

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT CHAMBER**EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA****FILING DETAILS****Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC**Party Filing:** The Defence for IENG Sary**Filed to:** The Supreme Court Chamber**Original language:** ENGLISH**Date of document:** 7 February 2012**CLASSIFICATION****Classification of the document
suggested by the filing party:****PUBLIC****Classification by OCIJ
or Chamber:****សាធារណៈ/Public****Classification Status:****Review of Interim Classification:****Records Officer Name:****Signature:**

**IENG SARY'S REPLY TO CO-PROSECUTOR'S RESPONSE TO IENG SARY'S
APPEAL AGAINST THE TRIAL CHAMBER'S DECISION REFUSING HIS
REQUEST FOR THE TRIAL CHAMBER TO DIRECT ITS SENIOR LEGAL
OFFICER TO MAINTAIN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT COMMUNICATION WITH
ALL THE PARTIES**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Filed by:****The Co-Lawyers:**

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS

Distribution to:**The Supreme Court Chamber Judges:**

Judge KONG Srim

Judge SOM Sereyvuth

Judge MONG Monichariya

Judge YA Narin

Judge MOTOOG Noguchi

Judge Agnieszka KLONOWIECKA-MILART

Judge Chandra Nihal JAYASINGHE

Reserve Judge SIN Rith

Reserve Judge Florence MUMBA

Co-Prosecutors:

CHEA Leang

Andrew CAYLEY

All Defence Teams**All Civil Parties**

Cambodian and ECCC Law – ECCC Legal Compendium

1. 1993 Cambodian Constitution, as amended in 1999, Art. 31.
2. Internal Rules, Rules 21, 104.

ECCC Filings and Jurisprudence – Already Disclosed on the Case File

3. Co-Prosecutors’ Response to IENG Sary’s Appeal against the Trial Chamber’s Decision Refusing his Request for the Trial Chamber Senior Legal Officer to Maintain Open and Transparent Communication with all the Parties, 1 February 2012, E154/1/1/2.
4. IENG Sary’s Appeal against the Trial Chamber’s Decision Refusing his Request for the Trial Chamber Senior Legal Officer to Maintain Open and Transparent Communication with all the Parties, 12 January 2012, E154/1/1/1.
5. Trial Chamber Memorandum entitled “IENG Sary Request that the Trial Chamber direct the Senior Legal Officer to maintain open and transparent communication with all parties concerning trial management issues (E154),” 20 December 2011, E154/1.
6. Decision on IENG Sary’s Appeal against the Co-Investigating Judges’ Order Denying Request to Allow Audio/Video Recording of Meetings with IENG Sary at the Detention Facility, 11 June 2010, A371/2/12, para. 18.
7. Decision on IENG Sary’s Appeal against the Co-Investigating Judges’ Decision Refusing to Accept the Filing of IENG Sary’s Response to the Co-Prosecutors’ Rule 66 Final Submission and Additional Observations, and Request for Stay of the Proceedings, 20 September 2010, D390/1/2/4, para. 13.