

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER**EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA****FILING DETAILS****Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC-TC/SC () **Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary**Filed to:** The Supreme Court Chamber**Original language:** ENGLISH**Date of document:** 29 January 2013**CLASSIFICATION****Classification of the document suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC**Classification by OCIJ or Chamber:** សាធារណៈ/Public**Classification Status:****Review of Interim Classification:****Records Officer Name:****Signature:**

IENG SARY'S SUBMISSION OF A PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION OF HIS APPEAL AGAINST THE TRIAL CHAMBER'S DECISION THAT HE IS FIT TO STAND TRIAL AND ITS REFUSAL TO APPOINT AN ADDITIONAL EXPERT TO ASSESS FITNESS

Filed by:

The Co-Lawyers:
ANG Udom
Michael G. KARNAVAS

Distribution to:


The Supreme Court Chamber Judges:
Judge KONG Srim
Judge SOM Sereyvuth
Judge Agnieszka KLONOWIECKA-MILART
Judge MONG Monichariya
Judge Chandra Nihal JAYASINGHE
Judge YA Narin
Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande MUMBA

Co-Prosecutors:
CHEA Leang
Andrew CAYLEY


All Defence Teams**All Civil Parties**


Mr. IENG Sary, through his Co-Lawyers (“the Defence”), pursuant to the Supreme Court Chamber’s Order to File a Public Redacted Version of IENG Sary’s Appeal (E238/9/2/1),¹ hereby files this public, redacted version of his Appeal against the Trial Chamber’s Decision that He is Fit to Stand Trial and its Refusal to Appoint an Additional Expert to Determine Fitness.² Footnotes 105 and 107 of this Appeal have been redacted to remove quotations from document E238/4, which is currently classified as strictly confidential.

Respectfully submitted,



ANG Udom





Michael G. KARNAVAS

Co-Lawyers for Mr. IENG Sary

Signed in Phnom Penh, Kingdom of Cambodia on this 29th day of **January, 2013**

¹ Order to File a Public Redacted Version of IENG Sary’s Appeal (E238/9/2/1), 24 January 2013, E238/9/2/3.

² IENG Sary’s Appeal against the Trial Chamber’s Decision that he is Fit to Stand Trial and its Refusal to Appoint an Additional Expert to Determine Fitness, 3 January 2013, E238/9/2/1.