70 kilometres away.
- 7 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 8 Okay, then.
- 9 BY MR. KOPPE:
- 10 Sorry for the un-clarity.

11 Q. You were at this execution site about 70 kilometres away from 12 your village where you were born and raised. How were you able to

- 13 recognize anything in that area?
- 14 [11.21.14]
- 15 MR. SAM SITHY:

16 A. When they took us away, I tried to identify and earmarked the 17 path, earmarked where we placed our belongings and bicycles, which was on the north side of the stream. And we were taken to 18 19 the south side of the stream to be killed. So I tried to identify 20 or earmark the location, maybe that was a talent that I was born 21 with, since most of my family members were in the military 22 service, and I knew how to use certain tactics, certain military 23 tactics.

Q. My last question although I have many more. Mr. Witness, whydid you decide to go back to Wat Chrak Sdech, the place where you

48

- 1 had originally gathered why go back there?
- A. The decision that I made to return to Chrak Sdech pagoda was that there were too many -- so many people there, so we could hide ourselves and we could ask for food from other people. And that's how I force myself to take my sibling and cousins to go there in order to live, mingled with the rest of the people.
- 7 MR. KOPPE:
- 8 I'm giving the floor now, Mr. President, to my colleague. I have9 still many more questions for the record. Thank you.
- 10 [11.23.15]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The floor is now given to the Co-Counsel for Khieu Samphan. And 13 you may proceed, Counsel.
- 14 QUESTIONING BY MR. VERCKEN:
- Thank you, Mr. President, and good morning, Mr. Witness. I'd like to resume the discussion you had with my colleague, Counsel Koppe, at the start of your testimony at which point you were saying that two years ago you had an interview with a working group. I believe that's the expression you used, "working group". Could you please describe for us the number of people who made up that working group.
- 22 [11.24.11]
- 23 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. Regarding my interview, and as I said it took place years ago,the working group came in a vehicle and there were about four of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

49

1	them.
2	Q. Do you remember their names? Do you remember the jobs that
3	each one of these four people were actually doing?
4	A. No, I don't remember everything. And even now, if I were to
5	meet them, I would not recognize their faces. But as I said
6	earlier, I accept this written record of my statement which I
7	provided to them at that point in time.
8	Q. So you spoke with all four of them and that led to this
9	written record that we now have; is that correct?
10	A. Yes, that is correct.
11	Q. And in this district police inspectorate, for the interview
12	that was held, what room did you sit down in?
13	A. I was actually sitting in my own office.
14	[11.26.09]
15	Q. So is there enough room in your office to put another four
16	chairs in and to have a discussion among five people?
17	A. My office is pretty large actually and it could accommodate 12
18	people, since regular meetings for my subordinates are held in my
19	office.
20	Q. Had you ever met these four people who you met on that day on
21	any other occasion, did you know them already?
22	A. No, I did not know them previously. However, I believed they
23	learnt of my identity through press or newspapers, because
24	previously my background was published in the news and a while
25	after, this working group came to seek my interview.

50

Q. And in that press article, do I take it that you told the story of what you went through in 1975, and it was from that article therefore that the attention of these people was alerted? [11.28.00]

A. Yes, the news was in the newspaper. And about two months after
the publication of the article in the newspapers, the working
group arrived at the place to meet me.

8 Q. Did they come and see you directly or was there perhaps a 9 phone call before, how exactly did they contact you? Were there 10 any prior discussions before you actually sat down in the office? 11 A. No, there was no prior communication either in a form of 12 telephone call or through a message. Maybe a month or two after 13 the publication of the article in the newspapers, they came to 14 see me. And the article was about myself, about my name, about 15 the village that I lived in. And I think they identified that 16 information and located me.

Q. So if I understand correctly, in the article, you managed -you talked about the conditions in which you survived the threat of death in 1975. Was all of this in the article?

A. Yes, that is about right. I spoke about the events which is similar to this written record of my interview, although it was a bit more detailed, that is from the time that we left the house until the time that we were taken to be killed at the pit. So it is consistent with the statement that I made in this written record of interview.

[11.30.25]

1

51

2 Q. And this was published in which newspaper, this article? 3 A. It was called Koh Santepheap newspaper. Q. So I imagine that you kept a copy of this article, I suppose; 4 am I right? 5 A. No, I did not keep a copy of that article. And as I told you, б 7 the publication of that article was about two years ago. Q. Fine. And beyond the problem resulting from the fact that the 8 9 written record of interview that we have is seven years old and 10 not two years old, there is another issue stemming from the fact that in this WRI, there is -- mention is made of the name of only 11 12 one single investigator, Mr. Lim Sokunta (phonetic) and no one else was with him; whereas normally, the people who conduct these 13 14 interviews are mentioned in the WRIs, which is normal. So are you 15 absolutely sure about the fact that you spoke with five people in 16 your office? [11.32.20]17 18 A. I was interviewed at that time because there was a publication 19 of an article in the news. There were a few working groups going 20 to see me and interviewing me. 21 Q. And were different people making up these working groups each 22 time? 23 A. They were different groups from my analysis. 24 Q. So each time these people came to question you about the facts 25 dating back to 1975 that you experienced, the fact that you were

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 51

1	able to escape a certain death, so all of the interviews were
2	based on what happened to you in 1975; am I right?
3	A. They wanted to hear the story that I could make an escape and
4	could survive.
5	Q. Fine. Well, this expression "working group" is rather generic,
6	it's rather vague in fact. So I imagine that these people who
7	showed up at the police station introduced themselves, they told
8	you who they are. You as a police officer are not going to open
9	the door for any old person just knocking on your door, in
10	particular, to question you; whereas normally you are the person
11	questioning people. So can you be a bit more specific about the
12	position that they had, about the institute that these people may
13	have belonged to?
14	[11.34.51]
15	A. Actually, they introduced themselves when they wanted to see
16	me, however, I did not list down their names. They wanted to know
17	about my survival and story that I experienced. And at that time,
18	I told the episodes and they noted down. And again, I did not
19	write down their names or the institutes they may have belonged
20	
	to.
21	to. Q. So you were not interested in that, you didn't think that that
21 22	
	Q. So you were not interested in that, you didn't think that that
22	Q. So you were not interested in that, you didn't think that that was too important; am I correct?
22 23	Q. So you were not interested in that, you didn't think that that was too important; am I correct? A. I understand that the story that I experienced is very

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 52

F1/2.1

53

1 about the killings, that the killing of people did happen at that 2 time. And I told them I am one of the survivors. And there was --3 the recording was made at that time and the written record was 4 also made.

5 [11.36.35]

Q. Well, since the start of the hearing this morning, we're speaking about events that date back 40 years, but now, we're speaking about something that happened less than two years ago. So I'm asking you the question, can you tell us approximately how many interviews you had with these different working groups and whose members you don't remember nor the organisations they belong to?

A. The events actually happened 30 or 40 years ago. And as for the interviewers, they met me in the last two years. Two or three working groups came to see me and interview me. Some of them were from media and some other were from other organisation. And there are groups also from this Court. And I did not note down on what date they see me.

Q. It seems that your memory is coming back to you. However, this does not make my job easier. You told me that they were groups in the plural, working groups coming from the Court. How many groups coming from the Court therefore?

A. There was only one and they went to interview me once as well.
And two or three days ago, people from this Court went to my
place and told me that I was invited by this Chamber to testify

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015 F1/2.1

54

- 1 as a witness.
- 2 [11.39.04]

3 Q. Well, Witness, as you know, we have with us a part of the audio recording of the conversation you had with one single 4 person coming from this tribunal, seven years ago this was. And 5 б there is a passage which is at the beginning of this recording in 7 which you refer to a possible interview that happened before. So I suggest that we listen to this recording. It's very short. We 8 will run it from minute 14, 18 seconds to minute 14 and 41 9 10 seconds. And you're discussing a new topic here which you hadn't 11 spoken about during the 40 minutes that preceded this. And you seem however to refer to a previous interview. So may I ask the 12 President for leave to play this audio recording so that the 13 witness can clarify what is happening here. The AV unit has 14 15 already been warned, so I believe that they can run the excerpt. MR. PRESIDENT: 16

- 17 You are allowed to do so.
- 18 [11.41.00]
- 19 (Audiovisual presentation)

20 "[Mr. Sam Sithy:] Yes, Khmer Rouge walked us towards Chum Reay or 21 Aoral mountains. As you stated, they were walking us until we ran 22 out of food supply and after that, they turn us back into 23 cooperatives."

24 (End of presentation)

25 [11.41.54]

1	ΒY	MR.	VERCKEN:
			,

2 Mr. President, I have a slight translation issue here. Or in any 3 case with the French translation, I have to check this. In any case, what we heard in the translation is as I said, but 4 5 apparently, there is some issues about this. Maybe this is б something you said to the investigator such as you said it, you 7 said. But in any case, this is the first you speak about this and you're referring to a prior statement. And I'm going to rephrase 8 9 the question. I don't have time to waste on translation issues 10 here. So that day, was this the first time that you met these 11 gentlemen?

12 [11.43.10]

13 MR. SAM SITHY:

14 A. There were a few working groups going to see me. And the 15 recording which I have just heard is that the interviewer wanted to know why people had to walk in a long distance to Aoral 16 17 mountain. And I told him that they wanted us to walk in a long 18 distance so that we ran out of food, and after that, they would 19 take us to a place where we could obtain rice. And at that place, 20 we were told to register names and return back to work. 21 Q. Fine. Now I am going to get back to your testimony. You said 22 earlier on that when you arrived at the pagoda where the people 23 were asked to register, you said that there were tuk tuk drivers 24 who also had to register in order to get rice. What are you 25 speaking about here exactly? I don't really understand.

56

1	[11	.44.	55]
---	-----	------	-----

A. I would like to mention this story again. For those who had no food to eat and after they heard the announcement that those who were former soldier or civil servant, they could obtain rice to eat. And as I told you, some people were merely a cyclo driver or tuk tuk driver. And because they wanted to have rice or food to eat, they registered their names as former soldiers to obtain rice.

9 Q. And do you know if this was checked afterwards to check to see 10 if indeed they were former servicemen or don't you know? 11 A. Some people who registered their name show that they were 12 former soldier, and some other people wore military uniform. That 13 is why it was known to us that they were former soldier. And 14 after people register names and register numbers of family, rice 15 were given to them. At that time, there were no markets and no 16 place to barter rice with meat or vegetables.

Q. And when you were there, did you see these people being separated into groups and being taken away from the pagoda site? You saw other groups of people leaving with soldiers to go elsewhere; am I right, is that the case?

21 [11.47.13]

A. I told this already. After we registered our names and got the rice, the militiamen who were not armed led us away. Two militiamen was in charge of one family at that time and these two militiamen led the families away. And the same case happened to

1	other people. After people got rice, they were led out of the
2	pagoda into the forest. And the action happened after that time.
3	Q. Fine. Well, then since two militiamen were taking care of each
4	family, and you in a group of seven families, you were normally
5	accompanied by 14 militiamen; am I correct?
б	A. Let me clarify. In after one family registered their name
7	to obtain rice, they were put in one specific place. And after
8	six or seven family had registered their name, there would be one
9	militiaman or two militiamen walk away the six or seven families
10	into the forest.
11	Q. And how many militiamen accompanied you, you and your family?
12	[11.49.22]
13	A. Because in my group there were people wearing military
14	trousers or military shirts, my group was led by three militiamen
15	into the forest.
16	Q. And were these people carrying weapons? Did the three
17	militiamen carry any kind of weapon?
18	A. No, they were not armed. I could say that he had a long sickle
19	knife and he wrapped it a scarf around his neck. And after we
20	reach the forest, there were another group of armed force who was
21	there who were there to kill us.
22	Q. Well, in fact that was my following question. Can you
23	therefore tell us exactly in which context and at what moment
24	these people from the other group, that is to say the second
25	group, when exactly did you see them for the first time? At which

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

58

1 step in the process did you see them for the first time in the 2 forest? 3 A. I could not get clearly your question. What do you want to ask me? You asked about the first group consisting of militiamen. And 4 5 the second group, you want to know whether they were armed group б or they were unarmed group? 7 [11.51.32] Q. Well, first of all, I'm going to ask you for how long were you 8 9 walking with the first group with the three militiamen before you 10 arrived in the woods? Just tell me how long that took. 11 A. It was about two kilometres away from Chrak Sdech pagoda to 12 the killing site. And we were walking towards the north side of 13 the stream. And then we were told to put our belongings in 14 piles--15 Q. Witness, I don't have much time. I'm just asking how long it 16 took you, how long did you walk? That's all. A. It took us much time as I think. So it was about one hour to 17 18 travel from Chrak Sdech pagoda to Roun Kla (phonetic) forest. So 19 it was about two hours to travel. 20 Q. Two hours you said. Right, that's interesting. So is it once you arrived in this forest, is it then that the second group of 21 22 militiamen appeared; yes or no? 23 [11.53.26]24 A. The militiamen who led us away from Chrak Sdech pagoda, they 25 each had a long knife. They told us that we had to go into the

1	forest to cut trees so that we can make a makeshift shelter. And
2	when we reach Roung Khla forest, armed force came out. So it was
3	two hours, about two hours from Chrak Sdech to that forest.
4	Q. When these militiamen appeared, how many were there? Do you
5	remember how many militiamen there were? I'm speaking about the
б	militiamen who appeared in the forest, not about those who came
7	with you.
8	BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
9	Counsel, please excuse the interruption, but we are getting
10	translation when you ask the question, is militiamen. When the
11	witness answers, it sounds armed forces. Perhaps, these are the
12	three languages coming into play here. But I am just wondering
13	whether in Khmer, the witness is using one term or two different
14	terms.
15	Q. Mr. Witness, if you can answer to my question, these people
16	who came out in the forest whom you met in the forest, who are
17	to us, described as armed forces, they were the same formation as
18	those who led you to the forest or they were a different unit, a
19	different formation? How did they look like, did they have
20	uniforms? Could you describe? Thank you.
21	[11.55.27]
22	MR. SAM SITHY:
23	A. Militiamen did not carry weapons, guns or rifles. They had
24	long knife, each of them had a long knife. And after we crossed
25	the stream into the forest, there were armed people. And these

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 59

1	armed people did not wear any proper clothes to identify
2	themselves that they were soldier or militiamen, but I know that
3	they were armed. And we all were killed with clubs and bullets.
4	Q. What weapons did they have? The armed ones, what kind of
5	weapons did they have?
б	A. When the gun were pointed at us, they used AK rifles. And the
7	AK rifles were used and pointed at us. So our six families were
8	they used the AK rifles against the six or seven families.
9	JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
10	Thank you. Back to you, Counsel.
11	[11.57.26]
12	BY MR. VERCKEN:
13	How many of these armed people bearing AK-47s were there?
14	MR. SAM SITHY:
15	A. I told you already. After we crossed the stream and went into
16	the forest, I could see six armed people with AK rifles. They had
17	six AK rifles and I did not know whether there were other armed
18	people somewhere else in the forest.
19	Q. Naturally, you could not know of course. When these six armed
20	men arrived, was everyone there? Who was there? You, of course,
21	because you them but who else? Families, wives, women, children,
22	only men, the other militiamen who were not armed who escorted
23	you from the pagoda? So can you tell us who was there when the
24	armed men appeared?
25	[11.58.55]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

61

1	A. I am talking about the six and seven families who experienced
2	that incident. I did not know what happened to other groups, but
3	I believe that they used the same method against other groups.
4	Q. Maybe, but Mr. Witness, I'm asking you what happened to you.
5	And I'm asking you, for example, was your mother there when the
б	militiamen came, did she also see them?
7	A. Yes, everyone could see. After we went into the forest, we
8	started crying and holding each other. I told my mother to run
9	away, and I told other also to run away, but they did not believe
10	me. And after that, after we reached the forest, we were put in
11	groups and everyone started to cry after we were pointed after
12	the gun were pointed at us. And they felt regret that they did
13	not make an escape when I told them.
14	Q. But they couldn't do that if they had guns aimed at them,
15	could they?
15 16	
	could they?
16	could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and
16 17	could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding
16 17 18	could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding and they held me.
16 17 18 19	<pre>could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding and they held me. [12.01.10]</pre>
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding and they held me. [12.01.10] Q. Was it the six armed men who segregated the males from the</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding and they held me. [12.01.10] Q. Was it the six armed men who segregated the males from the group to take them off into the forest?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding and they held me. [12.01.10] Q. Was it the six armed men who segregated the males from the group to take them off into the forest? A. I did not recognize their faces, but I could see the activity</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>could they? A. Yes, because there were too many people. And when the guns and rifles were pointed at all of us, they started to cry and holding and they held me. [12.01.10] Q. Was it the six armed men who segregated the males from the group to take them off into the forest? A. I did not recognize their faces, but I could see the activity that they did at that time the activity that they did through</pre>

1	Q. Very well. So you were with your father when these six armed
2	men appeared; is that correct? You had been separated from the
3	women?
4	A. <no. adults="" in="" of="" only="" prime="" strength="" taken<="" td="" the="" their="" were=""></no.>
5	first - the male ones were taken first. I who was the smallest
б	person at that time ran after my father, but I was chased
7	backwards to my mother - I was not allowed to go with my father.
8	However, I did not come back; and I sneaked a look at them. While
9	my father was being walked, passing the forest and the stream,
10	six men appeared, pointed the gun at him, and took him away.
11	After a while, I heard gunshots. I ran back to tell my mother,
12	aunties, and uncles to run as they had already killed my father,
13	but they did not believe me.>
14	[12.03.27]
15	Q. They didn't believe you because they themselves had not heard
16	the gunfire; is that correct?
17	A. <yes, back="" because="" believed="" heard="" i="" ran="" td="" tell<="" them="" they="" to=""></yes,>
18	them to run right after the gunshots; however, they did not
19	believe me. They said they shot animals, not my father, but I
20	refused and kept telling them that my father had been taken to be
21	shot. I told them to run, but they did not.>
22	Q. This is a bit odd, sir, because in the seven-year-old written
23	record, you say something slightly different. Let me just
24	confront you with the version you gave there. I'm looking at
25	E3/5201 of the 7th of August 2008. And in the French ERN,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

63

80027543; in English, 00275139; and 00212121, in Khmer; you're 1 2 referring to a Khmer Rouge wearing a red scarf and you say that 3 he told you to go and cut wood in the forest so as to make some temporary shelters. "He ordered the women and children including 4 me to wait there. That person then led the 12 men in my group 5 including my father across the rice fields and into the forest. I б 7 followed, then I saw five to six Khmer Rouge come out of the forest pointing their weapons. Then they led the men into the 8 9 forest. I ran back to tell what I had seen to the group of 30 10 women including my mother and my siblings who were waiting. Nearly an hour later, I heard gunfire in the forest from the 11 12 direction they had walked the men's group. Then the man who wore 13 the red scarf, came to lead the women's group in which I and my mother were included." Now so there are quite a few differences. 14 15 There's only one individual, for a start, in a red scarf who 16 accompanied you and the families. Now contrary to what you have 17 just told us, you did not witness the murder of your father but 18 you ran away immediately you knew that your father had been taken 19 away by armed men. You went back to the women to tell them what 20 had happened. And it was only one hour later, when you had been with the women for a whole hour, that you actually heard the 21 22 qunshots coming from the direction where the men had been taken. 23 Now, my question to you, sir, can you explain all of these contradictions? We have differences in the timing and the 24 25 chronology that are quite significant.

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 63

64

- 1 [12.07.31]
- 2 MR. KOUMJIAN:

Your honour, Counsel's read a long passage. The question is not very precise and also the question restated the witness's testimony in saying that the witness said that he saw his father murdered. He's explained in detail exactly what he saw. He saw him taken away and then he heard gunshots.

- 8 [12.07.56]
- 9 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 10 I didn't understand anything of the Prosecutor's question, so I 11 maintain my question to the witness, Mr. President.

Q. Why in the record did he say that he was accompanied by one single red scarf militiaman and not three? Why in the record did he say that when he heard gunfire, he had been with his mother for one hour while just now he said he wasn't with his mother and that he went back to join her to alert her about what was going on; and then she said, don't worry, they were probably just shooting animals? Please, Mr. Witness, explain the differences.

- 19 [12.08.51]
- 20 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. <I would like to provide a clarification on this question again. The three militiamen walking them from the pagoda were not equipped with guns but knives. When reaching a place where stuff were kept, the militiamen split - two guarded the wife and one walked the husband away to cut trees for shelter building as it

65

1 was getting dark. While they were walking into the forest, I 2 followed my father, hearing they were going to cut trees. 3 Although I was chased backwards, I did not return and kept watching him. 4 After passing the forest, six armed men and one militiaman, who 5 accompanied him, appeared. The other two were quarding the wife б 7 and children at the place where stuff was kept; men were taken away first. Therefore, only one militiaman took the men away 8 9 first on the pretext of tree cutting; the other two were with the 10 wife and children. While running after him, I saw him being held 11 at gunpoint and I realized that he was taken to be killed. Having 12 seen them pointing the gun at my father, I ran back and said, "My 13 father is being taken to be shot." I told them to run, but they didn't because they did not believe me. After about an hour 14 15 later, gunshots were heard from the place I suspected they were taken to be killed. I said, "You hear them? They are shooting 16 17 them all." I ran back, separating from my mother, and she ran 18 after me to get me back. That was the incident.> 19 [12.10.54]20 MR. PRESIDENT: 21 Counsel, you are running out of time. You went beyond 12 minutes. 22 And it is now time for lunch break and it is time also for DVD 23 change. 24 And the Court will resume its hearing at 1.30. And the Chamber 25 will consider and decide whether to allow more time for the

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 65

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

66

- 1 Defence team after the break.
- 2 (Court recesses from 1211H to 1259H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 5 The Chamber will hand the floor to the Co-Prosecutors and Lead
- 6 Co-Lawyers for civil parties to put questions to the witness and
- 7 you may proceed.
- 8 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 10 MR. VERCKEN
- 11 My apologies; Mr. President, you were speaking of the possibility
- 12 of giving us a bit of extra time; however, I note that you have
- 13 given the floor directly to my colleague --
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 And allow the Chamber to clarify the matter. Based on our

16 deliberation, the Chamber will hand the floor first to the

- 17 Co-Prosecutors and Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties and if time
- 18 remains, it would be given again to the defence counsel. I hope
- 19 it is clear.
- 20 And the Lead Co-Lawyer for civil parties you may resume the
- 21 floor.
- 22 MS. GUIRAUD:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. President. I will give the floor to my colleague 24 Hong Kimsuon.
- 25 [13.01.35]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

> 67 1 QUESTIONING BY MR. HONG KIMSUON: 2 My name is Hong Kimsuon. First, my greetings expressed to the 3 Bench and everyone in and around the courtroom. Mr. Witness, I have some questions to put to you in addition to 4 5 all the questions put to you this morning by the defence б counsels. 7 Q. When people were evacuated on the 17 April 1975 and you told that you made a pretty long journey during the evacuation, was it 8 9 your intention to make that long journey? 10 MR. SAM SITHY: A. Yes, good afternoon, Counsel, and allow me to respond to your 11 12 question. The long journey was not the intention of our family, 13 it was the principle set forth by the leadership at the time. [13.02.52]14 15 Q. Which leadership are you referring to? 16 A. We were evacuated in 1975 when we were under the control of 17 Pol Pot. That is my belief that the principle was set forth by 18 Pol Pot for us to evacuate from our base to mountainous area. 19 Q. Please respond briefly as time is of essence to us. 20 While you were en route, what was your observation? Did you see 21 old people, young people, children, travelling along? 22 A. When we were evacuated, when we were forced to leave everybody 23 had to leave, including the young infant, the old people and the 24 young people, and the journey was very slow as there were too 25 many people on the street.

03/07/2015

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia

Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC

68

- 1 Q. My question to you is: What happened en route, if you
- 2 witnessed anything, for instance?
- 3 A. Some people did not have food to eat; some got fainted en4 route, that is all.
- Q. Were there any emergency assistance team organised by the Khmer Rouge to assist those people while they were en route? A. No, it seems there was none as we were forced to move on quickly. There was no medical assistance provided while we were en route.
- 10 [13.05.32]

Q. You spoke rather at length this morning and I would like to 11 12 ask you a little about coming down from Chum Reay mountain to Wat 13 Chrak Sdech. You said there were thousands of people there or at least hundreds of families and you ran out of food and the Khmer 14 15 Rouge militia made an announcement on the loud speaker asking for 16 those who were former Lon Nol soldiers or civil servants. Were 17 there many people who actually registered their names so that 18 they could be reinstated in their previous positions? 19 A. It was my observation at the time; there were people who 20 actually registered. I cannot tell you whether there were more or 21 less people but usually those who didn't have food or who ran out 22 of food would register in order to receive rice. 23 Q. You were asked by the defence counsel this morning about those 24 who registered their names and they claimed that they used to be

25 former Lon Nol soldiers or civil servants. I heard from what you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

25

69

1	said that sometimes people who did not have any position in the
2	previous government, for example, a cyclo driver or "tuk tuk"
3	driver claimed to be civil servants, were there many of these
4	cases?
5	[13.07.21]
б	A. From what I observed, in certain instance there were people
7	who registered their names and claimed they were soldiers, and
8	there were also ordinary civilians who registered their names for
9	exchange of rice.
10	Q. Was a paper given, for instance in your case to your father,
11	so that your father could register the names of the family
12	members or did you had to go to a certain location to register
13	the names?
14	A. Actually I walked behind him. He went to register his name and
15	he was asked where was his military barracks, where was he
16	employed and how many family members in the family and upon
17	giving them the information, the rice amount was given to him.
18	[13.08.31]
19	Q. After your family names were registered and rice was given, is
20	it that the militia took the seven families of yours to Prey
21	Roung Khla forest? Allow me to cut through some points and let me
22	now go to the pit where people were executed you said that your
23	family members were shot dead and dragged by their foot and
24	thrown into the pit and there were about two bodies lying above
<u> </u>	

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

you and you tried to open your eyes to look around and did you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

70

1	hear anything from those Khmer Rouge who actually killed your
2	family members?
3	A. When I said I opened my eyes a little it was not when I was
4	in the pit - actually, I was still not dragged into the pit yet.
5	I heard they said that, "you contemptible, you actually stepped
6	on my chest for many years and now it is our turn to step on your
7	chest."
8	[13.09.52]
9	Q. And when you escaped from the pit together with your other
10	three relatives and reached Chrak Sdech pagoda and you said that
11	the situation became rather chaotic, there was a group of
12	soldiers who made an announcement to arrest four children who
13	were connected to CIA and what did you understand of the word CIA
14	at that time?
15	A. At that time the force
16	MR. VERCKEN:
17	Objection, Mr. President. The summary of what of this
18	witness's statement is not accurate. In order to have clear
19	answers, my colleague should sum up in an accurate way what the
20	witness said.
21	MR. HONG KIMSUON:
22	Mr. President, this morning I heard the witness testify that when
23	they arrived at the pagoda, the Khmer Rouge were searching for
24	the four children who were accused of having connection to CIA
25	and my question to him is whether he actually heard that

71

- 1 announcement himself?
- 2 MR. VERCKEN:
- 3 Which announcement? Who spoke to you about an announcement? I

4 didn't hear the witness speak about an announcement this morning 5 and even less so on the microphone.

- 6 [13.11.45]
- 7 BY MR. HONG KIMSUON:

8 Mr. President, allow me to respond. Let me rephrase it.

9 Q. Did you say this morning that when you returned to Chrak Sdech 10 pagoda, you were being searched by the Khmer Rouge group that you 11 were part of the four children who had connection with the CIA? 12 MR. SAM SITHY:

13 A .In response to the question put to me by the defence counsel 14 on this matter and I said when I returned to Chrak Sdech pagoda I 15 asked for food from the people there and I met some friends who 16 were -- I met some people who were my parent's friends and they 17 were asking the whereabouts of my parents and I told them that 18 they all had been killed and the situation became chaotic within 19 the compound of the pagoda so I took my relatives and hid them 20 and at that time the working group of the Khmer Rouge were 21 actually distributing rice; made an announcement on a loud 22 speaker that, "please don't believe the propaganda of the CIA as 23 our militia group is searching to arrest the four kids or the 24 four people."

25 [13.13.25]

72

1	Q. So this morning you also told the Chamber that your father was
2	a former Lon Nol soldier. What was his rank, if you know it?
3	A. My father was a soldier at Chan Sari (phonetic) barracks, he
4	was a captain and a personal driver for a general at the Chan
5	Sari (phonetic) barracks.
б	Q. This is my last question. After 6 January 1979, have you ever
7	heard any news regarding the whereabouts of your father?
8	A. No, I haven't and I did not decide to search for him as I was
9	sure that he was killed.
10	MR. HONG KIMSUON:
11	Thank you. I am done Mr. President, and I would like to hand the
12	floor to my colleague, the Co-Prosecutor.
13	[13.14.40]
14	QUESTIONING BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
15	Thank you, Mr President. Good afternoon, Mr. President, Your
16	Honours; and good afternoon, Mr. Witness. I have some questions
17	for you and my first question may be difficult for you. Please,
18	bear with us.
19	Q. This morning, the defence counsel said that he didn't believe
20	the story or the events that you described to the Chamber and
21	that it seems a fabrication. What is your response to the defence
22	counsel's reaction that the story that you said was a
23	fabrication?
24	MR. SAM SITHY:

25 A. Thank you for the question. I think the accusation or the

73

1	-llenstice he the defense second that is no second to a coline
1	allegation by the defence counsel that in my capacity as a police
2	inspector, that what I told him is a fabrication. But I told him
3	repeatedly that it is not a fabrication, and I witnessed the
4	events personally. And also before my appearance, I took an oath
5	before the Iron Club Statue and I will only tell the truth.
б	[13.16.05]
7	MR. PRESIDENT:
8	Deputy Co-Prosecutor, please also mention your name so that it is
9	part of the record.
10	BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
11	My name is Song Chorvoin. I am a National Deputy Co-Prosecutor. I
12	don't have many questions for this witness.
13	Mr. Witness, regarding the shooting of your family members,
14	please confirm it again whether it is true or whether it is a
15	fabrication?
16	MR. SAM SITHY:
17	A. Allow me to restate it again that it is the truth and I do not
18	add anything to it. I have a strong belief in my oath that I will
19	only tell the truth based on what I saw and what I know.
20	[13.17.10]
21	Q. Thank you. A while ago you were asked by the National Lead
22	Co-Lawyer that you referred to Khmer Rouge working group. Was the
23	working group composed of Khmer Rouge soldiers or what, please
24	clarify?
25	A. I refer to them as working group because they were standing on

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 73

74

1	stage at a dining hall in the pagoda and there was a pile of rice
2	sacks ready for distribution, they made announcement for people
3	who would come to register as former civil servants in exchange
4	for rice and the working group composed of armed people and
5	militia.
6	Q. So the working group or the soldiers that you referred to, how
7	many of them all together?
8	A. There were not many and they seem to be security guards or
9	body guards. It seems their presence there was to protect their
10	bosses or their superiors and there were those who registered the
11	names and those who dealt with the rice distribution.
12	[13.18.55]
13	Q. And on the issue of the announcement to the people there, how
14	was it conducted if you still recall it, what were the exact
15	words used?
16	A. They made an announcement to look for civil servants and
17	military personnel to come and register their names in exchange
18	for rice so that they would be sent to be restated in their
19	previous positions.
20	Q. Did they mention in their announcement the types or categories
21	of people they were looking for?
22	A. From what I heard, it seems that they didn't look for any
23	innocent or ordinary people; they were searching for civil
24	servants and military personnel.
25	Q. So they were making an announcement to look for civil servants

75

- or military personnel and which regime are you referring to, I 1
- 2 mean those civil servants or military personnel?
- 3 A. They were searching for civil servants and military personnel

used to serve the Lon Nol administration. 4

- [13.20.45]5
- MR. KONG SAM ONN: б

7 Mr. President, I would like to make an observation; in fact, the 8 Khmer word used at the time is not actually civil servant or 9 "montrey reach ka" (phonetic) in Khmer. It is "montrey roat ka" 10 (phonetic).

BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN: 11

12 In fact the word is used by the witness so I referred to it as it is stated in the statement. 13

14 Q. And Mr. Witness, to you is there any clear distinction between 15 the two phrases in Khmer "montrey reach ka" (phonetic) and 16 "montrey roat ka" (phonetic)?

MR. SAM SITHY:

17

18 A. What is stated by the defence counsel is correct and actually 19 the term is used for the present government and the term was used 20 differently for the Lon Nol regime. They did not use the word 21 "montrey reach ka", so I agree with the observation made by the 22 defence counsel.

23 Q. Thank you. And I would like to move on to another topic and 24 that is about what happened when you arrived at Krang Lvea 25 commune.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

76

1 Could you please tell the Chamber what happened to your family --2 that is, after your family members had been killed and that you 3 fled to Krang Lvea commune? [13.22.27]4 A. When we separated from one another at Chrak Sdech pagoda we 5 б were sent in groups to various communes. Certain communes had to 7 accommodate a certain number of families and those family members would be under the control of that commune and at that time we 8 9 would be considered to be part of the cooperative and I was 10 placed in Ou Kakhob village and later on move to Chumteav 11 Chreaeng village and subsequently I was relocated to a 12 cooperative called Krang Lvea in Tang Kruos Kaeut village in 13 Krang Lvea commune. 14 Q. And when you arrived at the Krang Lvea commune cooperative, 15 what were you assigned to do? 16 A. At that time I was pretty young and I was sent to a children's 17 centre and I was asked to deal with the production of fertiliser 18 -- that is, to collect cow dung and to cut "kantreang khet" trees 19 and once the fertiliser was made, then youth group would take it 20 to be spread out on rice fields. [13.24.04]21 22 Q. Did you make any mistake when you were working in the 23 children's unit; were you ever disciplined, for instance? 24 A. I was always careful because I knew what would happen if I 25 were not, so I did not dare risk making any mistake. I simply did

77

- 1 what I was asked to do.
- 2 MR. VERCKEN:

3 Mr. President, you said earlier on that the Khieu Samphan's defence might be given a little bit of extra time to put 4 5 questions if there is time remaining and I note that the prosecutors are dealing with subjects that have absolutely no б 7 connection with our -- the scope of our trial, so it seems clear to me that the Prosecution is trying to gain time and to use all 8 9 of the time that is available to them so that the Defence cannot 10 put questions to the witness, this is why I object.

- 11 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 12 (No interpretation)
- 13 [13.25.34]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT.
- 15 The National Deputy Co-Prosecutor, you may continue with your

16 questioning; and Defence Counsel, the Chamber will consider your

- 17 observation and objection.
- 18 BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN:

19 I would like to continue my line of questioning and if I'm not 20 interrupted, there might be time remain for the Defence.

21 Q. Mr. Witness, my last question was put to you whether you made

22 any mistake or that you were disciplined while you were working

23 in the children's unit, please respond again.

24 MR. SAM SITHY:

25 A. After I came to live in the cooperative, I actually told my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

78

younger sibling and relative to strive to work hard and not to violate any regulations or rules set forth by Angkar, otherwise we would be subject to disciplinary action and that could ultimately lead to being killed, if we were to commit a serious offence or we might be put in prison as part of the disciplinary action.

7 [13.26.57]

25

[13.29.12]

8 Q. And why did you give such advice to your relatives in order to 9 avoid being exposed to disciplinary action, did you witness 10 anything that led you to make that advise?

11 A. In fact I did, I saw some young children being beaten up and 12 some were sent to the re-education centre and the four of us we 13 were still under investigation. When I was in the cooperative, I 14 told my relative that we were being monitored so please try not 15 to do anything noticeable that we might be caught because we -they were still searching for us as we were the children of the 16 soldiers who had been killed. So we tried to adhere to all the 17 18 principles set forth by them.

Q. In your written record of interview with the OCIJ investigator -- that is, E3/5201 at Khmer, ERN 00212122 to 23; English, 00275142, 41; and in French, 00275146247; you spoke about a detention centre, a prison named Krang Lvea, and we are -- while discussing the Krang Lvea cooperative, could you describe about the Krang Lvea detention centre or prison?

1 A. I was tasked to tend cattle and some cows got this yellow-like 2 diseases and four-five of the cows got this disease so I tied 3 them up in a row so that they could not walk far and I held the rope in my hand and actually the owner of the cows from the same 4 district reported to the chief of the militia that I starved the 5 cows without allowing them eating grass. In fact I only tied them б 7 one to one in order to avoid them walking far because they were sick and for that reason I was placed in that detention centre. 8 9 Q. And what happened to you when you were sent to the detention 10 centre, what did you see? 11 MR. KOPPE: 12 I'm sure it's very interesting what this witness has to say about 13 that security centre but it's obviously not within the scope of 14 this Appeal. It's also not in the scope of why we're asking 15 questions to this witness to begin with, so please instruct the 16 Prosecution to stop asking questions on this subject which is way 17 outside the scope of 002/01. 18 [13.30.48]19 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART: 20 We gather the Prosecution wants to test the credibility of this witness, isn't it? 21 22 MS. SONG CHORVOIN: 23 Madam Judge, first, I would like to test the credibility of the 24 events that this witness described; secondly, there is 25 information related to the Krang Lvea security centre and I would

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 79

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

80

- 1 like to ask about the experience of this witness whether the
- 2 witness witnessed any killing at that centre as he was placed
- 3 there.
- 4 BY THE PRESIDENT:
- 5 Q. Allow me to ask the witness on this very topic. And Mr.
- 6 Witness, when you were tending the cows and then you were sent to
- 7 be detained at the Krang Lvea detention centre, what year was it?
- 8 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 9 A. We were evacuated in 1975.
- 10 [13.32.15]
- Q. Is that so? My question to you, Mr. Witness, is that you were tending cows and that you were alleged by the cow's owner of starving the cows and then later you were detained; when did it happen?
- 15 A. It happened in 1975.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 If that is the case, the Deputy Co-Prosecutor you may continue.
- 18 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 19 I would like to continue my line of questioning. I put a question
- 20 earlier --
- 21 [13.32.54]
- 22 MR. VERCKEN:
- 23 Excuse me, but this security centre isn't even in the Closing 24 Order, even less within the scope of the first trial. I don't see 25 why the Prosecution can ask questions for hours about a place

81

- 1 that is nowhere to be found on the case file.
- 2 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:

3 Upon a quick consultation among us here, we want the question answered to make such inferences as we deem fit and if there is a 4 question of additional time of the Defence, also by way of 5 clarification it would be given at the expense of our time and б 7 not at the mercies, so to say, of the Prosecution and the civil parties who, of course, are invited to exhaust their time in such 8 9 a way as they seem conducive to ascertaining the truth within the 10 directives obviously.

- 11 [13.34.02]
- 12 BY MS. SONG CHORVOIN:

13 I think if the Chamber is lenient to the submission made by the 14 counsel, I think the Co-Prosecutor will have many interruptions 15 from counsel.

Q. I would like to know about Krang Lvea security centre, what happened to you when you arrived at that place and what happened there?

19 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. When I was taken into the security centre of Krang Lvea, there were about 12 prisoners who were shackled to their legs. They were put in a house, the so-called security centre and when I arrived in that security centre, they moved the prisoners close to each other so that there was one space at the end to detain me and the shackle was used and the bar was inserted in the ring and

- 1 I was shackled at that time. I was detained together with these 2 old prisoners and we would be released when we were needed to do 3 the labour, such as to find cassava. So I, together with the old prisoners, were doing the work. 4 5 Q. How many prisoners were there in the prison, were there any adults or children? б 7 A. I was young at that time compared to other prisoners. They 8 were all male prisoners; there were perhaps 10 to 12 prisoners in 9 that centre. 10 [13.36.38]11 Q. How long were you detained in that centre? 12 A. I was detained in that centre for about two months and after the chief of the cooperative or chief of unit went to that 13 centre, he asked what did that young child commit -- any wrong 14 15 doing and the chief was told that I was under the accusation that
- 16 I was starving the cows and at that time I was released with the
- 17 help of that cooperative chief and I was assigned to tend cows
- 18 afterwards.
- 19 MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
- 20 Thank you very much, Mr. Witness, I conclude my line of
- 21 questioning but I would like to cede the floor for my
- 22 international colleague.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 You may now proceed, International Co-Prosecutor.
- 25 [13.37.56]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

0	2
	-
0	

- 1 QUESTIONING BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 2 Good afternoon, Your Honours, counsel, Mr. Witness.
- 3 Q. Sir, during 1975, did you ever hear about a Khmer Rouge office
- 4 called B-5?
- 5 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 6 A. I was young and I was tasked to tend cows, there was an office
- 7 on a small hill away from Krang Lvea security centre. It was
- 8 about one and half kilometre away from the centre; that office
- 9 was on top of a small hill and people said that office was named
- 10 as Pot office. It was said that that office name was Pot. That
- 11 office was military like office.
- 12 Q. Which commune was that in, if you know?
- 13 A. It was in Krang Lvea commune.
- 14 Q. Forgive me my lack of geography of the area. How close was
- 15 that to Peam commune?
- 16 A. There is no commune named Nhean (phonetic).
- 17 [13.40.00]
- 18 Q. Forgive my pronunciation. How about -- do you know Chan Tey
- 19 (phonetic) village? Are you familiar with the Chan Tey (phonetic)
- 20 village in Kampong Tralach?
- 21 Mr. Witness, just so it's accurate, it's much better if my
- 22 colleague pronounces it.
- 23 MS. SONG CHORVOIIN:
- 24 The name is Chan Tey (phonetic).
- 25 MR. SAM SITHY:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

84

1	A. Those who live in their areas would know the names of their
2	area and no one could trespass on other's location and at that
3	time there was no commune named Chan Tey (phonetic).
4	BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
5	Q. Okay. I'm Sorry; I'm asking about a village. Let me have my
6	colleague pronounce another location. I don't want to ask you if
7	you are familiar with this location.
8	MR. KONG SAM ONN:
9	Mr. President, I would like to make an observation. The
10	Co-Prosecutor appears to use a document or a map, so please give
11	us the reference if you can.
12	[13.41.54]
13	MR. KOUMJIAN:
14	Thank you; I'd be happy to. The reason I am asking these
15	questions is reading the testimony of Nuon Chea; it's document
16	E1/14.1, at English, the ERN is 00756159; Khmer, it's 00755354;
17	and in French, the ERN is 00756300.
18	MR. KOPPE:
19	Not only is that a document that you prohibited to use, he is
20	apparently asking about the secret office of CPK pre-'75, it's
21	getting into the absurd to even begin to think that this witness,
22	a 14 year-old, would know anything intelligently at that time
23	about this secret office.
24	MR. KOUMJIAN:
25	If I could reasond Your Monours I didn't bring up the degument:

25 If I could respond, Your Honours. I didn't bring up the document;

1 Counsel asked me for the reference. It's relevant because Nuon 2 Chea has given a location and he certainly knows where the secret 3 office was, where the Party leadership was in April 1975, which is the very time of this massacre that the witness has spoken 4 about and that's part of the record in this case. Whether we use 5 it at this hearing or not, it's on the record in Case 002/02. My б 7 question to this witness is directed at: how that location is, 8 where is it located in relation to what happened to him in 9 particular in relation to the Wat Chrak Sdech pagoda. 10 [13.43.54]

11 MR. PRESIDENT:

Mr. International Co-Prosecutor, you are referring to E1/14.1 and the SCC made the decision prohibiting Parties from using that document. And as for office B-5, from what I heard from the witness, I would like to know whether this office B-5 has something to do with the facts before us, and I believe office B-5 is out of the scope of this trial. Could you clarify this point, Mr. Co-Prosecutor?

19 [13.44.44]

20 MR. KOUMJIAN:

Yes, thank you, Your Honour. Your Honour, it wasn't my plan to put the document either before Your Honours or before the witness at this hearing. However, it is testimony from Case 002/2. This witness has talked about what happened at the pagoda called Chrak Sdech. In his testimony in this very trial, Nuon Chea talked

1	about Pol Pot and the Party Secretary had to move this is
2	before the attack on Phnom Penh to a base near Phnom Penh in
3	Boeng Thlong (phonetic), Khang Tboung (phonetic) village, Chrak
4	Sdech, Peam commune, Kampong Tralach district. And then he said,
5	Pol Pot moved to Krang Doung commune, also known as B-5, which is
б	adjacent to Peam commune. So the simple point and it's a small
7	point which I wish to make is that, the issue I think part of
8	this calling this witness is the significance of what happened
9	to him as far as being the policy of the leadership of the Khmer
10	Rouge. What happened to him didn't happen in Mondulkiri before
11	from the leadership. It happened very close to the leadership.
12	And that was the simple point I wanted to bring out from the
13	testimony.
14	[13.46.11]
15	JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
16	Mr. Prosecutor, would you mind repeating the document number?
17	MR. KOUMJIAN:
18	Yes. It's the transcript number $E1/14.1$ and the English ERN,
19	Judge Milart, is 00756159, page 95 of the transcript. In Khmer,
20	the ERN is 00755354.
21	JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
22	I am checking if this is on the list.
23	MR. KOUMJIAN:
24	It's definitely excluded. That's why I did not plan to use the
25	document. I was asking the witnesses questions about the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

87

- 1 location. It's excluded on your document decision.
- 2 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 3 So the relevance of this question is for another case.
- 4 [13.47.16]
- 5 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 6 The relevance of the question is to Case 002/01. The relevance is
- 7 whether this location that Nuon Chea said the leadership was at,
- 8 where that was in relation to what happened to this witness, to
- 9 the location where the people were asked to give their
- 10 biographies and officers -- soldiers from Lon Nol were separated
- 11 out and taken to be killed.
- 12 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 13 Did you relate earlier to Nuon Chea's statement in Case 002/02?
- 14 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 15 No, this is 002/01. He hasn't given any statement in 002/02. This
- 16 is in Case 002/01.
- 17 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 18 Then you misspoke.
- 19 [13.47.57]
- 20 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 21 I apologize.
- 22 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 23 Okay. And the Prosecution believe it's the best use of their
- 24 time?
- 25 MR. KOUMJIAN:

88

1	I certainly didn't think it would take this amount of time, but
2	it's all I want to ask the witness is about this location and
3	how it relates to the place the pagoda where people were
4	forced to register or tricked into registering.
5	JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
б	Then we'll allow it if you have reasons to believe that the
7	witness has knowledge.
8	BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
9	Q. Mr. Witness, my question now is simply in relation to location
10	of the pagoda that you mentioned where people were asked to
11	register for rice. So my colleague is going to read out a
12	location, and first, tell us: are you familiar with this
13	location?
14	[13.49.14]
15	MS. SONG CHORVOIN:
16	Mr. Witness, the location referred to by the International
17	Co-Prosecutor is Boeng Thlong (phonetic), Khang Tboung (phonetic)
18	village, Chrak Sdech, Peam commune, Kampong Tralach district.
19	MR. SAM SITHY:
20	A. There were two Kampong Tralach districts in the past: Kampong
21	Tralach Leu and Kampong Tralach Krom districts. Now, the two
22	districts combine together and it was named Sameakki Mean Chey
23	district. I knew that Kampong Tralach Krom was to the east of
24	National Road and Kampong Tralach Leu was to the west of that
25	National Road.

89

- 1 [13. 50.07]
- 2 BY MR. KOUMJIAN:

Q. Sir, if I understood your testimony correctly, you said that the registration and the separation of people according to their former occupations took place at Wat Chrak Sdech village in Peam; is that correct?

7 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. Yes. People were gathered, former civil servant officials
were gathered in Chrak Sdech pagoda, Peam commune, Chrak Sdech
village. This Chrak Sdech pagoda was in Chrak Sdech village, Peam
commune.

Q. So where this location, where this registration took place was in the very Chrak Sdech village that my colleague just read to you that location? It was in the same village; is that correct? A. I registered my name in Chrak Sdech pagoda right in that pagoda. It was in Peam commune. And currently Peam commune remains the same name. But as for the district, it was named as Sameakki Mean Chey district.

19 [13.51.57]

20 Q. Thank you. Sir, I know you're probably tired, but I'd like to 21 take you back to the 17th of April 1975 and ask you to tell us 22 what happened that actually made your family leave their home 23 that day?

A. Lon Nol Regime fell in 1975. This regime was defeated by PolPot regime. And after Pol Pot came into power, people were to be

1	evacuated out of their home. And people were frightened that if
2	they did not leave their home, the American airlines would
3	bombard their areas. So people were evacuated into mountainous
4	areas.
5	Q. Thank you. Who was living in your home that day, 17th April
6	1975? Can you tell us who lived with you?
7	A. My whole family including my parents, my two siblings and my
8	two sisters, and my two brother, and together with me. So we were
9	all in our house.
10	Q. Thank you. And these by the way, the people that you
11	referred to as your cousins, the other survivors, can you
12	describe your relationship to them? When you say cousins,
13	sometimes people mean different things.
14	A. My father was the eldest father of my cousins' father or
15	mother. And we were all evacuated.
16	[13.54.40]
17	Q. Did your cousins also live in the same village?
18	A. We had lived in our home village together and after the
19	killing happened after we survived the period, we also lived
20	together.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:
22	Mr. Witness, the Co-Prosecutor would like to know about the time
23	that you were evacuated. He wanted to know whether you and your
24	cousins were living together during the time that you were being
25	evacuated.

1	MR. SAM SITHY:
2	A. Mr. President, I understand that there is a question from
3	Co-Prosecutor. So I may have understood wrongly the question.
4	Actually, we had lived close to each other before we were
5	evacuated, and the distance from our houses from my house to
6	my cousin's house was about three kilometres away.
7	BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
8	Thank you. So sir, you mentioned that people were afraid of
9	bombing, American bombing. Why was that? Did anyone tell you that
10	that was a danger? Can you explain?
11	[13.56.25]
12	MR. SAM SITHY:
13	A. There was war. The country was in war during the period war
14	between the Lon Nol and Khmer Rouge. There were bombardments;
15	there were rocket launching almost every day. We were threatened
16	that the American airplane would bomb our places and we were
17	afraid, so we had to leave.
18	Q. Thank you. When you say you were threatened, did anyone tell
19	you that threat, did you hear something on the radio, did someone
20	tell you or is this just something you yourself believed?
21	A. I would like to give the response to this question. Khmer
22	Rouge soldier wearing black clothes with rifles were marching
23	into the province in the morning. I did not know where they were
24	from and where they were going to. They were passing our place.
25	And in the evening, the time that it was going too dark, these

92

1	armed soldiers went from houses to houses to evacuate us. It was
2	the same story depicted in the film. They were walking from
3	houses to houses to threaten us to leave our homes.
4	[13.58.16]
5	Q. When you say threaten, did any of the people say - if you know
6	did any of your neighbour say I wanted to stay in my house;
7	I'm not leaving?
8	A. At that time, we had been forced to leave our homes, no one
9	could refuse.
10	Q. Realising that this is 40 years ago, do you recall what the
11	soldiers said, do you remember what was said at your house when
12	your family was told to leave?
13	A. We were told as I have told you already. We were told to
14	evacuate ourselves out of our houses and we were told that
15	American airplane would bomb the place.
16	Q. Did your family ask to pack things, to bring food?
17	A. The Khmer Rouge won the war and we were getting ready food,
18	cooking pots. And when they came to threaten us to leave, we had
19	all those belongings prepared already and we took them all with
20	us.
21	[14.00.12]
22	Q. So your family left with some supplies of food; is that
23	correct?
24	A. Yes. And the same case in the modern time or now today when
25	there was any chaos, we had to get ready in terms of supplies and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

93

1	food.
2	Q. By the way, at that time in April 1975, was your father in the
3	army at the time of this fall of Phnom Penh, 17 April?
4	A. After Khmer Rouge force entered that place, they ordered that
5	the former soldiers should lay down their weapons, if not they
б	would be in danger. It appears that there was an appeal by the
7	late King to ask everyone to lay down weapons. And after we lay
8	down our weapons, after the former soldier laid down their
9	weapons, they went to their homes to find their wives and
10	children.
11	[14.01.55]
12	Q. Now, when you left your home, did your family have any idea
13	when you would return? Did anyone tell you or did you have any
14	plan about when the family would return?
15	MR. KOPPE:
16	Mr. President, maybe the Prosecution hasn't finished reading our
17	appeal brief, but we don't actually contest the evacuation or the
18	forced evacuation of any city. We are now one hour and five
19	minutes ahead in questioning. Not one question has been asked
20	about why this witness is here. Of course, it's up to the
21	Prosecution to use the time as he deems fit, but they're merely
22	stealing proper time on questioning away from us. And I find it
23	well, let me not say that.
24	MR. KOUMJIAN:

25 My understanding is we could ask questions about anything within

Corrected transcript: Text occurring between less than (<) and greater than (>) signs has been corrected to ensure consistency among the three language versions of the transcript.

Page 93

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

94

- 1 the scope of Case 002/01.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 4 [14.03.10]
- 5 BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 6 Thank you.
- Q. Sorry, sir, the question, let me repeat it to you. Did you have any indication from anyone about when your family might be able to return to their homes?
- 10 MR. SAM SITHY:
- A. I did not hold any expectation as to when my parents would return home as I actually witnessed their death.
- Q. My fault. My question I'm sure was not clear to you. When you were told -- you said Khmer soldier came to your house and told you to leave or threatened you to leave -- was any indication given about whether you would be allowed to return and when?
 A. Yes. It's not a fixed issue as there was no confirmation as when we could be returned. We were told that we had to leave for a period of time in order to avoid any possible aerial
- 20 bombardment by the United States.
- 21 [14.04.46]

Q. And sir, you said your family walked for quite a few days at a
very slow pace. Why was the family moving so slow? You said, I
believe this morning, one half to one kilometre per day.
A. As stated this morning, there were so many people on the

1 street, it was crowded. You could hardly walk straight, you had 2 to walk sideways. And there were soldiers actually escorting us. 3 They were on both sides of the road and they were all in line along the road. And they were formed into groups and they were 4 deployed all along the road until the mountainous area which was 5 the place where we stopped. б 7 Q. What kind of people did you see on the road in terms of ages, 8 first of all? How old and how young? 9 A. Members of all families had to go together including young 10 children, old people, mothers carrying their young infant, 11 grandparents who could hardly walk had also to go, male and 12 female regardless of their individuality. Some people were 13 carrying a bag of clothing or belonging on their head, but the 14 trip was so slow due to the overcrowd of people on the street. 15 [14.07.03]16 Q. What were the weather conditions? 17 A. Of course, the weather was unpleasant and you could always see 18 crowds of people swarming the area where water source was present 19 or where well was there or pond. And after our meal, we had to 20 move on, we were not allowed to stay where the water source was. So slowly and gradually, we move bit by bit and we were only 21 22 allowed to rest when nightfall came. 23 Q. Were any of the people that you witnessed being forced to

24 leave in bad physical condition?

25 A. It seemed none at the time. People who had old parents who

03/07/2015

1

96

2 for an hour or so and then they had to move on. But I did not see 3 any beating or threat to kill to force people to move on. No, I did not see anything of that nature. 4 5 [14.08.53]Q. Did you see anyone -- that wasn't quite my question, but thank б 7 you -- did you see anyone who appeared to be ill? A. Yes, I did. I saw elderly people, I saw people who fainted 8 9 while they were en route etc. 10 Q. Thank you. Now, you mentioned, going for a moment back to the 11 incident where your father was separated out and you were 12 separated with seven families -- separated out at the pagoda. You 13 mentioned that of those seven families, one person was a teacher, I believe you said, and one was a civil servant - a medic. The 14 15 other four families, besides your father who you said was a 16 captain, do you know -- you said the others were military -- do 17 you know their ranks? And again, if you do not know, just tell 18 us. 19 A. No, I was not aware of that. However, I knew of their 20 backgrounds in the military service. Here, I refer to my 21 relatives as uncles. And I only knew for sure about my father but 22 not about my uncles. 23 [14.10.43]24 Q. Sir, after you survived this massacre, you said your sister 25 died. Can you tell us, when did your sister die?

could hardly walk would be allowed to stay near the water source

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

97

1	A. What I can say is that the condition she was in that is,
2	constant headache was the result of the trauma she received from
3	the hitting at the back of her head. And she became she was in
4	this condition a few weeks after she attended the teacher
5	training course. Later on, she became psychiatric and ultimately,
6	she committed suicide by hanging herself.
7	Q. So is this your sister's suicide after the fall of the regime,
8	after 1979?
9	A. Yes, it happened post-'79 that is, after she involved in
10	the teacher training programme. But due to her psychiatric
11	condition, she became unstable and that led to her committing
12	suicide.
13	BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
14	Q. Can we get the date, Mr. Witness, the year of your sister's
15	death? This is what the prosecutor was asking, when did she pass
16	away?
17	[14.13.01]
18	MR. SAM SITHY:
19	A. I think she died three years ago if my calculation is correct.
20	BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
21	And sir, just so we're clear on one thing, the teacher training,
22	did that occur during the Khmer Rouge regime before 1979 or after
23	1979?
24	MR. SAM SITHY:
25	A. It happened after 1979, and I think her condition that is,

1	the psychiatric condition was the result of the trauma as she was
2	hit as the back of her head was hit. And that is my conclusion
3	that led to her ultimate death by committing suicide three years
4	ago.
5	Q. Sir, once you survived this massacre and you were taken to a
б	commune, were you asked there about your biography?
7	A. May I ask you back as to which regime are you referring to
8	when biography was collected? Was it during the Khmer Rouge
9	regime or was it during the post-Khmer Rouge regime?
10	[14.15.06]
11	Q. Sorry, I didn't understand the interpreter. I just missed a
12	word. I didn't understand the witness's question to me. So
13	perhaps, sir, let me repeat my question because obviously my
14	question was not clear.
15	You talked about going to Krang Lvea to a cooperative; is that
16	correct?
17	A. Yes, that is correct.
18	Q. In that cooperative, were you asked to make a biography? Did
19	anyone ask you about your biography?
20	A. No, I was not asked about my biography. They actually they
21	only wanted to know the total number of family members in order
22	to obtain rice.
23	Q. At that cooperative, were the other people there all local
24	people or were they people who had been come from different
25	areas like you had?

[14.16.30]

1

99

-	[11110.00]
2	A. In the cooperative, there were Base People and New People
3	that is, those who came to reside at that location. And actually
4	there were more New People than the Base People, as families of
5	those people were placed in various cooperatives mingle with the
6	Base People.
7	Q. Those words that you just used "Base People" and "New
8	People" were they used at the time? And if so, who used them?
9	Who distinguished Base People and New People?
10	A. The words were generally used in the cooperative at the time.
11	I do not know whether that was a principle. And in Khmer, the
12	term they used "mulethan chas" and "mulethan thmey", it means Old
13	Base People and New Base People. If people were there before
14	1975, they were considered the Old Base People, and for the
15	evacuees, they were considered the New Base People.
16	Q. So which were you considered?
17	A. I was amongst the evacuees; that's the New Base People.
18	[14.18.21]
19	Q. Sir, was there any difference in treatment at the cooperative
20	between the old looks like there is an objection, so I'll
21	stop.
22	MR. KOPPE:
23	Mr. President. Mr. President, it is now one hour and 20 minutes,
24	and still no question about the so-called massacre. I, please,
25	urge you and the Supreme Court Chamber to instruct or ask or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

100

- 1 whatever the prosecutor to ask questions to this witness about
- 2 this alleged massacre.
- 3 MR. KOUMJIAN:

4 Your Honours, first of all, the Defence Appeal is challenging all 5 the convictions, including the forced evacuation. The Defence 6 asked this question -- this witness questions repeatedly this 7 morning. So, for over three hours, many of them repetitious about 8 the massacre, he has told us in detail --

- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, in order to avoid losing time, the objection

11 by the defence counsel is overruled and you may continue.

- 12 [14.19.45]
- 13 BY MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 Q. Sir, the question was: Was there any difference in treatment
- 16 between the Old Base People and the New Base People?
- 17 MR. SAM SITHY:

18 A. Yes, there was a distinction. The Old Base People had more 19 rice than us the New Base People. We did not have any authority, 20 for example, to plant potato at home or to harvest it later on. 21 We were not allowed to plant anything at home. We had to deliver 22 everything that we had to the cooperative. But for Old Base 23 People, they could partly share their produce with the 24 cooperative and kept some for their family or personal use. In 25 terms of the management for work-related purpose, the Old Base

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

101

People would monitor or would supervise the work of the New Base
 People that -- that is the structure and it applies to both adult
 people and to youth. So the Old Base People had much more rice
 than the New Base People.

5 [14.21.22]

Q. When you were at the cooperative, did you have the option -did you ever think about going home? And if so, if not, why not? A. No, we could not go. When we had to go to work, we had our supervisor who was an Old Base Person and we could not ask for permission, for example, for a day off to visit our home. If it was a resting time, we could rest for an hour, for example, at the worksite, and then we continued working.

13 MR. VERCKEN:

Mr. President, it is obvious that the Co-Prosecutor's questions are not within the scope of this Appeal. I'd like to remind you that this is an Appeal in Case 002/01. We're not now in the second case. There is a Severance and we are talking about the issues related to this. But here, we're just going in all

19 different directions without any real purpose.

20 [14.22.52]

21 MR. KOUMJIAN:

Your Honour, as the defence counsel knows, I'm certain, part of the basis of the convictions in this case was a joint criminal enterprise and various policies including cooperatives where enslavement was practised was part of the joint criminal

1

102

2	about the cooperatives and about the treatment of different
3	people. And I'm about to get into later, the treatment of
4	enemies.
5	MR. KOPPE:
6	Mr. President, the Prosecution is making a mockery of this
7	Appeal. It is disgraceful what is happening. Please, intervene.
8	JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
9	Mr. Prosecutor, we note that cooperatives are not in the
10	Judgement. There is very little mention of the cooperatives in
11	the Judgement, so I think that this is not the appropriate use of
12	the time in the area of relevance. So unless you would move back
13	to the massacre, we would adjourn the Chamber for the break.
7 4	
14	[14.24.11]
14 15	[14.24.11] MR. KOUMJIAN:
15	MR. KOUMJIAN:
15 16	MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy
15 16 17	MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy that was covered by the last witness and this witness in
15 16 17 18	MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy that was covered by the last witness and this witness in particular.
15 16 17 18 19	MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy that was covered by the last witness and this witness in particular. JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy that was covered by the last witness and this witness in particular. JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART: Last witness? Well, this is very general, so it's difficult to</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy that was covered by the last witness and this witness in particular. JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART: Last witness? Well, this is very general, so it's difficult to guess the relevance. But let's give it a shot. But cooperatives</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, I would like to move back to the issue of policy that was covered by the last witness and this witness in particular. JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART: Last witness? Well, this is very general, so it's difficult to guess the relevance. But let's give it a shot. But cooperatives are not addressed in the Judgement.</pre>

enterprise. So, I think, I should be allowed to ask questions

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

103

always felt was key to that, but let me move on. Thank you, Your
 Honours.

Q. Sir, at the cooperative, did you ever hear any discussion of enemies? Excuse me, let me strike those words. After you survived the massacre, from the time you survived in April 1975 through the end of the regime in 1979, did you ever hear any discussion by the regime or any members of the Khmer Rouge of the enemies or enemy policy?

- 9 [14.25.18]
- 10 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. In fact, the term "enemy" means that once you were alleged of making a mistake, you would be considered an enemy, for example, stealing a piece of potato, even the potato that you yourself planted, you would be accused of being an enemy and sent to be detained, as you would be alleged of an enemy against the Revolution.

Q. After you survived the massacre, did you ever encounter any incidences were you witnessed the regime searching for Lon Nol soldiers or officers or former civil servants?

A. Yes. After the killing of my parents and while I was in Tang Kruos village, Krang Lvea commune, I witnessed the gathering of prisoners, hundreds of them. And in the morning, I saw them walk in line while being tied up and there was a batch of 50 or 100 prisoners. They were walking passing my house towards the east, though I must say I didn't know where they were taken to. And

1	that's what I witnessed while I was at Tang Kruos village, Krang
2	Lvea commune. And I tended the cows at my village of Tang Kruos,
3	and those people were tied up and being walked in line. And from
4	what I could observe, they were probably former soldiers.
5	[14.27.44]
б	Q. Your Honours, I would just if Your Honours want to have the
7	break, you may wish to refer on the Judgement paragraph 615. It's
8	entitled "Refashioning in the Cooperatives". I just wanted to
9	bring that to Your Honours' attention.
10	But Mr. Witness, the incident that you just spoke about, can you
11	tell us what year that occurred? Again, if you don't know, don't
12	guess. But just if you know or if you could estimate. If you
13	do not know the answer, do not guess, but tell us what if you
14	recall.
15	A. What are you referring to, Co-Prosecutor? Are you referring to
16	the events that I witnessed prisoners being walked in line while
17	being tied up?
18	[14.28.48]
19	Q. Yes, sir. That's correct.
20	A. Well, if that is the case, I actually witnessed the prisoners
21	being walked in line while being tied up while I tended cows at
22	the rice field in that village. I was taking shelter in a
23	plantation and I, at the time, witnessed those people being tied
24	up in line and were being walked. And at one time, I could
25	estimate that there were between 50 to 60 of them. Sometimes, the

1	line was a bit longer, could be 100 and sometimes, they were
2	shorter, could be 40 prisoners. And they were being walked from
3	
	the west to the east direction, though I did not know where they
4	were heading to.
5	Q. Do you know if that was 1975 or 1976 or later, do you know?
6	A. I think it was in late 1975.
7	Q. The people that you saw tied up, what was their gender, were
8	they men, women, or mixed?
9	A. If my conclusion is not wrong, from the physical appearance,
10	they were former Lon Nol soldiers.
11	[14.30.42]
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	Mr. Co-Prosecutor, please hold on as we need to change the disc
14	the DVD and we need five minutes break. And in fact your time
15	has run out as well. Do you have many more questions to put to
16	this witness? Please alert the Chamber.
17	MR. KOUMJIAN:
18	May I just have one moment, my colleague was talking to me, but I
19	couldn't hear confer with my colleague.
20	Your Honours, I believe that I can finish quickly after covering
21	a little bit more details about this incident that he's now
22	speaking about.
23	MR. KOPPE:
24	We are really not interested in this incident. Please, use your
25	last few minutes asking this witness questions about the

106

- 1 massacre, Mr. Prosecution.
- 2 (Short pause)
- 3 [14.32.22]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Now, Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you may have two or three more minutes to6 conclude your last set of questions.
- 7 BY MR. KOUMJIAN:

8 I just have one concern. My colleague told me that some of the 9 last few questions were not on the record because the tape had 10 run out. So I don't know if I need to go back at all. Can we 11 determine that? Can I just presume that everything is already on 12 the record?

13 Q. Sir, did you recognize any of the people you saw on that line? 14 MR. SAM SITHY:

15 A. Who could I recall? You were referring to those who were tied 16 in line?

Q. Sir, my question is: When you talked about the men who were tied up and walked away, did you recognize any of their faces, was there anyone you knew in that group?

A. No, I did not know any of them. I only witnessed that they were former soldiers of Lon Nol time from their physical appearance and that they were in good built, they looked like pilots. And I believe that those people were former soldiers after they were found out.

25 [14.35.03]

107

- 1 Q. I'm almost finished, sir. The people, was there anyone
- 2 guarding them? And if so, did they have any weapons?
- 3 A. From what I could see, no; 50 or 60 of them were tied up in
- 4 line. I could only see two rifles perhaps that were carried by
- 5 the soldiers -- the guards.
- 6 MR. KOUMJIAN:
- 7 Thank you, Your Honours, for the time.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Now, the Supreme Court Chamber will take a short break and we
- 10 will resume at 14.50.
- 11 (Court recesses from 1436H to 1454H)
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please be seated. The Court is back in session.
- 14 After the discussion and deliberation of the Supreme Court

15 Chamber, it decides to grant another 30 minutes for the defence

16 team for Mr. Khieu Samphan to put questions to this witness. You

17 may now proceed. So it depends on the two defence teams for Mr.

18 Nuon Chea and Khieu Sampan. You may discuss among your teams how

- 19 much time you need for each of your teams to put your question to
- 20 this witness.
- 21 QUESTIONING BY MR. VERCKEN RESUMES:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President.

Q. Witness, I would like to get back to a recurring issue, it appears in your testimony -- that is to say, the date when you met the investigators from the Tribunal, and the fact that you're

1	telling us that you don't really remember the different work						
2	groups that came to speak to you about the terrible story the						
3	terrible experience you went through after the publication of the						
4	press article. And then I asked myself the following question						
5	when I thought about this: when you met this investigator from						
6	the tribunal, he asked you to take an oath, do you remember?						
7	[14.58.20]						
8	MR. SAM SITHY:						
9	A. When he went to see me and interview me, he did not ask me to						
10	take an oath, but he stated at that time that I had to speak the						
11	truth, nothing but the truth. And I was told by the one from the						
12	Court to ask me to be here before the Supreme Court Chamber, and						
13	I was told that I had to take an oath.						
14	Q. Yes, of course, I understand that you're speaking to us about						
15	your recent discussion. You said that this discussion happened						
16	two months ago or so. I'm I'm speaking about two years ago,						
17	when you met what you qualified as a work group made up of four						
18	people. And you took an oath that day, didn't you? Do you						
19	remember, the day when you signed and thumb-printed this record?						
20	In fact, you recognized your signature and your thumbprint this						
21	morning. And of course, I mean, you're a policeman, so it's						
22	normal. So that day, that day, you took an oath or not?						
23	A. I do not recall whether I had taken an oath at that time, but						
24	I recall that I put my signature and I wrote down the names by my						
25	own.						

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

109

1 [15.00.26]

2 Q. When you meet journalists or people from other organizations,

3 do they make you sign the records at the end of your discussions 4 with them?

A. As for the media, the media did not ask me to put my 5 signature, but people from the media went to see me and get some б 7 information from me, but as for the -- the one from the Court, I was asked to put my thumbprint or fingerprint on the document. 8 9 And I was interviewed seven years ago and two years ago. O. So you were interviewed by a representative of the Tribunal 10 11 before whom you took an oath; is that correct? 12 A. I told you already. I do not remember that I had taken an oath back at the time that I was interviewed, but here I have taken an 13

14 oath. And once again, I had put my signature, my thumbprint or

15 fingerprint on the document.

16 [15.02.10]

17 Q. So now, Witness, you remember therefore having met the 18 investigators twice, and therefore having signed these records twice -- that is to say, two years ago and -- and recently. 19 20 A. Yes, but I do not know whether the two groups came from this 21 same Court, or perhaps, there was another group. There was one 22 group from the organization, and I recall that I was once 23 interviewed seven years ago, and I had another interview two 24 years ago, and I did not know whether the interview I gave two 25 years ago were conducted -- was conducted by someone from the

F1/2.1

110

1 organization or from this Court.

2 Q. Fine, very well. Now I would like to get back to the facts 3 surrounding the time when you were brought into the woods from the Chrak Sdech pagoda with the families. When I read the written 4 record of interview, the only one that we have, which dates back 5 to 7 August 2008, I see that you say the following, so the index б 7 is E3/5201; French, ERN 00275145; and English -- Khmer, 00212121; and English, 00275139; and you say: "The Khmer Rouge asked on the 8 9 microphone if there were former civil servants from the Lon Nol 10 regime, and they said that those who worked before getting back 11 to their job" -- Should I stop, Witness? I see that you're 12 reading a document at the same time as I'm reading it out to you. What is this document? 13

- 14 [15.04.53]
- 15 A. This document was given by the work group.
- 16 Q. So you kept this document apparently, right?

17 A. After I was interviewed, I was given a document for reviewing.

18 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:

19 Counsel, it may -- Counsel, it may assist that Counsel Koppe gave 20 the witness a copy to confirm his signature, lack thereof, or 21 thumbprint. The impression that I personally had that it had been 22 left on the witness's desk. And just to also avoid any 23 misunderstanding, I guess the working group that recently visited 24 the witness and spoke about the oath, it's the witness unit 25 acting upon our instruction of localizing the witness and then

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

111

- 1 serving him with a summons. There was a contact initiated by this
- 2 Chamber, because this is this Chamber's witness.
- 3 [15.06.32]
- 4 BY MR. VERCKEN:

0. I had understood this perfectly well. Indeed, that's what he 5 б said, two months ago, two months ago, a representative of the 7 tribunal came to speak to him in order to prepare him for this hearing, in fact. So, let me please continue, Witness, with what 8 9 I was reading out to you, but however, I want you to confirm here 10 the copy that you have here on your desk is the copy that my colleague gave to you earlier, it's not the former one -- the old 11 12 one that you kept with you since; am I correct?

- 13 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 14 A. I was given this document when I was asked to observe the15 thumbprint.
- 16 [15.07.25]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:

18 Mr. Witness, perhaps you did not understand the question well. He 19 wanted to know whether this document was given by the defence 20 team for Mr. Nuon Chea. Counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan did not ask 21 you about the thumbprint that you put on the document. I do not 22 want to intervene, but Mr. Witness, please listen to the 23 questions carefully. Please give your response rightly to the 24 questions. Do not exaggerate. The defence team for Mr. Khieu 25 Samphan wanted to know whether the document was given by the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

112

1	defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea. So please give your response.						
2	BY MR. VERCKEN:						
3	Q. Well, let me repeat this to you. The document that you have						
4	before you, is that is this the document that was given to you						
5	by the Nuon Chea defence, or is this the document that you kept						
6	with you since you were interviewed, and that you brought from						
7	your home to here for this hearing?						
8	MR. SAM SITHY:						
9	A. This document was given to me by the defence counsel for Nuon						
10	Chea this morning.						
11	[15.09.07]						
12	Q. Thank you. So let me get back to what I was reading out to						
13	you. I have already given you the ERN, so let me quote: "My group						
14	made up of seven families was obliged to walk to the south of						
15	Chrak Sdech pagoda, and then went through woods and crossed						
16	streams to the Roung Khla forest. We were walking for more than						
17	one hour under the guidance of one Khmer Rouge who wore a red						
18	scarf and who also carried a machete. This guy ordered us to						
19	leave our belongings, and then asked the people to go cut wood in						
20	the forest to build temporary shelters, and asked the children to						
21	stay put. This person escorted 12 people, including my father."						
22	I'm stopping here - [this was a free translation] to specify						
23	that a little bit further down, a few lines further down, you						
24	explained that it is this guy or this person who was wearing a						
25	red scarf, who came back in order to take the women away, and to						

1	take you away as well. So during your testimony, you spoke about
2	one single person who went from came from the pagoda and
3	escorted you to the forest, and then earlier on, you said that
4	there were three people. So I'm confused, what is your reaction
5	to this significant difference between one and three people
6	escorting you from the pagoda onwards?
7	[15.11.10]

A. Allow me to clarify the matter once and for all. This morning, 8 9 I already explained the situation. I referred to militia and armed men with a knife who took the seven families from Chrak 10 Sdech pagoda to a forest. And by that time, we didn't cross any 11 12 rice field or the stream yet. However, upon the start of the rice 13 field, two people were assigned to watch over the wives and the children, and militiamen took away the men to cut trees to make 14 15 makeshift shelters. That's what I explained this morning. Are you 16 clear enough now, Counsel?

17 Q. Yes, it is clear, more or less. Let me continue because I 18 don't have much time.

Earlier on, you provided the description of what happened when you followed your father, and you explained to us that you had come back to your mother. So my question is the following: you came back -- you went to warn your mother. You were 14 years old, therefore you were young, and given your physical condition, you were probably quite healthy and you knew that there was danger looming, and you saw that your father had been taken away by six

1 armed men, and you had just heard the gunshots coming from the 2 place where you left your father behind, and you warned your 3 mother of the danger, and there were no armed quards next to you or near you, and you said earlier on that you had some military 4 knowledge because you come from a military family, so my question 5 is simple: So why, when you heard the qunshots, why didn't you б 7 flee? Why? Why didn't you flee? [15.13.50]8 9 A. I think the question is repetitive of the one that I was asked 10 this morning. When the men were segregated from the rest and were led away by militiamen, while the other two armed men were 11 12 assigned to watch over the women and the children, but I wanted to follow my father, so I ran after him and he chased me to go 13 back, but I didn't obey him. And when he and other men were led 14 to cross the stream, I saw six armed men on the other side of the 15 16 stream pointed their guns at my father and the men and took them 17 away. So I concluded that my father and the other men were taken 18 away to be killed. 19 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART: 20 Mr. Witness, the question was: Why didn't you escape, why didn't 21 you run away after you heard the gunshots? Please answer to the 22 question. 23 [15.15.05]24 MR. SAM SITHY:

25 A. As I stated, I saw -- I witnessed my father and the other men

1	were pointed with guns, so I ran back to my mother and asked her					
2	to go, and there were two militiamen present there, and my mother					
3	didn't want to leave, as she still believes that my father was					
4	taken to the forest in order to cut trees. And about half an					
5	hour, an hour later, we heard the gunshots, and then I was					
б	running away from my mother and the group, and my mother was					
7	running after me and brought me back to the group.					
8	BY MR. VERCKEN:					
9	Q. A little while ago you said that the guard who was guarding					
10	your mother was armed. Was he carrying an AK?					
11	[15.16.31]					
12	MR. PRESIDENT:					
13	Please turn on the microphone for the witness.					
14	MR. SAM SITHY:					
15	A. The three men were militia. One took away my father and men					
16	and the other two militiamen were standing guard of my mother and					
17	the rest of the women. They were not armed with any weapon;					
18	however, they had knives.					
19	BY MR. VERCKEN:					
20	Q. When your mother was running after you and you were running					
21	away, this is a new detail we haven't heard about before, was it					
22	then your mother said "don't worry, they're just hunting"?					
23	[15.17.34]					
24	MR. SAM SITHY:					
25	A. Because I couldn't stand at one place, and I told my mother					

1	that why, why she doesn't want to do anything because my that
2	the our father is being killed. When I heard the gunshots,
3	that's what I said to my mother, but my mother said, "Don't think
4	so, son, they are hunting, they are killing the animal." And I
5	told her why she doesn't listen to me, so I ran away again and
6	then she ran after me and caught me and brought me back into the
7	group.
8	Q. Okay, so you ran away twice and she caught up with you twice.
9	Was there a lot of hunting in those days in Cambodia? Just after
10	the war, did a lot of hunting take place with people shooting
11	animals with firearms?
12	A. Allow me to tell you this. That was a lie made up by my mother
13	so that I would not be scared. She said the gunshot was the
14	killing of wildlife, and she told me not to be afraid or to run
15	away, and she held me still with her. And even when we were
16	walked at gunpoint into the forest in a similar fashion as my
17	father was, she realized that I told her I had told her the
18	truth previously, and she wept by that time.
19	[15.19.30]
20	Q. How big was the bomb crater that you were asked to sit down
21	besides?
22	A. It was pretty large actually. As I was asked by Nuon Chea's
23	defence, the upper top was about 8 to 8 7 to 8 metres wide,
24	and the bottom part was between 3 to 4 metres wide.
25	Q. When you got out of the pit after two hours, were you naked?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

1

117

2 dead there? 3 A. What I saw with my own eyes, the sarongs or the skirts which were stained with blood were stripped off dead bodies, and I did 4 5 not know why -- why they didn't strip away the clothing that I was wearing, or my other three relatives were wearing, or maybe б 7 they thought because the clothes were too small, but for the adults, they strip off all those clothes, although they were 8 stained with blood. 9 10 Q. When you were explaining why the armed forces did their job 11 badly, I think that's what you said, you were saying that they 12 were in a hurry and you added it was going to rain. And when you 13 described how you climbed out of the pit after two hours, you 14 said it was going to rain. My question is: Did it rain? 15 [15.22.35]16 A. It was about to get dark and it was about to rain, and that's 17 why I said that they didn't do their job properly as they were in 18 a hurry to go and get our belongings as spoils, so that they 19 could distribute them amongst their family members, and it took 20 me about two hours before I could crawl out of the pit as I was 21 afraid that maybe one of them was still there to watch over us. 22 Q. In the first phase, when the men were taken away into the 23 forest, how many of these men were there? 24 A. The men, as heads of the family, there were seven of them, and 25 then there were full-grown sons, and there were at least one or

Had you had your clothes taken off you when you were left for

118

1 two sons in each family, and they were all bundled together to 2 go.

3 Q. So that totalled how many, roughly?

A. I didn't do the headcount. However, I could say that my uncle
had a full-grown son, and another uncle also had a full-grown
son. As for the teacher, he had two full-grown sons. So in fact,
they took away those full-grown men first, and they left behind
the women and the children, like myself.

9 [15.24.52]

10 Q. You told us what precautions you took before climbing out of 11 the pit. You threw some stones into the bushes round about to see 12 if anything was moving in there, and you took care to make sure 13 that there wasn't anybody there. And that then was when you and 14 your two cousins and your sister went back towards the pagoda, 15 but what surprises me is that you say that when you got to the 16 pagoda, the guards were after you, and that they were looking for 17 the four survivors. But how did these guards know that there were 18 four survivors? How did they know you had survived? And why did 19 you go back to the pagoda, because it was taking the most obvious 20 risk to be caught once again.

A. Of course, I didn't go there to be caught again. The purpose of going there was to look for friends and family members who were still living there in order to ask for their food. And then I was asked about the whereabouts of my parents, then I whispered to them that they had been killed; they had been shot dead. And

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015 F1/2.1

119

of course, the word by mouth spread from one person to the next, and then it spread out through the entire premises of the pagoda, and we had to hide ourselves. And amid the chaos, there was an announcement on the loudspeaker that people should not believe the propaganda made up by the imperialists, and that their soldiers are in pursuit to catch the four persons. The situation was rather confusing.

8 [15.27.20]

9 Q. Sorry, I must interrupt you because we're rather short of 10 time. You said that people knew already. When you got there 11 people knew already. We'll see in the transcripts because of 12 course everything is recorded, but you said that people knew already that you had survived, and that's why I was asking you 13 the question. Let me ask you about the survivors, because I did a 14 15 quick check on my computer and nobody ever talks about the Chrak 16 Sdech pagoda in describing the events that you relate, nobody in 17 the case file. You said that there were thousands of people, that 18 there were people you knew well, and that you went back to that 19 place to join up again with the people who were close to you. And 20 apart from your cousin, who you've told us about, can you tell us 21 the names of anybody else who is still alive, or give us any kind 22 of information that would help us to identify other people who 23 might have been at that pagoda at that time, and who would still 24 be alive today?

25 [15.28.53]

120

1	A. Yes, I can do that. However, I cannot force them to appear						
2	before the Chamber, and it would be better for you to go and meet						
3	them at their respective place of residence. And there are						
4	several of them who are related to my parents. After I met them,						
5	they asked about the whereabouts of my parents						
б	MR. PRESIDENT:						
7	Mr. Witness, please answer briefly and precisely. And your long						
8	response leads to the waste of time. Just mention briefly who						
9	else is still alive today.						
10	MR. SAM SITHY:						
11	A. There are some of my relatives who are still alive.						
12	[15.29.54]						
13	BY MR. VERCKEN:						
14	Q. Can you give us a few names?						
15	MR. SAM SITHY:						
16	A. I cannot do that now since I do not know their real names.						
17	Q. Naturally. And my last question, and then afterwards I will						
18	let the Bench continue. Between your cousin and your who						
19	between your cousin and your sister died of tetanus?						
20	THE INTERPRETER:						
21	The interpreter cannot hear.						
22	MR. SAM SITHY:						
23	A. In fact, it was my younger sister who was hit at the back of						
24	her head by the Khmer Rouge.						
25	BY MR. VERCKEN:						

121

- 1 Q. Earlier on, you said that she committed suicide. So did she
- 2 die of tetanus or did she commit suicide?
- 3 [15.31.02]
- 4 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 5 The witness answered this question (recording malfunction) who
- 6 survived the massacre, just before the break.
- 7 MR. VERCKEN:
- 8 Well, I'm sorry, what you just said was not translated; if you
- 9 could please repeat.
- 10 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 11 Our impression is that the witness described the cause of death
- 12 of his sister as he knows it, and gave the date upon my
- 13 insistence.
- 14 MR. VERCKEN:
- 15 That's true. However, this morning he said that she died of
- 16 tetanus, and that she did not commit suicide.
- 17 [15.32.02]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:

Defence Counsel, this morning I actually heard it clearly this morning. However, I'd like the witness to clarify this matter. And Defence Counsel, please put the question again to the witness.

- 23 MR. SAM SITHY:
- A. Allow me to clarify the matter. I also already confirmed that my younger sister who was hit at the back of her head could not

122

1	be treated for that, and that led her to a psychiatric condition.					
2	And that led to her mental disability, and ultimately led her to					
3	committing suicide. And as I said, her death was the result of					
4	the trauma from being hit at the back of her head.					
5	MR. VERCKEN:					
б	I am done. Thank you very much, Mr. President.					
7	MR. PRESIDENT:					
8	It is now time for the Bench to put questions to the witness, and					
9	my fellow judge, Som Sereyvuth, has the floor.					
10	[15.33.35]					
11	QUESTIONING BY JUDGE SOM SEREYVUTH:					
12	Q. I have a few questions to put to you, Mr. Witness. My					
13	questions concern the shooting and the killing of people. I have					
14	heard your testimonies so far, and you stated that 26 people died					
15	because of the killing and shooting, and you also state that you					
16	stand by the written record made by the investigator of the ECCC,					
17	and you stated repeatedly as well in relation to this matter. Is					
18	that correct that there were 37 members within your group,					
19	including your family members? And you also stated that there					
20	were six armed men with six rifles, and you told the Court that					
21	your members of your group were told to sit down on the ground					
22	and the fire was opened at all of you. Could you describe for the					
23	method of killing at that time? How did they fire towards your					
24	members in the group?					
25	MR. SAM SITHY:					

123

- 1 A. Allow me to give my response. I have told the Court already.
- 2 They asked us to sit in group in a circle, and then they opened
- 3 fire at all of us.
- 4 [15.35.37]
- 5 Q. So, you mean the armed -- the six armed men were surrounding 6 all of you?
- 7 A. Yes, they were surrounding all of us, and they opened fire
- 8 straight at us.
- 9 Q. So no one escaped, no one could make an escape while you were
- 10 all being shot?
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Mr. Witness, please observe the microphone before you speak.
- 13 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 14 A. No one dares to run away while being shot. We were all in the 15 group. Children would not dare to run away from the mother, and 16 the mother would not dare to run away from the children either.
- 17 BY JUDGE SOM SEREYVUTH:
- 18 Q. So what about the shooting? Were you all shot by them?
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Mr. Witness, the voice -- your voice does not go through the 21 microphone or the interpretation system. Please wait for the 22 microphone to go on before you speak.
- 23 [15.37.05]
- 24 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 25 A. We were told to sit down on the ground at the specific

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

124

- 1 location. We were put in group, and the six armed men were
- 2 surrounding all of us, and fire was opened at all of us.
- 3 THE INTERPRETER:
- 4 Microphone is not on.
- 5 BY JUDGE SOM SEREYVUTH:
- 6 Q. While you were being shot, you did nothing but sitting quietly
- 7 and let these men shoot at all of you?
- 8 MR. SAM SITHY:

9 A. We were told to sit down on the ground. No one ran away. And 10 they shot us, and as for the young babies and children, they were 11 crying, they were crying, after the bullets were shot at all of 12 us. And after the shooting, these men went around to hit the 13 babies, and they -- after that they threw the babies' bodies into 14 the pit.

- 15 [15.38.32]
- 16 Q. Did you believe -- do you believe that all of these people
- 17 died as a result of the shooting?

18 A. Yes. I believe that they all died after the shooting. After

- 19 the incident happened, and after I could survive from the
- 20 shooting, I was asking who else survived the killing, but no one.
- 21 Q. So, do you believe -- do you believe firmly that they all died
- 22 except all of you?
- 23 THE INTERPRETER:
- 24 No answer from witness.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

125

- 1 You may now proceed, Judge Milart.
- 2 QUESTIONING BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:

Q. In connection with these questions, can you tell me from what distance were the shots fired at the victims, or show us? How far were the armed men from their victims when they were shooting?

6 Show us how far from the other booth they were.

7 [15.40.00]

8 MR. SAM SITHY:

9 A. From my estimate, from the place where we were sitting, it was
10 about eight metres from the place where the victims were sitting,
11 and the fire was opened directly at all of us.

Q. Eight metres. Eight metres. This is like from the -- from the air-conditioning to you, from that wall to you; is that correct? A. I don't think it is eight metres from the wall to me. It's about 10 metres.

16 Q. Asking you to show us how far were those armed men from their 17 victims. So which -- which row would you indicate as their 18 position to describe the distance most accurately? Treat your 19 chair as the position of the victim, and if you could walk over 20 and show from which place the distance would be the same. A. From the place where I am sitting, so I assume that the place 21 22 I am sitting is the victim location, from my seat, from my seat I 23 could say that the armed men were standing at the corner of the 24 seats of the defence teams. And they were standing perhaps at 25 that location, and the shooting -- shot were opened at all of us.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

126

1 And at that time, I am -- I was in the middle of the victims. And 2 the fire was opened from the corner of the Bench seats. 3 [15.42.26]Q. Were they in front or in the back of you, or at the side? 4 A. I was in the centre, and people in front of me or around me 5 б would be hit by the bullets. And as I show the Court, my mother 7 was sitting in front of me. 8 Q. Facing these armed men, or you were turned with your back to 9 them, or the side of your body, if you remember? 10 A. I told repeatedly already. The armed men were in front of me and behind me. There were also other armed men, and as I told you 11 12 already that there were six or seven armed men. And the men who 13 was sitting -- who was shooting at my mother was standing in front of my mother, and I was behind my mother, and as I told the 14 15 Court already, the six armed men were surrounding all of us. 16 Q. How long did it last, if you can tell us? 17 A. It lasted for perhaps half an hour because there were many of 18 us, there were many victims, and after half an hour, everyone may 19 have died. And I told the Court already, as for young baby and 20 infants, the armed men went around and hit and killed them. 21 [15.44.40]22 MR. PRESIDENT: 23 The question from Judge Milart is clear. She wanted to know 24 exactly how long it lasted during -- she was referring to the 25 shooting. How long did it last?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

127

1	MR. SAM SITHY:					
2	A. It lasted less than half an hour. But I said it lasted half an					
3	hour, including the time that they went around and smashed the					
4	young babies, and the six men were armed with six rifles. And the					
5	killing, the shooting lasted only 15 minutes.					
6	[15.45.37]					
7	BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:					
8	Q. Mr. Witness, we do appreciate that this is a hard subject, but					
9	can you describe whether people were killed instantly, or whether					
10	it took some effort in murderous effort to kill them by					
11	shooting? Was it by single shots or were there several rounds					
12	fired?					
13	MR. SAM SITHY:					
14	A. They found it easy to shoot all of us. They were surrounding					
15	all of us and we could not be able to run away, so the shooting					
16	did not last long.					
17	MR. PRESIDENT:					
18	Mr. Witness, perhaps you did not understand the question. The					
19	Judges would like to know whether there were several rounds of					
20	shooting or there was only one round of shooting.					
21	MR. SAM SITHY:					
22	A. They were shooting at the victims that were being shot					
23	instantly, one after another. And if they saw anyone stand up,					
24	the fire would be shot at that individual. So one after another					
25	was shot.					

F1/2.1

128

- 1 [15.47.45]
- 2 BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 3 Q. You heard crying and screaming once this ensued. After the
- 4 bodies were placed in the pit, did you hear any sounds of these
- 5 wounded people, any crying, any moaning?
- 6 MR. SAM SITHY:
- 7 A. Yes, I heard. I heard it. There was crying and moaning while
- 8 they were being shot, but everyone was shot until there was no
- 9 silent, the shooting stopped.
- 10 Q. And after the shooting stopped, was there silence or were
- 11 there still sounds of people being wounded?
- A. After the shooting stopped, no screaming, no moaning; only thesounds of young babies and infants could be heard. And they went
- 14 around and smashed the babies and children.
- 15 [15.49.10]
- 16 JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:
- 17 I understand. Thank you.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 You may now proceed, Judge Mumba.
- 20 QUESTIONING BY JUDGE MWACHANDE-MUMBA:
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President. I have only one question.
- 22 Q. Witness, after the time of evacuation when your family was
- 23 leaving, you were about 14 years old, what level of education did
- 24 you have at that time?
- 25 MR. SAM SITHY:

129

- A. I was in grade 7 in the old schooling system. I could say that
 the education system in the past was in reverse order, and if we
 finished our grade 9, we would move to grade 8.
- 4 [15.50.17]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:

6 Could you clarify for the Chamber? What you have just stated may 7 confuse everyone here and also Judges. In the old system, you 8 would move from nine to eight, but in the current education 9 system, it is in reverse order. So could you clarify this for 10 everyone?

11 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. I would like to clarify for the Chamber. It is correct, what you have just stated. In the old education system, it was in reverse order compared to the current education system, so we would move from 12 into 11 and downward to 1, and during that time I was in grade 8. And I was in grade 8 when I was evacuated. And as Mr. President said already, the old system, the old education system, was in reverse order in our region.

- 19 [15.51.41]
- 20 BY JUDGE MWACHANDE-MUMBA:

Q. To be clear, Witness, that means you had had eight years of education?

23 MR. SAM SITHY:

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

	130					
1	MR. PRESIDENT:					
2	Mr. Witness, could you clarify again if you state that you were					
3	in grade 9 or 8. Perhaps you finished only four years schooling					
4	at that time. So could you clarify again for the Chamber?					
5	MR. SAM SITHY:					
6	A. I started grade 12 and at that time I was not allowed to start					
7	school because I did not reach the schooling age at that time.					
8	Let me clarify, I first started grade 12, and I failed three					
9	times. I failed grade 12 once, and I failed grade 11 once. And					
10	also grade 10. I also failed grade 10, because I did not catch					
11	up.					
12	[15.53.16]					
13	BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:					
14	Q. Mr. Witness, what is your education for the present date,					
15	today?					
16	MR. SAM SITHY:					
17	A. After I was it is my education level since the time I was					
18	evacuated, so I was in grade 9 or 8 in the old education system.					
19	MR. PRESIDENT:					
20	Mr. Witness, perhaps you misunderstood the question. So what is					
21	your education from liberation until now?					
22	MR. SAM SITHY:					
23	A. I told the Court already. I did not attend any other training					
24	or schooling after that time. After 1979, I did not attend any					
25	other schooling or education or training because I was being I					

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

131

- 1 was very busy finding rice for my younger siblings to eat.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Judge Jayasinghe, you have any question?
- 4 [15.54.35]
- 5 QUESTIONING BY JUDGE JAYASINGHE:
- 6 Thank you. Mr. President.

Q. Witness, just a short question for you. After you wereevacuated from your residence on the 17th April, you said you

9 travelled four days to reach the pagoda, right? And how did you

10 sustain yourself during the journey of four days? Did you cook on

11 the way? How did you prepare your dinner, your breakfast? Did you

12 share it with the Khmer Rouge who accompanied you? Can you

- 13 explain to us a little?
- 14 MR. SAM SITHY:

A. I was travelling with my parents at that time, and while -when we were living in our house, normally we had breakfast and
dinner and lunch, but while travelling, we could only have two
time meal per day, and my parents cooked for me and all of us.
And as for food, we did not have soup to eat. We had only dry
fish and fermented fish paste, salt, and fish sauce. There was no
-- any soup or delicious food.

22 [15.56.11]

Q. That's on your way, right, on your way to the pagoda?
A. We were leaving without knowing where to go. We were moving us
accompanied by guards, and when it was time for meal, we would

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

132

- 1 stop to have meal. There's no specific location or direction that
- 2 we had to go.
- 3 JUDGE JAYASINGHE:
- 4 Okay, thank you, Witness.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 You may now proceed, Judge Ya Narin. You are the last one to ask7 questions now.
- 8 [15.57.20]
- 9 QUESTIONING BY JUDGE YA NARIN:

10 Q. Mr. Witness, I have one last question. I know you have been 11 tired since the morning, and you are here being questioned by all 12 Parties, and sometimes you feel very unhappy because of the past 13 experience. I have only one question. You said that you were 14 evacuated on the 17 April 1975, and everyone left the city, and 15 they were on the way moving to different direction, and it was so 16 crowded. I would like to know while you were moving together with 17 people, you were travelling on national road or on subsidiary 18 road?

19 MR. SAM SITHY:

20 A. We were travelling on National Road 20-something. We left

21 Kampong Chhnang to Tuek Phos district, and after which we arrived 22 Trang Popok (phonetic), Chum Reay, Aoral and Chrak Sdech pagoda. 23 Actually, there was a national road up to Tuek Phos district, and 24 after that we were travelling on subsidiary road.

25 Q. So it was so crowded on the national road and also -- was it

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

133

1	so crowded on national road and also on subsidiary road while you						
2	were travelling?						
3	A. I told Your Honour already. There was paved national roads up						
4	until Tuek Phos district, and after which, there was only						
5	subsidiary roads. And we were walking according to what we were						
б	told to go. And it was so crowded, we moved very slowly.						
7	[15.59.51]						
8	Q. I would like to clarify. So you were all on the same direction						
9	while when leaving Kampong Chhnang?						
10	A. Yes.						
11	Q. Thank you very much.						
12	MR. PRESIDENT:						
13	It is now time for the adjournment. I thank you very much, you,						
14	Mr. Witness.						
15	And now there is one more question from Judge Milart. Please bear						
16	with us, Mr. Witness. You may now proceed.						
17	BY JUDGE KLONOWIECKA-MILART:						
18	Q. Coming back to these journalists that interviewed you and you						
19	said that the investigators or the working group came after a						
20	story appeared, or an article appeared. What prompted the article						
21	at first place? Why someone decided to write an article about						
22	you, or was it you who wrote this article?						
23	[16.01.09]						
24	MR. SAM SITHY:						
25	A. The press is one of my friend. He or she knows my story, and						

1 he or she knows that Pol Pot took my parents and relatives away 2 to be killed. He or she asked me whether I could give an 3 interview to him or her, and he explained me that perhaps there may have been my relatives living in the -- living abroad, and 4 who may help to support my siblings, and this press person wanted 5 to know my story and wanted to help whether there are survivors б 7 from the regime related to me. Q. Is there a reason why you don't mention the name of this press 8 9 person? It's usually that the journalist protects the source, not 10 the source protects the journalist. Does this person have a name? 11 A. This journalist is one of my friends. He is working for Koh 12 Santepheap newspaper. His name is Ian. I do not know whether he 13 has any alias when writing an article in the newspaper. [16.03.24]14 15 MR. PRESIDENT: 16 It is now time for the adjournment and thank you very much, Mr. 17 Witness, for spending time giving your testimony before the

18 Supreme Court Chamber of the ECCC. You may now be excused.

Allow me to inform Parties and everyone that we will resume our hearing on Monday, 6th of July 2015, and there is one day

21 reserved, which is Tuesday, in case that we could not finish our 22 hearing.

23 Security personnel are instructed to bring back the two Accused 24 to the detention facility and have them returned here on Monday. 25 The Court is now adjourned.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Supreme Court Chamber – Appeal Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC 03/07/2015

135

1	(Court	adjourns	at	1604H)	
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					