

អត្ថិខំសុំបំទ្រះចិសាមញ្ញតូខតុលាការកម្ពុបា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

្សិត សាសស ព្រះឧសាដ្យខ្មែ ទាំត សាសស ព្រះឧសាដ្យខ្មែ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អគ្គដ៏ស្ដីដម្លេះសាលាដ៏មុខ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS - KAING GUEK EAV "DUCH" PUBLIC

Case File Nº 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC

26 August 2009, 0902H Trial Day 65

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding

Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

THOU Mony

YOU Ottara (Reserve)

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

MOCH Sovannary

TY Srinna KONG Pisey

Alain WERNER
Fabienne TRUSSES-NAPROUS

Jessica FINELLE

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

SE Kolvuthy

DUCH Phary Natacha WEXELS-RISER

Matteo CRIPPA

For Court Management Section:

UCH Arun

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

SENG Bunkheang

Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL

CHANLINO Pak

The Accused: KAING Guek Eav

Lawyers for the Accused: KAR Savuth

Francois ROUX

Marie-Paul CANIZARES

Lucile NATTIEZ

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

 $\textit{Page}\ i$

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MS. CANIZARES	French
JUDGE CARTWRIGHT	English
MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL	French
MR. KAR SAVUTH	Khmer
MR. KONG PISEY	Khmer
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
MS. MOCH SOVANNARY	Khmer
MR. ROUX	French
MS. SE KOLVUTHY	Khmer
MR. SENG BUNKHEANG	Khmer
THE ACCUSED	Khmer
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS	French
MR. TY SRINNA	Khmer
MR. WERNER	English

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 3 [09.02.37]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 6 We are going to proceed with the civil party applications and the
- 7 challenges by the defence counsel. Before we proceed, the
- 8 Chamber would like to inform the parties to the proceedings and
- 9 the public that today the Chamber is going to sit only in the
- 10 morning. The session will be adjourned by 12 p.m. because this
- 11 afternoon the Chamber needs to meet internally to resolve the
- 12 unfinished matters.
- 13 Yesterday we had the adjournment to meet to discuss these
- 14 matters. Since the urgent matters are not yet resolved then we
- 15 will take this afternoon's session to finally resolve them.
- 16 Before opening the floor for the discussion, the Chamber would
- 17 like to instruct the parties as the following. This morning we
- 18 are going to bring about the matters challenged by the defence
- 19 counsel and the civil parties have the right to respond to any
- 20 observations or grounds for the challenges that will be raised by
- 21 the defence counsel.
- 22 And at the same time, if there is any new grounds or matter
- 23 raised by the civil parties lawyers, then the defence counsel is
- 24 entitled the right to also respond. But the defence counsel can
- 25 respond only once to the matter and the Chamber will not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 2

- 1 entertain the counter responses like what happened yesterday. So
- 2 please be informed.
- 3 The Chamber has already heard and noted the observations of the
- 4 Co-Prosecutors, who expressively stated that they would not have
- 5 any observations in relation to the matters raised by the civil
- 6 party lawyers or the defence counsel. However, if the
- 7 Co-Prosecutors would wish to make any comment or observation in
- 8 relation to this matter then they could inform the Court.
- 9 Now we would like to proceed with the defence counsel to present
- 10 the grounds for their challenges in relation to the civil party
- 11 applications. And these grounds should be presented on a
- 12 case-by-case order.
- 13 Could the defence counsel hold on because we note that civil
- 14 party lawyer, group 3, is on her feet.
- 15 You may proceed.
- 16 [09.08.05]
- 17 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 19 Indeed I said to you yesterday that before we review the civil
- 20 party applications that might raise difficulties, I wanted to
- 21 bring up general points. Apparently I'm not sure that I'm
- 22 receiving -- yes? Am I being heard? Yes indeed, yes.
- 23 So I would like to make a few observations, introductory
- 24 observations that will allow me to cover a series of issues
- 25 regarding the difficulties that have been encountered, in order

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 3

- 1 to provide all of the elements regarding the civil party
- 2 applications. So I am please asking you for the leave to make
- 3 these introductory observations which are rather general in
- 4 character.
- 5 (Deliberation between Judges)
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 The civil party lawyer group 3, you may proceed but please be
- 8 brief. We already discussed this at length yesterday, so I hope
- 9 you will be brief this morning.
- 10 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 11 Mr. President, I indeed wish to make a few introductory
- 12 observations regarding the applications of our civil parties
- 13 because there are elements there that indeed seem necessary to
- 14 develop in a general manner. Two problems indeed are raised --
- 15 the link with S-21 and, on the other hand, the second question is
- 16 the kinship link or let's say the proximity issue.
- 17 So regarding point number one, I would like first to state in an
- 18 introductory manner that I believe that the fact that the
- 19 archives at S-21 are not complete cannot be challenged.
- 20 [09.12.06]
- 21 Some of them have disappeared; the proof being that in certain
- 22 applications we only have a photograph. In other applications we
- 23 have a name appearing on a list. In another application there
- 24 might be a biography. However, sometimes, we cannot bring
- 25 together all of the elements. So this seems to me to be already

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 4

- 1 an element that allows us to say that these archives are not
- 2 complete.
- 3 And the second element that allows me to say this are the
- 4 observations made by certain witnesses; for example, David
- 5 Chandler, who indicated -- because he studied very much in depth
- 6 these S-21 archives and David Chandler is telling us that these
- 7 archives indeed are not complete and he said in particular when
- 8 he was heard regarding ERN 00361627 that some of the civil
- 9 parties indicated the way that they had received access to this
- 10 document, such as on August 18th when Antonya Tioulong spoke
- 11 about her cousin who was able to access these archives in 1979,
- 12 whereas they were covered outside of Tuol Sleng in dust.
- 13 So it is perfectly understandable that these archives indeed are
- 14 not complete. So consequently I believe that it is possible for
- 15 us to say now -- and this is what we are supporting as civil
- 16 party lawyers -- that it is not because we cannot find any traces
- 17 of proximity links regarding our civil parties in the S-21
- 18 archives that these people have never been detained nor smashed
- 19 at S-21.
- 20 Mr. President and Your Honours, this is why I believe that your
- 21 Chamber will have to review the civil party applications and
- 22 statements in order to assess their coherency and their logic,
- 23 given the historical context, the specific historical context
- 24 regarding these individual applications, and regarding as well
- 25 the relevant evidence that we're able to gather, in particular

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 5

- 1 during the investigation phase and during these hearings.
- 2 [09.15.07]
- 3 Furthermore, regarding S-21 again, we have often heard -- or
- 4 several times -- the accused saying that he did not accept as
- 5 proprietary value a simple photograph -- a simple photograph at
- 6 S-21 -- and I'd like to state that it's not up to the accused to
- 7 say if such evidence can be accepted or not. It is up to the
- 8 Chamber to say if indeed this evidence is admissible or not.
- 9 However, in order to avoid any kind of difficulties, the civil
- 10 parties in our group -- and this was the same for the civil
- 11 parties in the other groups -- we have asked the S-21 museum to
- 12 certify the provenance of these photographs, and this is what we
- 13 will be able, therefore, to review on a case-per-case basis, but
- 14 we had indeed the provenance of these photographs certified by
- 15 the museum.
- 16 On the other hand, it seems to us that in certain applications we
- 17 have a photograph; in others we have a name on a list; in others
- 18 there is a biography, and I believe that indeed it is not
- 19 necessary to require the accumulation of all of these elements
- 20 from the S-21 archives in order to provide evidence regarding the
- 21 fact that that person was detained and smashed at S-21. This is
- 22 even more so the case that -- sorry, Mr. President.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Could you please slow down a little bit for good record and
- 25 interpretation? It would be good if you can speak slower so that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 6

- 1 interpreter can really fully follow what you are saying.
- 2 [09.17.47]
- 3 The Court officer, could you please check whether the civil party
- 4 lawyer received the message from me?
- 5 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS :
- 6 (Technical malfunction) deal with the notion and the definition
- 7 of what kinship means -- of what proximity means. And indeed,
- 8 Article 32C states that psychological harm is liable to include
- 9 the loss of relatives who were victims of these crimes --
- 10 includes the loss of relatives who were victims of these crimes.
- 11 And a relative can be a direct family member, but can also be a
- 12 third-party person who might have brought you up; a friend even.
- 13 And I will mention a quote from Philippe Letourneau, a jurist,
- 14 who in 1970 was -- when he studied a ruling from the French Court
- 15 of Cassation which, in fact, had freed the list of people --
- 16 opened the list of people who could act. So Philippe Letourneau
- 17 indicated that the principle of reparation regarding relative is
- 18 inflationist, so therefore I believe, or we believe, that
- 19 regarding our group -- and I believe that these elements are
- 20 shared with the other groups -- that it is the coherence and the
- 21 logic behind the civil parties' statements that will in these
- 22 circumstances allow the Chamber to make a decision in favour of
- 23 certain civil parties that will have to be -- whose suffering
- 24 will have to be acknowledged as well as their expectations.
- 25 [09.27.11]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 7

- 1 And in any case, we, of course, will let the Chamber decide -- in
- 2 all of its wisdom -- in the interest of the victims and for the
- 3 ascertainment of the truth.
- 4 This is, Mr. President, Your Honours, the observations that I
- 5 wanted to provide as an introduction.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 The international Co-Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 8 MR. DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 10 Given that the Co-Prosecutors will not participate in the debate
- 11 regarding eacheach individual civil party, we also wish to
- 12 provide certain general observations in the same line of thought
- 13 as what was stated by Ms. Trusses-Naprous who, therefore,
- 14 represents these civil parties of her group and I imagine that
- 15 the other civil party lawyers agree.
- 16 Indeed, evidence is free and it seems to us that this evidence
- 17 that also can be applied in Rule 23 should be appreciated in a
- 18 reasonable manner by the Chamber given the elements that are
- 19 presented, and given the coherency of these elements, and given
- 20 the logic behind each of the statements in the civil party
- 21 applications as well as given other statements from the civil
- 22 parties that might have also been included in the case file.
- 23 [09.29.30]
- 24 Our point of view is also that we must take into account in this
- 25 appreciation of the evidence -- take into account a certain

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 8

- 1 number of elements, some of which were already mentioned by the
- 2 civil party lawyer. And the first element is -- well, the facts
- 3 go back to 30 years which explains that for many civil parties it
- 4 was impossible for them to preserve documents that would have
- 5 been, of course, useful today.
- 6 Civil parties often -- for those that have been heard, often
- 7 explained that during the Khmer Rouge period, practically all of
- 8 the photographs of their relatives or people that were close to
- 9 them were destroyed and unfortunately, they cannot, of course, be
- 10 presented today.
- 11 I would like to insist on the fact that the archives are
- 12 incomplete; that numerous documents could not be found, others
- 13 have been destroyed and others, still, have deteriorated over
- 14 time because they were stored under poor conditions. These are
- 15 the documents, however, which made it possible for the Office of
- 16 the Co-Prosecutors to prepare a combined list of S-21 prisoners
- 17 which is, therefore, based on the biographies, the prisoner
- 18 lists, the list of executed prisoners and the photographs that
- 19 were collected. This list is necessarily incomplete -- and the
- 20 accused, in fact, accepted this on several occasions,
- 21 particularly during the investigation phase -- and the numerous
- 22 names that are found in this list do not reflect the actual
- 23 reality of the number of persons who perished in S-21. The
- 24 figure that we have records for is far below reality and thus, I
- 25 am surprised when defence counsel, on several occasions, have

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 9

- 1 stated that because of the fact that the name of a person is not
- 2 found on that combined list there is no evidence of any kind
- 3 concerning the fact that that person was brought into or executed
- 4 at S-21.
- 5 [09.32.21]
- 6 It seems to me that this is an attitude which leads to drawing
- 7 hasty conclusions from the absence of a name on the list when we
- 8 know it is incomplete, past ones or present ones. And all of
- 9 these elements plead in favour of the admissibility of other
- 10 elements of evidence that can establish identity or authenticate
- 11 documents relative to identity or to kinship with the victims of
- 12 S-21.
- 13 Thus I believe that one could take into account acts confirming
- 14 birth certificates or documents having been prepared by mayors or
- 15 even the testimony of witnesses who can establish the reality of
- 16 an identity.
- 17 Finally, our suggestion, Mr. President, because to my knowledge
- 18 the Chamber has not yet set time limits for counsel for the civil
- 19 parties to collect and compile all of these documents -- our
- 20 suggestion is that if it is the case in today's debate on each
- 21 individual case counsel for the civil parties tell you that a
- 22 certain number of documents are in the process of being compiled
- 23 but cannot produce at today's hearing, our suggestion to the
- 24 Chamber would be that a time limit, a final time limit, be set
- 25 for the collection and compilation of these documents in order,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 10

- 1 with regard to this last batch of documents that needs to be
- 2 produced and filed, a last swift debate may take place prior to
- 3 the end of proceedings.
- 4 [09.35.52]
- 5 These are the observations that we intended to make on a
- 6 preliminary basis and I believe that we will have no observations
- 7 to make during the discussion concerning individual cases. Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The defence counsel, you may proceed.
- 11 MR. KAR SAVUTH:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 The floor is now given to the civil party counsel.
- 15 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 17 morning, ladies and gentlemen.
- 18 I am a counsel representing civil party group 2 and 4. First of
- 19 all I am in agreement with the civil party counsel, group 3, and
- 20 I also would like to provide my submission to the Chamber
- 21 regarding the kinship of the civil parties to the victims of S-21
- 22 Office and the difficulty in proving such kinship.
- 23 [09.37.36]
- 24 For instance, one of my clients in the document E2/35 -- the
- 25 client has a nephew who died at S-21 and there is also a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 11

- 1 photograph. And this client was objected by the defence counsel.
- 2 The reason is that the parents of the victim also passed away.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Mr. Counsel, you are reminded that you should right now make an
- 5 overall observation and for each particular civil party you will
- 6 have your turn to respond to the objections that will be raised
- 7 by the defence counsel on an individual basis.
- 8 Right now the floor is given to you to make general observations.
- 9 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President. Then I will wait to respond to the
- 11 defence counsel.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The Chamber would like now to give the floor to the defence
- 14 counsel to make their observation or objection on individual
- 15 cases for the civil party applications.
- 16 MR. KAR SAVUTH:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 18 morning, ladies and gentlemen.
- 19 I am a defence counsel for the accused and I would like to make
- 20 my general observations before I raise the issue on an individual
- 21 basis.
- 22 [09.39.42]
- 23 It is my observation that the accused has acknowledged all the
- 24 crimes committed at S-21 where 12,380 people died at that
- 25 location. And I am the defence counsel and I am here ready,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 12

- 1 waiting for all the civil parties' applications. I do not have
- 2 any objection to that.
- 3 However, to be eligible as a civil party there are certain
- 4 criteria that need to be met in order for it to be legitimate.
- 5 First, the first criterion would be whether the person fits the
- 6 criterion as a civil party; that is, whether the person is a
- 7 husband, a wife, a son or a daughter, a mother or a father of the
- 8 victim. For such a case, the civil party would agree to it. But
- 9 if someone says he is a nephew or that the relatives, six or
- 10 seven relatives died, and probably even not died at S 21, then my
- 11 client and I cannot accept it.
- 12 Secondly, to be a civil party all evidence has to be clearly
- 13 shown that the victim is actually victimized at S-21. And I do
- 14 not require every single piece of documentary evidence. However,
- 15 at least amongst those documents there is some of S-21's
- 16 documents, for example a photo with a serial number placed in
- 17 front, and if the photo cannot be found then at least there is a
- 18 confession; the confession made at that location. Then we will
- 19 accept it. It's as simple as that.
- 20 And if the confession cannot be found or the photograph is not
- 21 available then we can turn to the list of the prisoners. So
- 22 there is a list of the prisoners and if their name is there then
- 23 we would accept one of these three criteria.
- 24 [09.42.24]
- 25 And if nothing fits into the three criteria that I just raised

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 13

- 1 then we, the defence team, cannot accept it. And that's why we
- 2 objected several civil party applications because of these
- 3 grounds.
- 4 And this is my general observation and I would like my
- 5 international defence counsel to raise the issues related to
- 6 individual applications.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 International defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 9 MR. ROUX:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President. Before Counsel Canizares comes in to
- 11 set things in order, I would like to make a few preliminary
- 12 observations myself. I wish to thank counsel for the civil
- 13 parties to have opened this preliminary debate, which I believe
- 14 is extremely important for this part of the proceedings and I am
- 15 very sensitive also to the statement made by the Co-Prosecutors.
- 16 I am pleased that the Co-Prosecutors have decided to intervene at
- 17 this point in the arguments because we have reached a very
- 18 important point in our pleadings. We have always said that from
- 19 the moment that the accused acknowledges responsibility, we have
- 20 always said that we would be open to civil party applications
- 21 made by persons who were smashed at S-21. However, as Counsel
- 22 Kar Savuth has just recalled there are rules of law and in Latin
- one says "dura lex sed lex"; the law is harsh, but it is the law.
- 24 We are not here in a forum other than one dedicated to justice
- 25 and we must respect the law.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 14

- 1 The NGOs have been working on these files for a very long time
- 2 and it is, therefore, up to the NGOs and, forgive me, to counsel
- 3 for the civil parties to produce the minimum elements that will
- 4 make it possible for the Chamber, at the end of the day, to
- 5 decide whether such-and-such a person does indeed fit in with the
- 6 criteria required for a civil party application.
- 7 And I would like to respectfully draw the attention of everyone,
- 8 at this point, to the fact that we are the first international
- 9 tribunal to have accepted civil parties. We have fought over a
- 10 period of 15 years in order to arrive at the situation which we
- 11 are experiencing today because, until now, victims were not civil
- 12 parties before international courts and tribunals.
- 13 [09.46.20]
- 14 We have obtained this result, but please, please, let us not
- 15 spoil this result. Let us not cancel all the efforts that we've
- 16 made in order to achieve this. Some of the civil parties, last
- 17 week, went beyond their role as civil parties during the hearings
- 18 and had to be warned by the President and by defence counsel. We
- 19 are in the process of making considerable progress altogether in
- 20 the field of international criminal justice and therefore, we are
- 21 bound to achieve excellence.
- 22 And I turn to you, dear colleagues from the civil parties; it is
- 23 up to you to supply the juridical elements that will make it
- 24 possible to consider that certain persons are or are not civil
- 25 parties. You know that in this country there have been thousands

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 15

- 1 -- tens of thousands of dead and, inter alia, in 196 prisons in
- 2 the country and some families may, in good faith, imagine that
- 3 their father, their son, their mentor died in S-21. And one
- 4 might find out that it wasn't in S-21, but in another prison.
- 5 What would we have done then? We would have done everything
- 6 except practising law.
- 7 We are here in a forum dedicated to handing down justice. There
- 8 are rules which have been recalled by counsel Kar Savuth and in
- 9 my preliminary observation; I intended to insist on the absolute
- 10 necessity to respect these rules. It is in the interest of the
- 11 law and it is in the interest of the civil parties, themselves,
- 12 because if, in the future, we wish in further and other
- 13 international tribunals -- further the progress that has been
- 14 successfully achieved in this tribunal with regard to civil party
- 15 applications.
- 16 [09.49.11]
- 17 Well, Mr. President, the defence has already given a case-by-case
- 18 list yesterday concerning these applications. In the meantime,
- 19 we have received new elements of evidence which, in fact, is
- 20 congruent with the observations of Judge Cartwright, which is
- 21 that at every moment of the debate, there are new elements coming
- 22 and thus the defence must be allowed to respond at any and at all
- 23 times.
- 24 Therefore, instead of going through everything that has already
- 25 been said yesterday, perhaps we could ask counsel Canizares, who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 16

- 1 keeps the list updated -- perhaps we could ask her to tell us
- 2 which are the case files for which we received information
- 3 yesterday and where we believe that adequate information has been
- 4 supplied. The Chamber, of course, will have the final decision
- 5 on this, but on the basis of information that we received
- 6 yesterday, we can already tell you that some of these cases have
- 7 been endowed with sufficient elements of evidence.
- 8 Perhaps I can now give the floor to Counsel Canizares. Thank
- 9 you, Mr. President.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 The defence counsel, you can proceed.
- 12 MS. CANIZARES:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. President. Indeed, as my colleague François Roux
- 14 has just indicated to the Chamber, new documents were produced by
- 15 the civil parties from group number 1, who yesterday gave us new
- 16 documents concerning five applicants. But also this morning,
- 17 from group number 3 -- as group number 3 gave us a certain number
- 18 of documents concerning four of the civil party applicants.
- 19 [09.51.30]
- 20 So Mr. President, if you will allow me, perhaps I could make a
- 21 brief preliminary comment as well. As far as I'm concerned,
- 22 insofar as the Chamber will surely understand quite clearly that
- 23 at the time when your hearing was about to start this morning, we
- 24 received new elements of evidence and it is somewhat difficult
- 25 for us to take the time to assess their value. The hearing has

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 17

- 1 already begun. Though these documents are, indeed, in our
- 2 possession, I must admit to you that I haven't had the time to
- 3 examine all of them and, more specifically, to discuss with my
- 4 client issues of relevance with regard to these documents.
- 5 In fact, this brings to my mind an observation that was made
- 6 yesterday afternoon by one of the counsel from group 1 who
- 7 reminded the defence that it was up to the defence, from the
- 8 initial hearing, to submit its observations regarding the civil
- 9 party applications. Well, my observation in this regard will
- 10 perhaps seem to you to be somewhat candid, to use a term that was
- 11 used by my colleague, Werner, yesterday following one of my
- 12 observations, but the Chamber, I'm sure, will understand quite
- 13 easily that it was rather difficult at the time; a time when many
- 14 documents had not yet been produced -- very difficult to be able
- 15 to say in a clear and definitive manner what the position of the
- 16 defence was with regard to the civil party applications.
- 17 In any event, given the elements of proof that we have received
- 18 yesterday at the end of the hearings and elements of evidence
- 19 which, in fact, have been produced exclusively in Khmer language,
- 20 which were translated neither into English nor into French, we
- 21 have been able to consider that with regard to two of the
- 22 plaintiffs of group 1, these elements enable us today to state
- 23 that we no longer have an objection regarding the civil party
- 24 application of these two persons. That is D25/20 as well as
- 25 civil party E2/57.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 18

- 1 However, we stand on our objections regarding the other civil
- 2 parties, with the reservation of course that defence will have
- 3 opportunity to examine the documents that were produced and
- 4 handed to us this morning.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 The defence counsel, do you only have your objections for the
- 7 individual applications regarding the two civil parties that you
- 8 just raised?
- 9 Court officer, can you check the audio system? Sometimes it can
- 10 be heard clearly; sometimes it is so weak.
- 11 [09.57.55]
- 12 I would like to confirm again, the defence counsel, do you still
- 13 show your objection regarding the individual applications of
- 14 D25/20 and E2/57?
- 15 MS. CANIZARES:
- 16 Given the documents that were produced last evening, Mr.
- 17 President, the defence no longer has objections concerning these
- 18 two civil parties. I repeat, D25/20 and E2/57.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Alain Werner, you may proceed.
- 21 MR. WERNER:
- 22 Good morning, Mr. President, distinguished members of the Court.
- 23 Just a few words to say that D25/20 and E2/57, we wish to thank
- 24 the defence for the diligence with which overnight they
- 25 scrutinized the documents and gave us a position this morning.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 19

- 1 We appreciate their gesture and indicate to the Chamber that we
- 2 will, of course, submit an application so that these documents be
- 3 translated and filed. The reason why they were not translated is
- 4 that as soon as we received them we handed them over to the
- 5 defence.
- 6 But once again, we wish to thank the defence for its efforts and
- 7 we wish to tell you that we will do all required formalities to
- 8 ensure that these documents be translated. Thank you.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 11 [10.00.08]
- 12 The civil party lawyer, we have noted that you are on your feet.
- 13 Could you please make sure that you can just say -- or make the
- 14 observation which is new from the point you already mentioned
- 15 earlier. Otherwise we'll never end this session and I hope this
- 16 is the last chance you can make your observation.
- 17 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 19 Simply I wanted to say to the Chamber indeed that we filed with
- 20 the defence new documents this morning and of course these
- 21 documents are being prepared, and we are preparing the motion so
- 22 that it be filed in conformity with the Rules of this Court. But
- 23 here again we wanted -- because, of course, these applications
- 24 might be confronted today -- well, we wanted the defence to
- 25 receive this information in order to respect the principles of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 20

- 1 adversarial hearing.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The defence counsels can now proceed with the grounds for the
- 4 challenges of the civil party applications on a case-by-case
- 5 basis, and the civil parties will need to prepare to be ready to
- 6 respond to the challenges presented by the defence counsel. So
- 7 they will be only given a chance to respond to these applications
- 8 on challenges.
- 9 I noted that the civil party would be given the last opportunity,
- 10 as I mentioned, so what would you want to address again? Could
- 11 you please be prepared to just rise and express the whole issues
- 12 you want to address the Court, because today's session is about
- 13 the floor for the challenges of the civil party applications by
- 14 the defence counsel and that the civil party lawyers are to only
- 15 provide the supporting documents to support their civil party
- 16 applications.
- 17 [10.03.17]
- 18 You have already requested for observation and now for more than
- 19 one hour such observation has been made but we don't know why you
- 20 will keep wanting to address the Court relating to the same old
- 21 matter. This is the last opportunity for you, of course.
- 22 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 23 Mr. President, it was simply a technical problem that I was
- 24 bringing up. As far as we are concerned as civil party lawyers,
- 25 we had considered -- but I don't know if the Court will accept

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 21

- 1 this and if the defence will object to this but we considered
- 2 taking the floor for all of the applications group by group --
- 3 group Number 3, group Number 2, group Number 1. I do not know if
- 4 you had considered this way of proceeding but that was the
- 5 solution that we are proposing to you. If of course this is not
- 6 possible, well, then again of course I will let the Chamber
- 7 decide.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Yesterday there has been the display in relation to the orders of
- 10 the challenges and the Chamber would like to base on this order
- 11 that if civil party lawyers find that any particular civil party
- 12 applications are challenged by the defence counsel then they are
- 13 ready to respond to those challenges.
- 14 So the defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 15 [10.06.34]
- 16 MS. CANIZARES:
- 17 Yes, Mr. President. I suggest that we get back to the order that
- 18 I had presented yesterday, to get back to the list, if of course
- 19 the Chamber agrees with this way of proceeding.
- 20 So the first civil party that was brought up yesterday was E2/22
- 21 and the defence indicated regarding this civil party that the
- 22 plaintiff, Mr. Sitha Chhoem was complaining for the general
- 23 arrest of Division 310, to which he belonged as a former Khmer
- 24 Rouge soldier. And the defence underlined the fact that the
- 25 plaintiff was only mentioning friends and that on top of this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 22

- 1 there was no document in the case that substantiated the link
- 2 between the plaintiff and the victims that would have been
- 3 detained at S-21.
- 4 And I do not need to recall the preliminary observations that
- 5 were provided by my colleagues Kar Savuth and François Roux, but
- 6 it is now up to the civil parties to provide a minimum amount of
- 7 evidence so that the Chamber may decide if such-and-such a person
- 8 is able to bring together the evidence that allows this person to
- 9 join as a civil party.
- 10 We believe in this particular case regarding either kinship link
- 11 or the fact that the victim might have been detained at S-21,
- 12 well, we consider in this particular case that there is no
- 13 element that can allow us to consider that these civil parties
- 14 are enabled to act.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Civil party lawyer group 2. Chhoem Sitha is a civil party in
- 17 that group, so you may now proceed.
- 18 [10.09.21]
- 19 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 20 Mr. President, thank you. With the President's leave I would
- 21 like to preserve our rights to present further evidence and
- 22 documents to support this civil party application if the Court
- 23 needs.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 The debate is almost coming to an end and when you ask the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 23

- 1 Chamber when exactly the Chamber would prefer the evidence to
- 2 support that application, I think we are ambivalent as to what
- 3 kind of comment are you making here because the hearings will
- 4 come to an end soon also. And when are you intending to file
- 5 these documents to the Chamber? Because so far as we remember,
- 6 the civil party lawyers had to be ready and prepared to present
- 7 the documents to the Chamber at any moment they are seised of
- 8 their documents.
- 9 I don't understand why you asked the Court this, because the
- 10 schedule and the ending of the hearing, as expected, have already
- 11 been informed. As recently as yesterday we already made it known
- 12 to the civil parties and to the parties to the proceeding about
- 13 the expectation that the hearings would end.
- 14 And these proceedings have been well set forth in the
- 15 proceedings, in the Internal Rules and also in the Criminal
- 16 Procedural Code of Cambodia, and in the other codes in relation
- 17 to the filing of documents and supporting documents.
- 18 The defence counsel, you may now proceed with another civil party
- 19 application and challenge.
- 20 [10.11.38]
- 21 MS. CANIZARES:
- 22 Regarding the following case, E2/37, here again we are confronted
- 23 with the same case as the previous case because the plaintiff
- 24 here is referring to six of his friends that would have been
- 25 arrested and killed at S-21. And we here again have no evidence

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 24

- 1 of any kind of kinship link with the victims and, as well, we
- 2 have no document in the file proving that these victims had
- 3 anything to do with S-21.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Civil party group 3, you may now proceed.
- 6 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 7 Yes, Mr. President. In this case it is indeed a person who is
- 8 coming to join as a civil party because this person saw his
- 9 friends being arrested and brought to Tuol Sleng. And in this
- 10 specific case it is indeed friends. The civil party brought up
- 11 in his statement that he was very much afraid, he was frightened
- 12 and that he felt extreme suffering when he learnt about the fate
- 13 of his friends.
- 14 So I would like to refer to my preliminary observations regarding
- 15 the notion of close acquaintances. Since this person suffered in
- 16 relation to the loss of his friends and to see his friends being
- 17 brought away, well, in these conditions since we're speaking
- 18 about a person who's very old, that we cannot contact very
- 19 easily. This person is living in a rather relatively isolated
- 20 area beyond Ratanakiri province so therefore, it's very difficult
- 21 to reach this person and his age as well does not allow him to
- 22 participate in extremely developed discussions so therefore, I'm
- 23 going to let the Chamber appreciate this very specific case -- to
- 24 judge on this very specific case.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 25

- 1 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 2 MS. CANIZARES:
- 3 Regarding E2/66, the plaintiff states that her older sister, Penh
- 4 Sothera, would have been detained at Tuol Sleng and it happens to
- 5 be that Ms. Penh Sothera -- therefore the victim -- seems,
- 6 according to Mr. Kaing Guek Eav seems to be the daughter of Vorn
- 7 Vet, but however, the plaintiff indicated that her parents' name
- 8 was well, Penh Morn for her father and Chin Tharn for the mother.
- 9 [10.15.35]
- 10 That is to say that it seems that there might be a similar name,
- 11 that the plaintiff sister's name and the name of a person that
- 12 might have been detained at S-21, but the registration papers of
- 13 the plaintiff does not correspond to the registration of the
- 14 victim that she is bringing forth.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Civil party lawyer group 2, you may proceed.
- 17 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 18 Mr. President, thank you.
- 19 Penh Sokhen, the civil party, saw the photo of her sister at
- 20 S-21. She based her argument on the photo, but not on the name
- 21 that is similar.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 24 MS. CANIZARES:
- 25 Regarding civil party D25/15, the defence stated that the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 26

- 1 plaintiff was not providing the evidence of a kinship link with
- 2 the victim and did not include in the file, elements that allowed
- 3 us to relate the victim to S-21 and the civil party counsels
- 4 provided us with extra documents and these extra documents do not
- 5 seem, however, to the defence to be more relevant than the
- 6 documents that are already included in the case.
- 7 [10.17.57]
- 8 The only element that we may find in this case are related to
- 9 biographical data that were compiled regarding a certain victim
- 10 by the name of Pen Um, but this biographical data was only
- 11 compiled by DC-Cam and do not come from S-21.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The civil party lawyer group 1, you may proceed.
- 14 MS. TY SRINNA:
- 15 Mr. President, I would like to respond to the challenge by the
- 16 defence counsel regarding this client of mine, D25/15, Suon
- 17 Sieng.
- 18 I interviewed him and he made it clear that Pen Um was his
- 19 cousin, not the biological sibling and we obtained the biography,
- 20 his biography, at S-21. So on the top page of this document, it
- 21 reads "S-21, Phnom Penh" so this document is available from S-21
- 22 compound and Pen Um is found in the smashing list dated on the 15
- 23 of October 1977.
- 24 And we, the counsel for the civil party, searched through the
- 25 internet of the DC-Cam website and we found out this list and we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 27

- 1 have not yet included these documents in the case file, but we
- 2 will make it available to defence counsel after the adjournment
- 3 and that we have obtained the document via the internet from the
- 4 DC-Cam website and database.
- 5 So these documents can be used as the proof to support the claim
- 6 that my client was detained at S-21 -- his document was seen at
- 7 S-21.
- 8 [10.20.41]
- 9 Suon Sieng also emphasized that he was the cousin of Pen Um and
- 10 Suon Sieng made his identification card available to us. The
- 11 documents, he maintained previously, were not available because
- 12 now he is more than 70 years old and he did live through the
- 13 regime and he really experienced the Khmer Rouge regime and those
- 14 documents in relation to the identification of his and his cousin
- 15 were all destroyed and burned down in order to escape from being
- 16 spied on by the Angkar or the DK people.
- 17 He burned down the documents in order to make sure that he could
- 18 survive without concealing these identification of his then he
- 19 would be arrested and executed like his cousin already. So with
- 20 these reasons, actually we found it rather difficult to establish
- 21 the kinship between him and his cousin; however, the counsel will
- 22 do it best to find further documents to support the civil party
- 23 application.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 28

- 1 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 2 MS. CANIZARES:
- 3 Regarding Civil Party E2/35, the plaintiff states that by
- 4 visiting Tuol Sleng, she would have recognized the photograph of
- 5 her nephew bearing number 567. No document in the file can
- 6 substantiate that the person who was photographed is, indeed, the
- 7 nephew of the plaintiff and I can even say as well that there is
- 8 no name appearing on this photograph so we cannot even know by
- 9 looking at this photograph that it does regard the person that
- 10 the plaintiff is referring to.
- 11 [10.23.50]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The civil party lawyer group 2, you may now respond.
- 14 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 15 Mr. President, thank you. Regarding civil party application
- 16 E2/35, this civil party claimed that he saw his nephew at Tuol
- 17 Sleng and he knew him very well because the nephew lived with him
- 18 for several years. And during the Khmer Rouge regime the nephew
- 19 was the soldier and later on was arrested. He said that he did
- 20 not know where the nephew was being taken to but the nephew left
- 21 the message with him that he would be arrested.
- 22 Because we have not seen the name -- I mean the similar name of
- 23 this person because the mother of this victim had to help another
- 24 cousin -- so we can see that the names are not consistent because
- 25 the auntie of the victim was the cousin of the person who filed

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 29

- 1 the application.
- 2 [10.26.12]
- 3 And we tried to find the document in relation to the victim but
- 4 it is not possible to obtain that information except the photo,
- 5 and there has not been any writing on the photo. So at S-21 we
- 6 only saw the photo and that we cannot really write any additional
- 7 message on the photo because it would not be genuine.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 10 MS. CANIZARES:
- 11 Regarding E2/38, the plaintiff indicates that his uncle was
- 12 arrested and detained at Tuol Sleng. The same observations as
- 13 previously, insofar that we have no element that can substantiate
- 14 the kinship between the plaintiff and the victim and that there
- 15 is no document as well that can prove that the victim was
- 16 detained at S-21. In particular, more specifically, the defence
- 17 did not see on the prisoners list of S-21 this victim's name.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Civil party lawyer, group 3, you may now proceed.
- 20 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 21 Mr. President, the civil party is Ms. Hiet Teycheou and I'd like
- 22 to specify that her father's name, which is mentioned indeed in
- 23 the documents that were included in the case -- because her
- 24 father is called Sos Tahiet and that the victim is the uncle of
- 25 my client -- uncle of my client called Sos El; that is to say,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 30

- 1 the brother of the father of Ms. Hiet Teycheou.
- 2 [10.28.55]
- 3 Now, regarding the proof of kinship link, we already have this
- 4 element that I just mentioned. But we did what was necessary as
- 5 for each case and we contacted the associative network that is
- 6 trying to bring together extra information; in particular,
- 7 evidence regarding kinship links. So we must -- regarding this
- 8 case, we should be receiving this week, in fact, the specific
- 9 element of evidence that we will indeed be able to prove this
- 10 link.
- 11 Now, regarding the link with S-21, while this is a person who was
- 12 arrested on the 17th of April 1975, whereas this person was
- 13 living with his spouse in Phnom Penh and he was an intellectual
- 14 and he was considered to having belonged to Lon Nol's regime, and
- 15 we all know that on that day many intellectuals, cadres, civil
- 16 officials, were arrested and were detained at S-21. And there is
- 17 indeed no document, of course, that was found regarding this
- 18 person and the link between this person and S-21.
- 19 But, however, Ms. Hiet Teycheou's statement leads us to believe
- 20 that this statement is perfectly credible and that indeed it is
- 21 quite possible or quite probable that this person was detained
- 22 and smashed at S-21. So therefore I believe that this statement
- 23 is perfectly coherent and that you should consider it as such.
- 24 Mr. President, please, I'd like to apologize for this but indeed
- 25 in this case I already had, indeed, two documents that can

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 31

- 1 substantiate the kinship link. It is Index ERN 00274587 and ERN
- 2 00274589 which -- and these are indeed two affidavits from people
- 3 who know my client perfectly well and who were able to provide
- 4 any -- all the useful elements to substantiate her kinship link
- 5 with her uncle.
- 6 [10.32.00]
- 7 So given the preliminary information that I provided earlier on,
- 8 I am please asking you to accept and to not challenge this civil
- 9 party application.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Now it is time for a break. The Chamber will take 20 minutes
- 12 break until 10 to 11, when it will resume.
- 13 The hearing is adjourned.
- 14 THE GREFFIER:
- 15 All rise.
- 16 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 17 (Court recesses from 1032H to 1055)
- 18 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Please be seated. The Chamber is now back in session.
- 21 The Chamber would like now to give the floor to the defence
- 22 counsel to continue with the observations on the objections.
- 23 MS. CANIZARES:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 [10.55.52]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 32

- 1 The defence has made good use of the break in order to study the
- 2 different documents that were submitted to us this morning by one
- 3 of the civil party groups. Therefore, I hope that you will
- 4 authorize me, Mr. President, to work back up from the
- 5 chronological order that I was following this morning and to
- 6 discuss E2/30. I hadn't discussed it. I had left it by the
- 7 side, if you want, because I wanted to take the time to examine
- 8 the documents that we received.
- 9 Well, as it happens, in spite of these documents, the defence
- 10 remains on its position and lets its objection stand. Indeed,
- 11 the plaintiff alleges that her two brothers and sister-in-law
- 12 were detained and killed in S-21. If it is the case that the
- 13 plaintiff and the victims have a somewhat similar name,
- 14 nevertheless we are of the opinion that the kinship is not
- 15 secured in the documentation submitted and, though the plaintiff
- 16 submitted a series of photographs that have been filed, these
- 17 photographs appear to us to be of an insufficient nature to
- 18 demonstrate that those persons were indeed detained in S-21.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 The civil party counsel, group 3, you can respond.
- 21 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 22 Mr. President, in this file this is Mrs. Ngoem Kim Hoeurn, who
- 23 lost her two brothers in Tuol Sleng, as well as the wife of Chan,
- 24 Duong Rum.
- 25 I believe that the connection with S-21 is unchallengeable.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 33

- 1 Indeed we have the photographs that were filed in the hearing in
- 2 the first stage, the photographs originating from S-21. These
- 3 photographs, since they were questioned, led us to get in touch
- 4 with the management of S-21 and obtain certification of the
- 5 authenticity and provenance of these photographs.
- 6 [10.58.56]
- 7 Indeed we received an affidavit from the deputy head of the
- 8 documentary repository of Tuol Sleng Museum, attesting that the
- 9 photographs of prisoners detained at S-21 under numbers 00984 --
- 10 and Mr. President, if you allow me, I will also be looking
- 11 through these photographs because the affidavit also mentions
- 12 issues relating to another case and I wouldn't want the two to
- 13 become confused.
- 14 So as far as this specific case is concerned the number is 02045
- 15 and number 02147. Photographs which we have here and which were
- 16 handed over to the defence -- the photographs as well as
- 17 documents will be forwarded to the defence by way of a motion
- 18 submitted to your Chamber.
- 19 We would like to add further that in this specific case there is
- 20 also an S-21 biography concerning Chan and there is an S-21
- 21 biography which you will find under reference ERN -- well, I
- 22 noted ERN 013 -- excuse me, let me give you the Khmer reference
- 23 00273314 -- 00273314. I believe that in effect there is no
- 24 problem whatever in establishing the connection with S-21. We
- 25 have photographs and we have a biography.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 34

- 1 With regard to kinship, in this case we have two affidavits which
- 2 have already been filed and the ERN reference, Khmer 00273301 and
- 3 00273307; two affidavits from persons Chea Im and Khem Tol
- 4 attesting to the kinship between our client and the brothers. As
- 5 for the harm suffered by the client, of course it is
- 6 unquestionable.
- 7 [11.02.41]
- 8 The only kinship connection between the parties being so close
- 9 automatically implies that there is suffering inflicted on that
- 10 person due to the loss of her brothers and her sister-in-law.
- 11 Regarding the sister-in-law of our client, there is also a
- 12 photograph. It seems that this is a photograph that comes from
- 13 Prey Sar, from S-24.
- 14 These are the observations we wanted to make with regard to this
- 15 particular case, Mr. President.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MS. CANIZARES:
- 18 With regard to civil party reference E2/41, the civil party
- 19 presumes that her grandfather, Mr. Pheach Kim, was killed in Tuol
- 20 Sleng because the civil party states that they saw the photograph
- 21 of the latter in Tuol Sleng. The defence can only note the fact
- 22 that the civil party did not file this picture, it's not joined
- 23 in the file, and that the civil party also supplies no evidence
- 24 that Mr. Pheach Kim, the victim, was indeed her grandfather. No
- 25 document emanating from Tuol Sleng has been supplied.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 35

- 1 Lastly, we must observe that the name of Mr. Pheach Kim cannot be
- 2 found in the list of prisoners of S-21.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 The civil party lawyer, group 1, you take the floor.
- 5 MS. TY SRINNA:
- 6 Mr. President, I would like to respond regarding civil party
- 7 E2/41 Sin Sinet.
- 8 The documents regarding the prisoners at S-21 is here with us.
- 9 We have obtained this document of the person and the photo to
- 10 identify his identification with the placard number 7 hanging
- 11 underneath his photo.
- 12 [11.05.35]
- 13 The civil party pointed to the photo found at S-21 when she paid
- 14 a visit to the vicinity. She saw the photo and found the
- 15 identification or identity documents in relation to that victim.
- 16 And these documents have been collected from DC-Cam website and
- 17 we will submit these documents at a later date with further
- 18 clarification from the S-21 archive.
- 19 Regarding this grandfather of Sin Sinet, we have already got the
- 20 documents and Sin Sinet herself has obtained the national
- 21 identification card and the birth certificate and the Book of
- 22 Residence.
- 23 Before 1975, before the Khmer Rouge regime, she was sent to live
- 24 with her grandparents in Kampong Saom . She was only seven years
- 25 old back then. She was very close to the grandparents and she

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 36

- 1 treated them as her own parents. And her grandparents treated
- 2 her like their own daughter, not granddaughter. And the civil
- 3 party also indicated in the application that she had lived with
- 4 the grandparents in Kampong Saom in Veal Renh. And having
- 5 compared and verified the document, point 5 here which is
- 6 detailing the information of the person who actually worked as
- 7 the smith in Kampong Saom.
- 8 So this information is very consistent with the account of the
- 9 civil party who said she lived with her grandparents in Kampong
- 10 Saom. And I hope this information is able to substantiate the
- 11 application.
- 12 She also found another photo and biography in relation to the
- 13 grandfather and for that reason she has searched for the family
- 14 members and we can find her article in the Search for the Truth
- 15 magazine of the 1999 issue.
- 16 [11.08.47]
- 17 So with this information we can conclude that she has been
- 18 searching for the lost family members and it has been rather
- 19 difficult for her to really establish the documents to prove that
- 20 she has relation with the grandparents because she has not
- 21 obtained other related documents.
- 22 However, I believe that since she has already published the
- 23 search information for the whereabouts of the relatives and that
- 24 the recent document obtained, they can help support her
- 25 application.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 37

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 The defence counsel, you may now proceed further.
- 3 MS. CANIZARES:
- 4 Civil party E2/62 states that her two brothers are alleged to
- 5 have been arrested and killed in Tuol Sleng. And she files a
- 6 picture of herself taken in Tuol Sleng and that of another person
- 7 whom she has identified as being her brother.
- 8 However, no other element in the case file gives us any further
- 9 evidence with regard to kinship. Furthermore, the defence would
- 10 like to note that since 1976, more specifically March 1976, Ta
- 11 Mok who was in charge of the South-Eastern Zone from which the
- 12 plaintiff originates was no longer sending anyone to S-21.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 The civil party lawyer, group 1, you take the floor.
- 15 [11.10.54]
- 16 MS. TY SRINNA:
- 17 Mr. President, thank you. Regarding civil party E2/62, Hoem Mom,
- 18 I would like now to establish the linkages between this applicant
- 19 and S-21.
- 20 We have obtained the photo, the photo number 217. In relation to
- 21 this photo, we also obtained the source of the document on the
- 22 internet from the database of the DC-Cam. And the document
- 23 states that this person's photo has been obtained from Tuol Sleng
- 24 and that the person in this photo was the person who detained at
- 25 Tuol Sleng.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 38

- 1 And this is another piece of clear information about the relative
- 2 of the civil party who proves that the photo is the person who is
- 3 her brother and detained at S-21.
- 4 Regarding the kinship, this person also indicated that there were
- 5 two siblings who lost during the regime as the defence counsel
- 6 stated but they could only find one person, Saing Kim Leng who
- 7 already died. They found this information about Kim Leng.
- 8 However, the identification card states the civil party as Him
- 9 Mom but in the birth certificate the name is spelled differently
- 10 although it shares the same sounds. I mean the name could still
- 11 be pronounced as Hoem Mom.
- 12 So after the Khmer Rouge regime some registrars who survived the
- 13 regime were not able to really register the correct names of the
- 14 applicants and since my client is not well -- or rather literate
- 15 -- then it was difficult to really produce or to tell the
- 16 registrar the correct name. That's why I think this point should
- 17 also be well considered by Your Honours.
- 18 And Saing Kim Leang and Saing Kim Leng died but only Kim Leang
- 19 was found in S-21 and only his photo remains, and the name of the
- 20 civil party Hoem Mom is correct in the identification card, and
- 21 the name she uses for her civil party application. However, in
- 22 the birth certificate the name or the surname has been changed
- 23 for several family members. For example, Kim Leang's surname
- 24 would be changed to Sang because he took after the father's
- 25 surname.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 39

- 1 So I think these kind of discrepancies in the uses of name has
- 2 been contributed to the change of regime and that people may have
- 3 changed their names, or maybe the registrars have mistakenly
- 4 written their names on the official papers. And sometimes
- 5 individuals may have changed their name arbitrarily without even
- 6 informing the local authority about this intention, and it is
- 7 very common in Cambodian society that this kind of thing happens.
- 8 [11.16.09]
- 9 And I believe that we can actually produce further documents to
- 10 support this relation between our applicant, the civil party
- 11 applicant, to the person in the photo. And I believe that if she
- 12 has not known this person she would not be able to even link the
- 13 photo to the life story and that to the moment she had once lived
- 14 with them, and that she was moved to even see the photo.
- 15 So I believe that it is genuine enough that this civil party has
- 16 clear kinship with the person in the photo and I would like to
- 17 beg the Court to accept the applicant as the civil party in the
- 18 proceedings, although some civil parties may find it difficult to
- 19 bring the supporting documents to support their civil party
- 20 applications because during the regime several documents have
- 21 been damaged, or even the S-21 documents have been used to wrap
- 22 some kind of Cambodian cake and it is not always sure that all
- 23 the documents obtained at S-21 still maintained at S-21 because
- 24 several documents have been missing.
- 25 During the Democratic Kampuchea regime, the regime which spied on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 40

- 1 everyone, which exercised their surveillance on every individual
- 2 because they were suspicious and that people were fearful and
- 3 they would not dare keep any documents because they were afraid
- 4 that those documents could be used to implicate them in the
- 5 arrests. So a lot of documents were destroyed, so people were
- 6 left without any trace of good documents to support their
- 7 identity.
- 8 [11.18.41]
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 11 MS. CANIZARES:
- 12 Civil Party E2/63 states that her brother-in-law, Mr. Phleang
- 13 Hauy, is alleged to have been detained and executed in S-21. In
- 14 spite of the documentation which we received this morning, the
- 15 defence nevertheless feels that these elements are not sufficient
- 16 to establish a proof of kinship between plaintiff and victim, and
- 17 furthermore these elements do not establish proof that the victim
- 18 was detained in S-21, and defence therefore can only observe that
- 19 the name of Phleang Hauy is not to be found in the list of S-21
- 20 prisoners.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Civil party lawyer group 3, you may now proceed.
- 23 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 24 Yes, Mr. President. Indeed this morning we handed over to the
- 25 defence a new document which is in the form of an affidavit from

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 41

- 1 the mayor of the municipality of our client, attesting kinship
- 2 with her brother-in-law. Of course we feel that this is
- 3 probative evidence with regard to establishing kinship.
- 4 As for the connection with S-21, indeed it is persons we did not
- 5 find in the lists in spite of all our efforts and research, and
- 6 the information -- the only information that we have regarding
- 7 this person -- is based on the indications given by our client
- 8 herself, who stated in her statement that in 1978 someone had
- 9 sent to her a picture of her father and brother, both hands
- 10 shackled, both feet shackled with chains and their stomachs slit
- 11 open. They both died like animals, and this is the statement
- 12 that we have.
- 13 [11.21.40]
- 14 I leave it up to the Court to rule on this specific case.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 The defence counsel, you may now proceed.
- 17 MS. CANIZARES:
- 18 The Civil Party E2/64 alleges that her uncle, Mr. Cheab Baro, and
- 19 her aunt, Ms. Khut Phan, as well as five of her cousins, were
- 20 detained and killed at Tuol Sleng. However, there is no evidence
- 21 in the file that proves the kinship between the plaintiff and the
- 22 victims and in the same way the defence believes that the
- 23 documents that are included are not sufficient to provide the
- 24 proof that the victims were killed at Tuol Sleng.
- 25 The civil party mentions a photograph and this photograph was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 42

- 1 never produced until yet, and there is also mention of a
- 2 biography of Mr. Cheab Baro, but in fact it is a document that
- 3 was hand-copied by the civil party and that therefore is not a
- 4 document coming from S-21, and here once again the defence was
- 5 only able to notice that Mr. Cheab Baro's name is not on the
- 6 prisoners list of S-21.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 The civil party lawyer group 2, you may now proceed.
- 9 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 10 Mr. President, thank you. I would like to shed light on the
- 11 civil party applicant E2/64, Nheb Kimsrea.
- 12 These victims include only Khut Phan, and Khut Phan's husband was
- 13 Cheab Baro. Cheab Baro was the biological brother of Cheab Nheb
- 14 and Cheab Nheb was related to Nheb Kimsrea and Nheb Kimsrea is
- 15 the civil party applicant. Nheb Kimsrea was the nephew of Nheb
- 16 Baro.
- 17 At that time Khut Phan, who was wife of Cheab Baro, had five
- 18 siblings and they were detained and smashed. But there has not
- 19 been any document to prove that Cheab Baro was detained at S-21
- 20 but there is a list of prisoners at S-21 which contains the name
- 21 Khut Phan, who was the wife of Cheab Baro.
- 22 So there is another list of prisoners who were smashed at S-21
- 23 and Madam Khut Phan, the wife of Cheab Baro, was also found in
- 24 that list.
- 25 [11.25.53]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 43

- 1 So Cheab Baro actually got married to Khut Phan and the wife who
- 2 was actually seen in the list of executions at S-21, and I had
- 3 already told the court the relationship between these people, so
- 4 I would like the Chamber to consider Nheb Kimsrea as the civil
- 5 party.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 The defence counsel, you may now continue.
- 8 MS. CANIZARES:
- 9 Civil Party E2/65 alleges that an uncle died in 1977 at S-21. We
- 10 do not even have the name of the uncle in question so therefore
- 11 the defence believes that the proof of kinship and the proof that
- 12 the uncle was detained at S-21 is not established.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Civil party group 3, you may continue.
- 15 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 16 Mr. President, it seems that we are in a very specific case here
- 17 and it seems that this perfectly reveals what might happen in
- 18 certain families. Indeed, since we have no documents, we
- 19 therefore met very recently our client and we met her indeed to
- 20 establish the facts. And it happens to be that this person
- 21 received orders from her family challenging her civil party
- 22 application and she does not want to disappoint her family.
- 23 So under these circumstances we told her and we let her know what
- 24 were her possibilities, either to waive her civil party
- 25 application or to stand by it. And this was indicated to her and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 44

- 1 she asked to think about it.
- 2 [11.28.50]
- 3 So therefore, within this week, she will present to us her final
- 4 decision and in that case I will let you know, Mr. President, my
- 5 client's decision.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 The defence counsel, you may continue.
- 8 MS. CANIZARES:
- 9 E2/70 states that her uncle, Mr. Sok Bun, was killed at S-21.
- 10 However, we do not have -- we have no element regarding the civil
- 11 party that can substantiate the kinship or that can prove that
- 12 the victim was indeed detained at S-21 -- that was Mr. Sok Bun.
- 13 MS. MOCH SAVANARRY:
- 14 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours, ladies and gentlemen.
- 15 Related to the civil party application E2/70, in which she lodged
- 16 the application because the uncle was arrested and killed at Tuol
- 17 Sleng Prison, we have tried to research to find the documents
- 18 related to his detention at S-21, as well as relevant documents
- 19 that could provide us further information.
- 20 We have tried to look at the list of the prisoners by the Office
- 21 of the Co-Prosecutors and we found a name, Sok Bun, at number
- 22 9,556 but when we examined the detailed information for that
- 23 prisoner and compared it to information of the victim in the
- 24 complaint made by our civil party, the information is not the
- 25 same.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 45

- 1 [11.30.59]
- 2 I do not know how the information was contradictory but I would
- 3 like to reserve the right for the Chamber to make decisions.
- 4 If we analyze the information provided by my clients to the
- 5 Chamber in the application, we see that in early '78 Roeun, the
- 6 103 Sector chairman, called the village chief and subdistrict
- 7 chiefs and people to a meeting under the guards of armed guards
- 8 and no one was allowed to move out even for relieving themselves.
- 9 After the meeting Prum Ham, Kong, Chieb, Chuon, Ry and the uncle
- 10 of the civil party, Sok Bun, who were the village chief and
- 11 subdistrict chiefs during the Khmer Rouge regime -- after we
- 12 reached that segment and analyzed the information received by the
- 13 Chamber we could conclude that the Rohas district, Rovieng
- 14 district of Preah Vihear, was in a sector in the North Zone which
- 15 was subject to internal screening during that time.
- 16 The accused also acknowledged that during the internal screening
- 17 those who were the targets for the arrest to S-21 were those who
- 18 worked in the district and subdistrict levels. So we believed
- 19 that the information provided to us is connected to the events
- 20 that happened at that time and it is also related to the
- 21 information received by the Chamber.
- 22 In addition, in relation to the kinship between my civil party
- 23 and the uncle of Sok Bun, after receiving information of the
- 24 objections by the defence counsel I contacted my client and
- 25 requested for clarification of kinship, and we are still waiting

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 46

- 1 for the response.
- 2 [11.33.07]
- 3 And we hope that we will be able to receive necessary information
- 4 and documents within this week and once we receive the documents
- 5 we would submit it to the Chamber for decisions.
- 6 And this is my observation. Thank you, Mr. President.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 The defence counsel, you may now continue.
- 9 MS. CANIZARES:
- 10 Regarding Civil Party E2/71, which alleges that her
- 11 brother-in-law died at S-21 in 1976, just as in the previous case
- 12 there is no element in the file that allows us to substantiate
- 13 the kinship between the plaintiff and the victim and allowing us
- 14 to consider, as well, that the victim was, indeed, detained at
- 15 S-21. And in addition, the name of this victim does not appear
- 16 on the prisoners list at S-21.
- 17 MS. TRUSSES-NAPROUS:
- 18 Yes, Mr. President. Here again, we asked from the mayor of the
- 19 commune she lives in for an affidavit regarding the kinship and
- 20 we are still waiting for it and we were, indeed, asked to receive
- 21 this document before the end of this week. So I hope that before
- 22 the end of this week, I will be able to put this document before
- 23 the Chamber.
- 24 Now, regarding the link with S-21-- as the defence indicated --
- 25 indeed, we were not able to find the name of this victim on the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 47

- 1 S-21 lists. However, there is a certain amount of coherency in
- 2 the account because Ngoy Sreng was arrested for an offence that
- 3 he would have committed in the context of his work because it was
- 4 held against him that he left without asking for permission from
- 5 his superiors.
- 6 [11.35.56]
- 7 And we know that he was brought to Sala Chen and then afterwards,
- 8 he was transferred to Tuol Sleng. It, therefore, seems that in
- 9 this specific case -- it seems that the statement from our client
- 10 allows us to consider that, indeed, this person was detained and
- 11 smashed at S-21. And here again, we will let the Court rule on
- 12 this.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 The defence counsel, you may now continue.
- 15 MS. CANIZARES:
- 16 E2/76 joined as a civil party following the demise of her brother
- 17 -- her older brother, Ung Koam, which she alleges occurred at
- 18 Tuol Sleng in '78 or beginning of '79. Now, beyond the fact that
- 19 the victim and the plaintiff carry the same name, we have no
- 20 other element that allows us to substantiate the kinship between
- 21 these two people.
- 22 Now, regarding the second issue -- the fact that the victim was
- 23 allegedly detained at S-21 -- the only evidence that we have in
- 24 our file is a biography of Mr. Ung Koam, but that is hard to
- 25 authenticate as coming from S-21. And here again, it is even

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 48

- 1 more so the case that Mr. Ung Koam's name is not on the prisoners
- 2 list at S-21.
- 3 MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
- 4 Thank you for the clarifications by the defence counsel.
- 5 [11.38.14]
- 6 In response to that, regarding the event whether Mr. Ung Koam was
- 7 the blood brother of Ung Voeun and that he was detained at the
- 8 S-21 or not, we had a brief biography of Mr. Ung Koam. It was an
- 9 S-21 document. It is in the document E2/76.4.
- 10 If we look at the event from the beginning as described by the
- 11 civil party in the complaint, in 1974 in Chhuk Kruos
- 12 sub-district, Kampong Svay district, Kampong Thom, the civil
- 13 party provided information that the brother was arrested by Lon
- 14 Nol soldiers and forced to be a soldier and he was sent to the
- 15 battlefield. It is consistent with the events at the time and
- 16 there was a fight between the Lon Nol and the Khmer Rouge
- 17 soldiers.
- 18 It was also observed that in early '76, the civil party provided
- 19 an information that the brother went to meet him. We did not
- 20 know the situation of the meeting at the time, whether he
- 21 secretly went to meet the civil party or not. And then he went
- 22 to the battlefield and reappeared. And Ung Koam went to meet his
- 23 mother and told his mother that he used to be a Lon Nol soldier
- 24 and that he would be sent to Phnom Penh and he would be detained
- 25 in Phnom Penh. And from that day, he disappeared.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 49

- 1 His family, after the liberation, found that document so we would
- 2 like to submit the documents to the Chamber for consideration.
- 3 Secondly, in relation to the kinship between the civil party and
- 4 the victim, Ung Koam, from the evidentiary documents provided by
- 5 the civil party in relation to the ID card, the victim
- 6 information form and the biography he provided and the biography
- 7 of Ung Koam from S-21 in addition to the interview made by the
- 8 DC-Cam with his mother, we can conclude that the information
- 9 related to the address is the same.
- 10 [11.41.04]
- 11 It was the same address provided in the information of Ung Koam
- 12 as the one provided in the interview provided by his mother as
- 13 well as the information of the address mentioned in the ID card.
- 14 So we can see the kinship between the civil party and the victim,
- 15 Ung Koam.
- 16 In addition, in the biography of Ung Koam, we can see that he
- 17 said his mother was Hul Soeun and in the interview between his
- 18 mother and DC-Cam, the name was the same. And his mother also
- 19 said that presently, she lived with her daughter. And this is a
- 20 factor for our consideration of the existence of kinship between
- 21 the civil party and the victim, Ung Koam.
- 22 Also, in order to clarify the kinship, we, the counsel, requested
- 23 to the civil party to make additional documents to prove the
- 24 kinship.
- 25 Thank you, Mr. President.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 50

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 The defence counsel, you may now continue.
- 3 MS. CANIZARES:
- 4 Following case is E2/77 and for which we practically have no
- 5 element at all whether regarding the kinship or whether regarding
- 6 the victim's presence at S-21. And here again, I will take the
- 7 liberty of specifying that the victim's name is also not on the
- 8 prisoners list at S-21.
- 9 [11.42.50]
- 10 MR. WERNER:
- 11 Mr. President, unless I'm mistaken, E2/77 was not listed by the
- 12 defence lawyers unless I am mistaken. So this can explain why we
- 13 might be a little bit surprised. So if we could please receive a
- 14 bit of clarification regarding this.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 The defence counsel, may you respond to that?
- 17 MS. CANIZARES:
- 18 Unless I'm mistaken, Mr. President, I believe that the civil
- 19 party E2/77 was supposed to be initially heard by the Chamber and
- 20 that person renounced. This is why, since we did not hear her,
- 21 so therefore the defence was not able to put forth its
- 22 observations regarding this civil party; well, this is why I took
- 23 the liberty of including it among the civil parties that have not
- 24 yet been heard.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 51

- 1 In the case of E2/77, this civil party was one of the civil
- 2 parties selected to make statements before the Chamber, but the
- 3 civil party waived the right to appear before the Chamber;
- 4 therefore, the civil party was not challenged by the defence
- 5 counsel.
- 6 Yesterday, it was clearly stated the inclusion of the E2/77 by
- 7 the defence counsel on to the list of the civil parties they
- 8 objected as this E2/77 waived the right to appear before the
- 9 Chamber. Therefore, the civil party counsel, can you provide any
- 10 information regarding this or what is your position?
- 11 [11.45.39]
- 12 MR. WERNER:
- 13 Mr. President, as far as I understand, that this civil party
- 14 belongs to group number 2 and if this is the case, I am not able
- 15 to provide information.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 The civil party counsel group 2, can you proceed?
- 18 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 19 Mr. President, I apologize as I did not receive this information
- 20 early enough so I cannot respond at this stage.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Mr. Counsel, when do you think you can respond?
- 23 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 24 I will respond once I receive sufficient information, Mr.
- 25 President.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 52

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 The Chamber is putting this matter before it today and then
- 3 tomorrow morning, that will be the last time. Actually, the
- 4 matter regarding this civil party was clearly stated due to the
- 5 changes made by that civil party involving the right to appear
- 6 before the Chamber in making a statement and the defence counsel
- 7 considered that if the civil party were to appear before the
- 8 Chamber then they could question or challenge the civil party
- 9 before the Chamber. Therefore, the only time that you can
- 10 provide your position is only today and tomorrow morning only so
- 11 please be ready to proceed. And the Chamber has the view that it
- 12 is appropriate for you to do so tomorrow morning.
- 13 [11.48.15]
- 14 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 15 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 The defence counsel, you may now proceed with your next
- 18 challenge.
- 19 MS. CANIZARES:
- 20 E2/81 is intervening on behalf of her father, Mr. Am Thort and
- 21 her grandfather, Mr. Am Sabin and despite the documents that were
- 22 provided to us this morning, the defence views these documents --
- $23\,$ that is to say, a photograph, and we do not know whose photograph
- 24 it is -- well, considers that these documents are not sufficient
- 25 to substantiate the kinship between the plaintiff and the victim

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 53

- 1 and in the same way, there is no element in the file
- 2 substantiating the fact that the victim was detained at S-21.
- 3 But in order to clarify, however, the defence would like to
- 4 stress that on August 12th 2009, documents were addressed to it,
- 5 but however, these documents which only exist in Khmer do not
- 6 involve the victims that were brought up but seem to regard a
- 7 great uncle, Mr. Pot Moy, so that is to say, neither the father
- 8 nor the grandfather. And whether it is the father or the
- 9 grandfather or the great uncle, there is none of these three
- 10 names are on the prisoners lists at S-21.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 13 [11.50.19]
- 14 MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
- 15 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 16 Before I respond to the challenge raised by the defence counsel,
- 17 I would like to state about the kinship between the three victims
- 18 and my client and I would like to provide an explanation and a
- 19 reason that my client decides to waive his rights to be heard by
- 20 the Chamber.
- 21 At that time, in his file, even though we tried to research for
- 22 supporting documents, we failed to locate any. That's why we
- 23 made decision to waive the rights to be heard by the Chamber.
- 24 However, recently, by the research of my client of the document
- 25 at the genocidal museum at Tuol Sleng, he located a document that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 54

- 1 is a biography of the detainee and we submitted the document to
- 2 the Chamber. It's E2/81.3, ERN 00362274. It is a biography of
- 3 the detainee at Tuol Sleng and my client verified that the
- 4 kinship is stated in E2/81.2. That's the victim, Pot Moy, in the
- 5 biography of the detainee was the grandfather.
- 6 What is lacking at the moment is the document verifying that the
- 7 client is a kinship of Pot Moy and I requested my client to make
- 8 such a document and that we would receive the document next week
- 9 upon which we would submit it to the Chamber for consideration.
- 10 Later on, once we found that document, we the counsel did further
- 11 research and we looked at the database of the Office of the
- 12 Co-Prosecutor. We found a photograph which was similar to the
- 13 photo of the father of the client, Am Thort. With the
- 14 President's leave, I would like to show the similarity between
- 15 the photo found in the document of the Co-Prosecutor and the
- 16 photograph provided by my client to prove that it is the
- 17 photographs of the same person.
- 18 [11.53.21]
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Yes, the Chamber grants this opportunity. Court officer, can you
- 21 project the photographs on the slide?
- 22 MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
- 23 I would like to inform the Chamber that this photograph was
- 24 printed from a small photo from the document. If it is difficult
- 25 to view, I would like to seek assistance from the A/V Unit to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 55

- 1 project the file, the electronic file, from the document instead.
- 2 This is the photo of the father of the civil party, Am Thort, and
- 3 my client will provide the certification of kinship and probably
- 4 it will be in our hands next week. This is the photo of the
- 5 father of my client that we found in the database file of the
- 6 office of the Co-Prosecutors.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 The Court officer, you can now remove the photos.
- 9 MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President. These photographs have been provided
- 11 to the defence counsel for their examination and we will submit
- 12 all these documents to the Chamber either today or tomorrow as we
- 13 are in the process of preparing these documents to be ready for
- 14 the submission.
- 15 [11.55.38]
- 16 On another point, if we look at the information provided by the
- 17 civil party in her application, we could see the consistencies of
- 18 the information in relation to the series of historical events
- 19 that the Chamber has received through the hearings in the
- 20 proceedings so far. In that document the civil party said that
- 21 they lived in the Romeas Haek district in Svay Rieng. That
- 22 location -- that is the Romeas Haek district under the leadership
- 23 of Sao Phim and that location was also a target of internal
- 24 screening at that time.
- 25 That person was arrested by the CPK and why the CPK did not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 56

- 1 arrest his subordinates? We could see that the grandfather of
- 2 the client, the civil party, Am Sabin, was forced to join the
- 3 Khmer Rouge military under the leadership of Sao Phim, and the
- 4 father, Am Thort, as shown in the photographs, was the chief of
- 5 the security at that time.
- 6 Later on, in 1977 there was the people from the southwest who
- 7 entered that group and people were arrested by the southwest
- 8 group. One day the grandfather of the civil party, as well as
- 9 the father, were called to a meeting at the Romeas Haek
- 10 subdistrict office, where they were arrested. At that time the
- 11 position and the role of this grandfather and the father of the
- 12 civil party, they held the roles within the Romeas Haek
- 13 subdistrict and district. Also, from the information provided by
- 14 my client, after the arrest of the grandfather and the father,
- 15 the southwest group started to evacuate the base people and there
- 16 were two or three stages of evacuation.
- 17 We can see that the information provided by the civil party is
- 18 consistent and credible and we would like to submit all the
- 19 informations and documents to the Chamber for decision for my
- 20 client's civil party application. Thank you.
- 21 [11.58.32]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 The defence counsel, you may now proceed with your next
- 24 challenge.
- 25 MS. CANIZARES:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 57

- 1 Concerning Civil Party E2/82, there is very little in the case
- 2 file, nothing to substantiate a kinship between a plaintiff and
- 3 victim, nothing to demonstrate that the victim was in S-21; and
- 4 further, the name of the victim again cannot be found on the list
- 5 of S-21 prisoners.
- 6 MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President. As my international colleague raised
- 8 from the beginning in her preliminary observation, which was also
- 9 supported by the Co-Prosecutors, the list of the prisoners
- 10 prepared by the Office of the Co-Prosecutors is not an ultimate,
- 11 definite list. That means it does not cover all the names of the
- 12 prisoners at S-21.
- 13 Secondly, based on the Rule 87, evidence can be provided in the
- 14 criminal case by all means. So the Chamber can consider all the
- 15 relevant informations provided by the civil party in their
- 16 complaints.
- 17 This civil party named Man Sothea, he lost his mother, Sem
- 18 Sokhlim, during the Khmer Rouge reign, during the evacuation of
- 19 people from Phnom Penh. If we look at the role of his mother,
- 20 she was the former staff of the Filipino embassy and they wanted
- 21 the family to live in the Philippines but it was obstructed by
- 22 the bombardment and by the ultimate fall on the 17th April '75
- 23 that they could not go to the airport on time.
- 24 [12.01.02]
- 25 In the complaint the information states that while on the journey

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 58

- 1 the mother protested the Khmer Rouge soldiers that, "Why making
- 2 such a revolution when people were evacuated from Phnom Penh? In
- 3 my role I should be placed into a vehicle and not to walk on the
- 4 street." And she added that she wanted to work in Phnom Penh in
- 5 order to transform that vicious regime, and then she was sent to
- 6 Phnom Penh.
- 7 We can now consider where would she be taken to Phnom Penh in her
- 8 status, as she openly protested against the Khmer Rouge. So from
- 9 the information provided by the civil party to the Chamber, we
- 10 learned in details in relation to the principle of smashing by
- 11 the CPK and the accused also said that S-21 is a criminal
- 12 mechanism of the CPK policy. Besides smashing enemies they would
- 13 also try to find the traitorous link through tortures during the
- 14 interrogation to extract the confession.
- 15 So based on such information, the mother was sent to Phnom Penh.
- 16 Maybe she was not killed immediately. Probably she was being
- 17 tortured and interrogated to find further links and this
- 18 information is incredible and I would like to submit all these
- 19 informations to the Chamber for examination and decision, and we
- 20 will try to look for further information and we reserve the right
- 21 to submit further informations if we find any.
- 22 And also in response to the defence counsel on the issue of
- 23 kinship, I requested my client to prove the kinship and I will
- 24 wait for response, and once we receive the response it will be
- 25 submitted accordingly to the parties and to the Chamber. Thank

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 65

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 26/8/2009

Page 59

59

- 1 you.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Now it is time for adjournment for lunch and the schedule for
- 4 today's hearing has been changed as it was required and as the
- 5 Chamber announced this morning.
- 6 [12.03.45]
- 7 For this afternoon the Chamber cannot hold the hearing as the
- 8 Judges have to hold internal meetings to resolve and discuss
- 9 certain urgent matters. Therefore today's proceeding is
- 10 adjourned today and it will resume tomorrow morning starting from
- 11 9 a.m.
- 12 Security guard, take the accused back to the detention facility
- 13 and bring him back to the Chamber tomorrow morning before 9 a.m.
- 14 The hearing is now adjourned.
- 15 THE GREFFIER:
- 16 All rise.
- 17 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 18 (Court adjourns at 1204H)

19

20

21

22

23

24