

A371/2/6

**BEFORE THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER
EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA**

FILING DETAILS

Case No: 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ(PTC 64) Party Filing: The Defence for IENG Sary

Filed to: The Pre-Trial Chamber

Original language: ENGLISH

Date of document: 12 May 2010

CLASSIFICATION

Classification of the document
suggested by the filing party: PUBLIC

Classification by OCIJ
or Chamber:

Classification Status: សាធារណៈ / Public

Review of Interim Classification:

Records Officer Name:

Signature:

ឯកសារដើម	
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de réception):	
..... 12 / 05 / 2010	
ម៉ោង (Time/Heure):	
..... 14:30	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé	
du dossier: Uch Arun	

**IENTG SARY'S NOTICE OF INTENTION TO BE HEARD AT THE HEARING
CONCERNING HIS APPEAL AGAINST THE OCIJ'S DENIAL OF HIS DEFENCE
TEAM'S REQUEST TO CONDUCT AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDINGS AT THE ECCC
DETENTION FACILITY**

Filed by:

The Co-Lawyers:

ANG Udom
Michael G. KARNAVAS

Distribution to:

The Pre-Trial Chamber Judges:

PRAK Kimsan
NEY Thol
HUOT Vuthy
Catherine MARCHI-UHEL
Rowan DOWNING

Co-Prosecutors:


CHEA Leang
Andrew CAYLEY

All Defence Teams


ឯកសារបោះចម្លងត្រឹមត្រូវតាមច្បាប់ដើម	
CERTIFIED COPY/COPIE CERTIFIÉE CONFORME	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ការបញ្ជាក់ (Certified Date/Date de certification):	
..... 12 / 05 / 2010	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé	
du dossier: Ratanak	

Mr. IENG Sary, through his Co-Lawyers (“the Defence”), hereby notifies the Pre-Trial Chamber, pursuant to the Pre-Trial Chamber’s directions contained in the Scheduling Order,¹ that it intends to submit an oral Reply to the Co-Prosecutors’ Observations on IENG Sary’s Appeal on Using Audio-Video Recording in the Detention Unit, filed 6 May 2010.² The Defence further sees no reason why this hearing should take place *in camera*,³ and requests that the hearing be held publically, as no confidential matters need be discussed. Although the Co-Prosecutors have expressed the opinion that no oral hearing is necessary,⁴ they have not expressed a wish that, should such a hearing be held, that it be held *in camera*.

Respectfully submitted,



ANG Udom



Michael G. KARNAVAS

Co-Lawyers for Mr. IENG Sary

Signed in Phnom Penh, Kingdom of Cambodia on this 12th day of May, 2010

¹ *Case of IENG Sary*, 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 64), Scheduling Order, 11 May 2010, D371/2/3, ERN: 00515072-00515073.

² *Case of IENG Sary*, 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 64), Co-Prosecutors’ Observations on IENG Sary’s Appeal on Using Audio-Video Recording in the Detention Unit (“OCP Response”), 6 May 2010, D371/2/2, ERN: 00511628-00511631.

³ As stated in the Scheduling Order. *Case of IENG Sary*, 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 64), Scheduling Order, 11 May 2010, D371/2/3, ERN: 00515072-00515073, para. 4.

⁴ OCP Response, paras. 10-13.